Alebara Mela Can and about a company of the second of Broke Broom William The state of the s a) Alberton Monte of the Company of Dien land product of the second and the The dip Mobile; their take Lake sturies was 

Busion-1 SOA attreves global challenge of improvement of su Quality Standards: +ways to maximize performanagers & emp left to the state -themselves to decide on how to improve they face pridme like: mills in the - A pressure - 1 size & complexity - 1 requirements - subcontracting & outsourcing (4) (1) (1) (1) (1) - distributed works teams - ever changing platforms & techs software Quality. Practical Sur quality fundamentals son quality. Considerations. quality process -sow quality real -sw eng culture & ethils - Sw Quality assurance defect charcinat" Value & cost of - Verificating Validation nanagement techniques Quality white Reviews & audits. - Models & Quality chars - sw quality measurement. sw quality improvement. - sw safety.

I w found in embedded 145 City Oak error-found to doct, soc ade it called microcode (or)-firmware present in Compercial Troop (yell of sys Esother)

providing market produce and in (so 1946) Lant (150 1965) Esother

providing hives.

faith the system con composition (so 1946) Land (150 1965) Esother

make (needless) faither [150 11]

fromway:

months of the device of temposition (or) composition

materials as read-only sw

months device

the device

from the device of the device (from the device)

from the device of the device (from the device)

from the device of the device (from the device)

from the device of the timmwani. Combo of the darke + Comp inchrections (or) comp obder on the device Sw Evers, Detects & tailures-- Typiem cross - doigner made an error - defect in test plan - by -brokedown Casa (refer to ppt) - Problem - failure. Terminology of siw Der life Cycle: 1 200/100 pora us Error undededed Fronted Conserted error defed Regardyris, deign, Coding, integration; testing, installato & by human) (defect) (failure) support for acceptance of sw prod To defed light phanfpill. Depending on Lourinas model, You will failure: terminato of ability of have to allow for Varying degrees of Component to fully I partial effort in identifying a goldonreating perform a function. defects eq: Airbus, boeing, Bombardier & - Original failures lies in defect hiden. Embraer its Identify & cred Defeats - human errors not défects before we emboarded detected during dev. (A) Them . - betting.

Research studies condusion of ex sw error by category: - Supe of most defects is Armited of easy to correct. 1. polarie i defining real. - Many defects occur outside of Goding activity. 2. maintaining effective commos bu client & dev. - Poor understanding of durign 3. deviations from pecifications, - good idea to measure no e 4. archi & design errors. Origin of defects in org to set targets for improvements. 5. Coding errors 6. Non-compilance à current process / procedures. Software Quality: 7. Inadequate reviews 4 tests 8. documentation errors. Conformance to established JOA clements The degree to which a sw proch - plan quality aspects of a prod service meets established real. - systematic activities throughtout the Software Quality Assurance. systematic applicato of scientific el Life Cycle. - Set up Quality policy & Gortinuous & technological knowledge, improvement. -demonstrate level of Guality reached methods & exp for delign implementat?, testing & door n a a o defined forpg Buriness model: describes the [refer ppt for other def]. rationale of how on org creater, deliver & Captures value. Choice of su practices where president for som the state of factors that may - Foster in abolity adverty affet sa del, [] -son technique -lauk of coherion bw san tah t - clear terminology - error sources underlanding env fractions - SWEBOK as aguide - Confusing Feet.
- for som - lack of understand -lack of understanding THE PORTS WANTED Marino Marino - ignorance Rubbird

