Detecting Quantum Bit Flip errors • Quantum error correction is used to protect quantum information from errors due to decoherence and other quantum noise • This task is to implement the bit-flip code and the sign-flip code for quantum circuits In [600] from qiskit import * import random as random
<pre>import numpy as np import matplotlib as mt from IPython.display import Image from qiskit.tools.visualization import plot_bloch_multivector,plot_histogram print("Qiskit:",qiskitversion) print("Numpy:",npversion) print("Matplotlib:",mtversion) %matplotlib inline</pre>
Qiskit: 0.16.1 Numpy: 1.19.4 Matplotlib: 3.1.1 Libraries used qiskit - version: 0.16.1 The main library used for the implementation of the given task was qiskit by IBM. Inbuilt methods for the plotting of states, measurements and gate operations were used to implement the
 numpy - version : 1.19.4 and random numpy and random was used for used for random number generation in the sub-task 2 for obtaining the probabilities of error gates. matplotlib - version : 3.1.1 For plotting of the results obtained in the simulation IPython.display.lmage - method This method was used to display one image in the code
Subtask 1 $. \ \text{Creating the Bell State (a maximally entangled state)} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(00\rangle + 11\rangle) $
<pre># 2 classical bits Q = QuantumCircuit(2,2) Q.h(0) # applying the hadamard gate on Qubit 0 Q.cx(0,1) # applying the controlled-not gate with</pre>
The Bell State $q_0 - H - Q_1 - Q_1 - Q_2 - Q_2 - Q_3 - Q_4 - Q_4 - Q_4 - Q_5 - Q_5$
• Measuring and visualizing the probabilities of measurement In [3]: # Plotting on the histogram Qplot = Q Qplot.measure([0,1],[0,1]) counts = execute(Q,backend= Aer.get_backend('qasm_simulator'),shots=2000).result().get_coun
0.60 0.500 0.45
0.15 0.00
 We can see that the maximally entangled Bell state has indeed been prepared Subtask 2 Adding arbitrary <i>error</i> gates for each qubit, just before the CNOT gate Note: each qubit's channel of transfer is treated different and separate error probabilities have been defined
for them both THOUGHT Since the number of choices for each qubit is 3 and we have 2 qubits, we would have a total of 9 possible combinations for our gates. We can map each gate to a number and then pick that number from a list for each qubit The gate mapped to the number is attached to the qubit with the corresponding probability The dirichlet function is used to generate random probabilities which sum up to one These probability values are passed as weights to a choice function which generates the random item from list MAPPING NUMBERS TO GATES 1: I 2: X 3: Z
<pre>'''RETURNS: a randomly generated 2-tuple consisting of numbers from [1,2,3] which map to a unitary quantum gate''' # pick for the first qubit pl = np.random.dirichlet(np.ones(3), size = 1) print("Probabilities are :",pl) n1 = random.choices([1,2,3],weights = p1[0],k=1)[0]</pre>
<pre># now pick for second qubit p2 = np.random.dirichlet(np.ones(3), size = 1) print("Probabilities are :",p2) n2 = random.choices([1,2,3],weights = p2[0],k=1)[0] #choice print("Numbers chosen are :",n1,n2) return (n1,n2)</pre>
<pre>def add_gate(Q,q,n): '''PARAMETERS : Q-> quantum circuit</pre>
<pre>elif(n==3): Q.z(q) else: raise Exception("Incorrect Gate parameter specified.") return return Q def attach_gates(Q, numbers): /// PARAMETERS: Q-> QuantumCircuit, numbers-> 2-tuple containing numbers</pre>
<pre>RETURNS : QuantumCircuit with attached gates is returned ''' if len(numbers)!=2: raise Exception("Incorrect size of tuple") return # get gate numbers g1 = numbers[0] g2 = numbers[1] if(type(g1)!= int or type(g2)!=int):</pre>
<pre>raise TypeError("Incorrect values in numbers.") return Q = add_gate(Q,0,g1) Q = add_gate(Q,1,g2) #return circuit return Q</pre>
<pre>In [576] Q = QuantumCircuit(2,2) numbers = gate_params() Q.h(0) Q.barrier() # attach gates Q = attach_gates(Q,numbers) Q.cnot(0,1) Q.draw(output='mpl')</pre>
Probabilities are: [[0.16035322 0.61073947 0.22890731]] Probabilities are: [[0.77469157 0.20881492 0.01649351]] Numbers chosen are: 3 1
Subtask 3 • The number of total combinations that we would have pertaining to the 2 qubits is 9 • These nine combinations are shown below -
<pre>In [5]: gates = QuantumCircuit(2) for i in range(1,4): for j in range(1,4): gates = add_gate(gates,0,i) gates = add_gate(gates,1,j) gates.barrier() print("All the possible errors that may occur in the channel :")</pre>
gates.draw(output='mpl') All the possible errors that may occur in the channel: $q_0 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - $
Observations Qubit 1 (control) • Qubit 1 needs to be corrected for <i>only phase</i> flips as the state i.e. $ +>$ for qubit 1 would be affected pertatining to Z gate error, as it flips the relative phase of the bit , encountered in the channel - $Z +\rangle = -\rangle > correction \ needed$
$Z +\rangle = -\rangle > correction \ needed$ • No correction code is required for the bit corresponding to the gate X as +> is actually an eigen state for our gate X - \$\$X +\rangle = +\rangle > no\ correction\$\$ Qubit 2 (target) • Coming on to the Qubit 2, since the state for the qubit is only 0>, no phase correction code is required pertaining to gate Z for that qubit, as $Z 0\rangle = 0\rangle > no\ correction$ operator has no change for the 0> state.
