WHITEHEAD PRODUCT

YANNIS BÄHNI

Abstract. Aim of this paper is to give a short overview of the definition and the basic properties of the non-generalized *Whitehead product*.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Definition of the Whitehead Product	2
3	The Whitehead Product and the Conjugation Action	4
4	Grading	4
Re	e <mark>ferences</mark>	6

1. Introduction

In the category of *compactly generated spaces*, suppose G is a H-group, i.e. a space satisfying the group axioms up to homotopy, then [X,G] is a group for any space X. This group need not be abelian. Thus a natural question is, if [X,G] is *nilpotent*. As the notion of nilpotence is based on the behaviour of *commutators*, it is natural to consider certain related products: First of all the *commutator product* or *Samelson product* defined as follows: If $[\alpha] \in [X,G]$ and $[\beta] \in [Y,G]$, define $\gamma: X \times Y \to G$ by

$$\gamma(x, y) := \alpha(x)\beta(y) (\alpha(x))^{-1} (\beta(y))^{-1}.$$

Then $\gamma|_{X\vee Y}$ is nullhomotopic and thus yields a map $\gamma:X\wedge Y\to G$, whose homotopy class is defined to be the product of $[\alpha]$ and $[\beta]$. When $G=\Omega X$, then $\pi_n(G)\cong\pi_{n+1}(X)$ and the Samelson product

$$\pi_n(G) \otimes \pi_m(G) \to \pi_{n+m}(G)$$

translates to a pairing

$$\pi_{n+1}(X) \otimes \pi_{m+1}(X) \to \pi_{n+m+1}(X),$$

the Whitehead product (see [Whi78, pp. 456–457]).

(Yannis Bähni) University of Zurich, Rämistrasse 71, 8006 Zurich *E-mail address*: yannis.baehni@uzh.ch.

2. Definition of the Whitehead Product

Notice, that for any $(X, x_0), (Y, y_0) \in \mathsf{Top}_*$, their coproduct is given by

$$X \coprod Y = (X \times \{y_0\}) \cup (\{x_0\} \times Y) \subseteq X \times Y,$$

with basepoint (x_0, y_0) .

Lemma 2.1. Let $n, m \in \omega$, $n, m \ge 1$. The space $\mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{S}^m$ can be obtained from $\mathbb{S}^n \vee \mathbb{S}^m$ by attaching an n + m-cell.

Proof. Observe, that $\mathbb{D}^{n+m} \cong \mathbb{D}^n \times \mathbb{D}^m$ and hence

$$\mathbb{S}^{n+m-1} = \partial \mathbb{D}^{n+m} \cong (\partial \mathbb{D}^n \times \mathbb{D}^m) \cup (\mathbb{D}^n \times \partial \mathbb{D}^m) = (\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{D}^m) \cup (\mathbb{D}^n \times \mathbb{S}^{m-1}).$$

Let

$$f_1: \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{D}^m \to (\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{D}^m)/(\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{m-1}) \cong * \times \mathbb{S}^m$$

and

$$f_2: \mathbb{D}^n \times \mathbb{S}^{m-1} \to (\mathbb{D}^n \times \mathbb{S}^{m-1})/(\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{m-1}) \cong \mathbb{S}^n \times *$$

be the quotient maps. An application of the gluing lemma thus yields a map

$$f: \mathbb{S}^{n+m-1} \to \mathbb{S}^n \vee \mathbb{S}^m.$$

Moreover, define

$$q: \mathbb{D}^n \times \mathbb{D}^m \to \mathbb{D}^n/\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{D}^m/\mathbb{S}^{m-1} \cong \mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{S}^m$$


to be the product of quotient maps. Thus we get a commutative diagram

$$\mathbb{S}^{n+m-1} \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{S}^n \vee \mathbb{S}^m$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$\mathbb{D}^{n+m} \xrightarrow{q} \mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{S}^m$$

Suppose (X, g, h) is another cocone in Top for the pushout diagram:




By [Mun00, p. 186], q is a quotient map. Moreover, for $(x, y) \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{m-1}$, we have that

$$g(x, y) = (h \circ f)(x, y) = h(*, *).$$

Thus g passes to the quotient by [Lee11, p. 72] to yield a unique map

$$\tilde{g}: \mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{S}^m \to X$$
,

such that $g = \tilde{g} \circ q$. Finally, it is easy to check that



commutes.

