# **FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS II SUMMARY**

### YANNIS BÄHNI

**Abstract**. This is a rough summary of the course *Functional Analysis II* held at *ETH Zurich* by *Prof. Dr. Alessandro Carlotto* in spring 2018. The main focus of this summary is to give a neat preparation for the oral exam.

### **Contents**

Introduction	2
Sobolev Space Theory	3
The Spaces $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$	3
Elliptic Operators in Divergence Form	5
Sobolev Spaces on an Interval	7
Dirichlet and Neumann Boundary Problems on I	11
Sobolev Spaces on a Domain	12
Extension and Trace Operator	13
Sobolev Embeddings	14
p < n	15
p > n	15
p = n	16
Regularity Theory	16
Interior Regularity	17
Boundary Regularity	18
Eigenfunctions of $-\Delta$	18
Schauder Theory	19
Campanato-Estimates and Morrey-Spaces	19
Schauder Estimates	19
Existence Theorems	19
Maximum Principle	21
Weak Maximum Principle	21
Strong Maximum Principle	21
References	22

(Yannis Bähni) University of Zurich, Rämistrasse 71, 8006 Zurich *E-mail address*: yannis.baehni@uzh.ch.

#### Introduction

This serves as a summary of useful facts from *measure theory* which are used throughout the text.

**Theorem 1.1** (Transformation Formula). Let  $n \in \omega$ , n > 0,  $U, V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  open and  $\varphi : U \to V$  a  $C^1$ -diffeomorphism. A function  $f : V \to \mathbb{R}$  is in  $\mathcal{L}^1(V)$  if and only if  $(f \circ \varphi) |\det(D\varphi)|$  is in  $\mathcal{L}^1(U)$ . Then

$$\int_{V} f = \int_{U} (f \circ \varphi) \left| \det(D\varphi) \right|.$$

**Theorem 1.2.** Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be open and  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Then  $C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$  is dense in  $L^p(\Omega)$ .

**Proposition 1.1.** If  $|\Omega| < \infty$  and  $0 . Then <math>L^q(\Omega) \subseteq L^p(\Omega)$ .

**Proposition 1.2 (Jensen's Inequality).** *Let*  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  *bounded and*  $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  *convex. Then* 

$$\varphi\left(\frac{1}{|\Omega|}\int_{\Omega}f\right) \leq \frac{1}{|\Omega|}\int_{\Omega}\varphi\circ f$$

for any  $f \in L^1(\Omega)$ .

**Proposition 1.3 (Dual of**  $L^p(\Omega)$ **).** Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Then the mapping  $T: L^q(\Omega) \to (L^p(\Omega))^*$  defined by

$$T(f)(g) := \int_{\Omega} fg$$

is an isometric isomorphism.

**Proposition 1.4 (Integration by Parts).** Let (M, g) be a compact Riemannian manifold with boundary. Then

$$\int_{M} \langle \operatorname{grad} f, X \rangle_{g} dV_{g} = \int_{\partial M} f \langle X, N \rangle dV_{\tilde{g}} - \int_{M} (f \operatorname{div} X) dV_{g}$$

for  $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$  and  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ . Moreover, Green's identities hold:

$$\int_{M} u \Delta v \, dV_{g} = \int_{M} \langle \operatorname{grad} u, \operatorname{grad} v \rangle_{g} \, dV_{g} - \int_{\partial M} u N v \, dV_{\widetilde{g}}$$

and

$$\int_{M} (u\Delta v - v\Delta u)dV_{g} = \int_{\partial M} (vNu - uNv)dV_{\tilde{g}}$$

for  $u, v \in C^{\infty}(M)$ .

## **Sobolev Space Theory**

The Spaces  $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ . In what follows, let  $n \in \omega$ ,  $n \ge 1$ , and  $1 \le p \le \infty$ .

**Definition 1.1 (Distributional and Weak Derivative).** Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  open and  $u \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$ . For any multiindex  $\alpha$ , the **distributional derivative of order \alpha of u**, written  $D^{\alpha}u$ , is defined to be the mapping  $D^{\alpha}u: C_c^{\infty}(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$\varphi \mapsto (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{\Omega} u D^{\alpha} \varphi dx.$$

Moreover, a function  $D^{\alpha}u \in L^{p}(\Omega)$  is called weak derivative of order  $\alpha$  of u with exponent p, iff

$$\forall \varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} D^{\alpha} u \varphi dx = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{\Omega} u D^{\alpha} \varphi dx.$$

Theorem 1.3 (Fundamental Lemma of Variational Calculus). Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  open and  $f \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$ . If

$$\forall \varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} f \varphi dx = 0,$$

then f = 0 a.e.

**Remark 1.1.** Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  open. Then  $L^p(\Omega) \subseteq L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$ .

**Remark 1.2.** From the fundamental lemma of variational calculus 1.3 it follows that *weak derivatives, if they exist, are unique.* 

#### **Examples 1.1 (Weak Derivatives).**

- (a) Suppose u is classically differentiable. Then u is weakly differentiable using integration by parts 1.4.
- (b) Consider  $\Omega := (-1, 1)$  and u := |x|. Then  $u' = \chi_{[0,1)} \chi_{(-1,0)}$ .
- (c) Consider  $\Omega := \mathbb{R}$  and  $u := \chi_{(0,\infty)}$ . Then the weak derivative u' does not exist. Indeed, the *Dirac distribution* is not representable as one may see by considering the smooth family  $\varphi_{\varepsilon} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  for  $\varepsilon > 0$  defined by

$$\varphi_{\varepsilon}(x) := \begin{cases} e^{\varepsilon^2/(x^2 - \varepsilon^2)} & |x| < \varepsilon, \\ 0 & |x| \ge \varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

- (d) Let  $\Omega := (0, 1)$  and consider the *Cantor function*  $u : \Omega \to \Omega$ . Then u' = 0 classically a.e. but the distributional derivative of u does not vanish.
- (e) Let  $f \in L^p(\Omega)$ . Then the computation performed in the proof of lemma 1.3 shows, that the function  $u: I \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$u(x) := \int_{x_0}^{x} f(t)dt$$

for  $x_0 \in I$ , admits the weak derivative f.

**Definition 1.2 (Sobolev Space).** Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  open. For any  $k \in \omega$ , the **Sobolev space of index (k, p)**, written  $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ , is defined to be the space

$$W^{k,p}(\Omega) := \{ f \in L^p(\Omega) : D^{\alpha}u \in L^p(\Omega) \text{ exists for all } |\alpha| \le k \},$$

with norm

$$||-||_{W^{k,p}(\Omega)} := \sum_{|\alpha| < k} ||D^{\alpha} - ||_{L^p(\Omega)}.$$

Moreover, define

$$W_0^{k,p}(\Omega) := \overline{C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{\|-\|_{W^{k,p}(\Omega)}},$$

and  $H^k(\Omega) := W^{k,2}(\Omega)$  as well as  $H_0^k(\Omega) := W_0^{k,2}(\Omega)$ .

