Hamiltonian Systems

Johannes Heißler

29. April 2021

Brief overview of Classical Mechanics

General Hamiltonian Systems

3 Electromagnetism and twisted contangent bundles

Johannes Heißler Hamiltonian Systems April 29, 2021 2/23

Very classical mechanics

For a particle in 3-space, we have the position
$$\begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\ y(t) \\ z(t) \end{bmatrix} = r(t) \in \mathbb{R}^3$$

$$\vec{v} = \frac{d}{dt}r$$
, $\vec{a} = \frac{d}{dt}\vec{v}$, $\vec{p} = m\vec{v}$.

Johannes Heißler

Very classical mechanics

For a particle in 3-space, we have the position $\begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\ y(t) \\ z(t) \end{bmatrix} = r(t) \in \mathbb{R}^3$

$$\vec{v} = \frac{d}{dt}r$$
, $\vec{a} = \frac{d}{dt}\vec{v}$, $\vec{p} = m\vec{v}$.

The particle may be subject to a force $\vec{F}(r, \vec{v}, t)$, obeying Newton's laws:

$$m \cdot \vec{a} = \frac{d}{dt} \vec{p} \stackrel{!}{=} \vec{F}$$

Johannes Heißler Hamiltonian Systems April 29, 2021 3/23

Very classical mechanics

For a particle in 3-space, we have the position $\begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\ y(t) \\ z(t) \end{bmatrix} = r(t) \in \mathbb{R}^3$

$$\vec{v} = \frac{d}{dt}r$$
, $\vec{a} = \frac{d}{dt}\vec{v}$, $\vec{p} = m\vec{v}$.

The particle may be subject to a force $\vec{F}(r, \vec{v}, t)$, obeying Newton's laws:

$$m \cdot \vec{a} = \frac{d}{dt} \vec{p} \stackrel{!}{=} \vec{F}$$

Several particles in one "vector":

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_l \\ z_l \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} m_1 \\ m_1 \\ \vdots \\ m_l \end{bmatrix} \\ \vdots \\ m_l \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \ddot{x}_1 \\ \ddot{y}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \ddot{y}_l \\ \vdots \\ \ddot{r}_{l,y} \\ \vdots \\ \ddot{r}_{l,y} \\ \vdots \\ \ddot{r}_{l,y} \end{bmatrix}$$

Consider *l* particles in 3-space on holonomic constraints:

$$\underline{q} = (q^i) \mapsto R = [R^{\lambda}] \in N = f^{-1}[\{0\}] \cap \text{ some open set } \stackrel{\text{sM}}{\subseteq} \mathbb{R}^{3l}.$$

4/23

Johannes Heißler Hamiltonian Systems April 29, 2021

Consider *l* particles in 3-space on holonomic constraints:

$$\underline{q} = (q^i) \mapsto R = [R^{\lambda}] \in N = f^{-1}[\{0\}] \cap \text{ some open set } \subseteq^{sM} \mathbb{R}^{3l}.$$

The masses m_{λ} give the (extrinsic) momentum P on a trajectory as a Riemannian metric m as on the last slide. This descents to N:

$$\overline{P} = m(\overline{\dot{R}}) \Rightarrow \overleftarrow{p} = m(\overrightarrow{\dot{R}}) \doteq (p_i) = (m_{ij} \dot{q}^j)$$



Johannes Heißler Hamiltonian Systems April 29, 2021 4/23

Consider *l* particles in 3-space on holonomic constraints:

$$\underline{q} = (q^i) \mapsto R = [R^{\lambda}] \in N = f^{-1}[\{0\}] \cap \text{ some open set } \subseteq^{sM} \mathbb{R}^{3l}.$$

The masses m_{λ} give the (extrinsic) momentum P on a trajectory as a Riemannian metric m as on the last slide. This descents to N:

$$\overline{P} = m(\overline{\dot{R}}) \Rightarrow \overleftarrow{p} = m(\overrightarrow{\dot{R}}) \doteq (p_i) = (m_{ij} \dot{q}^j)$$

