
Jeff Dahmer's Alleged 1988 Conviction. What REALLY Happened To Somsack Sinthasomphone?



Now that we know all about the Archdiocese of Milwaukee's connection to this 'killer' show and the absence of any signed guilty pleas for the 1991 case, let's tak look at Jeff Dahmer's alleged 1988 conviction for supposedly molesting Somsack Sinthasomphone.

Let's compare Jeff's alleged conviction to Father Peter Burns' actual conviction f second degree sexual assault against an underage male. Remember, Father Peter was a close friend of the Sinthasomphone family. The Sinthasomphone family was brought to the US from Laos by the Archdiocese of Milwaukee.

Jeff's confession contains this scribbled document, purporting to be the judgeme conviction for Jeff's alleged 1988 second degree sexual assault against Somsack Sinthasomphone:

- 35-17 Inc., 7/951	STAIL OF
	YED, PROBATION ORDERED
STATE OF WAR	TRUBATION DRDERED
STATE OF WISCONSIN, Plaintiff	STATE OF WISCONSIN, Carruit Court Brance
Lettered Defrance	country Skelles Tukes "
Defendant Defendant	
The defendant entered his/her plea of guilty	Court Case No + \$83,2515
The Court Dury found the defendant guilty	not quilty no contest:
	His. Statutate) Mustaness Ch
Crime(s)	Violated /F
2º Schul Resault	Alla 12= (-)(1) 7
committee interis county and	944.12 7 6 9/2
committed in this County, and	777. A C /2
On Active 23 1484, the Court inquired of the sufficient grounds to the contrary being shown or appearing	he defendant why sentence should not be process
sufficient grounds to the contrary being shown or appearing attorney, defense counsel, and the defendant on opportunity	to the Court, and the Court having accorded the
evidence, records, and proceedings, the Court pronounced fin	to address the Court regarding sentence; and up
2	cornys and judgment as follows:
the Wisconsin State Prisons for an indeterminate terminate terminate	19 was convicted as found quite
the Wisconsin State Prisons for an indeterminate ter	of not more than # 5
Tays just I	Fige concurre
IT IS DETERMINED that society will not be harmed and pursuant to Sec. 973.09, Wis. Stats.;	d the defendant will beneft by being
period of Siles Pariot.	ved and the defendant to
period of	the custody and control of the Wisconsis Descrip-
of Health and Jocial Services, subject to its rules and order	's pursuant to Sec. 973.10, Pis. Stats.:
IT IS DETERMINED AND DROERED that the record some	The second second
None As ordered below:	ires court-imposed conditions as follows:
That the defendant has the shility to new withte the	ried the amounts ordered berein. Should big have
That the defendant has the ebility to pay within that pe condition change s/be shall forthwith petition this Courfines:	tor reconsideration of such conditions.
That the defendant has the ebility to pay within that pe condition change s/be shall forthwith petition this Courfines:	Court Costs: None S
That the defendant has the ebility to pay within that pe condition change s/be shall forthwith petition this Courfines: Shone S	tor reconsideration of such conditions.
That the defendant has the shility to pay within that pe condition change s/be shall forthwith petition this Courfines: Shone S Attorney Fees: Shone S Other: Shone S Mandatory	Court Costs: None S Restitution: None S
That the defendant has the shility to pay within that pe condition change s/be shall forthwith petition this Courfines: Shone Statement Fines: None Statement Shone Shone Statement Shone	Court Costs: None S
That the defendant has the ability to pay within that percondition change s/be shall forthwith petition this Courfines: Shane Sha	; Court Costs: None S S S S S S S S S
That the defendant has the ability to pay within that percondition change s/be shall forthwith petition this Courfines: None S	; Court Costs: None S S S S S S S S S
That the defendant has the shility to pay within that pe condition change s/be shall forthwith petition this Courfines: Shone S Attorney Fees: None S Other: None S Mandatory Victim/kiitness Surcharge Misdemeanor (# courfice. 973.045 Wis. Stats.) Paid See Amount S.	; Court Costs: None S S S S S S S S S
