Internet Engineering Task Force INTERNET DRAFT

Ting Cai Paul Leach Ye Gu Yaron Y. Goland Microsoft Corporation February 26, 1999 Expires August 1999

#### Status of this Memo

This document is an Internet-Draft and is in full conformance with all provisions of Section 10 of RFC2026.

This document is an Internet-Draft. Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet- Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <a href="http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt">http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt</a>.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <a href="http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html">http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html</a>.

#### Abstract

The Simple Service Discovery Protocol (SSDP) provides a mechanism where by network clients, with little or no static configuration, can discover desired network services. SSDP uses HTTP over multicast and unicast UDP to provide two functions: OPTIONS and ANNOUNCE. OPTIONS is used to determine if a desired network service exists on the network. ANNOUNCE is used by network services to announce their existence.

## 1. Introduction

With the growing number of small peer-to-peer TCP/IP networks, such as home or small office networks, computer users need a way to

discover resources in a network easily, quickly, dynamically, and without any a priori knowledge. This document proposes a discovery protocol that meets this user requirement.

Cai, Leach, Gu and Goland

[Page 1]

INTERNET-DRAFT

SSDP/V1

February 26, 1999

The proposed protocol is called the Simple Service Discovery Protocol (or SSDP). SSDP performs only discovery. It leaves any additional service description and/or negotiation to a higher layer service-specific protocol.

Using SSDP, operating systems and applications can discover SSDP-enabled services in a network dynamically by issuing service queries. Services that match the queries respond with their network locations and protocols to be used to communicate with them. In addition, services can make their presence known through unsolicited announcements.

#### 2. Terminology

#### Service Provider

A resource that provides one or more network services, such as printing.

#### SSDP Server

A resource that advertises services and responds to service queries on behalf of one or more Service Providers.

#### SSDP Client

A resource that locates services of interest by sending out service queries and gathering responses.

In addition, the key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [2].

#### 3. Protocol Overview

SSDP-enabled services are discoverable in two ways. Firstly, a SSDP Client sends a service query using an OPTIONS message. The OPTIONS message is encapsulated in an UDP packet and sent to a reserved multicast IP address and port. SSDP Servers MUST always listen for

service queries. They MUST respond to the SSDP Client's query using one or more Reply messages, if they represent Service Providers that match those specified in the query. In addition, SSDP Servers MUST wait for a randomly selected period between MIN\_REPLY\_DELAY\_INTERVAL and MAX\_REPLY\_DELAY\_INTERVAL (inclusively) before it sends any Reply message.

The SSDP Client times out if it does not receive a Reply message for a service query within RETRY\_TIMEOUT\_INTERVAL. The SSDP Client SHOULD retransmit another OPTIONS message at a randomly selected time between MIN\_RETRY\_INTERVAL and MAX\_RETRY\_INTERVAL (inclusively) after the timeout. It SHOULD re-send the OPTIONS message up to MAX\_RETRIES times. Re-sent OPTIONS messages SHOULD use the same Request-ID as the original request.

Cai, Leach, Gu and Goland

[Page 2]

INTERNET-DRAFT

SSDP/V1

February 26, 1999

## 4. Multicast and UDP

SSDP transmits its OPTIONS and ANNOUNCE messages using multicast UDP. A multicast IP address and two port numbers will be reserved for the SSDP. SSDP Servers MUST listen on the reserved address and the first port for OPTIONS messages. They MUST send ANNOUNCE messages to the reserved address and the second port.

[Ed. Note: It is the intention of the authors to produce a separate draft that specifies how to use HTTP over unicast and multicast UDP. The SSDP draft will then reference this other draft.]

# 5. Header Definitions

SSDP uses the HTTP message syntax, methods and headers. Small changes have been made in order to enable proper functionality over UDP multicast/unicast. HTTP headers and methods that appear in this document but are not defined explicitly MUST follow their definitions in the HTTP specification [3].

