LIE ALGEBRA COHOMOLOGY

YANNIS BÄHNI

Abstract. Aim of this talk is to give a short overview of the *cohomology of Lie algebras* with coefficients in modules. We follow the original construction of Chevalley-Eilenberg via complexes. We then state two results concerning *semisimple* Lie algebras, known as the *first and second Whitehead lemma*, and calculate an example.

Contents

| Introduction | l |
|---|---|
| The Chevalley-Eilenberg Complex | 1 |
| Left g-Modules and the Cohomology of Lie Algebras | 3 |
| The Whitehead Lemmas | 5 |
| References | б |

Introduction

The archetypal example of a *cohomology theory* arises in differential topology: The *de Rham cohomology*. Given a smooth manifold M, we define $\Omega^n(M) := \Gamma(\Lambda^n T^{\vee} M)$ for each $n \in \omega$, the space of *smooth differential n-forms on M*. Moreover, is a sequence of mappings $(d^n : \Omega^n(M) \to \Omega^{n+1}(M))_{n \in \omega}$, called *exterior differentiation operators*, which roughly speaking generalize the notion of a differential of a function. They do satisfy the relation $d^n \circ d^{n-1} = 0$ and thus we can define the *n-th de Rham cohomology group* to be the quotient space

$$H_{\mathrm{dR}}^n(M) := \ker d^n / \operatorname{im} d^{n-1}$$
.

The Chevalley-Eilenberg Complex

The definition of the n-th de Rham cohomology group $H^n_{dR}(M)$ can actually be thought of a two-stage process: First we go from Diff to an intermediate category and then we apply a *homology functor*, which is a purely algebraic construct, to go from this intermediate

(Yannis Bähni) University of Zurich, Rämistrasse 71, 8006 Zurich *E-mail address*: yannis.baehni@uzh.ch.

category to \mathbb{R} Vect. Aim of this section is to give the definition of this intermediate category and then to define such a functor explicitly for the case of Lie algebras.

Definition 1.1 (Chain Complex). Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category. A \mathbb{Z} -graded chain complex in \mathcal{A} is defined to be a tuple $((C_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}, (\partial_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}})$, consisting of a sequence $(C_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of objects in \mathcal{A} and a sequence $(\partial_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of morphisms in \mathcal{A} , such that

$$\partial_n \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(C_n, C_{n-1})$$
 and $\partial_n \circ \partial_{n+1} = 0$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Dually, a \mathbb{Z} -graded cochain complex in \mathbb{A} is a \mathbb{Z} -graded chain complex in \mathbb{A}^{op} .

Remark 1.1. For notational simplicity, we will write $(C_{\bullet}, \partial_{\bullet})$ for a chain complex in A.

Remark 1.2. For each abelian category \mathcal{A} , there is an abelian category $Ch(\mathcal{A})$ of chain complexes in \mathcal{A} (see [Wei94, p. 7]).

Definition 1.2 (Non-Negative Chain Complex). Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category. A chain complex $(C_{\bullet}, \partial_{\bullet}) \in Ch(\mathcal{A})$ is said to be **non-negative**, iff $C_n = 0$ for all n < 0. We denote by $Ch_{>0}(\mathcal{A})$ the full subcategory of $Ch(\mathcal{A})$ of non-negative chain complexes.

Recall, that for $R \in CRing$ and $\mathfrak{g} \in {}_{R}LieAlg$, the *universal envelopping algebra U \mathfrak{g} of* \mathfrak{g} is defined to be the quotient of the *tensor algebra T* \mathfrak{g}

$$T\mathfrak{g} := \bigoplus_{n \in \omega} \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes n}$$

by the 2-sided ideal generated by the relations

$$\iota[x, y] = \iota(x)\iota(y) - \iota(y)\iota(x),$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$, where $\iota : \mathfrak{g} \hookrightarrow T\mathfrak{g}$ denotes inclusion. Moreover, U is a functor from RLieAlg to associative R-algebras. Hence we can define a $U\mathfrak{g}$ -action on $U\mathfrak{g} \otimes_R \Lambda^n\mathfrak{g}$ simply by

$$u(v \otimes x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_n) := uv \otimes x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_n$$

for all $n \in \omega$.