Newton's equation (extrinsic):

$$F_{\lambda} = m_{\lambda} \dot{v}^{\lambda}$$
, i.e. $F = m \left(\frac{D}{dt} \vec{v} \right)$

4/23

Johannes Heißler Hamiltonian Systems April 29, 2021

Consider *l* particles in 3-space on holonomic constraints:

$$\underline{q} = (q^i) \mapsto R = [R^{\lambda}] \in N = f^{-1}[\{0\}] \cap \text{ some open set } \subseteq^{sM} \mathbb{R}^{3l}.$$

The masses m_{λ} give the (extrinsic) momentum P on a trajectory as a Riemannian metric m as on the last slide. This descents to N:

$$\overline{P} = m(\overline{\dot{R}}) \Rightarrow \overleftarrow{p} = m(\overrightarrow{\dot{R}}) \doteq (p_i) = (m_{ij} \dot{q}^j)$$

Newton's equation (extrinsic):

$$F_{\lambda} = m_{\lambda} \dot{v}^{\lambda}$$
, i.e. $F = m \left(\frac{D}{dt} \vec{v} \right)$

Kinetic energy:

$$2T = \sum_{\lambda} m_{\lambda} (v^{\lambda})^{2} = ||\dot{R}||_{m}^{2} = ||P||_{m^{-1}}^{2} = m_{ij} v^{i} v^{j} = m^{ij} p_{i} p_{j}$$

Johannes Heißler April 29, 2021

Generalized forces

The parallel force $\tilde{Q}=Q_i\varepsilon^i=g(\vec{F}_\parallel)$ may later depend on velocity, but here we consider conservative forces with the potential $V\in C^\infty(N,\mathbb{R})$

$$\vec{F} = -\vec{\nabla}V = -g^{-1}\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial r}\right)$$
, i.e. $\vec{Q} = -\vec{dV} = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial q^i}\,\mathrm{d}q^i$

Newton's equation becomes:

$$\vec{Q} = m \left(\frac{D}{dt} \vec{v} \right) = (\dot{v}^j) \vec{e}_j + v^i v^j \vec{\Gamma}_{ij}$$

The potential and kinetic energy constitute the **Hamiltonian** or total energy function

$$H(q^i,p_i,t) = T(q^i,p_i,t) + V(q^i,p_i,t)$$

4□▶
4□▶
4□▶
4□▶
4□▶
4□▶
4□▶
4□▶
4□▶
4□▶
4□▶
4□▶
4□▶
4□▶
4□▶
4□▶
4□▶
4□▶
4□▶
4□▶
4□▶
4□▶
4□▶
4□▶
4□▶
4□▶
4□▶
4□▶
4□▶
4□P

Johannes Heißler Hamiltonian Systems April 29, 2021 5/23

Example 1 - *l*-body problem

Unrestricted motion of *l* celestial bodies with gravitation, i.e. the forces

$$\vec{F}_{i} = \sum_{j \neq i} -\frac{m_{i} m_{j}}{4\pi |r_{i} - r_{j}|^{2}} \vec{e}_{r_{i} - r_{j}}$$

generated by the shared potential

$$4\pi V(r_1,\ldots,r_l) = -\frac{m_1 \cdot m_2}{|r_1 - r_2|} - \cdots - \frac{m_1 \cdot m_l}{|r_1 - r_l|} - \frac{m_2 \cdot m_3}{|r_2 - r_3|} - \cdots$$

This has the Hamiltonian

$$H(r_1, ..., r_l, \vec{p}_1, ..., \vec{p}_l) = \sum_i \frac{|\vec{p}_i|^2}{2m_i} - \sum_{i < j} \frac{m_i m_j}{4\pi |r_i - r_j|}$$

We have to remove the collision set $\{R \mid V = \infty\}$, so N = dom V.

◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□▶
◆□>
◆□>
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□
◆□

Johannes Heißler Hamiltonian Systems April 29, 2021 6/23

Abstraction to the phase space

Lagrangian mechanics

- $M = TN \ni \vec{v} @ R \doteq \underline{v} @ g \stackrel{!}{=} \dot{g} @ g$
- Lagrangian: $L = T V \in \mathscr{F}(M)$
- We have the canonical momenta $p_i = m_{ij} v^j = \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{q}^i} T = \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{q}^i} L$.
- Lagrange equation: $\dot{q}^j = v^j$, $\dot{p}_j = Q_j + \frac{\partial T}{\partial q^i} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i}$

Johannes Heißler Hamiltonian Systems April 29, 2021 7 /

Abstraction to the phase space

Lagrangian mechanics

- $M = TN \ni \vec{v} @ R \doteq \underline{v} @ \underline{q} \stackrel{!}{=} \underline{\dot{q}} @ \underline{q}$
- Lagrangian: $L = T V \in \mathscr{F}(M)$
- We have the canonical momenta $p_i = m_{ij}v^j = \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{q}^i}T = \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{q}^i}L$.
- Lagrange equation: $\dot{q}^j = v^j$, $\dot{p}_j = Q_j + \frac{\partial T}{\partial q^i} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i}$

Hamiltonian mechanics

- $M = T^*N \ni \tilde{p}@R \doteq \underline{p}@\underline{q}$
- Hamiltonian: $H = \vec{p}\vec{v} L = T + V \in \mathscr{F}(M)$
- $v^j = m^{ij}p_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j}T = \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j}H$
- Hamilton equations: $\dot{q}^j = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_j}, \quad \dot{p}_j = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q^i}$

They are duals via a Legendre transformation.



Johannes Heißler Hamiltonian Systems April 29, 2021 7

Example 2 - Central potential

If
$$V(R) = V\left(\begin{bmatrix} r\cos\varphi\\r\sin\varphi\end{bmatrix}\right) = V(r,\varphi)$$
 only depends on r , we have

$$F = \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \cdot (-\vec{e}_r)$$
 or $(Q_r, Q_{\varphi}) = \underline{g} \begin{pmatrix} -\partial_r V \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = (-\partial_r V, 0)$

The canonical momenta are

$$\begin{pmatrix} p_r \\ p_{\varphi} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} m & 0 \\ 0 & mr^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{r} \\ \dot{\varphi} \end{pmatrix}$$

We can identify $L = p_{\varphi} = mr^2 \dot{\varphi}$ as the angular momentum.

$$H = \frac{1}{2}m^{ij}p_{i}p_{j} + V(\underline{q}) = \frac{p_{r}^{2}}{2m} + \frac{p_{\varphi}^{2}}{2mr^{2}} + V(r)$$

Johannes Heißler Hamiltonian Systems April 29, 2021 8/23

Example 2 - Central potential

$$H = \frac{p_r^2}{2m} + \frac{p_{\varphi}^2}{2mr^2} + V(r)$$

Hamiltonian equations:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{r} \\ \dot{\varphi} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \underline{p}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\underline{p}_r}{\underline{m}} \\ \frac{\underline{p}_{\varphi}}{mr^2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(\dot{p}_r, \dot{p}_{\varphi}) = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial g} = \left(-\frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + \frac{p_{\varphi}^2}{mr^3}, 0\right)$$

Notice the "fictional" centrifugal force $m\dot{\varphi}^2 r$. p_{φ} is conserved, because φ is a **cyclic coordinate**.

Johannes Heißler Hamiltonian Systems April 29, 2021 9/23

Brief overview of Classical Mechanics

General Hamiltonian Systems

3 Electromagnetism and twisted contangent bundles

Johannes Heißler Hamiltonian Systems April 29, 2021 10/23

Generalization

We consider a system with n degrees of freedom, described by a smooth n-dimensional manifold N, called the **configuration space** and its cotangent bundle $M = T^*N$, the **phase space** with a function H.