#### 5.1. Host Header

The Host header in HTTP specifies the Internet host and port number of the resource being requested. In a multicast environment the value of the Host header should be the multicast address and port.

## 5.2. Alt-Locations Header

The Alt-Locations header is used in conjunction with the Location header. When present, it lists alternative location(s) to the one specified in the Location header for completion of the request.

Alt-Locations = "Alt-Locations" ":" 1#('<' AbsoluteURI '>')

AbsoluteURI is defined in the HTTP specification [3].

The Alt-Locations header is an extension of the Location header although it MAY be used without the Location header. Resources SHOULD first try to resolve the URI in the Location header and only continue on to the Alt-Locations header if the URI in the Location header could not be successfully resolved or if there is no Location header. Entries in the Alt-Locations header should then be resolved, in order.

[Ed. Note: Since there are at least two specs which want to use this header, it is likely this header will be split out into its own spec.]

Cai, Leach, Gu and Goland

[Page 3]

INTERNET-DRAFT

SSDP/V1

February 26, 1999

#### 5.3. Request-ID Header

The Request-ID header is used to correlate responses from different hosts for a particular request and to distinguish responses for different service requests.

Request-ID = "Request-ID" ":" AbsoluteURI

In order to prevent the possibility of collisions Request-Ids must be unique across all requests from all resources for all time. One URI able to meet this requirement is the UUID URI that is defined as follows:

UUID\_URI = 'UUID' ':' UUID [Extension]

The UUID and Extension productions are defined in [4].

[Ed. Note: It is the author's intention to put the UUID URI definition in its own draft and to then make SSDP dependent on that draft.]

[Ed. Note: Technically a Request-ID only needs to be unique to the resource that sent it. However this requires that the Request-ID be associated with some other value in order to tell one Request-ID from another. The most obvious value would be the IP address that sent the multicast UDP request. However IP addresses are constantly re-assigned and so using them to differentiate Request-Ids could cause a collision.]

# 6. Method Definitions

#### 6.1. OPTIONS

## <u>6.1.1</u>. Request

SSDP uses the OPTIONS method to determine if services are available on the network.

The Request-URI is the URI of the service to be discovered. The HTTP/1.1 reserved Request-URI '\*' is interpreted as meaning all services.

[Ed. Note: Need to add a section explaining how URIs are used to identify services.]

The OPTIONS message MUST include a Host header and a Request-ID header. Optionally, it MAY contain a Content-Length header, a Content-Type header and an Entity Body [3]. The Entity Body is used to provide additional information to select a Service Provider. If the resource processing the request does not understand the request body then it MUST ignore the request-body.

Cai, Leach, Gu and Goland

[Page 4]

INTERNET-DRAFT

SSDP/V1

February 26, 1999

Below is an example of an OPTIONS message.

OPTIONS /ietf/ipp/printer HTTP/1.1

Host: 239.255.255.254:999

Request-ID: uuid:f81d4fae-7dec-11d0-a765-00a0c91e6bf6

Alt-Locations: <a href="httpu://foo.com/bar:1270><a href="http://foo.com/bar:80">http://foo.com/bar:80></a>

Please note that the multicast address and port are not the SSDP reserved multicast address and port and are only included as an example.

In this example the sender requests that a response be sent using HTTP unicast UDP if at all possible. If not then the response should be sent using normal HTTP. Note, however, that this requires establishing a TCP/IP connection and then sending a response. This behavior is not provided for by [3]. However, by including an HTTP URL in the Location header of an HTTPU or HTTPMU request the sender is indicating their support for receiving the response directly over TCP/IP.

## 6.1.2. Response

If a Location and/or Alt-Locations header is included in the request then the response MUST be directed to the first URI the resource has the ability to respond to. If the resource can not respond to any of the listed URIs then a response MUST NOT be sent.

If no Location and/or Alt-Locations header is included then the resource MUST respond to the sender using unicast UDP.

