Squeezed States of Light

PH3203 Term Paper

Debayan Sarkar, 22MS002
Diptanuj Sarkar, 22MS038
Sabarno Saha 22MS037

2025-05-03

IISERK

1. Introduction

2. Literature Review

3. A Primer

PAM Dirac first put forth the quantization of the EM field into decoupled harmonic oscillators. A full derivation can be found in [1]. We use the same operators, just using different notation from his derivations. We have already seen that we quantize our electric field as,

$$\boldsymbol{E}(r,t) = \boldsymbol{E}^{+}(r,t) + \boldsymbol{E}^{-}(r,t)$$

where $\boldsymbol{E}^{+}(r,t)$ is the positive frequency part and $\boldsymbol{E}^{-}(r,t)$ is the negative frequency part.

We will define some semblance of what coherence is in Quantum Optics [2]. To do this we define the first order correlation function as

$$G^{(1)}(r_1, t_1; r_2, t_2) = \langle \boldsymbol{E}^{-}(r_1, t_1)\boldsymbol{E}^{+}(r_2, t_2)\rangle$$

In general we can define the nth order correlation functions. In order to write notation compactly, let us write $(\boldsymbol{r_j}, t_j) = x_j$. So our nth order correlation function is given to be

$$G^{(n)}(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, x_{n+1}, ..., x_{2n}) = \langle \boldsymbol{E}^{-}(x_1)...\boldsymbol{E}^{-}(x_n)\boldsymbol{E}^{+}(x_{n+1})...\boldsymbol{E}^{+}(x_{2n})\rangle$$

We use a certain normalization convention for the 1st order correlation function. We define the normalized correlation function as

$$g^{(1)}(x_1, x_2) = \frac{G^{(1)}(x_1, x_2)}{\sqrt{G^{(1)}(x_1, x_1)G^{(1)}(x_2, x_2)}}$$

Generalizing this, we can define the normalized nth order normalized correlation function as The nth order normalized correlation function is defined as

$$g^{(n)}(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, x_{n+1}, ..., x_{2n}) = \frac{G^{(n)}(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, x_{n+1}, ..., x_{2n})}{\prod_{i=1}^{2n} G^{(1)}(x_i, x_i)}$$

where $G^{(n)}(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, x_{n+1}, ..., x_{2n}) = \langle \boldsymbol{E}^-(x_1)...\boldsymbol{E}^-(x_n)\boldsymbol{E}^+(x_{n+1})...\boldsymbol{E}^+(x_{2n})\rangle$

The main point of this article to talk about squeezed states of light. To talk about these states, we need to talk about the second order normalized correlation function. The second order normalized correlation function is defined as

$$g^{(2)}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = \frac{G^{(2)}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)}{\sqrt{G^{(1)}(x_1, x_1)G^{(1)}(x_2, x_2)G^{(1)}(x_3, x_3)G^{(1)}(x_4, x_4)}}$$

The first order normalized correlation function is given by

$$g^{(1)}(x_1, x_2) = \frac{G^{(1)}(x_1, x_2)}{\sqrt{G^{(1)}(x_1, x_1) G^{(1)}(x_2, x_2)}}$$

Note that this is similar to the classical definition of coherence. The numerator is sort of the interference term, from which we can see when do the waves at x_1, x_2 interfere.

Here the conditions for coherence is defined as

$$|g^{(1)}(x_1, x_2)| = 1$$

for all x_1, x_2.

Generalizing, the conditions for nth order coherence is defined as

$$|g^{(j)}| = 1$$

for all $j \leq n$

4. Coherent States

4.1 Coherence in Coherent States

The second order correlation function, with parameters x_1, x_2 is given by

$$g^2(x_1, x_2) = \frac{G^{(2)}(x_1, x_2, x_1, x_2)}{G^{(1)}(x_1, x_1)G^{(1)}(x_2, x_2)}$$
$$= \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{E}^-(x_1)\boldsymbol{E}^-(x_2)\boldsymbol{E}^+(x_1)\boldsymbol{E}^+(x_2)\rangle}{G^{(1)}(x_1, x_1)G^{(1)}(x_2, x_2)}$$

We note that $\boldsymbol{E}^+$ is an anhilation operator which reduces photon number and $\boldsymbol{E}^-$ is a creation operator which increases photon number. So we can write $N_E = \boldsymbol{E}^-\boldsymbol{E}^+$ is a number operator which counts the number of photons. If the electric fields are classical , the number N_E is a representation of the intensity of the light. So we can write the second order correlation function as

$$g^2(x_1, x_2) = \frac{\left\langle : N_{E(x_1)} N_{E(x_2)} : \right\rangle}{\left\langle N_{E(x_1)} \right\rangle \left\langle N_{E(x_2)} \right\rangle}$$

where, $: X :$ represents the normal ordering of the operator X. The normal ordering of an operator is defined as the ordering of the operators such that all the creation operators are to the left of the annihilation operators.