Johannes Heißler Hamiltonian Systems April 29, 2021 11/23

Generalization

We consider a system with n degrees of freedom, described by a smooth n-dimensional manifold N, called the **configuration space** and its cotangent bundle $M = T^*N$, the **phase space** with a function H.

With canonical coordinates $\underline{u} = \begin{pmatrix} \underline{q} \\ \underline{p} \end{pmatrix} \mapsto U \in M$ the Hamiltonian equations turn into:

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{U}} \doteq \underline{\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}} = \begin{pmatrix} \underline{\dot{q}} \\ \underline{\dot{p}} \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{!}{=} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_{i}} \\ -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q^{i}} \end{pmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1_{n} \\ -1_{n} & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{(J^{\mu\nu})} \frac{\partial H^{\mathsf{T}}}{\partial \underline{\boldsymbol{u}}} = \underline{\underline{J}}(\underline{\mathsf{D}}\underline{\boldsymbol{H}}) \doteq -\Omega(\mathsf{d}H) =: \boldsymbol{X}_{H}$$

4□ ► 4□ ► 4 = ► 4 = ► 900

Johannes Heißler Hamiltonian Systems April 29, 2021 11/23

Generalization

We consider a system with n degrees of freedom, described by a smooth n-dimensional manifold N, called the **configuration space** and its cotangent bundle $M = T^*N$, the **phase space** with a function H.

With canonical coordinates $\underline{u} = \begin{pmatrix} q \\ \underline{p} \end{pmatrix} \mapsto U \in M$ the Hamiltonian equations turn into:

$$\dot{U} \doteq \underline{\dot{u}} = \begin{pmatrix} \underline{\dot{q}} \\ \underline{\dot{p}} \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{!}{=} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} \\ -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q^i} \end{pmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1_n \\ -1_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{(J^{\mu\nu})} \frac{\partial H^{\mathsf{T}}}{\partial \underline{u}} = \underline{\underline{J}}(\underline{\mathsf{D}}\underline{H}) \doteq -\Omega(\mathsf{d}H) =: X_H$$

Here $\Omega = \omega^{-1}$, where $\omega = d\lambda = \sum_i dp^i \wedge dx^i$ with the Liouville 1-form

$$\lambda(z) = \underbrace{\pi_{TT^*N \longrightarrow T^*N}(z)}_{\text{Basepoint } \tilde{p}} \underbrace{(T\pi_{T^*N \longrightarrow N}(z))}_{\text{spatial part of } z}) = \tilde{p}(\vec{v}) \Leftrightarrow \lambda_{\tilde{p}} = \sum_{i} p^i \, \mathrm{d} x^i$$

which has the matrix representation $\omega_{\mu\nu}=J^{\mu\nu}=-\Omega^{\mu\nu}$

Johannes Heißler Hamiltonian Systems April 29, 2021

General Definition of Hamiltonian Systems

Let (M, ω) be a symplectic manifold, with tangent-cotangent isomorphism

$$\omega: TM \xrightarrow{\cong} T^*M, z \mapsto \omega(z, \cdot)$$
 and $\Omega = \omega^{-1}$.

The triple (M, ω, H) is called a **Hamiltonian system** where $H \in \mathcal{F}(M)$ is the **Hamiltonian** or energy function.

Canonical coordinates $\underline{u} = (u^{\mu}) = (x^1, ..., x^n, p^1, ..., p^n)^T$ are such that

$$\omega = \sum_{i} dp^{i} \wedge dx^{i}$$
, i.e. $\omega(\boldsymbol{e}_{p^{i}}) = \varepsilon_{x^{i}}$.



Johannes Heißler Hamiltonian Systems April 29, 2021 12/23

General Definition of Hamiltonian Systems

Let (M, ω) be a symplectic manifold, with tangent-cotangent isomorphism

$$\omega: TM \xrightarrow{\cong} T^*M, z \mapsto \omega(z, \cdot)$$
 and $\Omega = \omega^{-1}$.