In either case the response MUST contain the same Request-ID as the request.

The URI scheme name httpu is reserved for use with HTTP unicast UDP. The URI scheme name httpmu is reserved for use with HTTP multicast UDP.

[Ed. Note: Both schemes will be placed in the HTTP over UDP
document.]

All resources that are able to accept incoming multicast UDP HTTP requests MUST be able to respond using unicast UDP HTTP.

A 200 response to a multicast UDP OPTIONS request indicates that the response includes all OPTIONS information. A 3xx series response may also be used to direct the client to another location where they SHOULD retry their request.

The Reply message SHOULD include a Location and/or Alt-Locations headers. Optionally it MAY contain a Content-Length header, a Content-Type header and an Entity Body. If the resource does not

understand the response body then the default behavior is to ignore the response body.

Below is an example of a Reply message.

HTTP/1.1 302 Found

Request-ID: uuid:f81d4fae-7dec-11d0-a765-00a0c91e6bf6

Location: ipp://printServer1/printerA

Alt-Locations:

#### 6.2. ANNOUNCE

## <u>6.2.1</u>. Request

The ANNOUNCE method represents an unsolicited message from an SSDP Server to announce the availability of Service Providers. The Request-URI is the URI of the service being announced.

The Location header [3] specifies the protocol end-point of a Service Provider. This header MUST contain the protocol that can be used to communicate with the Service Provider.

Furthermore, if the Service Provider supports multiple protocols, an Alt-Location header is used to identify the additional protocol endpoints.

The ANNOUNCE message SHOULD include a Location header. It SHOULD also include an Alt-Locations header if the Service Provider has more than one URI. The ANNOUNCE method SHOULD NOT contain the Request-ID header. Optionally, it MAY contain a Content-Length header, a Content-Type header and an Entity Body. If the resource processing the request does not understand the request body then it MUST ignore the request-body.

Below is an example of an ANNOUNCE message.

ANNOUNCE dmtf:printer HTTP/1.1

Host: 239.255.255.254:999

Location: ipp://printServer1/printerA

Alt-Locations:

Please note that the multicast address and port are not the SSDP reserved multicast address and port and are only included as an example.

[Ed. Note: Using the service class as the request-URI of the announce method certainly seems nifty, but I'm not sure it is the

right choice. From a practical point of view there appear to be two choices. Set the Request-URI for all ANNOUNCE methods to be "\*" or use some sort of URI. "\*" isn't terribly useful as it means that all ANNOUNCE methods get processed by one and only one resource. However using the service class as the request-URI means that we end up with

Cai, Leach, Gu and Goland

[Page 6]

INTERNET-DRAFT

SSDP/V1

February 26, 1999

two very different types of resources sharing the same request-URI. One type is the type who takes in and responds to OPTIONS methods. The second type is the type who takes in and records ANNOUNCE methods. The trick is that the relationship between these two resources is circular. The resource which responds to OPTIONS methods is the one sending the ANNOUNCE methods. The one who records ANNOUNCE methods is the one who sends OPTIONS methods. But they both have the same request-URI. I'm not sure if this is really a problem but it is food for thought.]

## 6.2.2. Response

There is no response to an ANNOUNCE request.

#### 7. Practical Considerations

## <u>7.1</u>. Multiple-Functional Devices

A multiple-functional device provides several services in the same unit. The SSDP Server for a multiple-functional device MUST send an ANNOUNCE message for each of the device functions (i.e., Service Providers). For example, a device that combines a scanner and a fax machine would send out the following ANNOUNCE messages:

#### Announce 1:

ANNOUNCE /ietf/scanner HTTP/1.1

HOST: 239.255.255.254:999

Location: scannerProtocol://deviceY

### Announce 2:

ANNOUNCE itu://devices/fax HTTP/1.1

HOST: 239.255.255.254:999

Location: faxProtocol://deviceY

#### 7.2. SSDP Server Proxy

For legacy devices that do not have their own SSDP Servers, a SSDP

Server Proxy can be configured to announce services and respond to service queries on behalf of legacy devices. With a SSDP Server Proxy, legacy devices can be made discoverable in the same way as devices with built-in SSDP Servers.