That the defendant has the ability to pay within that percondition change s/pe shall forthwith petition this Courfines: Shone Sho	; Court Costs: None S S S S S S S S S
That the defendant has the ability to pay within that pe condition change s/pe shall forthwith petition this Courfines: None S	Court Costs: None S Restitution: None S None S Restitution: None S
That the defendant has the shility to pay within that pe condition change s/pe shall forthwith petition this Courfines: None S	Court Costs: None S Restitution: None S None S Restitution: None S
That the defendant has the ability to pay within that percondition change s/pe shall forthwith petition this Courfines: Shone S	court Costs: None S Restitution: None S Restitution: None S
That the defendant has the ability to pay within that percondition change s/pe shall forthwith petition this Courfines: Shone S	court Costs: None S Restitution: None S Restitution: None S
That the defendant has the shility to pay within that pe condition change s/pe shall forthwith petition this Courfines: None S	court Costs: None S Restitution: None S Restitution: None S
That the defendant has the shility to pay within that pe condition change s/pe shall forthwith petition this Courfines: None S	court Costs: None S Restitution: None S Restitution: None S
That the defendant has the shility to pay within that percondition change s/pe shall forthwith petition this Courfines: None S	court Costs: None S Restitution: None S Restitution: None S
That the defendant has the shility to pay within that pe condition change s/pe shall forthwith petition this Courfines: None S	court Costs: None S Restitution: None S Restitution: None S
That the defendant has the shility to pay within that percondition change s/pe shall forthwith petition this Courfines: None S	court Costs: None S Restitution: None S Restitution: None S
That the defendant has the shility to pay within that percondition change s/pe shall forthwith petition this Courfines: None S	court Costs: None S Restitution: None S Restitution: None S Ints) • \$30.00 Amount \$ Ints) • \$20.00 Amount \$ Ints) • \$20.00 Amount \$ Into the following periods: Into the custody of the Department as it directly of the Condant shall deliver him/her to the Reception C Into the custody of the Department as it directly shall deliver him/her to the Reception C Into the custody of the Department as it directly shall deliver him/her to the Reception C Into the custody of the Department as it directly shall deliver him/her to the Reception C Into the custody of the Department as it directly shall deliver him/her to the Reception C Into the custody of the Department as it directly shall deliver him/her to the Reception C Into the custody of the Department as it directly shall deliver him/her to the Reception C Into the custody of the Department as it directly shall deliver him/her to the Reception C Into the custody of the Department as it directly shall deliver him/her to the Reception C Into the custody of the Department as it directly shall deliver him/her to the Reception C Into the custody of the Department as it directly shall deliver him/her to the Reception C Into the custody of the Department as it directly shall deliver him/her to the Reception C Into the custody of the Department as it directly shall deliver him/her to the Reception C Into the custody of the Department as it directly shall deliver him/her to the Reception C Into the custody of the Department as it directly shall deliver him/her to the Reception C Into the custody of the Department as it directly shall deliver him/her to the Reception C Into the custody of the Department as it directly shall deliver him/her to the Reception C Into the custody of the Department as it directly shall deliver him/her to the Reception C Into the custody of the Department as it directly shall deliver him/her to the Reception C Into the custody of the Department shall deliver him/