**Examples 1.2 (Sobolev Functions).** A main tool in constructing Sobolev functions for  $n \ge 2$  is using that the origin in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  has vanishing  $W^{1,p}$ -capacity.

- (a) Let  $\Omega := \mathbb{R}$ , A Lebesgue-measurable and  $u := \chi_A$ . Then  $u \notin W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , since by theorem 1.7 u must admit a continuous representant, which it obviously does not.
- (b) Let  $\Omega := B_1(0) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  for  $n \ge 2$ . Then  $u : \Omega \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  defined by  $u(x) := \log |x|$  belongs to  $L^p(\Omega)$  for any  $1 \le p < \infty$  and moreover,  $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  for any p < n.
- (c) Let  $\Omega := B_{1/e}(0) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  for  $n \ge 2$ . Then  $u : \Omega \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  defined by  $u(x) := \log \log \frac{1}{|x|}$  belongs to  $W^{1,n}(\Omega)$ .
- (d) Let  $\Omega := B_{1/2}(0) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ . For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  define  $u_{\alpha} : \Omega \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  by  $u_{\alpha}(x) := |\log|x||^{\alpha}$ . Then  $u_{\alpha} \in H^1(\Omega)$  for n = 1 if and only if  $\alpha = 0$ , for n = 2 if and only if  $\alpha \in (-\infty, 1/2)$  and for  $n \geq 3$  if and only if  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Remark 1.3.** Using proposition 1.1, we immediately get

$$W^{1,q}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow W^{1,p}(\Omega)$$

for all  $1 \le p \le q \le \infty$  whenever  $\Omega \subseteq \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Theorem 1.4.** Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  open. Then  $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$  is

- (a) a Banach space for all  $1 \le p \le \infty$ .
- (b) separable for all  $1 \le p < \infty$ .
- (c) reflexive for all 1 .

*Proof.* The proof basically boils down to using the correponding properties of the Lebesgue spaces  $L^p(\Omega)$ .

(a) This follows from the fact that  $L^p(\Omega)$  is a Banach space for all  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . Let  $(f_i)_{i \in \omega}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $W^{k,p}$ . By definition of the  $W^{k,p}$ -norm,  $(D^{\alpha}f_i)_{i \in \omega}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $L^p$ . Thus we get  $D^{\alpha}f_i \to f_{\alpha}$  in  $L^p$ , in particular,  $f_i \to f$  in  $L^p$ . Using Hölder's inequality we compute

$$\int_{\Omega} f_{\alpha} \varphi dx = \lim_{i \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} D^{\alpha} f_{i} \varphi dx = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \lim_{i \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} f_{i} D^{\alpha} \varphi dx = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{\Omega} f D^{\alpha} \varphi dx$$

for  $\varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$ .

- (b) For simplicity, we consider k = 1 only. Consider  $\iota : W^{1,p} \hookrightarrow (L^p)^{n+1}$  defined in the obvious way. Then  $\iota$  is an isometry and the statement follows.
- (c) Same argument as in part (b).

### Elliptic Operators in Divergence Form.

**Lemma 1.1 (Poincaré Inequality).** Let  $\Omega \subseteq \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Then for any  $u \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$  we have that

$$||u||_{L^p} \leq C ||\nabla u||_{L^p}.$$

*Proof.* Let n=1. Since  $\Omega$  is bounded, we get that  $\Omega\subseteq(a,b)$  and we may extend u on [a,b]=:I to be zero. Hence an application of Jensen's inequality 1.2 yields

$$|u(x)|^p = \left| \int_a^x u'(t)dt \right|^p \le (x-a) \int_a^x |u'(t)|^p dt \le (b-a)^{p-1} \|u'\|_{L^p(I)}^p.$$

Thus

$$\|u\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}^{p} = \|u\|_{L^{p}(I)}^{p} \le (b-a)^{p} \|u'\|_{L^{p}(I)}^{p} = (b-a)^{p} \|u'\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}^{p}$$

where the last equality follows due to the fact that u and thus u' is compactly supported in  $\Omega$ . If n > 1, we have  $\Omega \subseteq (a, b) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ . Hence for fixed  $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ , above computation yields

$$|u(x,y)|^p \le (b-a)^{p-1} \|\partial_x u(-,y)\|_{L^p(I)}^p$$

for any  $x \in I$ . Hence

$$||u||_{L^{p}(\Omega)}^{p} = ||u||_{L^{p}((a,b)\times\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}$$

$$\leq (b-a)^{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} ||\partial_{x}u(-,y)||_{L^{p}(I)}^{p} dy$$

$$\leq (b-a)^{p} ||\nabla u||_{L^{p}((a,b)\times\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}^{p}$$

$$= (b-a)^{p} ||\nabla u||_{L^{p}(\Omega)}^{p}.$$

**Theorem 1.5 (Riesz Representation Theorem).** *Let* H *be a real Hilbert space. Then the mapping*  $J: H \to H^*$  *defined by*  $J(x) := \langle x, - \rangle$  *is an isometric isomorphism.* 

**Theorem 1.6.** Let  $\Omega \subseteq \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  and consider the elliptic operator

$$A_0 := -\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \left( a^{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \right),$$

for  $a^{ij} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  symmetric. Then: Given  $f \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ , the homogenous Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} A_0 u = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$
 (1)

admits a unique weak solution  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ .

*Proof.* The proof is divided into two steps.