 But for X gate, the bit flip error correction code must be applied for the Qubit 2 as the gate would actually affect the state 0> by flipping it to 1>, \(X 0 \rangle = 1 \rangle > correction needed \) Assumption for probabilities Although the probabilities corresponding to introduction of noise in the original circuit were produced randomly by dirichlet function, a limitation to that approach was that the probabilities of errors can be high.
 For the implementation of the bit-flip and phase-flip codes for correction of one qubit error, the assumption that probability of error is <i>low</i> should hold true. Pertaining to the point 2, probabilities of X and Z are sampled until there sum is < 0.35 to satisfy the assumption X does not affect qubit 1 In [577] q = QuantumCircuit(2,2) q.h(0)
<pre>q.h(0) q.x(0) q.barrier() q.cx(0,1) q.barrier() q.measure([0,1],[0,1]) # q.measure([1,2],[1,2]) display(q.draw(output='mpl')) counts = execute(q,backend=Aer.get_backend('qasm_simulator'),shots=1000).result().get_count s()</pre>
c
<pre>q.h(0) q.z(1) q.barrier() q.cx(0,1) q.barrier() q.measure([0,1],[0,1]) # q.measure([1,2],[1,2]) display(q.draw(output='mpl')) counts = execute(q,backend=Aer.get_backend('qasm_simulator'),shots=1000).result().get_count</pre>
counts = execute(q,backend=Aer.get_backend('qasm_simulator'),shots=1000).result().get_counts()) qo
c 2 {'00': 482, '11': 518} Probability function In [579] def get_probabilities():
<pre>'''RETURNS: error probabilities for the qubit channel TYPE: dict, key: qubit numbers</pre>
<pre>while p2[0][1] + p2[0][2] > 0.35:</pre>
<pre>channel1 = {'X':p['q0'][1],'Z':p['q0'][2]} channel2 = {'X':p['q1'][1],'Z':p['q1'][2]} print("For channel1:",channel1) print("For channel2:",channel2) Probabilities are : [[0.82704845 0.08935494 0.08359662]] Probabilities are : [[0.83099312 0.00788684 0.16112005]] For channel1: {'X': 0.08935493650134428, 'z': 0.0835966175971309} For channel2: {'X': 0.007886835250121002, 'z': 0.16112004746615838}</pre>
Noise function • A thing to note is that attaching / operator is equivalent to attaching X for Q1 and Z for Q2 as +> doesn't get affected by X and 0> doesn't get affected by Z • This assumption is made on the premise that noise was introduced before CNOT gate application • So, the cases when Q1 is affected by Z noise and Q2 is affected by X noise are handled in the add_channel_noise function In [598] def add_channel_noise(Q, probs, channel, show_noise = False):
<pre>'''PARAMETERS: Q-> QuantumCircuit with 3 quantum and 3 classical bits</pre>
<pre>else: p = probs['X'] # we only care for the X gate's probability p0 = (1-p)**3 # probability that no qubit is flipped p1 = 3*p*((1-p))**2 # probability that only 1 flip occurs # note that the action of channel is # equal and independent on each of the three # qubits</pre>
<pre>P = [p0,p1] # now pick one of the two possibilities choice = random.choices([0,1],weights=P) if(channel==1): noise = QuantumCircuit(3,3,name='channel1') else: noise = QuantumCircuit(3,3,name='channel2') if(choice[0] == 0): # no qubit flipped</pre>
<pre># no qubit flipped noise.i([0,1,2]) # only I gates if(show_noise): print("Channel noise",channel) display(noise.draw(output='mpl')) Q.append(noise,noise.qubits,noise.clbits) return Q else: # one qubit flipped # weight all three qubits with equal probabilities for flipping</pre>
<pre># weight all three qubits with equal probabilities for flipping Qubit = random.choices([0,1,2],weights=[0.333,0.333,0.333])[0] if(channel==1): noise.z(Qubit) else: noise.x(Qubit) # flip the qubit for k in [0,1,2]: if k == Qubit: continue else:</pre>
<pre>noise.i(k) if(show_noise): print("Channel noise", channel,":") display(noise.draw(output='mpl')) Q.append(noise, noise.qubits, noise.clbits) return Q</pre>