SQA Vs SQ Control. Cost of Eventity 1 residence of to implementing & Evally Control: advitte designed to evaluate quality a devigood is percepth of high cost -SWE responsible for informing admins of ville that comp Obj of QA is to minimise cont of quarantering quality by variety takes not fully committed to of advitios in Various Ages. &A-reduces rate of productivat do not quality to imprenent & quality of no. - identify with of Non-quality Identity potential savings by work of quarantacing Andying problems of the obj of san activities: Sw der (proun - oriented). Gods of proj. 1. Implementation con Conform to functional technique tonform to managerial 2. prevention u scheduling of budget required initiating of managing soon advition. 3. Appraisal u 4. cost associated a failurer) anombies a. Su maintagena (pred-oriented): 6 to prevent occurrence of errors - main-advitice will conform to Verifying prod during der - functional tech veg. - main activities . . to proces steps of monitoring it. 1. Prevention cont + hard managerial schediling a budgeting. Bushly appraisal works + - Instituting & managing S&A internal gexternal failure cost Quality Gulout Culture: Warrarty dains & how of Poi me (60) cost of propgating error 600) suppliers (600) 00000000 poduam defect caused by a 3rd level supplier lead to \$200 million design of test test 10

- Intro, Standard, Libers & - Aledels, Red operator factors, Prod' - SOA, quality cortal. - tactor foster so factors Transith factors -- Rebability - cost of proj, calculating quality cultur principles Maintainability
Other On - Principles for eassuring of a dealty - driven Eultre - Evans & Marciniak factor model.

Doutsh & willis factor model. - Quality perspective McCall, -they characteristics, surlesting stability 1 april 1 10 25000 - plack box festing, white-box test - plack box testing analysis, boundary value analysis, experience partitioning. - Def, Type of requirements characteristics - Of Tech. - Paocers - standards (150 9001, 150/ IEC 90003, 150/1EC/1EEE 12204, (旺 730) - Unitelesting, Detegrato lesting, System lesting, planning, Test methodology, planning, Designing, Performing -- Fest Care eg - Automated testing Process, 0

Software Divility SOA def: addresses global diallage I Practical 59 SW management longof improvement of SA Tundamental derations Processes Provides an overview of - SQ TRAY saA practices for cust, 5w assurance eng mana, and tors suppliers t Defect cultives -Verifical? personnel suponible for Character: ethics & Validati Ru projects, dev, maintanence sa manage Values Keviews & tech & Ser services. Cost of Standards - define ways to 1 audits quality sa med Porf & how to practically models & Syreme greatity of Pressure to delives Qual prods characteristics Size & Complexity of Systems -su duality 1 gley to melt standards improvement: Rublertracting & Outsourcing -sw safety distributed work feams ever changing platforme & tech. Healthy Culture: - Revonal Commitment of each dev Quality Culture: - commitment to org by manager - a of all team mem. Human Laltur Chelif, art, morals laws. 6 Intot interact bu St wither of an org & Software engineers & its Koject is a found 17 swargineering reinforce helps set overgones nempre

14 cuttoral Principles in SF: Sw try acce of this: 1. never let your bors (dient aux you to do poor work. - der by Institute of Flectrial 3 Flectionics Engineers (LEEE) 2. ppl must feel their work Comp society & Association is appreciated for computing Machinery (ACM) 3. continuing educate is steepore of every learn ment 8 principles of IEEE Sweng code of this: cutical factor of SQ 1. The public - SE's shall act 5. or greatest challenge is consistently a public intrest to share vicion of final prod 2. chient & Employer - u act in a manner & which is best intrests 6. Confinuous impro un sur dev for client & employer. is possible à essential. 3. Product - in ensure products are 7 sw der provedures can help related establish common culture of 4. Judgment - maintain integrity & bert practices 8. quality is no. I princrity independence in their Judgement 5. Management - managers & leades 7. Freure that it's a peer, not promote ethical approach of managa client who find defect-6. Proffersion-advance integrity & 10. Key to so is to go through all der steps except coding. reputato of prof. 7. colleagues - strd be pair & - widing sha be done once. supportive to collagues. 11. controlling enor seports is essential to quality 8. Self-participale in hifelong learning. 12. If U measure what ig u do, u can do it better do not base yourself on — Planning (next all quality real like dogma.