Step 1: Derivation of Weak Formulation. Suppose  $u \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$  is a solution of (1). Let  $\varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$ . Then integration by parts (see [Lee13, p. 436]) yields

$$-\int_{\Omega} A_0 u \varphi = -\sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(X_i) \varphi = \int_{\Omega} a^{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^j} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x^i} = \int_{\Omega} a^{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x^j},$$

where  $X_j := \left(a^{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}\right)_i$ . Thus we get the weak formulation:

$$\forall \varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega): \int_{\Omega} a^{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x^j} = \int_{\Omega} f \varphi. \tag{2}$$

Step 2: Existence and Uniqueness of Weak Solutions. Since  $A_0$  is uniformly elliptic, there exists  $\lambda > 0$  such that

$$\xi^{t}(a^{ij}(x))\xi = a^{ij}(x)\xi_{i}\xi_{j} \ge \lambda |\xi|^{2}$$

holds for any  $x \in \Omega$  and  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Moreover, since  $a^{ij} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ , we get that  $A_0$  is uniformly bounded, i.e. there exists  $\Lambda > 0$  such that

$$a^{ij}(x)\xi_i\eta_i \leq \Lambda|\xi||\eta|$$

for

$$\Lambda = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \|a^{ij}\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}$$

holds for almost all  $x \in \Omega$  and  $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Now define a bilinear form

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_a : H_0^1(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R}$$

by

$$\langle u, v \rangle_a := \int_{\Omega} a^{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x^j} \tag{3}$$

Then it is easy to see, that  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_a$  is symmetric. Also,  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_a$  is positive definite since

$$\langle u, u \rangle_a = \int_{\Omega} a^{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^j} \ge \lambda \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \ge C \lambda \int_{\Omega} |u|^2$$

using ellipticity and Poincaré's inequality. Moreover by Poincaré's inequality we have that

$$\lambda \|u\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^2 \le \|u\|_a^2 \le \Lambda \|u\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^2$$

for the induced norm  $\|\cdot\|_a$ . Hence the induced norm is equivalent to the standard norm on  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  and thus  $(H_0^1(\Omega), \|\cdot\|_a)$  is a Hilbert space. Thus an application of Riesz representation theorem 1.5 yields the existence of a unique  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ , such that

$$\langle u, \varphi \rangle_a = l(\varphi) := \int_{\Omega} f \varphi$$

holds for all  $\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ , since  $l \in (H_0^1(\Omega))^*$  by

$$|l(\varphi)| \le ||f||_{L^2} ||\varphi||_{L^2} \le ||f||_{L^2} ||\varphi||_{H^1}.$$

# **Examples 1.3 (Elliptic Operators in Divergence Form).**

(a) Set  $a^{ij}(x) := \delta^{ij}$  for all  $x \in \Omega$ . Then  $A_0 = -\Delta$ . Moroever  $A_0$  is uniformly elliptic, since  $\delta^{ij}\xi_i\xi_j = |\xi|^2$  for all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . (b) For  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  consider

$$(a^{ij}(x,y)) := \begin{pmatrix} 2 & xy/|xy| \\ xy/|xy| & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $A_0$  is elliptic. Indeed,  $a^{ij}$  admits the eigenvalues 1 and 3, thus by the Min-Max theorem we get that

$$1 \le R_{A(x,y)}(z) \le 3$$

for all  $(x, y) \in \Omega$  and where  $R_A(z)$  denotes the Rayleigh-Ritz quotient defined by

$$R_A(z) := \frac{\langle Az, z \rangle}{\|z\|^2}$$

for  $z \in \mathbb{C}^2$ .

- (c) A non-example would be  $a^{ij}(x) := 0$ .
- (d) Another non-example is given by

$$(a^{ij}(x,y)) := \begin{pmatrix} x^2 + y^2 & x + y \\ x + y & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

for any  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  containing the origin. Indeed, we get  $\det(a^{ij}(0,0)) = 0$ .

**Sobolev Spaces on an Interval.** In what follows, let  $-\infty \le a < b \le \infty$  and I := (a, b).

**Lemma 1.2 (Du Bois-Reymond).** Let  $f \in L^1_{loc}(I)$  such that

$$\forall \varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(I) : \int_I f \varphi' dx = 0.$$

Then f is almost everywhere constant.

*Proof.* Let  $v:=w-c_0\psi$  for  $w,\psi\in C_c^\infty(I)$  such that  $\int_I\psi=1$  and  $\int_Iv=0$ . This implies  $c_0=\int_Iw$ . By the fundamental theorem of calculus, the function  $\varphi:I\to\mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$\varphi(x) := \int_{I} v(t)dt$$

belongs to  $C_c^{\infty}(I)$  with  $\varphi' = v$ . Thus we compute

$$0 = \int_{I} f \varphi' = \int_{I} f v = \int_{I} f w - c_{0} \int_{I} f \psi = \int_{I} f w - \int_{I} w \int_{I} f \psi = \int_{I} (f - c) w,$$

where  $c := \int_I f \psi$ . Since w was arbitrary, we conclude by the fundamental lemma of variational calculus 1.3.

**Lemma 1.3.** Let  $f \in L^1_{loc}(I)$  and  $x_0 \in I$ . Then  $u : I \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$u(x) := \int_{x_0}^x f(t)dt$$

is absolutely continuous and belongs to  $W_{loc}^{1,1}(I)$  with u'=f a.e.

*Proof.* Absolute continuity follows from real analysis. Let  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(I)$ . Then Fubini yields

$$\int_{I} u\varphi' = \int_{a}^{x_{0}} \int_{x_{0}}^{x} f(t)\varphi'(x)dtdx + \int_{x_{0}}^{b} \int_{x_{0}}^{x} f(t)\varphi'(x)dtdx$$

$$= -\int_{a}^{x_{0}} \int_{x}^{x_{0}} f(t)\varphi'(x)dtdx + \int_{x_{0}}^{b} \int_{x_{0}}^{x} f(t)\varphi'(x)dtdx$$

$$= -\int_{a}^{x_{0}} \int_{a}^{t} f(t)\varphi'(x)dxdt + \int_{x_{0}}^{b} \int_{t}^{b} f(t)\varphi'(x)dxdt$$

$$= -\int_{a}^{x_{0}} f(t)\varphi(t)dt - \int_{x_{0}}^{b} f(t)\varphi(t)dt$$

$$= -\int_{I} f\varphi.$$

**Theorem 1.7.** Let  $u \in W^{1,p}(I)$ . Then there exists an absolutely continuous representant  $\tilde{u}$  of u on  $\bar{I}$ , such that

$$\widetilde{u}(x) = \widetilde{u}(x_0) + \int_{x_0}^x u'(t)dt$$

holds for all  $x, x_0 \in I$ . In particular,  $\tilde{u}$  is classically differentiable a.e. and  $\tilde{u}' = u'$ .

*Proof.* By lemma 1.3, the function  $v(x) := \int_{x_0}^x u'(t) dt$  is in  $W^{1,1}_{loc}(I)$  with weak derivative u'. Moreover, for any  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(I)$  we compute

$$\int_{I} (u - v)\varphi' = \int_{I} u\varphi' - \int_{I} v\varphi' = -\int_{I} u'\varphi + \int_{I} u'\varphi = 0.$$

Thus lemma 1.2 yields u = c + v, for some  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ . Set

$$\widetilde{u}(x) := c + \int_{x_0}^x u'(t)dt.$$

Then  $\tilde{u}(x_0) = c$  and thus the statement follows.