Definition 1.3 (Chevalley-Eilenberg Complex). Let $R \in \mathsf{CRing}$ and $\mathfrak{g} \in {}_R\mathsf{LieAlg}$ which is free as an R-module. Denote by $U\mathfrak{g}$ the universal envelopping algebra of \mathfrak{g} . Define a non-negative chain complex $(C_{\bullet}, \partial_{\bullet}) \in \mathsf{Ch}_{\geq 0}(U\mathfrak{g}\mathsf{Mod})$ by

$$C_n := U\mathfrak{g} \otimes_R \Lambda^n \mathfrak{g}$$

for all $n \in \omega$ and

$$\partial_n(u \otimes x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_n) := \begin{cases} ux_1 & n = 1, \\ \theta_1 + \theta_2 & n > 1, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\theta_1 := \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^{i+1} u x_i \otimes x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{x_i} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_n,$$

and

$$\theta_2 := \sum_{1 < i < j < n} (-1)^{i+j} u \otimes [x_i, x_j] \wedge x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{x_i} \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{x_j} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_n.$$

Remark 1.3. It is by no means obvious, that $\partial_n \circ \partial_{n+1} = 0$ holds for the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex 1.3. However, it is a tedious computation, and we will only demonstrate the case n = 1. In this case

$$(\partial_1 \circ \partial_2)(u \otimes x \wedge y) = \partial_1(ux \otimes y - uy \otimes x - u \otimes [x, y])$$

= $u(xy - yx) - u[x, y]$
= 0.

for all $u \in U\mathfrak{g}$ and $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Remark 1.4. The definition of the boundary map ∂_n in the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex 1.3 is not as arbitrary at it might seem at first sight. Given $\alpha \in \Omega^n(M)$ for a smooth manifold M, then we have that $d\alpha(X_1, \ldots, X_{n+1})$ is of the same form for any $X_1, \ldots, X_{n+1} \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. Actually, this formula can be used to give an invariant definition of the exterior derivative d in the de Rham theory (see [Lee13, pp. 370–372]).

Left g-Modules and the Cohomology of Lie Algebras

Definition 1.4 (Category of Left g-Modules). Let $R \in \text{CRing}$ and $\mathfrak{g} \in {}_R\text{LieAlg.}$ The category of left g-modules, written ${}_{\mathfrak{g}}\text{Mod}$, is defined to be the category with objects left g-modules, i.e. modules $M \in {}_R\text{Mod}$ equipped with an R-bilinear product $\mathfrak{g} \times M \to M$, $(x,m) \mapsto xm$, such that

$$[x, y]m = x(ym) - y(xm)$$

holds for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $m \in M$, and **left \mathfrak{g}-module homomorphisms** as morphisms, i.e. morphisms $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{R \operatorname{\mathsf{Mod}}}(M,N)$ such that

$$f(xm) = xf(m)$$

holds for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $m \in M$.

Proposition 1.1. Let $R \in CRing$ and $\mathfrak{g} \in {}_{R}LieAlg$. Then ${}_{\mathfrak{g}}Mod$ is an abelian category.

We follow [KS06, p. 178].

Proposition 1.2. Let A be an abelian category and $(C_{\bullet}, \partial_{\bullet}) \in Ch(A)$. Then for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exists a unique monic

im
$$\partial_{n+1} \to \ker \partial_n$$
,

where im $\partial_{n+1} := \ker(\operatorname{coker} \partial_{n+1})$.

Exercise 1.1. Prove proposition 1.2. *Hint:* Use that im $\partial_{n+1} \to C_n$ is monic by [Lan78, p. 199].

Definition 1.5 (Homology of a Chain Complex). Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category and $(C_{\bullet}, \partial_{\bullet}) \in \operatorname{Ch}(\mathcal{A})$. Moreover, let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and im $\partial_{n+1} \to \ker \partial_n$ be the unique morphism assured by lemma 1.2. Then we define the n-th homology object, written $H_n(C_{\bullet}, \partial_{\bullet})$, by

$$H_n(C_{\bullet}, \partial_{\bullet}) := \operatorname{coker}(\operatorname{im} \partial_{n+1} \to \ker \partial_n) \in \operatorname{ob}(\mathcal{A}).$$

Observe, that for each $n \in \omega$, we have that $C_n \in {}_{\mathfrak{g}} \mathsf{Mod}$ via $\iota : \mathfrak{g} \hookrightarrow U\mathfrak{g}$.

Definition 1.6 (Cohomology of Lie Algebras). Let $R \in \text{CRing}$ and $\mathfrak{g} \in R$ LieAlg which is free as an R-module. Moreover, let $M \in {}_{\mathfrak{g}}\text{Mod}$ and $(C_{\bullet}, \partial_{\bullet})$ denote the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex 1.3. For $n \in \omega$, define the n-th cohomology group of \mathfrak{g} with coefficients in M, written $H^n(\mathfrak{g}, M)$, to be the n-th homology object of the cochain complex

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{a}\mathsf{Mod}}\left((C_{\bullet},\partial_{\bullet}),M\right).$$