For $n, m \in \omega$, $n, m \ge 1$, consider the map f from lemma 2.1. Let $(X, p) \in \mathsf{Top}_*$. If $[\alpha] \in \pi_n(X, p)$ and $[\beta] \in \pi_m(X, p)$, we get two pointed maps

$$\alpha: \mathbb{S}^n \to X$$
 and $\beta: \mathbb{S}^m \to X$.

Forming their wedge $\alpha \vee \beta : \mathbb{S}^n \vee \mathbb{S}^m \to X$, defined by

$$(\alpha \vee \beta)(x, y) := \begin{cases} \alpha(x) & y = *, \\ \beta(y) & x = *, \end{cases}$$

and precomposing with f, yields a pointed map

$$(\alpha \vee \beta) \circ f : \mathbb{S}^{n+m-1} \to X.$$

Explicitely, if we consider

$$\alpha: (\mathbb{D}^n, \mathbb{S}^{n-1}) \to (X, p)$$
 and $\beta: (\mathbb{D}^m, \mathbb{S}^{m-1}) \to (X, p),$

we get that

$$((\alpha \vee \beta) \circ f)(x, y) = \begin{cases} \alpha(x) & x \in \mathbb{D}^n, y \in \mathbb{S}^{m-1}, \\ \beta(y) & x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}, y \in \mathbb{D}^m. \end{cases}$$

Hence if $F: \alpha \simeq_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \alpha'$ and $F': \beta \simeq_{\mathbb{S}^{m-1}} \beta'$, we get that

$$H: ((\alpha \vee \beta) \circ f) \simeq_* ((\alpha' \vee \beta') \circ f),$$

where $H: \mathbb{S}^{n+m-1} \times I \to X$ is defined by

$$H(x, y, t) := \begin{cases} F(x, t) & x \in \mathbb{D}^n, y \in \mathbb{S}^{m-1}, \\ F'(y, t) & x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}, y \in \mathbb{D}^m. \end{cases}$$

Thus we get a well defined map [-,-]: $\pi_n(X) \times \pi_m(X) \to \pi_{n+m-1}(X)$, defined by

$$[\alpha, \beta] := [(\alpha \vee \beta) \circ f].$$

Definition 2.1 (Whitehead Product). *Let* $n, m \in \omega, n, m \geq 1$, and $(X, p) \in \mathsf{Top}_*$. The product

$$[-,-]:\pi_n(X,p)\times\pi_m(X,p)\to\pi_{n+m-1}(X,p)$$

defined by

$$[\alpha, \beta] := [(\alpha \lor \beta) \circ f],$$

is called the **Whitehead product** and [-,-] is called the **Whitehead bracket**.

3. The Whitehead Product and the Conjugation Action

In this section, we want to have a closer look at [-,-]: $\pi_1(X) \times \pi_n(X) \to \pi_n(X)$. If n=1, we immediately deduce from figure 1a that

$$[\alpha, \beta] = [\alpha][\beta][\alpha]^{-1}[\beta]^{-1}.$$

Thus $[\alpha, \beta]$ coincides with the notation of a commutator in $\pi_1(X)$. Let n > 1. An *orientation of* $(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ is simply a choice of a generator of $H_n(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathbb{S}^{n-1}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ (follows from the long exact sequence axiom).

Lemma 3.1. Let $n \in \omega$, n > 1, $[\alpha] \in \pi_n(X)$ and $h : (\mathbb{D}^n, \mathbb{S}^{n-1}) \to (\mathbb{D}^n, \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ an orientation reversing homeomorphism, i.e. h is a homeomorphism and $H_n(h)\langle e \rangle = -\langle e \rangle$ for a generator $\langle e \rangle$ of $H_n(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$. Then

$$[\alpha \circ h] = -[\alpha].$$

Proof. Let $\rho: \pi_n(Y, A) \to H_n(Y, A)$ denote the *Hurewicz homomorphism* defined by