The triple (M, ω, H) is called a **Hamiltonian system** where $H \in \mathcal{F}(M)$ is the **Hamiltonian** or energy function.

Canonical coordinates $\underline{u} = (u^{\mu}) = (x^1, ..., x^n, p^1, ..., p^n)^T$ are such that

$$\omega = \sum_{i} dp^{i} \wedge dx^{i}$$
, i.e. $\omega(\boldsymbol{e}_{p^{i}}) = \varepsilon_{x^{i}}$.

Important Definition

The **Hamiltonian vector field** associated to $f \in \mathcal{F}(M)$ is

$$X_f := -\Omega(df)$$
 i.e. $\omega(X_f, z) = -df(z) = -\partial_z f$.

4□▷
4□▷
4□▷
4□▷
4□▷
4□▷
4□▷
4□▷
4□▷
4□▷
4□▷
4□▷
4□▷
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○
4□○

12/23

Hamiltonian flow

The Hamiltonian equations now are:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\gamma = -\Omega(\mathrm{d}H)\circ\gamma = X_H\circ\gamma$$

The **trajectories** of the Hamiltonian system (solutions to the Hamiltonian equations) are exactly the integral curves of X_H .

Johannes Heißler Hamiltonian Systems April 29, 2021 13/23

Hamiltonian flow

The Hamiltonian equations now are:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\gamma = -\Omega(\mathrm{d}H)\circ\gamma = X_H\circ\gamma$$

The **trajectories** of the Hamiltonian system (solutions to the Hamiltonian equations) are exactly the integral curves of X_H .

These exist at least locally (first order ODE):

$$U_0 \mapsto \gamma_{U_0} : t \mapsto \gamma_{U_0}(t) \longleftrightarrow U_0 : \psi^t_{X_H} \longleftrightarrow t$$

This is the **Hamiltonian flow** (flow of X_H).

13/23

Johannes Heißler Hamiltonian Systems April 29, 2021

A vector field $z \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ is ...

• **symplectic** iff ω is preserved along the integral curves of z: $\psi_z^{t*}\omega = \omega$, i.e. $\mathcal{L}_z\omega = 0$

14/23

Johannes Heißler Hamiltonian Systems April 29, 2021

A vector field $z \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ is ...

• **symplectic** iff ω is preserved along the integral curves of z: $\psi_z^{t*}\omega = \omega$, i.e. $\mathcal{L}_z\omega = 0$

That follows from the fact that the Lie-derivative wrt z commutes with the flow of z: (at point $P'=\psi_z^t(P)=\gamma_P(t)$)

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\psi_z^{t*}(\omega) = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s}|_{s=0}(\psi_z^{t+s})^*(\omega) = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s}|_{s=0}\psi_z^{t*}(\psi_z^{s*}\omega) = \psi_z^{t*}(\mathcal{L}_z\omega)$$

So if $\mathscr{L}_z\omega=0$, $\psi_z^{t*}(\omega(\gamma_P(t)))$ must be constant wrt $t;\psi_z^{0*}(\omega(\gamma_P(0)))=\omega(P)$. If not, then there is some place where $\psi_z^{t*}(\omega(\gamma_P(t)))\neq\psi_z^{0*}(\omega(P))$

(ロ) (리) (리) (리) (리) (리)

Johannes Heißler Hamiltonian Systems April 29, 2021 14/23

A vector field $z \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ is ...

- **symplectic** iff ω is preserved along the integral curves of z: $\psi_z^{t*}\omega = \omega$, i.e. $\mathcal{L}_z\omega = 0$
- [locally] **Hamiltonian** iff it [locally] coincides with X_f for some $f \in \mathcal{F}(M)$



Johannes Heißler Hamiltonian Systems April 29, 2021 15/23

A vector field $z \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ is ...