For example, for an operator $M = a^\dagger a b^\dagger b$, the normally ordered operator is

$$: M := a^\dagger b^\dagger a b$$

.

Here, we consider time $t_1 = t$ and $t_2 = t + \tau$, and consider that we have stationary fields. So we can write the second order correlation function for $t_1 = 0, t_2 = \tau$ as

$$g^2(\tau) = g^2(0, \tau) = \frac{\left\langle : N_{E(0)} N_{E(\tau)} : \right\rangle}{\left\langle N_{E(0)} \right\rangle \left\langle N_{E(\tau)} \right\rangle}$$

4.1 Coherence in Coherent States

For coherent states of the electric field, which are the eigenstates of the $\hat{a} = \boldsymbol{E}^{+}$ operator.

$$g^{(2)}(0) = 1$$

For short counting times, the time delay in the second order correlation function is $\tau = 0$.

For sufficiently short counting times, the variance of the photon number distribution $V(n)$ is related to $g^{(2)}(0)$ by the relation

$$\frac{V(n) - \langle n \rangle}{\langle n \rangle^2} = g^{(2)}(0) - 1$$

We know that photon statistics in the coherent state is poissonian. For poissonian statistics, the variance is given by $V(n) = \langle n \rangle$. So for poissonian statistics, we have $g^{(2)}(0) = 1$. When $g^{(0)} < 1$, we have sub-poissonian statistics and when $g^{(2)}(0) > 1$, we have super-poissonian statistics. Sub-poissonian statistics exhibit a phenomenon called photon antibunching.

5. Squeezed States

The time dependent electric field operator is some specififc polarization direction for one single mode is given by [1]:

$$E(t) = \lambda\left(\hat{a}e^{-i\omega t} + \hat{a}^{\dagger}e^{i\omega t}\right)$$

where λ is a constant that contains information about the spatial wave functions. For more modes, we add up multiple different hilbert spaces of SHO, with different frequencies. We then write

$$a = X_1 + iX_2$$

where we can see from the SHO equations, X_1 and X_2 are rescaled versions of the position and momentum operators, which obey the commutation relation $[X_1, X_2] = \frac{i}{2}$. We can then write the electric field operator as

$$E(t) = 2\lambda(X_1 \cos(\omega t) + X_2 \sin(\omega t))$$

where X_1, X_2 are the amplitudes of the two quadratures of the field.

We use the generalized Heisenberg uncertainity principle to define the uncertainty in the two quadratures of the field. We can write the uncertainty in the two quadratures as

$$\Delta X_1 \Delta X_2 \geq \frac{1}{4}$$

where $\Delta X_i = \sqrt{\langle X_i^2 \rangle - \langle X_i \rangle^2}$.

We are interested in a states with minimum uncertainty. To do that we must have, $\Delta X_1 \Delta X_2 = \frac{1}{4}$. A class of these states are the states with $\Delta X_1 = \Delta X_2 = \frac{1}{2}$ and $V(X_1) = V(X_2) = \frac{1}{4}$, where $V(X)$ is the variance of the operator X. These are our coherent states, which are the eigenstates of the anhilation operator in the quantized electric field description.

A larger class is by taking the variance in one quadrature to be lower than $\frac{1}{4}$ and the variance in the other quadrature to be more than that. These class of states are the called the squeezed states. We are interested in squeezed states which can be defined by the condition $V(X_i) < \frac{1}{4}$ where $i = 1$ or 2.