**Theorem 1.8 (Characterization of**  $W^{1,p}(I)$ **).** Let  $1 and <math>u \in L^p(I)$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (a)  $u \in W^{1,p}(I)$ .
- (b) There exists  $C \geq 0$  such that

$$\forall \varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(I) : \left| \int_I u \varphi' \right| \le C \|\varphi\|_{L^q}.$$

(c) There exists  $C \ge 0$  such that for all  $I' \subseteq \subseteq I$  and  $|h| < \operatorname{dist}(I', \partial I)$  holds

$$\|\tau_h u - u\|_{L^p(I')} \leq C|h|,$$

where  $\tau_h u(x) := u(x+h)$ .

*Proof.* The implication  $(a) \Rightarrow (b)$  follows immediately from Hölder's inequality. To prove  $(b) \Rightarrow (a)$ , we observe that  $l: C_c^{\infty}(I) \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$L(\varphi) := \int_I u \varphi'$$

is continuous. Since  $C_c^{\infty}(I)$  is dense in  $L^q(I)$ , we get that  $l \in (L^q(I))^*$ . Hence we find  $g \in L^p$ , such that  $\int_I g\varphi = l(\varphi)$  and so u' = -g.

Next we show  $(a) \Rightarrow (c)$ . By theorem 1.7, we find an absolutely continuous representant  $\tilde{u}$  of u. Thus

$$\widetilde{u}(x+h) - \widetilde{u}(x) = h \int_0^1 u'(x+th)dt$$

Hence Jensen's inequality yields

$$\|\tau_h u - u\|_{L^p(I')} \le |h| \int_0^1 \|u'(\cdot + th)\|_{L^p(I')} dt \le |h| \|u'\|_{L^p(I)}.$$

Lastly, we prove  $(c) \Rightarrow (b)$ . Let  $\varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(I)$ . Then we may find  $I' \subseteq \subseteq I$  such that  $\operatorname{supp} \varphi \subseteq I'$ . Hence we compute

$$\left| \int_{I} u\varphi' \right| = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{|h|} \left| \int_{I} u(x) \left( \varphi(x+h) - \varphi(x) \right) dx \right|$$

$$\begin{split} &= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{|h|} \left| \int_{I} \left( u(x - h) - u(x) \right) \varphi(x) dx \right| \\ &= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{|h|} \left| \int_{I} \left( \tau_{-h} u - u \right) \varphi \right| \\ &\leq \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{|h|} \left\| \tau_{-h} u - u \right\|_{L^{p}(I')} \left\| \varphi \right\|_{L^{q}(I)} \\ &\leq C \left\| \varphi \right\|_{L^{q}(I)}. \end{split}$$

Theorem 1.9 (Extension Theorem). There exists a continuous linear operator

$$E: W^{1,p}(I) \to W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R})$$

such that:

(*i*)  $Eu|_{I} = u$ .

$$(ii) \|Eu\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \le C \|u\|_{L^p(I)}.$$

$$(iii) \| (Eu)' \|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \le C \| u \|_{W^{1,p}(I)}.$$

*Proof.* First we consider the case  $I = (0, \infty)$ . We extend u by continuity to 0 and then we extend u by means of *even symmetry*. If I is bounded we can without loss of generality assume that I = (0, 1). Now use a cut-off function.

**Theorem 1.10 (Approximation Theorem).** Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $u \in W^{1,p}(I)$ . Then there exists a sequence  $(u_i)_{i \in \omega}$  in  $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  such that

$$||u_i|_I - u||_{W^{1,p}(I)} \to 0.$$

*Proof.* The main idea of the proof is to use convolutions. Moreover, it is enough to consider the case  $I = \mathbb{R}$  only, due to the extension theorem 1.9.

**Theorem 1.11 (Sobolev Embedding).** *There is a continuous embedding* 

$$W^{1,p}(I) \hookrightarrow L^{\infty}(I).$$

*Proof.* First consider I bounded. By theorem 1.7 we get that

$$||u||_{L^{\infty}} = \sup_{x \in I} |u(x)| \le |u(y)| + \sup_{x \in I} \left| \int_{y}^{x} u'(t)dt \right| \le |u(y)| + ||u'||_{L^{1}},$$

for any  $y \in I$ . Hence

$$\|u\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq \inf_{y \in I} |u(y)| + \|u'\|_{L^{1}} \leq \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I} |u(y)| + \|u'\|_{L^{1}} \leq C \|u\|_{W^{1,1}} \leq C \|u\|_{W^{1,p}}.$$

Assume now that I is unbounded. Then we find  $I' \subseteq \subseteq I$  such that

$$||u||_{L^{\infty}(I')} \ge \frac{1}{2} ||u||_{L^{\infty}(I)}$$

and thus the claim follows by the previous computation. Indeed, note that by theorem 1.7, we have that

$$|u(x)| \le |u(y)| + ||u'||_{L^1(I)}$$

for all  $x \in I$  and fixed  $y \in I$ , and thus  $u \in L^{\infty}(I)$ . Moreover, there exists  $x_0 \in I$  such that  $|u(x_0)| > \frac{1}{2} ||u||_{L^{\infty}(I)}$ , if not, this would contradict the definition of the supremum norm. Since u is continuous by theorem 1.7, we find  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$|u(x) - u(x_0)| \le |u(x_0)| - \frac{1}{2} ||u||_{L^{\infty}(I)}$$

for all  $x \in I$  such that  $|x - x_0| < \delta$ . Hence the reversed triangle inequality yields

$$\frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(I)} - |u(x_0)| \le |u(x)| - |u(x_0)| \le |u(x_0)| - \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(I)}$$

and so

$$\frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(I)} \le |u(x)|$$

for all  $x \in I \cap (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta) =: I'$ .

**Corollary 1.1.** Let I be unbounded and  $u \in W^{1,p}(I)$  for  $1 \le p < \infty$ . Then  $u \to 0$  as  $|x| \to \infty$ .

**Dirichlet and Neumann Boundary Problems on** *I***.** In what follows, let us consider  $-\infty < a < b < \infty$  and I := (a, b).

**Proposition 1.5.** Let  $f \in C^0(\overline{I})$ . Then the weak solution u of the homogenous Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -u'' = f & \text{in } I, \\ u(a) = 0 = u(b). \end{cases}$$

is a classical solution, i.e.  $u \in C^2(\overline{I})$ .