- **symplectic** iff ω is preserved along the integral curves of z: $\psi_z^{t*}\omega = \omega$, i.e. $\mathcal{L}_z\omega = 0$
- [locally] **Hamiltonian** iff it [locally] coincides with X_f for some $f \in \mathcal{F}(M)$

Relation between these definitions

z symplectic $\Leftrightarrow \omega(z)$ closed $\Leftrightarrow \omega(z)$ is locally exact \Leftrightarrow z locally Hamiltonian.

$$\mathscr{L}_{\mathbf{z}}\omega \stackrel{\mathsf{Cartan}}{=} \mathsf{d}(\iota_{\mathbf{z}}\omega) + \iota_{\mathbf{z}}\,\mathsf{d}\omega = \mathsf{d}(\omega(\mathbf{z}))$$



Johannes Heißler Hamiltonian Systems April 29, 2021 15 / 23

Poisson bracket

One also defines the **Poisson bracket** of g, $f \in \mathcal{F}(M)$:

$$\{g,f\} \coloneqq \omega(X_g,X_f) = \mathrm{d} f(X_g) = \partial_{X_g} f = -\Omega(\mathrm{d} g,\mathrm{d} f) = -\Omega^{\mu\nu} \cdot \partial_{\mu} g \cdot \partial_{\nu} f$$

Johannes Heißler Hamiltonian Systems April 29, 2021 16/23

Poisson bracket

One also defines the **Poisson bracket** of $g, f \in \mathcal{F}(M)$:

$$\{g,f\} \coloneqq \omega(X_g,X_f) = \mathrm{d} f(X_g) = \partial_{X_g} f = -\Omega(\mathrm{d} g,\mathrm{d} f) = -\Omega^{\mu\nu} \cdot \partial_{\mu} g \cdot \partial_{\nu} f$$

A quantity f is conserved iff it Poisson commutes with H, because on a trajectory γ :

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}(f\circ\gamma) = \partial_{X_H}f\circ\gamma = \{H, f\}\circ\gamma$$

Energy is conserved along trajectories, because $\{H, H\} = 0$.



Johannes Heißler Hamiltonian Systems April 29, 2021 16/23

Poisson bracket

One also defines the **Poisson bracket** of $g, f \in \mathcal{F}(M)$:

$$\{g,f\} \coloneqq \omega(X_g,X_f) = \mathrm{d} f(X_g) = \partial_{X_g} f = -\Omega(\mathrm{d} g,\mathrm{d} f) = -\Omega^{\mu\nu} \cdot \partial_{\mu} g \cdot \partial_{\nu} f$$

A quantity f is conserved iff it Poisson commutes with H, because on a trajectory γ :

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}(f\circ\gamma)=\partial_{X_H}f\circ\gamma=\{H,f\}\circ\gamma$$

Energy is conserved along trajectories, because $\{H, H\} = 0$.

Canonical commutation relations: $\{u^{\mu}, u^{\nu}\} = -\Omega^{\kappa\iota} \partial_{\kappa} u^{\mu} \partial_{\iota} u^{\nu} = -\Omega^{\mu\nu} = J^{\mu\nu}$

Hamiltonian equations:
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}u^{\mu}\circ\gamma=\{H,u^{\mu}\}\circ\gamma=-\Omega^{\kappa\mu}\frac{\partial H}{\partial u^{\kappa}}=X_{H}^{\mu}$$

Johannes Heißler Hamiltonian Systems April 29, 2021 16/23

Commutators and Poisson Brackets

$$X_{\{f,g\}} = \left[X_f, X_g\right]$$

The Poisson bracket generalizes to the commutator (divided by $i\hbar$) in quantum mechanics.