We can also use a constrant on the normally ordered Variance of on the quadrature phases. We can write the variance of the quadrature phase as $V(X_i) = \langle X_i^2 \rangle - \langle X_i \rangle^2$. Lets define what our normally ordered variance is. We can write the normally ordered variance as

$$V(X_i) = \langle : X_i^2 : \rangle - \langle : X_i : \rangle^2$$

where $: A :$ is the normally ordered operator. For our case, we can say

The normally ordered variance of the quadrature phase is given by

$$:V(X_i): + \frac{1}{4} = V(X_i)$$

This implies that for squeezed states, $:V(X_i):< 0$ for $i = 1$ or 2.

The Glauber Sudarshan P representation of the density operator for a light field is given by

$$\rho = \int d^2\alpha P(\alpha)|\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|$$

where $P(\alpha)$ is the Glauber-Sudarshan P function, and $d^2\alpha = d\,\mathrm{Re}(\alpha) d\,\mathrm{Im}(\alpha)$

If we find a function that is positive and non singular, we can write the density operator in the form of a classical statistical ensemble. The quantum mechanical average resemble classical averaging procedures when the P function is positive non singular function. Then for normal ordered operators the classical statistical averaging is same as the quantum mechanical averaging.

The Variance of the X_1 operator using the glauber sudarshan P representation of the squeezed state is given by

$$V(X_1) = \frac{1}{4}\left[1 + \int d^2\alpha P(\alpha)\left\{(\alpha + \alpha^*) - \left(\langle a\rangle + \langle a^\dagger\rangle\right)\right\}^2\right]$$

From this we can see that there is no positive P function for squeezed states. This shows that photon antibunching is an inherent quantum phenomenon.

Squeezed States are defined as the state obtained by the action of the operator $\hat{D}(\alpha)\hat{S}(\zeta)$ on the vaccum number state $|0\rangle$

$$|\alpha, \zeta\rangle = \hat{D}(\alpha)\hat{S}(\zeta)|0\rangle$$

Where the concerned operators are defined as,[3], [4]

$$\hat{D}(\alpha) = e^{-\frac{|\alpha|^2}{2}} e^{\alpha \hat{a}^\dagger} e^{-\alpha^* \hat{a}}$$

$$\hat{S}(\zeta) = e^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\zeta^* \hat{a}^2 - \zeta \hat{a}^{\dagger 2}\right)}$$

where, $\zeta = re^{i\theta}$ with $r > 0$.

$\hat{D}(\alpha)$ and $\hat{S}(\zeta)$ are the translation and the squeeze operator respectively. It can be shown that,

$$\hat{D}(\alpha)^{\dagger}\hat{D}(\alpha) = \hat{S}(\zeta)^{\dagger}\hat{S}(\zeta) = 1$$

i.e., both the operators are unitary. This will help us a lot in the following section, where we will be looking at the expectations and variances of a few relevant quantities.

We wish to compute $\langle \hat{n} \rangle$ for the squeezed state $|\alpha, \zeta\rangle$

We know that

$$\hat{n} = \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}$$

Now, to compute the epectation of $\hat{n}$ in the Squeezed state we have to evaluate the following expression

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \hat{n} \rangle &= \left\langle 0 \middle| \hat{S}(\zeta)^\dagger \hat{D}(\alpha)^\dagger \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \hat{D}(\alpha) \hat{S}(\zeta) \middle| 0 \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle 0 \middle| \hat{S}(\zeta)^\dagger \hat{D}(\alpha)^\dagger \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{D}(\alpha) \hat{D}(\alpha)^\dagger \hat{a} \hat{D}(\alpha) \hat{S}(\zeta) \middle| 0 \right\rangle \qquad \left[\because \hat{D}(\alpha)^\dagger \hat{D}(\alpha) = 1\right] \\
&= \left\langle 0 \middle| \hat{S}(\zeta)^\dagger \hat{D}(\alpha)^\dagger \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{D}(\alpha) \hat{S}(\zeta) \hat{S}(\zeta)^\dagger \hat{D}(\alpha)^\dagger \hat{a} \hat{D}(\alpha) \hat{S}(\zeta) \middle| 0 \right\rangle \left[\because \hat{S}(\zeta)^\dagger \hat{S}(\zeta) = 1\right]
\end{aligned}$$