**Proposition 1.6.** Let  $f \in C^0(\overline{I})$ . Then the weak solution u of the homogenous Neumann problem

$$\begin{cases} -u'' + u = f & \text{in } I, \\ u'(a) = 0 = u'(b). \end{cases}$$

is a classical solution, i.e.  $u \in C^2(\overline{I})$ .

### Sobolev Spaces on a Domain.

**Theorem 1.12 (Meyers-Serrin).** Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be open. Then  $C^{\infty}(\Omega) \cap W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  is dense in  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  for every  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .

*Proof.* Convolutions and a partition of unity argument.

**Proposition 1.7 (Product Rule).** Let  $u, v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ . Then  $uv \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  and

$$\partial_{\alpha}(uv) = (\partial_{\alpha}u)v + u(\partial_{\alpha}v).$$

*Proof.* First consider the case  $p < \infty$ . Then

$$||uv||_{L^p} \le ||u||_{L^\infty} ||v||_{L^p}$$

and

$$\|(\partial_{\alpha}u)v + u(\partial_{\alpha})v\|_{L^{p}} \leq \|\partial_{\alpha}u\|_{L^{p}}\|v\|_{L^{\infty}} + \|u\|_{L^{\infty}}\|\partial_{\alpha}v\|_{L^{p}}.$$

Meyers-Serrin 1.12 yields the existence of sequences  $u_k$  and  $v_k$  in  $C^{\infty}(\Omega) \cap W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  such that  $u_k \to u$  and  $v_k \to v$  in  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . For any  $\varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$ , we compute

$$\int_{\Omega} uv \, \partial_{\alpha} \varphi = \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} u_k v_k \, \partial_{\alpha} \varphi$$

$$= -\lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} ((\partial_{\alpha} u_k) v_k + u_k (\partial_{\alpha} v_k)) \varphi$$

$$= -\int_{\Omega} ((\partial_{\alpha} u) v + u (\partial_{\alpha} v)) \varphi.$$

Now consider the case  $p = \infty$ . We have  $uv \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  as well as  $(\partial_{\alpha}u_k)v_k + u_k(\partial_{\alpha}v_k) \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ . Let  $\varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$ . Hence we find  $\Omega' \subseteq \Omega$  with supp  $\varphi \subseteq \Omega'$ . But then the above calculation holds on  $\Omega'$ .

**Theorem 1.13 (Characterization of W**<sup>1,p</sup>( $\Omega$ )). Let  $1 and <math>u \in L^p(\Omega)$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (a)  $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ .
- (b) There exists  $C \ge 0$  such that

$$|\forall |\alpha| \leq 1 \forall \varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega) : \left| \int_I u D^{\alpha} \varphi \right| \leq C \|\varphi\|_{L^q}.$$

(c) There exists  $C \geq 0$  such that for all  $\Omega' \subseteq \subseteq \Omega$  and  $|h| < \operatorname{dist}(I', \partial I)$  holds

$$\|\tau_h u - u\|_{L^p(\Omega')} \leq C|h|$$
,

where  $\tau_h u(x) := u(x+h)$ .

*Proof.* The proof  $(c) \Rightarrow (b) \Rightarrow (a)$  is almost the same as the one given in the characterization theorem for  $\Omega$  an interval. For proving  $(a) \Rightarrow (c)$ , use Meyers-Serrin.  $\square$ 

**Corollary 1.2.** Let  $u \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ . Then  $u \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$  if and only if u admits a locally Lipschitz continuous representant. Moreover, if  $\Omega$  is convex, then  $u \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$  if and only if u admits a Lipschitz continuous representant.

Extension and Trace Operator. We start off with local theory. In what follows, define

$$Q := \{ (x', x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R} : |x'| < 1, |x_n| < 1 \}.$$

Moreover

$$Q_+ := \{(x', x_n) \in Q : x_n > 0\}$$
 and  $Q_0 := \{(x', x_n) \in Q : x_n = 0\}$ .

**Lemma 1.4.** Let  $u \in W^{1,p}(Q_+)$ . Set

$$u^*(x', x_n) := \begin{cases} u(x', x_n) & x_n > 0, \\ u(x', -x_n) & x_n < 0. \end{cases}$$

Then  $u^* \in W^{1,p}(Q)$  and  $||u^*||_{W^{1,p}(Q)} \le C ||u||_{W^{1,p}(Q_+)}$ .

Now to the *global theory*.

**Theorem 1.14 (Extension).** Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  of class  $C^1$ . Then there exists a continuous linear operator

$$E: W^{1,p}(\Omega) \to W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

such that:

- (i)  $Eu|_{\Omega}=u$ .
- $(ii) \|Eu\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}.$
- $(iii) \|Eu\|_{W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}.$

**Corollary 1.3.** Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  of class  $C^1$  and  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Then  $C^{\infty}(\overline{\Omega})$  is dense in  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ .

Again, we tackle first the *local theory*.

**Lemma 1.5.** Let  $u \in W^{1,p}(Q_+)$ . Then  $u|_{Q_0} \in L^p(Q_0)$  is well defined and the induced trace operator  $W^{1,p}(Q_+) \to L^p(Q_0)$  is linear and continuous.

*Proof.* We consider the case  $\underline{1 \leq p < \infty}$ . The main idea is to show this for  $u \in C^{\infty}(Q)$ , then for  $u \in W^{1,p}(Q)$  and then finally for  $u \in W^{1,p}(Q_+)$  by extension.

Consider now  $\underline{p} = \infty$ . Since  $Q_+$  is convex,  $u \in W^{1,\infty}(Q_+)$  admits a Lipschitz continuous representant and the result follows by extending via continuity.

**Theorem 1.15 (Characterization of**  $H^1(\Omega)$ ). Let  $\Omega \subseteq \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then

$$H^1(\Omega)=H^1_0(\Omega)\oplus\{u\in H^1(\Omega):\Delta u=0\}\,.$$

*Proof.* Let  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$  and let  $u_0 \in H^1_0(\Omega)$  denote the unique solution of

$$\forall \varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_0 \nabla \varphi = \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla \varphi.$$

Set  $u_1 := u - u_0$ . Then for any  $\varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$  we compute

$$-\int_{\Omega} u_1 \Delta \varphi = \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_1 \nabla \varphi = \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla \varphi - \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_0 \nabla \varphi = 0.$$

Thus  $u = u_0 + u_1$  is of the desired form. Moreover, we have

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 = \|\nabla u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\nabla u_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + 2\int_{\Omega} \nabla u_0 \nabla u_1.$$

Since  $u_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ , we find a sequence  $\varphi_k$  in  $C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$  such that  $\varphi_k \to u$  in  $H^1(\Omega)$ . But

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u_0 \nabla u_1 = \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} \nabla \varphi_k \nabla u_1 = 0.$$

Hence

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} = \|\nabla u_{0}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} + \|\nabla u_{1}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}$$

which implies that the decomposition is direct. Indeed, suppose  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that  $\Delta u = 0$ . Then u = u/2 + u/2 which yields u = 0 by the above computation.