H. You can't everything at same-time, wently Implementation compilars identify changes that reap most see to I the second that reap most see to I the second that reap most see to I the second to the second that reap most see to I the second to the secon identify changes that reap most over not testing benefits & start to apply them dever nor Deployment as of not monday. Walnutanance

implemental n Software Quality Model. - Code reviews 5 anality perspectives described by Garvin. - Sys Integrat testing - user acceptance v 1. Transcendental approach to qualit Testing --functionality, usability, -although I can't define quality-Perfe, reliability & Compilance -quality is a personal & indivexp Poplo here fakes time for users to se it. ensure all are Property implemented tested & 2. User-based approach: -sw performs as expeded from deployed -Maintananu user's porspective. - Verify sw updates, changes 3. Monufacturing-based appr: -complying & specifications, illustrated by many does on quality -follow up & cust - damment changes. - Invest in QA for reliable 4. Roduct-based app. - involves internal view of prod 4 bug free Standardizing SQA: 5. Value - based app -aliminating all activities that do Requirements: not add Value. RFG (rear for grude) JRS (Sw req specificath) - restability minimals from the second to the second the second that the second the second the second that the second that the second the second that Mc Calls Quality factor. - Integrity (author autorizety) M-Functional oreg: 15024765 - wability suishdike suided su req describes how to Hormanu near: KEE SH 1220 m 2005 - correctness failurs as well fun mus be accomplished

f & ly: sum & & 1 tracebility Completeness consistency accuracy error tolerance Evality Measures: 1- sus program acquistion? -contradual commitment regarding ffficiency < storage u Quality oby for client users 2. 800 dev: Integrity access andst quality chari on which designer & developers must work. usability operatability festacing 3-QA/ Q. contr/ (audit : -to enable those outside dev team ' communication to enable quality: Mc Call proposes Scale of 4. Maintainenci. 5. dient [vier: allow them to state 0 to 10 for evaluation. The first Standardized Model: Steps produced try under IEEE 1061 IEEE 1061 (IEE 986) Std. - for for fraguing swquality - identify list of non-functional arrelt that allows for establishment 2 identificato of so measures - everyone involved - list & make sure to resdue Conflicts based on QA stay to implement -quantify each quality factor aralyze & Validate - have measures e thrusholds sw quality of syst x approved. - perform cost benefit study Quality factor Q 1 OF method m - implement measurement method M - Analyze nesults - Validate measures -Subfactor L. a -

Cias tandudized model: chais to measure quality of say 150 25000 set of standards. Necessary, urambiguous, 1. Assess quality of der process Concine, cohorant, completes 2. Asses quality of final prod. Asse Accimble, Verifiable 4 steps: Sudon-3! to set a great Specifying Quality stay: 2 · Rhaldish quality model The process 3. Define quality measures identify stakeholder 4. Conduct Evaluations. develop questionaire 8 altributes. Sw Sys Pre conduct interviews quality Perf functional unabity Secu (subject 1) Compatibily consolidate & Reliability Penontize results Portability. Internal 4 obtain contensus on quality factors External POV -valuating in 150 25010 4 Evaluato of functional Capacity que model chair % = (no. of functionalities) Subchais Dequested metrics. XIDO. oak specifications into ino, of fun · delivered -gather Aundional seal Prioritize Non-fun steam analyze constraintswhat needs to be | Chiefly seep. describe Specify.