Johannes Heißler Hamiltonian Systems April 29, 2021

Symplectomorphisms

$$\left[(M, \omega) \underset{\text{Symp}}{\rightarrow} (M', \omega') \right] = \left\{ \psi : M \underset{\text{sM}}{\rightarrow} M' \middle| \psi^*(\omega') = \omega' \circ T \psi = \omega \right\}$$

$$\text{Symp}(M, \omega) := \text{Aut}_{\text{Symp}}(M, \omega) = \left\{ \psi : M \longrightarrow M \middle| \omega_{\mu\nu} = \omega'_{\mu\nu} \right\}$$

Johannes Heißler Hamiltonian Systems April 29, 2021 18/23

Changes of coordinates

Canonical transformations are symplectomorphisms

The canonical transformations are changes of coordinates $\begin{pmatrix} q \\ p \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} Q \\ P \end{pmatrix}$ that leave the form of Hamilton's equations the same, i.e. such that the new coordinates are also canonical, i.e. symplectomorphisms:

$$-\{u^{\mu},u^{\nu}\}=\Omega^{\mu\nu}\stackrel{!}{=}\tilde{\Omega}^{\mu\nu}=-\{\tilde{u}^{\mu},\tilde{u}^{\nu}\}=\frac{\partial\tilde{u}^{\mu}}{\partial u^{\nu}}\frac{\partial\tilde{u}^{\nu}}{\partial u^{\iota}}\Omega^{\iota\kappa}$$

New Hamiltonian vector field: $X_{\psi^*H} = \psi^* X_H$:

$$\omega(X_{\psi^*H}) = d(\psi^*H) = \psi^*dH = \psi^*\omega(X_H) = \omega(\psi^*X_H)$$

4□▶
4□▶
4□▶
4□▶
4□▶
4□▶
4□▶
4□▶
4□▶
4□▶

Johannes Heißler Hamiltonian Systems April 29, 2021 19/23

Symplectomorphisms and the flow

Fact

If for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the flow function Φ_H^t is well-defined, it is a symplectomorphism onto its image.

It is a diffeomorphism with smooth inverse Φ_H^{-t} , which is defined on im Φ_H^t . That it is symplectic is the fact that X_H is symplectic.

(🗆 ト (🗇 ト (본) (본) - 본 - 씨익()

20/23

Johannes Heißler Hamiltonian Systems April 29, 2021

Brief overview of Classical Mechanics

Quantification of the second of the secon

3 Electromagnetism and twisted contangent bundles

4□▶ 4団▶ 4 豆 ▶ 4 豆 ▶ 豆 めのの

Electromagnetism

Consider the vector potential of the electromagnetic force (ϕ, \vec{A}) generating the Lorentz force

$$\vec{F}(r,\vec{v},t) = q \cdot (\vec{E} + \vec{v} \times \vec{B}) = q \cdot \left(-\vec{\nabla}\phi - \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t} + \vec{v} \times \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A} \right).$$

This can be described by the Lagrangian

$$L(r,\vec{v}) = \frac{m}{2}|\vec{v}|^2 - q \cdot (\phi(r) - \vec{v} \cdot \vec{A}(r)) = \frac{1}{2}m(\vec{v},\vec{v}) - q\phi + \tilde{A}\vec{v}$$

giving the canonically conjugated momentum

$$\ddot{p} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \vec{v}} = m(\vec{v}) + q\vec{A} \Leftrightarrow \vec{v} = m^{-1}(\vec{p} - q\vec{A})$$

and the Hamiltonian $(\vec{p}\vec{v} - L)$

$$H = \frac{1}{2}m(\vec{v}, \vec{v}) + q\phi = \frac{1}{2}m^{-1}(\vec{p} - q\vec{A}, \vec{p} - q\vec{A}) + q\phi$$

Johannes Heißler April 29, 2021 22/23

Twisted contangent bundle

A **twisted cotangent bundle** is T^*N as a symplectic manifold, but the symplectic form differs from $d\lambda$:

$$\omega = d\lambda + \sigma$$
.

This gives rise to a kind of "Lorentz force" $Y:TN \longrightarrow TN$,

$$g(\vec{Y}(\vec{v})) = \sigma(\vec{v})$$

This is an alternative approach to the magnetism problem from the last slide.

Johannes Heißler Hamiltonian Systems April 29, 2021 23 / 23