Corollary 1.4 (Characterization of  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ ). Let  $\Omega \subseteq \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  of class  $C^1$ . Then

$$H_0^1(\Omega) = \{ u \in H^1(\Omega) : u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \}.$$

#### Sobolev Embeddings.

**Theorem 1.16 (Sobolev Embedding Theorem).** Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  of class  $C^1$  and  $k \in \omega$ ,  $k \geq 1$ . Then:

- (a) If kp < n, then  $W^{k,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega)$  for all  $1 \le q \le p^* := \frac{np}{n-pk}$  and the embedding is compact for  $q < p^*$ .
- (b) If kp = n, then  $W^{k,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega)$  for all  $1 \le q < \infty$  and those embeddings are compact.
- (c) If kp > n and  $k \frac{n}{p} \notin \omega$ , then  $W^{k,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow C^{l,\alpha}(\Omega)$  for  $l := \left[k \frac{n}{p}\right]$  and  $0 \le \alpha \le \alpha^* := k l \frac{n}{p}$  and those embeddings are compact for  $\alpha < \alpha^*$ . (d) If kp > n and  $k \frac{n}{p} = l + 1 \in \omega$ , then  $W^{k,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow C^{l,\alpha}(\Omega)$  for  $0 \le \alpha < 1$  and
- those embeddings are compact.

**Corollary 1.5.** Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  of class  $C^1$  and  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ . Moreover, assume that  $u \in H^k(\Omega)$  for some  $k > \frac{n}{2} + 2$ . Then  $u \in C^2(\Omega)$ .

p < n.

**Theorem 1.17 (Sobolev-Gagliardo-Nirenberg).** Let  $1 \leq p < n$  and let  $p^* := \frac{np}{n-p}$ . Then  $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with

$$||u||_{L^{p^*}} \leq C ||\nabla u||_{L^p}.$$

**Theorem 1.18 (Sobolev-Gagliardo-Nirenberg Compactness).** Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $1 \leq p < n$ . Then  $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega)$  for  $1 \leq q \leq p^*$  and the embedding is compact if  $q < p^*$ .

p > n.

**Theorem 1.19.** Let p > n. Then  $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $\alpha := 1 - \frac{n}{p}$  and

$$||u||_{C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq ||u||_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}.$$

**Remark 1.4.** For  $p = \infty$ , the statement is trivially true, since any function in  $W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is Lipschitz continuous since  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is convex, and thus belongs to  $C^{0,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

The proof uses the notion of so-called *Campanato spaces*.

**Theorem 1.20.** Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  of type A for some A > 0 and  $1 \le p < \infty$ ,  $\lambda > n$ ,  $\alpha := \frac{\lambda - n}{p}$ . Then

$$\mathcal{L}^{p,\lambda}(\Omega) \cong C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}).$$

*Proof.* The inclusion  $\mathcal{L}^{p,\lambda}(\Omega) \cong C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$  follows from the Campanato-theorem and does also hold for general  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  open.

**Lemma 1.6.** Let  $u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Then for all  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and r > 0 we have that

$$||u - u_{x_0,r}||_{L^p(B_r(x_0))}^p \le Cr^p ||\nabla u||_{L^p(B_r(x_0))}^p.$$

*Proof.* This is an application of the Poincaré-Wirtinger inequality 1.21 since without loss of generality, we may assume  $x_0 = 0$  and r = 1.

Now the proof of the Sobolev embedding theorem for p > n is immediaty by considering

$$W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \stackrel{\operatorname{P.W.}}{\longleftrightarrow} \mathcal{L}^{p,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \stackrel{\operatorname{Campanato}}{\longleftrightarrow} C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

and observing that  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is of type  $\frac{\pi^{n/2}}{\Gamma(n/2+1)} > 0$ .

**Theorem 1.21 (Poincaré-Wirtinger Inequality).** Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  connected and of class  $C^1$  and  $1 \le p < \infty$ . Then there exists  $C \ge 0$  such that

$$||u - \overline{u}||_{L^p(\Omega)} \le C ||\nabla u||_{L^p(\Omega)}$$

holds for all  $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ .

*Proof.* Towards a contradiction, assume that for any  $C \geq 0$  there exists  $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  such that

$$||u - \overline{u}||_{L^p(\Omega)} > C ||\nabla u||_{L^p(\Omega)}.$$

In particular, there exists a sequence  $u_k$  in  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , such that

$$||u_k - \overline{u_k}||_{L^p(\Omega)} > k ||\nabla u_k||_{L^p(\Omega)}$$

holds for each  $k \in \omega$ ,  $k \ge 1$ . Defining  $v_k := u_k - \overline{u_k}$  and normalizing, i.e. setting  $w_k := v_k / \|v_k\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$  (this is valid since  $\|v_k\|_{L^p(\Omega)} > 0$ ), yields a sequence  $w_k$  in  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  such that

$$\bar{w_k} = 0, \quad \|w_k\|_{L^p(\Omega)} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\nabla w_k\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \to 0$$

for any  $k \in \omega$ ,  $k \ge 1$ . Using the Sobolev embedding theorem 1.16, we get

$$W^{1,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow W^{1,n}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^p(\Omega)$$
 and  $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^p(\Omega)$ 

if  $p \ge n$  and p < n, respectively. Moreover, those are compact embeddings. Thus since  $w_k$  is bounded in  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , we have that  $w_{k_i} \to w$  in  $L^p(\Omega)$  for a subsequence  $w_{k_i}$  of  $w_k$ . Moreover,  $\nabla w = 0$ . Indeed, for any  $\varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$  we compute

$$\int_{\Omega} w \nabla \varphi = \lim_{i \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} w_{k_i} \nabla \varphi = -\lim_{i \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} \nabla w_{k_i} \varphi = 0.$$

By the constancy lemma we therefore conclude that  $w = c \in \mathbb{R}$  a.e. But

$$\overline{w} = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} w = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \lim_{i \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} w_{k_i} = 0$$

implies w = 0 a.e. contradicting

$$||w||_{L^p(\Omega)} = \lim_{i \to \infty} ||w_{k_i}||_{L^p(\Omega)} = 1.$$

p = n.