 For qubit 1 OBSERVATION: The errors that will affect the state of the qubit 2 are only ever going to be the errors when a Z gate has been introduced erroneously, as said before. This implies that only the phase - flip correction code needs to be used pertaining to the qubit 1. A quantum circuit with 2 ancilla qubits is thus built for the proper 3-bit encoding of the qubit 1 with the noisy channel being the channel 1 Note that pertaining to our low probability assumption, the probability of more than a single qubit phase being
• Note that pertaining to our <i>low probability assumption</i> , the probability of more than a single qubit phase being flipped is negligible In [599] Q1 = QuantumCircuit(3,3) Q1.h(0) Q1.cx(0,1) Q1.cx(0,2) Q1.h([0,1,2]) Q1 = add_channel_noise(Q1,channel1,1,True)
Q1 = add_channel_noise(Q1,channel1,1,True) Q1.barrier() Q1.h([0,1,2]) Q1.cx(0,2) Q1.cx(0,1) Q1.draw(output='mpl') Channel noise 1:
$q_0 - \overline{z} - \overline{q_1 - 1} - \overline{q_2 - 1} - \overline{q_2 - 1} - \overline{q_3}$
q_0 H H 0 H q_1 H 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
For qubit 2 • OBSERVATION: The errors that will affect the state of the qubit 2 are only ever going to be the errors when an X gate has been introduced erroneously, as said before. • This implies that only the bit - flip correction code needs to be used pertaining to the qubit 2.
 A quantum circuit with 2 ancilla qubits is thus built for the proper 3-bit encoding of the qubit 2 with the noisy channel being the channel 2 Note that pertaining to our <i>low probability assumption</i>, the probability of more than a single qubit being flipped is negligible In [602] # making the circuit for second bit Q2 = QuantumCircuit(3,3) Q2.cx(0,1) Q2.cx(0,2)
<pre>Q2.cx(0,2) Q2 = add_channel_noise(Q2,channel2,2,True) Q2.barrier() Q2.cx(0,2) Q2.cx(0,1) print("Qubit 2") Q2.draw(output='mpl')</pre> Channel noise 2:
$q_0 - 1 - 1$ $q_1 - x - 1$ $q_2 - 1 - 1$ $c \xrightarrow{3}$
Qubit 2 q_0
q ₁ de la location d
<pre>In [603] Q = QuantumCircuit(6,6) Q = Q.compose(Q1,[0,1,2],[0,1,2]) Q = Q.compose(Q2,[3,4,5],[3,4,5]) Q.barrier() display(Q.draw(output='mpl'))</pre>
q_0 q_1 q_2 q_3 q_4 q_4 q_4 q_4 q_5 q_6
c formula Assumptions
 The below image is explanation of what was identified as the recieving and the transmission ends in the circuit. Everything after the Noise Barriers is done at the recieving end of the quantum state The procedure employed was a bit different from the fact that we are transferring the Bell State across our channel and recieving end starts after we have entangled Qubit 0 and Qubit 3. This was my assumption for this task and thought that this is a possible reasoning as applying a Cx gate to the Qubit 0 and Qubit 3 can be done after the procedure for correction is completed. THE Cx gate is applied to entangle the LOGICAL QUBITS at the recieving end after we have corrected for the errors recieved from the gates
Image ("transmitting_recieving.png", width = 600, height = 500) $q_0 - H - 0 - H - 0 - Channell - H - Channell$
Transmission and Propagation Recieving end Correcting procedures • Since the qiskit notation is big endian, the most significant bit pertains to Q5 and least significant bit pertains
 to Q0. Separate procedures are employed for the qubit 0(the first qubit) and qubit 3(the second qubit) Note that step by step syndrome measurements are required for identification of the errors Qubit 1 A correction procedure corresponding to projective measurements is done We observe that the qubit sign flip gets converted to the bit flip in the Hadamard Basis and thus a simple detection of the bit flip in the first 3 qubits would suffice to generate the correcting circuit.