$$\rho[f] := H_n(f) \langle e \rangle,$$

for a generator $\langle e \rangle$ of $H_n(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ (see [Whi78, p. 166]). Using that

$$\rho: \pi_n(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathbb{S}^{n-1}) \to H_n(\mathbb{D}^n, \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$$

is an isomorphism for n > 1 (see [Whi78, p. 168]), we compute

$$[\alpha \circ h] = \pi_n(\alpha)[h]$$

$$= \pi_n(\alpha)\rho^{-1}\rho[h]$$

$$= \pi_n(\alpha)\rho^{-1}(H_n(h)\langle e \rangle)$$

$$= -\pi_n(\alpha)\rho^{-1}\langle e \rangle$$

$$= -\pi_n(\alpha)[\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{D}^n}]$$

$$= -[\alpha].$$

Using lemma 3.1, it follows from figure 1b, that

$$[\alpha, \beta] = [\alpha \cdot \beta] - [\beta],$$

where $\alpha \cdot \beta$ denotes the *conjugation action*, i.e. the action of $\pi_1(X)$ on $\pi_n(X)$.




FIGURE 1. Whitehead bracket and the conjugation action.

4. Grading

Let $(X, p) \in \mathsf{Top}_*$. For $n \in \omega$ let $L^n := \pi_{n+1}(X, p)$ and define

$$L:=\bigoplus_{n\in\omega}L^n.$$

Moreover, define $[-,-]: L \times L \to L$ by

$$\left[\sum_{i} \alpha_{i}, \sum_{j} \beta_{j}\right] := \sum_{i,j} [\alpha_{i}, \beta_{j}].$$

Then clearly $L^n L^m \subseteq L^{n+m}$ holds. It also turns out, that we have a Lie algebra-like structure on L, i.e. the bracket is bilinear, alternating and there is a Jacobi identity (for more details see [Whi78, pp. 474–478]).

Proposition 4.1. Let $n, m \in \omega$, $n \geq 1$, $[\alpha_1], [\alpha_2] \in \pi_{n+1}(X)$ and $[\beta] \in \pi_{m+1}(X)$. Then

$$[\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \beta] = [\alpha_1, \beta] + [\alpha_2, \beta]$$
 and $[\beta, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2] = [\beta, \alpha_1] + [\beta, \alpha_2].$

Proposition 4.2. Let $n, m \in \omega$, $[\alpha] \in \pi_{n+1}(X)$ and $[\beta] \in \pi_{m+1}(X)$. Then

$$[\beta, \alpha] = (-1)^{(n+1)(m+1)} [\alpha, \beta].$$

Proof. Consider the *permutation map* $\sigma: \mathbb{S}^{m+n+1} \to \mathbb{S}^{n+m+1}$ defined by

$$(y_1, \ldots, y_{m+1}, x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1}) \mapsto (x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1}, y_1, \ldots, y_{m+1}).$$

Then clearly $\deg \sigma = (-1)^{(n+1)(m+1)}$, since σ is the composition of permutations and hence orthogonal transformations. Using lemma 3.1, we compute

$$[\beta, \alpha] = [(\beta \vee \alpha) \circ f] = [(\alpha \vee \beta) \circ f \circ \sigma] = (-1)^{(n+1)(m+1)} [\alpha, \beta].$$

Proposition 4.3. Let $n, m, r \in \omega$, $n, m, r \geq 1$, $[\alpha] \in \pi_{n+1}(X)$, $[\beta] \in \pi_{m+1}(X)$ and $[\gamma] \in \pi_{r+1}(X)$. Then

$$(-1)^{r(n+1)}[\alpha, [\beta, \gamma]] + (-1)^{n(m+1)}[\beta, [\gamma, \alpha]] + (-1)^{m(r+1)}[\gamma, [\alpha, \beta]] = 0$$

References

- [Lee11] John M. Lee. *Introduction to Topological Manifolds*. Second Edition. Springer Science+Business Media, 2011.
- [Mun00] James R. Munkres. *Topology*. Second edition. Prentice Hall, 2000.
- [Whi78] George W. Whitehead. *Elements of Homotopy Theory*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, 1978.