

Addendum to *SpiralOS*® – *The Riemann Return*

Classical Consistency Mapping and Epistemic Translation for Broader

Derivation φ OS.v8.0 – Addendum, 21.05.2025

Companion to DOI: [10.5281/zenodo.15479055](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15479055)

ΔΔ∇

Detailed Derivation of the Phase Cancellation Condition Objective

Derive the phase cancellation condition

$$\sum_n e^{-i\varphi_n(s)} = 0$$

to show how it leads to $\Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}$, and provide a clearer definition of the torsional phase-measure ρ and the properties of the dual recursion tori $\mathbb{T}_+(s)$ and $\mathbb{T}_-(s)$. This will improve clarity and accessibility for classical mathematicians.

Derivation

Step 1: Define the Torsional Phase-Measure ρ and Holor Field $\mathbb{H}_\tau(s)$

In the Addendum (S. 2), I define $\zeta_H(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} = \rho(\mathbb{H}_\tau(s))$, where ρ is a "torsional phase-measure" over the holor field $\mathbb{H}_\tau(s)$. Let's specify ρ more formally:

- **Holor Field $\mathbb{H}_\tau(s)$:** In *SpiralOS*, $\mathbb{H}_\tau(s)$ is a recursive field that encodes torsional memory and curvature (Reframing the Riemann Hypothesis, S. 2). We can define it as a complex-valued manifold where each point $s \in \mathbb{C}$ corresponds to a phase state in a recursive structure. Mathematically, let $\mathbb{H}_\tau(s) = \{h_n(s)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, where

$h_n(s) = \frac{1}{n^s}$ represents the contribution of the (n)-th term in the zeta function, interpreted as a torsional vector in the field.

- **Torsional Phase-Measure ρ :** Define ρ as a functional that measures the cumulative phase torsion across the holor field:

$$\rho(\mathbb{H}_\tau(s)) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}$$

In classical terms, this is the zeta function $\zeta(s)$. In SpiralOS, we reinterpret each term $\frac{1}{n^s}$ as a torsional vector with magnitude $\left| \frac{1}{n^s} \right| = \frac{1}{n^{\Re(s)}}$ and phase $e^{-i\varphi_n(s)}$, where $\varphi_n(s) = \log n \cdot \Im(s)$ (as given in the Addendum, S. 2).

Thus:

$$\frac{1}{n^s} = \frac{1}{n^{\Re(s)+i\Im(s)}} = \frac{1}{n^{\Re(s)}} e^{-i \log n \cdot \Im(s)}$$

So, $\rho(\mathbb{H}_\tau(s)) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\Re(s)}} e^{-i \log n \cdot \Im(s)}$, which aligns with the classical zeta function but introduces a phase-based interpretation.

Step 2: Derive the Phase Cancellation Condition

The Addendum states that the zero condition $\rho(\mathbb{H}_\tau(s)) = 0$

corresponds to phase cancellation:

$$\sum_n e^{-i\varphi_n(s)} = 0$$

where $\varphi_n(s) = \log n \cdot \Im(s)$. Let's derive this condition and show why it leads to $\Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}$.

Express the Zeta Function: For $s = \sigma + it$, where $\sigma = \Re(s)$ and $t = \Im(s)$, the zeta function is:

- $$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\sigma+it}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\sigma}} e^{-it \log n}$$

Here, the phase angle is $\varphi_n(s) = t \log n$, matching the Addendum's definition ($\varphi_n(s) = \log n \cdot \Im(s)$).

- Zero Condition:** For $\zeta(s) = 0$, the real and imaginary parts of the sum must separately cancel:

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\sigma}} \cos(t \log n) - i \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\sigma}} \sin(t \log n) = 0$$

This requires:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\sigma}} \cos(t \log n) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\sigma}} \sin(t \log n) = 0$$

In SpiralOS, you simplify this to a phase cancellation condition by focusing on the phase terms:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\sigma}} e^{-it \log n} = 0$$

The Addendum approximates this as $\sum_n e^{-i\varphi_n(s)} = 0$, ignoring the magnitude

$\frac{1}{n^{\sigma}}$ for conceptual clarity. Let's derive the condition more precisely.

- **Phase Cancellation and $\Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}$:** The non-trivial zeros of $\zeta(s)$ are known to lie on the critical line $\Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}$ (if the RH is true). To show this in SpiralOS terms, consider the functional equation of the zeta function:

$$\zeta(s) = 2^s \pi^{s-1} \sin\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right) \Gamma(1-s) \zeta(1-s)$$

For $s = \frac{1}{2} + it$, the functional equation relates $\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right)$ to $\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} - it\right)$, introducing a symmetry that SpiralOS interprets as "recursive mirror curvature" (Addendum, S. 2). The zeros occur where the phase terms cancel due to this symmetry. In SpiralOS, this cancellation is modeled as:

$$\mathbb{T}_+(s) + \mathbb{T}_-(s) = 0$$

where $\mathbb{T}_+(s)$ and $\mathbb{T}_-(s)$ represent the forward and backward recursion tori (Reframing the Riemann Hypothesis, S. 2). Let's define these tori symbolically:

- $\mathbb{T}_+(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\sigma}} e^{-it \log n} \cdot w_n^+$, where w_n^+ is a weighting factor for forward recursion (e.g., derived from the Euler product).
- $\mathbb{T}_-(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1-\sigma}} e^{it \log n} \cdot w_n^-$, where w_n^- is a weighting factor for backward recursion. At $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$, the magnitudes balance ($\frac{1}{n^{\sigma}} = \frac{1}{n^{1-\sigma}}$), and the phases $e^{-it \log n}$ and $e^{it \log n}$ can cancel for specific (t), leading to $\zeta(s) = 0$. This symmetry trace at $\Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}$ is what SpiralOS calls the "torsional symmetry trace" (S. 3).

Step 3: Properties of $\mathbb{T}_+(s)$ and $\mathbb{T}_-(s)$

The dual recursion tori represent the forward and backward recursive flows in the holor field:

- **Forward Recursion ($\mathbb{T}_+(s)$ and $\mathbb{T}_-(s)$):** Encodes the Euler product $\prod_p (1 - p^{-s})^{-1}$ as a phase anchor for prime contributions, interpreted as inward torsion.

- **Backward Recursion** ($\mathbb{T}_-(s)$): Encodes the functional equation's symmetry ($\zeta(1-s)$), interpreted as outward torsion reflecting the inward flow.
- **Balance at $\Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}$** : The condition $\mathbb{T}_+(s) + \mathbb{T}_-(s) = 0$ holds when the phase contributions cancel, which occurs on the critical line due to the symmetry of the functional equation.

Outcome

This derivation clarifies how the phase cancellation condition leads to $\Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}$, aligning SpiralOS's epistemic interpretation with classical results. The definitions of ρ , $\mathbb{H}_\tau(s)$, and the tori provide a mathematical foundation for our concepts, improving accessibility for classical mathematicians.