Remark 1.5. Actually, we have that

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{{}_{\mathfrak{g}}\operatorname{\mathsf{Mod}}}(C_n,M) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{{}_{\mathcal{R}}\operatorname{\mathsf{Mod}}}(\Lambda^n\mathfrak{g},M),$$

in \mathbb{Z} Mod. Indeed, if $\varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{aMod}}(C_n, M)$, define $\overline{\varphi} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{aMod}}(\Lambda^n \mathfrak{g}, M)$ by

$$\overline{\varphi}(x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_n) := \varphi(1 \otimes x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_n),$$

and conversly, if $\varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{R \operatorname{Mod}}(\Lambda^n \mathfrak{g}, M)$, define $\overline{\varphi} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{R \operatorname{Mod}}(C_n, M)$ by

$$\overline{\varphi}(u \otimes x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_n) := u\varphi(x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_n).$$

This is possible, since every left \mathfrak{g} -module is naturally a left $U\mathfrak{g}$ -module (see [Wei94, pp. 224–225]). Hence we get an induced morphism

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}\mathsf{Mod}}(C_{n-1},M) \xrightarrow{d^n} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}\mathsf{Mod}}(C_n,M)$$

$$\downarrow \overline{} \qquad \qquad \downarrow \overline{\phantom{Mod$$

Explicitely

$$d^{n} f(x_{1},...,x_{n}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{i+1} x_{i} f(x_{1},...,\hat{x_{i}},...,x_{n})$$

$$+ \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (-1)^{i+j} f([x_{i},x_{j}],x_{1},...,\hat{x_{i}},...,\hat{x_{j}},...,x_{n}),$$

for all $n \in \omega$.

Remark 1.6. There is a more general approach to the definition of the cohomology of Lie algebras via the notion of *right derived functors* which does not use the intermediate step of the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex.

Example 1.1 $(H^3(\mathfrak{sl}_2, k))$. Let k be a field with characteristic not equal to two and consider the *special linear Lie algebra over* k, i.e. $A \in M_2(k)$ such that tr A = 0. This is a three dimensional Lie algebra with ordered basis

$$e_1 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad e_2 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad e_3 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence the crucial portion of the Eilenberg-Chevalley cochain complex is given by

...
$$\longrightarrow$$
 $\operatorname{Hom}_k(\Lambda^2\mathfrak{sl}_2,k) \stackrel{d}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{Hom}_k(\Lambda^3\mathfrak{sl}_2,k) \longrightarrow 0.$

We compute

$$df(e_1, e_2, e_3) = e_1 f(e_2, e_3) - e_2 f(e_1, e_3) + e_3 f(e_1, e_2)$$

$$- f([e_1, e_2], e_3) + f([e_1, e_3], e_2) - f([e_2, e_3], e_1)$$

$$= -2f(e_2, e_3) - 2f(e_3, e_2) - f(e_1, e_1)$$

$$= -2f(e_2, e_3) + 2f(e_2, e_3)$$

$$= 0.$$

since k is interpreted as a trivial \mathfrak{sl}_2 -module and by the alternating k-multilinear properties of f. Hence

$$H^3(\mathfrak{sl}_2, k) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_k(\Lambda^3 \mathfrak{sl}_2, k) \cong k,$$

since dim $\operatorname{Hom}_k(\Lambda^3\mathfrak{sl}_2, k) = 1$.

The Whitehead Lemmas

Theorem 1.2 (Whitehead's First Lemma). Let k be a field of characteristic zero and $\mathfrak{g} \in {}_k \text{LieAlg } semisimple$. Then for any finite-dimensional $M \in {}_{\mathfrak{g}} \text{Mod } we$ have that

$$H^1(\mathfrak{g}, M) = 0.$$

Theorem 1.3 (Whitehead's Second Lemma). Let k be a field of characteristic zero and $g \in {}_k\text{LieAlg }$ semisimple. Then for any finite-dimensional $M \in {}_g\text{Mod }$ we have that

$$H^2(\mathfrak{g}, M) = 0.$$

Remark 1.7. There cannot be a third Whitehead lemma, since

$$H^3(\mathfrak{sl}_2, k) \cong k$$
,

by exercise 1.1.

References

- [KS06] Masaki Kashiwara and Pierre Schapira. *Categories and Sheaves*. Vol. 332. A Series of Comprehensive Studies in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2006.
- [Lan78] Saunders Mac Lane. *Categories for the Working Mathematician*. Second Edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 5. Springer Science+Business Media New York, 1978.
- [Lee13] John M. Lee. *Introduction to Smooth Manifolds*. Second Edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, 2013.
- [Wei94] Charles A. Weibel. *An introduction to homological algebra*. Vol. 38. Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 1994.