**Theorem 1.22.** It holds that  $W^{1,n}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for  $n \leq p < \infty$ .

#### **Regularity Theory**

Goal of this section is to prove the following regularity result.

**Theorem 1.23 (Global Regularity).** Let  $\Omega \subseteq \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  of class  $C^{k+2}$  and  $f \in H^k(\Omega)$  for some  $k \in \omega$ . Moreover, let  $u \in H^1_0(\Omega)$  be the unique solution of the homogenous Dirichlet boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} A_0 u = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$

where  $a^{ij} \in C^{k+1}(\overline{\Omega})$ . Then  $u \in H^{k+2}(\Omega)$  and

$$||u||_{H^{k+2}(\Omega)} \le C ||f||_{H^k(\Omega)}.$$

## Interior Regularity.

**Theorem 1.24.** Let  $\Omega \subseteq \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  of class  $C^1$  and L an elliptic operator in divergence form satisfying  $a^{ij} \in C^{k+1}(\overline{\Omega})$ . If  $f \in H^k(\Omega)$ , the unique weak solution  $u \in H^1_0(\Omega)$  of the homogenous Dirichlet boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} A_0 u = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$

belongs to  $H^{k+2}_{loc}(\Omega)$  and for all  $\Omega' \subseteq \subseteq \Omega$  we have the estimate

$$||u||_{H^{k+2}(\Omega')} \le C(||f||_{H^k(\Omega)} + ||u||_{H^1(\Omega)}).$$

Proof. Step 1: k = 0.

- (a) A-priori Estimates. First of all, we are assuming that  $u \in H^2_{loc}(\Omega)$ . (i)  $H^1$ -Estimate. Choose a bump function  $\varphi \in C^\infty_c(\Omega)$  supported in  $\Omega'$ . Thus the weak formulation yields by plugging in the test function  $\varphi^2 u$

$$\int_{\Omega} \varphi^2 a^{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^j} + 2 \int_{\Omega} u \varphi a^{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x^j} = \int_{\Omega} f \varphi^2 u. \tag{4}$$

Rearanging formula (4) we compute

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} \varphi^2 a^{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^j} &= \int_{\Omega} f \varphi^2 u - 2 \int_{\Omega} u \varphi a^{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x^j} \\ &\leq \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + 2\Lambda \int_{\Omega} (-u) \varphi \left| \nabla u \right| \left| \nabla \varphi \right| \\ &\leq \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \Lambda \varepsilon \left\| \varphi \nabla u \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{\Lambda}{\varepsilon} \left\| u \nabla \varphi \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \end{split}$$

Noticing that

$$\int_{\Omega} \varphi^2 a^{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^j} \ge \lambda \|\varphi \nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$$

yields

$$(\lambda - \Lambda \varepsilon) \|\varphi \nabla u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \leq \|f\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} + \|u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} + \frac{\Lambda}{\varepsilon} \|u \nabla \varphi\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}$$

Picking  $\varepsilon > 0$  appropriately, yields

$$\|\varphi\nabla u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \le C(\|f\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} + \|u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2})$$

and thus

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega')}^2 \le C(\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2).$$

(ii)  $H^2$ -Estimate. Let  $1 \le \mu \le n$ . Then  $\partial_{\mu}u$  solves

$$\int_{\Omega} a^{ij} \partial_i \partial_\mu u \partial_j \varphi = -\int_{\Omega} f \partial_\mu \varphi - \int_{\Omega} \partial_\mu a^{ij} \partial_i u \partial_j \varphi$$

for all  $\varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$ . Now perform the  $H^1$ -estimate on  $\partial_{\mu}u$ .

(b) Existence: The Nirenberg-Trick. The trick is to use difference quotients

$$D_h u := \frac{\tau_h u - u}{|h|}$$

for  $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $|h| < \operatorname{dist}(\Omega', \partial\Omega)$ . The idea now is to find a PDE solved by  $D_h u$  in the weak sense and to to use the characterization of the Sobolev space. *Step 2: Induction Step.* 

# **Boundary Regularity.**

**Proposition 1.8 (Minimality Property).** Let  $\Omega \subseteq \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  solves (1) if and only if the **energy functional** satisfies

$$E(u) := \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_a^2 - \int_{\Omega} f u = \inf_{v \in H_0^1(\Omega)} E(v).$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  solves (1) and let  $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ . Then  $v = u + \varphi$  for some  $\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  and we compute

$$E(v) = E(u+\varphi) = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_a^2 + \langle u, \varphi \rangle_a + \frac{1}{2} \|\varphi\|_a^2 - \int_{\Omega} f(u+\varphi) = E(u) + \frac{1}{2} \|\varphi\|_a^2 \ge E(u).$$

Conversly, suppose  $u_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  is a minimizer of the energy functional. Thus by elementary calculus

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} E(u_0 + tv) = 0$$

for all  $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ . But

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} E(u_0 + tv) = \langle u_0, v \rangle_a - \int_{\Omega} fv.$$

### Eigenfunctions of $-\Delta$ .

**Theorem 1.25.** Let  $\Omega \subseteq \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  of class  $C^2$ . Then there exists a Hilbert-space basis  $(\varphi_i)_{i \in \omega}$  of  $L^2(\Omega)$  consisting of eigenfunctions of the Laplace operator, i.e.

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta \varphi_i = \lambda_i \varphi_i & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \varphi_i = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$

*Moreover*  $0 < \lambda_i \rightarrow \infty$  *are called Dirichlet eigenvalues*.

*Proof.* Define  $K: L^2(\Omega) \to L^2(\Omega)$  by setting Kf to be the unique weak solution of the homogenous Dirichlet boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$

By the global regularity theorem,  $u \in H^2(\Omega)$  and thus we can write K as the composition

$$L^2(\Omega) \longrightarrow H^2(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^2(\Omega).$$

Thus K is continuous as a composition of continuous mappings an moreover, since the embedding is compact by the Sobolev theorem, so is K.

#### **Schauder Theory**

**Campanato-Estimates and Morrey-Spaces.** 

**Lemma 1.7** (Minimality of Mean-Value). Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  open,  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ ,  $x_0 \in \Omega$  and r > 0. Then

$$||f - \overline{f}_{r,x_0}||_{L^2(\Omega_r(x_0))}^2 = \min_{a \in \mathbb{R}} ||f - a||_{L^2(\Omega_r(x_0))}^2.$$

Schauder Estimates.