First, we evaluate the operator $\hat{D}(\alpha)^\dagger \hat{a} \hat{D}(\alpha)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{D}(\alpha)^{\dagger}\hat{a}\hat{D}(\alpha) &= e^{-|\alpha|^2} e^{-\alpha\hat{a}^{\dagger}} e^{-\alpha^*\hat{a}} \hat{a} e^{\alpha\hat{a}^{\dagger}} e^{-\alpha^*\hat{a}} \\
&= e^{-|\alpha|^2} e^{-\alpha\hat{a}^{\dagger}} e^{-\alpha^*\hat{a}} \left(e^{\alpha\hat{a}^{\dagger}} \hat{a} + \left[\hat{a}, e^{\alpha\hat{a}^{\dagger}}\right] \right) e^{-\alpha^*\hat{a}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now let us compute the comutator relation $\left[\hat{a}, e^{\alpha\hat{a}^{\dagger}}\right]$ which is given by,

$$
\left[\hat{a}, e^{\alpha\hat{a}^{\dagger}}\right] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^n \left[\hat{a}, \hat{a}^{\dagger n}\right]}{n!}
$$

We can easily show by induction that, $\left[\hat{a}, \hat{a}^{\dagger n}\right] = n\hat{a}^{\dagger n-1}$. Then the commutator evaluates to,

$$\left[\hat{a}, e^{\alpha\hat{a}^\dagger}\right] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n\alpha^n {\hat{a}^\dagger}^{n-1}}{n!}$$
$$= \alpha \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\alpha\hat{a}^\dagger\right)^n}{n!}$$
$$= \alpha e^{\alpha\hat{a}^\dagger}$$

Substituting this commutator relation back into the expression for $\hat{D}(\alpha)^\dagger \hat{a} \hat{D}(\alpha)$ we get,

$$\hat{D}(\alpha)^\dagger \hat{a} \hat{D}(\alpha) = e^{-|\alpha|^2} e^{-\alpha\hat{a}^\dagger} e^{-\alpha^*\hat{a}} \left(e^{\alpha\hat{a}^\dagger}\hat{a} + \alpha e^{\alpha\hat{a}^\dagger}\right) e^{-\alpha^*\hat{a}}$$
$$= e^{-|\alpha|^2} e^{-\alpha\hat{a}^\dagger} e^{-\alpha^*\hat{a}} e^{\alpha\hat{a}^\dagger} e^{-\alpha^*\hat{a}} (\alpha + \hat{a})$$
$$= \alpha + \hat{a}$$

Taking the dagger of this equation on both sides we can also see that,

$$\hat{D}(\alpha)^\dagger \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{D}(\alpha) = \alpha^* + \hat{a}^\dagger$$

Now substituting these expressions for $\hat{D}(\alpha)^\dagger \hat{a} \hat{D}(\alpha)$ and $\hat{D}(\alpha)^\dagger \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{D}(\alpha)$ into our expression for $\langle \hat{n} \rangle$ we get,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \hat{n} \rangle &= \left\langle 0 \middle| \hat{S}(\zeta)^\dagger \left(\hat{a}^\dagger + \alpha^*\right) \hat{S}(\zeta) \hat{S}(\zeta)^\dagger (\hat{a} + \alpha) \hat{S}(\zeta) \middle| 0 \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle 0 \middle| \left(\hat{S}(\zeta)^\dagger \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{S}(\zeta) + \alpha^*\right) \left(\hat{S}(\zeta)^\dagger \hat{a} \hat{S}(\zeta) + \alpha\right) \middle| 0 \right\rangle \left[\because \hat{S}(\zeta)^\dagger \hat{S}(\zeta) = 1\right]
\end{aligned}$$

So, now we compute $\hat{S}(\zeta)^\dagger \hat{a} \hat{S}(\zeta)$. Let us define $A = \frac{1}{2}\left(\zeta {\hat{a}^\dagger}^2 - \zeta^* \hat{a}^2\right)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{S}(\zeta)^\dagger \hat{a} \hat{S}(\zeta) &= e^A \hat{a} e^{-A} \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{[A, \hat{a}]_n}{n!}
\end{aligned}$$

where $[A, B]_1 = [A, B]$, $[A, B]_2 = [A, [A, B]]$ and so on. Lets compute $[A, \hat{a}]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
[A, \hat{a}] &= -\frac{\zeta}{2}\left[\hat{a}, \hat{a}^{\dagger 2}\right] \\
&= -\frac{\zeta}{2} 2\hat{a}^{\dagger} \\
&= -\zeta \hat{a}^{\dagger}
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
[A, \hat{a}]_2 &= [A, [A, \hat{a}]] \\
&= -\zeta \left[A, \hat{a}^{\dagger}\right] \\
&= \zeta \frac{\zeta^*}{2} \left[\hat{a}^2, \hat{a}^{\dagger}\right] \\
&= |\zeta|^2 \hat{a}
\end{aligned}
$$