Here's what Father Peter Burns' judgement of conviction for second degree sexu assault looks like:

WISCONSIN -30106	Cin	CIRCUIT COURT BRANCH#[II			SHEBOYGAN COUNT JUDGEMENT OF CONVICTIO		
STATE OF WISCONSIN, PLAI	NTIFF	()Sentence to	WI State	Prisons	JODGEMEN	VI OF CONVICTIO	
vs		()Sentence Wit	thheld, P	robation Ord	lered		
PETER A. BURNS, Defendant		(X)Sentence Imp/Stayed, Probation Ordered					
6/12/59, DOB		Cs.#91CF256					
The defendant entered pleas	of: () Guilty () Not (guilty (X) No Conte	st				
The (X) Court () Jury found to	he defendant guilty	of the following cr	ime(s):				
CRIME(S)		WI STATUTE(S)		(F.OR.M)	(A-E)	DATE(S) COMMITTED	
2ND DEGREE SEXUAL ASSA	AULT	940.225(2)E		F	С	W/E 1/23/88- 2/6/88	
*91CF287 SEXUAL ASSAU	LT	940.225(2)E		F	С	FIRST 1/2 OF 1/87	
*THE ABOVE CHARGE WAS AS A READ IN FOR SENTEN- PURPOSES The defendant is convicted on the defendant is sentenced or	1/22/92					and the second	
X) is sentenced to the DODG	E CORRECTIONAL	NSTITUTION for 7	d: YRS,				
 X] is sentenced to the DODGI X] is placed on probation for X] is to pay: 	10 YRS MONTHS	NSTITUTION for 7	YRS,				
X] is sentenced to the DODGi X] is placed on probation for X] is to pay: fine of	E CORRECTIONAL I	NSTITUTION for 7	YRS,				
X] is sentenced to the DODGi X] is placed on probation for X] is to pay: fine of attorner	10 YRS MONTHS	NSTITUTION for 7	YRS,				
X) is sentenced to the DODGi X) is placed on probation for X) is to pay: fine of attorner court or	E CORRECTIONAL I	NSTITUTION for 7	YRS,				
X) is sentenced to the DODGi X) is placed on probation for X) is to pay: fine of attorned court or restituti	10 YRS MONTHS y fees of osts of	NSTITUTION for 7	YRS,				
X) is sentenced to the DODGi X) is placed on probation for X) is to pay: fine of attorner court or restituti other fe X) is to pay mandatory victim	10 YRS MONTHS y fees of osts of osts of ion of y witness surcharge	NSTITUTION for 7	YRS, \$ 42.00				
X) is sentenced to the DODGi XI is placed on probation for XI is to pay: fine of attorner court or restituti other fe X) is to pay mandatory victim Felony	10 YRS MONTHS y fees of osts of	NSTITUTION for 7	YRS, \$ 42.00		00		
X) is sentenced to the DODGi X) is placed on probation for X) is to pay: fine of attorner court or restituti other fe X) is to pay mandatory victim	10 YRS MONTHS y fees of osts of	NSTITUTION for 7	YRS, \$ 42.00	\$			
X) is sentenced to the DODGI X) is placed on probation for X) is to pay: fine of attorner court or restituti other fe X) is to pay mandatory victim Felony Misd X) is to be incarcerated in the period of CTIMS' COUNSELING FEES, ONTINUE PSYCHOLOGICAL ELEASED ON SATURDAY AN X) is granted work/study release	y fees of	O COM 6/24/92 @ O HONG THE NO UNSUPERVISE G	YRS, \$ 42.00 Total Print Fame Fame Fame Fame Fame Fame Fame Fame	tal \$50.0 MILWAUKEI MILIES EXCEI FACT WITH 1	E COUNTY PT THRU THE MINORS AND	ERAPY, MAY BE	
X) is sentenced to the DODGI XI is placed on probation for XI is to pay: fine of attorner court or restituti other for X) is to pay mandatory victim Felony Misd XI is to be incarcerated in the period of ICTIMS' COUNSELING FEES, ONTINUE PSYCHOLOGICAL ELEASED ON SATURDAY AN XI is granted work/study release IS ADJUDGED that Q days or robation and it is revoked. I IS ORDERED that the Sherif	y fees of	O COM 6/24/92 @ TH VICTIMS OR TH NO UNSUPERVISE G due pursuant to s.	YRS, \$ 42.00 Toto Prem in Heir Fame CONT 973.158	MILWAUKER MILIES EXCEI FACT WITH 1	E COUNTY PT THRU THE MINORS AND	MAY BE	
X) is sentenced to the DODGI XI is placed on probation for XI is to pay: fine of attorner court or restituti other for XI is to pay mandatory victim Felony Misd XI is to be incarcerated in the period of ICTIMS' COUNSELING FEES, ONTINUE PSYCHOLOGICAL ELEASED ON SATURDAY AN XI is granted work/study releas IS ADJUDGED that Q days or robation and it is revoked.	y fees of	O COM 6/24/92 @ TH VICTIMS OR THINO UNSUPERVISE G due pursuant to s. efendant into the c	YRS, \$ 42.00 Total Part of the control of the con	MILWAUKER MILIES EXCEI FACT WITH 1	E COUNTY PT THRU THE MINORS AND	MAY BE	
X) is sentenced to the DODGI X) is placed on probation for X) is to pay: fine of attorner court or restituti other for X) is to pay mandatory victim Felony Misd X) is to be incarcerated in the period of ICTIMS' COUNSELING FEES, ONTINUE PSYCHOLOGICAL ELEASED ON SATURDAY AN X) is granted work/study relear I IS ADJUDGED that 0 days or robation and it is revoked. I IS ORDERED that the Sherif /AUPUN.	y fees of y fees of osts of counts counts counts osts of osts o	O COM 6/24/92 @ TH VICTIMS OR THINO UNSUPERVISE G due pursuant to s. efendant into the c	Total State of the	MILWAUKER MILIES EXCEI FACT WITH I	E COUNTY PT THRU THE MINORS AND and shall be co	MAY BE	
attorner court of restitution other for X) is to pay mandatory victim Felony Misd XI is to be incarcerated in the period of ICTIMS' COUNSELING FEES, ONTINUE PSYCHOLOGICAL ELEASED ON SATURDAY AM XI is granted work/study relea I IS ADJUDGED that 0 days or robation and it is revoked. I IS ORDERED that the Sherif /AUPUN. NAME OF JUDGE	y fees of	O COM 6/24/92 @ TH VICTIMS OR THINO UNSUPERVISE G due pursuant to s. efendant into the c	YRS, \$ 42.00 Tot D7PM IN HEIR FAMED CONT 973.155 sustody of BY T Circu 4/24	MILWAUKER MILIES EXCEL FACT WITH I	E COUNTY PT THRU THE MINORS AND and shall be co	redited if on	

Father Peter Burns committed this offense in 1988. They allege Jeff committed the same exact offense in 1988 as well. They claim Jeff committed the offense against

Somsack Sinthasomphone, a boy Father Peter Burns, convicted pedophile, knew (Here's a 104 page PDF containing more information about Father Peter Burns.)