**Theorem 1.26 (Global Schauder-Estimate).** Let  $\Omega \subseteq \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  of class  $C^{2,\alpha}$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . Moreover, let  $a^{ij} \in C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$  symmetric, uniformly elliptic and uniformly bounded,  $c \in C^{\alpha}(\Omega)$ ,  $u_0 \in C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ ,  $f = (f^1, \ldots, f^n) \in C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$  and  $h \in C^{\alpha}(\Omega)$ . Then any solution  $u \in C^{2,\alpha}(\Omega)$  of the Dirichlet boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} A_0 u + c u = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} f^i + h & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = u_0 & \text{on } \Omega \end{cases}$$

satisfies

$$||u||_{C^{2,\alpha}} \le C(||u||_{H^1} + ||f||_{C^{1,\alpha}} + ||h||_{C^{\alpha}} + ||u_0||_{C^{2,\alpha}})$$

where C does not depend on u.

#### **Existence Theorems.**

**Proposition 1.9 (Method of Continuity).** Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$  and  $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$  be Banach spaces. Given  $A_0, A_1 \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  define  $A_t := (1 - t)A_0 + tA_1$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Suppose that

$$\exists C > 0 \forall t \in [0, 1] \ \forall x \in X : \|x\|_X \le \|A_t x\|_Y$$
.

Then  $A_0$  is surjective if and only if  $A_1$  is surjective.

Using the method of continuity 1.9, one can show existence results of solutions of Dircihlet boundary value problems. Define

$$A_0 := -\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \left( a^{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \right)$$

for  $a^{ij} \in C^{1,\alpha}$  symmetric, uniformly elliptic and uniformly bounded. Consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} A_0 u + c u = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} f^i + h & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = u_0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$

for  $c \in C^{\alpha}$ ,  $f = (f^1, ..., f^n) \in C^{1,\alpha}$  and  $h \in C^{\alpha}$ . If  $c \ge 0$ , one can show existence and uniqueness of  $C^{2,\alpha}$  solutions. First of all, suppose that solutions of

$$\begin{cases} A_0 u = h & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$

do exist. Let us define

$$X := \{ u \in C^{2,\alpha} : u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \}$$
 and  $Y := C^{\alpha}$ .

Then X and Y are Banach spaces, since X is a closed subset of a Banach space. Define now  $A_1 := A_0 + c$ . Then it is easy to show that  $A_0$  and  $A_1$  are continuous. Thus to apply the continuity method, we have to show the existence of a constant C > 0, such that for all  $t \in [0, 1]$  and  $u \in X$ 

$$||x||_{C^{2,\alpha}} \le ||A_t x||_{C^{\alpha}}$$

holds. But this looks like the Schauder-estimate 1.26. Indeed, since  $u \in C^{2,\alpha}$  solves  $A_t u = A_t u$ , we get

$$||u||_{C^{2,\alpha}} \leq C(||u||_{H^1} + ||A_t u||_{C^{\alpha}}).$$

Using ellipticity, integration by parts (justified since any function in X vanishes on the boundary  $\partial\Omega$ ) and  $c\geq 0$ , we compute

$$\lambda \|u\|_{H^{1}}^{2} = \lambda \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{2}$$

$$\leq \int_{\Omega} a^{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^{i}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^{j}}$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} (A_{0}u)u$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} (A_{t}u)u + ctu^{2} - ctu^{2}$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} (A_{t}u)u - ctu^{2}$$

$$\leq \int_{\Omega} (A_t u) u 
\leq \|A_t u\|_{L^2} \|u\|_{L^2} 
\leq C \|A_t u\|_{C^{\alpha}} \|u\|_{H^1}.$$

### **Maximum Principle**

Weak Maximum Principle. Let  $\Omega \subseteq \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ . In what follows, we consider the second order homogenous differential operator in non-divergence form

$$Lu := a^{ij} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} + b^i \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^i} + cu$$

where  $a^{ij}, b^i, c \in C^0(\overline{\Omega})$  and L is uniformly elliptic, i.e. there exists  $\lambda > 0$  such that

$$a^{ij}(x)\xi_i\xi_i > \lambda |\xi|^2$$

holds for all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $x \in \Omega$ .

**Theorem 1.27 (Weak Maximum Principle).** Let  $\Omega \subseteq \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^0(\overline{\Omega})$  such that  $Lu \geq 0$ . Then:

- (a) If  $c \leq 0$  in  $\Omega$ , then  $\max_{\bar{\Omega}} u \leq \max_{\partial \Omega} u_+$ .
- (b) If c = 0 in  $\Omega$ , then  $\max_{\bar{\Omega}} u = \max_{\partial \Omega} u$ .

*Proof.* Consider the perturbation  $v_{\varepsilon} := u + \varepsilon e^{\gamma x_1}$  for  $\varepsilon, \gamma > 0$  and use the first and second derivative test.

### **Strong Maximum Principle.**

**Lemma 1.8 (Boundary Point Lemma, E. Hopf).** Let  $B := B_{\rho}(y) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $u \in C^2(B) \cap C^0(\overline{B})$  such that  $Lu \geq 0$  in B with  $c \leq 0$ . Assume for some  $x_0 \in \partial B$  that  $u(x_0) \geq 0$  and  $u(x) < u(x_0)$  for every  $x \in B$ . Then

$$\limsup_{h \to 0} \frac{u(x_0 + h\eta) - u(x_0)}{h} < 0$$

for  $\eta$  the invard pointing unit normal at  $x_0$ .

*Proof.* Without loss of generality one can assume  $\rho=1$  and y=0. Then define  $w:\overline{B}\to\mathbb{R}$  by

$$w(x) := e^{-\alpha|x|^2} - e^{-\alpha}$$

for some  $\alpha > 0$  to be determined. We compute

$$Lw \ge e^{-\alpha |x|^2} (4\mu |x|^2 \alpha^2 - 2\alpha (\operatorname{tr} A + b^i x_i) + c).$$

Thus for some  $\alpha$  large enough, we get that Lw > 0. Set

$$v := u - u(x_0) + \varepsilon w$$

for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  on the anulus  $A := \overline{B}_1(0) \setminus B_{1/2}(0)$ . For  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small, we get that  $v \le 0$  on  $\partial A$ . Since moreover

$$Lv = Lu - cu(x_0) + \varepsilon Lw > 0$$

the weak maximum principle implies  $v \leq 0$  on A. Hence  $D_{\eta}^{+}v \leq 0$ , but

$$D_{\eta}^+ v = D_{\eta}^+ u + \varepsilon D_{\eta}^+ w$$

which yields the statement by observing that  $D_{\eta}^+ w > 0$ .

# References

[Lee13] John M. Lee. *Introduction to Smooth Manifolds*. Second Edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, 2013.