Standard! 1 gub of 180 4001 - a set of wandatory reals - cust focus atablished, by conseneus e. - Loadership maindained by a scopmised - Involvement of ppl body to presuite a distipline - Proces approach & uniform approach, or to - sys app to management Specify a prod t sespect to mandatory corrections + - factual app to decliron noting - mutually beneficial supplies practis relativity . 4 principles for dev of follows PDCA Cycle. (plan-Do-check Ad) 150 standardi: - 150 standard meet a warket need - " are based on worldwide expertise. Elements of a process starting endpoint - u u are nesult of multi-stakeholder input) Sactivities ? remient process - un ook based on consensus (matter, Clubier Goredecevor (matter, standards energy, uest processor) energy, 150 (iriter Org of for standardizath) info) (nocus) CMM (Capability maderity, model). Standard Melated to QA Possible cheeks (150 9000) & points to maintain & measure performana! 4 ) family includes 150 90001:2015 - Jeds. Heg of amisque 150/1FC 90003 8H. 150 9000:2015: Covers basic concepts & - Internatral Electrotechnical 150 9004: 2009: focus on how to mak commission. gims T efficient & effective - acquaing, supplying, developing 150 19011: 2011: sets out guidance using & maintaining sw. for internal & external audits 150/1EC/ 1EEE 12107- Hd. - common for of sus life Gyl

Capability Moderity models (CMM) 150 12207-- improve & refine sw dov provis 4 set of processes - 2 agreement Shipled - analyses approach of tach - 8 process -quidelines to enfrance muturing - A tach process - imp prod -qual-IEEE 780. - quidance for son add of a Vernons. 1. Initial staged Version produlses. 2. continuous Varion. comm model for sys eng is -implementation of san pro, prod assuranie 4. CMMI-DEV . proces assurance -imp der & evaluate makerity eg: dig - skipped - evaluates level of maturity of 5-level scale had assurance Process assurance autivities. activities Other 2 cmm) models · a compliance of evaluate plans cmm1-suc (services) mocess Eplans for compliana to common 1 - Acq ( acquistr) Contracts, elds light . - u envot ( guidelines for org.). - M . mod for Compliance Compliance for each level of maturity to established seq - a subcontrador mod for acceptably profor set of process & lear defined Compilance pred support - measure process 5 6 maturity levels in Measure prolts - aner skille cumi-ber. Emoidedge of peroral 1- Initial -Organ char by tendences Capa. Managed. inanages and to document plans to overcommit, abandon process in time of coinst Process aveces: Proj planning, monitoring & inty unable to repeat succes supplies agreement man Proc & prod do lipis;

IT Services by 1711 3. Defined . - Processes are well understood 1- selecting & described in standards, 2- Planning doch, prous, methods. 3. Delivering Process areas: 4 maintaining. - egy der -tech soll MIL Service Lifeceple -And integrate -venticate - Validata - Org proces fous 1- Berr - sisk ma - u u def Service design -decision analysis strateges 4. Quantifatively managed: sorvia Frocess areas . Operations. - Org process performance Continuous ser improvement - Quan Proj management -tuer support - service level management - Provision of 19 5. Optimizing impro pro based on quan - Aranual ma of 17 sot - applin man wider of its busi obj & - Capacity ma perf needs. Process cuas: - availability mara. - Org perf mans - Causal analysis & resolution Advs: I wast, A Quality of Sel, 1 bus productivity, 1 ROT, greater cust satisfact, A greene utilization If quality productivity increases from level to level 5 McCall Factor model: of glish rework Frito Tech & infrastructure ind transition Thorand, -fm for ITSM (IT Service = Testability management align it survius c builtness needs efficiency

Afternative models. I say for 1 Testing Both a a excludes testability white box testing 10 Evens & Marchiniak factor model (12) Blackbox teding. model. Verifiability Bolh Manao Expandability J models Managability Jonly Safety Deutsch & willis. Survivability Wigo a pall hit mid I V Track They Testing - a process of executing a there is fact remaining fresh Pegm The intent of finding structure has made to the court of the sale esson -Formal - specialized testing team distry for - surving pla prigrams - approved test prous 11.11 Arran Party table a displace the sale topdown bottom up testing me me mi stubini stubini Chad + Day pag 5 levels of testing क्षेत्र विभिन्नाम् । Debug Demonstide - hon your Verify
Validate
Brevent

Swerting = sw Verifi + sw
(ation Validatin) izwini n m Shows wind