detection of the bit flip in the first 3 qubits would suffice to generate the correcting circuit. • The projective measurement is done for the qubit 1,2(ancillae) • An error detection procedure is to measure the two ancillae and an error correction procedure is to apply a bit flip to the first qubit if the ancillae are in the state $ 1\rangle\otimes 1\rangle$ and then, in all cases where a phase flip has occurred, throw out both ancillae and replace them with fresh ancillae initialized in the state $ 0\rangle\otimes 0\rangle$ (basically flip both to $ 0>$)
(basically flip both to 0>) • This provides us with the information which qubit was actually flipped in the hadamard basis and we apply a simple X gate in front of the flipped qubit to correct it. In [589] def get_correction_1(Q): '''PARAMETERS: Q-> Quantum Circuit containing the transferred encoded circuit RETURNS: A Quantum Circuit with the correction circuit attached for the first 3 qubits.''' # apply projective measurements on the first three qubits
<pre># apply projective measurements on the first three qubits Q.measure([1,2],[1,2]) Q.barrier() # execute the circuit pertaining to this measurement counts = execute(Q,backend = Aer.get_backend('qasm_simulator')).result().get_counts() # print("Current circuit counts:",counts) keys = list(counts.keys()) first = keys[0][4] # first ancilla second = keys[0][3] # second ancilla</pre>
<pre>main_flip = False # boolean to determine whether first was flipped or not if first == second and first == '1': main_flip = True if(main_flip == True): Q.x([0,1,2]) # flip ancillae and flip main bit else: if first == '1': Q.x(1) # flip the state else:</pre>
Q.x(2) # flip the state Q.barrier() return Q Qubit 2 • A correction procedure to the corresponding projective measurements(Q3,Q4,Q5) - $ 000\rangle: no\ correction\ required\$$
$ 000\rangle: no\ correction\ required\$$ $ 100\rangle\ X\ gate\ on\ qubit1$ $ 110\rangle: X\ gate\ on\ all\ three\ qubits$ • Note that these measurements actually preserve our superposition(if present) as we are only querying for ancillae bits , not the whole state • Now a correction is applied over our circuit to get back the results
<pre>def get_correction_2(Q): '''PARAMETERS : Q-> Quantum Circuit containing the transferred encoded</pre>
<pre># execute the circuit pertaining to this measurement counts = execute(Q,backend = Aer.get_backend('qasm_simulator')).result().get_counts() # print("Current circuit counts :",counts) keys = list(counts.keys()) first = keys[0][1] # first ancilla second = keys[0][0] # second ancilla main_flip = False # boolean to determine whether first was flipped or not if first == second and first == '1': main_flip = True</pre>
<pre>main_flip = True if(main_flip == True): Q.x([3,4,5]) # flip ancillae and flip main bit else: if first == '1': Q.x(4) # flip the state else: Q.x(5) # flip the state Q.barrier() return Q</pre>
Putting it all together • We would require 2 ancilla qubits for the qubit 1 and 2 for qubit 2. • The given circuit is just a compilation of the above process, just before applying the CNOT gate and getting our Bell State after it has gone through the noisy channel. • After we apply the correcting procedures and measure the state back, we would be getting the Bell State indeed.

$q_5 \xrightarrow{2}$ $c \xrightarrow{6}$ q_0 q_1 q_2 q_3	nannel2		1 2		4 5	
counts = execute print (counts) {'0000000': 520, '001} Subtask 4		sion				
is now validate 500 measure measured state This actually second qubit wrong, correct = for i in range (5 # encoding for the encoding for th	ted through the belowements are made for ates for the qubit 0 at proves the fact that and produces the end o, 0 500): first mCircuit(3,3)) annel_noise(Q1,ch)) second annel_noise(Q2,ch)	w random 500 the circuit product of qubit 3 must this circuit correct angled Bell Standard Bell Stan	experiments valueed and the duced and the top entangle ects arbitrary state over the	which depict the y are checked a d and either bo Z errors on the	e same for the cagainst the crite the the the the the the the the the t	ircuit. ria that the are 1
<pre>Q = get_corr Q = get_corr # applying 0 Q.cx(0,3) Q.barrier() Q.measure([0 # executing counts = exe result = lis res1 = resul res2 = resul if(res1[2] = # 0 enta</pre>	rection_1(Q) rection_2(Q) CX 0,1,2,3,4,5],[0,1] ecute(Q,backend = st(counts.keys()) lt[0] lt[1] == res1[5] and reangled first and	= Aer.get_ba es1[2] == ' 0		_simulator')).result().ge	t_counts
<pre>zero = T if(res2[2] = # 1 enta one = Tr if(one and z correct+ else: wrong+=1 print("Correct r print("Incorrect Correct results: 50 Incorrect results:</pre>	Frue == res2[5] and resampled first and rue zero): +=1 results :",correct results :",wror	es2[2] == '1 second	'):			