## 8. Security Considerations

SSDP does not provide a mechanism to sign or encrypt its requests. The authors feel that authentication/encryption for HTTP UDP is of sufficient general interest that it should be addressed in its own specification. SSDP will be made dependent upon this authentication/encryption specification.

[Ed. Note: Section 6.19 of the SIP spec
(http://www.ietf.org/internet-drafts/draft-ietf-mmusic-sip-12.txt)

Cai, Leach, Gu and Goland

[Page 7]

INTERNET-DRAFT

SSDP/V1

February 26, 1999

provides an example of how one can encrypt individual HTTP messages.]

## 9. Appendix A: Constants

#### MAX REPLY DELAY INTERVAL

A parameter that indicates the maximum number of seconds that a SSDP Server MUST wait before it responds to a OPTIONS message. This parameter SHOULD be configurable and the default value SHOULD be 8.

## MIN\_REPLY\_DELAY\_INTERVAL

A parameter that indicates the minimum number of seconds that a SSDP Server MUST wait before it responds to a OPTIONS message. This parameter SHOULD be configurable and the default value SHOULD be 0.

## MAX\_RETRIES

A parameter that indicates the maximum number of times that a SSDP Client SHOULD repeat sending OPTIONS messages for a particular service query. This parameter SHOULD be configurable and the default value SHOULD be 3.

# MAX\_RETRY\_INTERVAL

A parameter that indicates the maximum number of seconds that a SSDP Client MUST wait (after each timeout) before it retransmits another OPTIONS message for a particular service query. This parameter SHOULD be configurable and the default value SHOULD be 10.

# MIN\_RETRY\_INTERVAL

A parameter that indicates the minimum number of seconds that a SSDP Client MUST wait (after each timeout) before it retransmits another OPTIONS message for a particular service query. This parameter SHOULD be configurable and the default value SHOULD be 3.

## RETRY\_TIMEOUT\_INTERVAL

A parameter that indicates the number of seconds that a SSDP Client MUST wait for a Reply message to a Discovery message before it times out. This parameter SHOULD be configurable and the default value SHOULD be 15.

## 10. Acknowledgements

This document is a joint contribution of numerous people, including Ting Cai, Paul Leach, Munil Shah, Holly Knight, Ye Gu, Peter Ford, Pradeep Bahl, and Shivaun Albright. Special thanks to Holly Knight for her patient reviews and detailed comments. We also appreciate valuable feedback from Paul Moore, Babak Jahromi, Brandon Watson, Michel Guittet, Todd Fisher, and Craig White.

Cai, Leach, Gu and Goland

[Page 8]

INTERNET-DRAFT

SSDP/V1

February 26, 1999

## 11. References

- 1. T. Berners-Lee, R. Fielding and L. Masinter. Uniform Resource Identifiers (URI): Generic Syntax. <u>RFC 2396</u>, August 1998.
- 2. S. Bradner. Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels. RFC 2119, March 1997.
- 3. R. Fielding, J. Gettys, J. Mogul, H. Frystyk, and T. Berners-Lee. Hypertext Transfer Protocol -- HTTP/1.1. RFC 2068,

  January 1997.

4. Y. Goland, E. Whitehead, A. Faizi, S. Carter, and D. Jensen.

HTTP Extensions for Distributed Authoring û WEBDAV. RFC 2518,

February 1999.

## 12. Author's Addresses

Ting Cai, Paul Leach, Ye Gu, Yaron Y. Goland Microsoft Corporation One Microsoft Way Redmond, WA 98052

Email: {tingcai, paulle, yegu, yarong}@microsoft.com
This document will expire in August 1999.