Because of what Jeff Dahmer allegedly did to Somsack, the Sinthasomphone fam was able to sue the City of Milwaukee and receive \$850,000.


We also know that most of the key players in this story were connected to the Archdiocese of Milwaukee, which was drowning at the time in lawsuits related t pedophile priests:

- DA McCann was a close friend of Archbishop Rembert Weakland.
- Gerald Boyle was representing the pedophile priests.
- The assistant DA Gregory O'Meara went on to become a Catholic priest.
- The two dismissed police officers assisted Gerald Boyle in his defense of the pedophile priests.


The Archdiocese's problem with pedophile priests was so bad they had to eventufile bankruptcy.

Did Jeff Dahmer's alleged 1988 "conviction" save the Archdiocese of Milwaukee an \$850,000 lawsuit stemming from what Father Peter Burns did to Somsack Sinthasomphone?

There's no evidence Jeff ever lived at the address where this alleged sexual assaul against Somsack Sinthasomphone took place. Ancestry.com lists all Jeff's addres going back to his family home on Bath Road in Ohio.



According to Ancestry.com Jeff was living at his grandmother's when this allege sexual assault took place:



5/5/25, 10:06 PM

We've asked for the mugshots for Jeff's alleged 1988 case and were refused. This undoubtedly why you can't find them anywhere online.

We also asked the County Clerk in Milwaukee for information documenting a preliminary hearing, an arraignment, or grandma Dahmer signing a signature bc All they have to show me is highlighted in yellow. Looks like someone created th minimum to fabricate the case:

From: Record's Desk Pages: 1 - includes cover

Milwaukee County Clerk of Circuit Court, Criminal Division - Records

Phone: (414) 278-4345 Fax: (414) 223-1262

Email: Jodi.Feezor@WIcourts.gov

Re: Records requested

Per your request, the following information is available:

Defendant(s) name: Jeffrey Lionel Dahmer

DOB: 05/21/1960

Case number(s) 1988CF882515

Document(s): Initial Appearance Transcript, Sentencing Transcript &


Judgment of Conviction

Note that they don't have any record of someone signing a signature bond for Jef According to Lionel Dahmer's book, "A Father's Story", Jeff's grandmother paid bail:

Upon hearing this news, I was outraged, even though by then, I had ceased to be surply anything Jeff might do. In any event, I only remember going through the necessary motic order to ensure that Jeff would get whatever he needed in his current situation. I found an attended to defend Jeff, and made the necessary arrangements for my mother to make his two-thou dollar bail.

A few days later, Jeff was released. Once again, he looked as he had so often on such occa—embarrassed, ashamed, deeply depressed.


In contrast, the court shows that Father Peter Burns paid \$10,000 bail for the san offense. This information is not available for Jeff Dahmer:



This alleged 1988 conviction for Jeff Dahmer also doesn't show up in background searches. The *only* conviction that shows up for Jeff Dahmer in a background sea the Ohio conviction.



Discussion about this post





Petra Liverani Psyop detective Aug 10, 2023

Liked by The Dahmer Case

Incredible.



LIKED (3) C REPLY



Passionatereds's Substack Nov 18, 2023

Liked by The Dahmer Case

I'm new to your substack and substack in general, but I had to join once I started reading you I started from the beginning, but I just had to make a comment now.

I'm only vaguely familiar with the official narrative of this case, as I was a young child when it happened. Yes, I saw the Netflix "documentary/retelling," but I didn't start doing my own rese past events until this year. From what I've been finding in my own studies, I don't believe any the mainstream media touts anymore. As soon as I saw what your posts (stack? I don't know here, yet) are about, I just shook my head and went, "it probably WAS a psyop!" Not everyone accept the truth.

There had to have been a reason for them to fabricate such a lie. I am sold now because of th Everything before this has been eye opening as well. I'm excited to see what else you have to

Great job!



LIKED (2) REPLY

3 replies by The Dahmer Case and others

4 more comments...

© 2025 The Dahmer Case · <u>Privacy</u> · <u>Terms</u> · <u>Collection notice</u> Substack is the home for great culture