We can see after this, that the results will be of a similar form when k has the same parity. It can be shown using induction that,

$$[A, \hat{a}]_n = \begin{cases} -\zeta|\zeta|^{n-1}\hat{a}^\dagger & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \\ |\zeta|^n \hat{a}^\dagger & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

Then we can evaluate $\hat{S}(\zeta)^\dagger \hat{a} \hat{S}(\zeta)$ to be,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{S}(\zeta)^\dagger \hat{a} \hat{S}(\zeta) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!}[A, \hat{a}]_n \\
&= \hat{a} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\zeta|^{2k}}{(2k)!} - \hat{a}^\dagger \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\zeta|\zeta|^{2k}}{(2k+1)!} \\
&= \hat{a}\cosh(|\zeta|) - \hat{a}^\dagger \frac{\zeta}{|\zeta|} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\zeta|^{2k+1}}{(2k+1)!} \\
&= \hat{a}\cosh(|\zeta|) - \hat{a}^\dagger \frac{\zeta}{|\zeta|} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\zeta|^{2k+1}}{(2k+1)!} \\
&= \hat{a}\cosh(|\zeta|) - \hat{a}^\dagger \frac{\zeta}{|\zeta|} \sinh(|\zeta|) \\
&= \hat{a}\cosh(r) - \hat{a}^\dagger e^{i\theta} \sinh(r)
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the dagger of this relation gives us

$$\hat{S}(\zeta)^\dagger \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{S}(\zeta) = \hat{a}^\dagger \cosh(r) - \hat{a}e^{-i\theta} \sinh(r)$$

Substituting these expressions into the expression for $\langle \hat{n} \rangle$ we get,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \hat{n} \rangle &= \langle 0 | \left(\hat{a}^\dagger \cosh(r) - \hat{a}e^{-i\theta} \sinh(r) + \alpha^* \right) \left(\hat{a} \cosh(r) - \hat{a}^\dagger e^{i\theta} \sinh(r) + \alpha \right) | 0 \rangle \\
&= \langle 0 | |\alpha|^2 + \hat{a}\hat{a}^\dagger \sinh^2(r) | 0 \rangle \\
&= |\alpha|^2 + \sinh^2(r)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence for a squeezed state, the mean photon number is given by

$$\langle \hat{n} \rangle = |\alpha|^2 + \sinh^2(r)$$

Let us define Y_1 and Y_2 such that, $Y_1 + iY_2 = (X_1 + iX_2)e^{-i\frac{\theta}{2}} := \hat{b}$. Then, we have $\hat{b} = \hat{a}e^{-i\frac{\theta}{2}}$. And we also have,

$$\hat{S}(\zeta) = e^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\hat{b}^2 - \hat{b}^{\dagger^2}\right)}$$

Observe that, $\hat{b}^\dagger\hat{b} = \hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a} = \hat{n}$ and $\left[\hat{b}^\dagger, \hat{b}\right] = \left[\hat{a}^\dagger, \hat{a}\right] = 1$ Also, lets define $\beta = \alpha e^{-\frac{\theta}{2}}$

Let's compute δY_1 and δY_2 for the squeezed state $|\alpha, \zeta\rangle$, where $\delta(A)$ represents the variance of A.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta Y_1 &= \langle Y_1^2 \rangle - \langle Y_1 \rangle^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \left\langle \left(\hat{b} + \hat{b}^\dagger \right)^2 \right\rangle - \left\langle \hat{b} + \hat{b}^\dagger \right\rangle^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \left[\left\langle \left(\hat{b}^2 + \hat{b}^{\dagger\,2} + 2\hat{b}^\dagger \hat{b} + 1 \right)^2 \right\rangle - \left(\left\langle \hat{b} \right\rangle + \left\langle \hat{b}^\dagger \right\rangle \right)^2 \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \left[\left\langle \hat{b}^2 \right\rangle + \left\langle \hat{b}^{\dagger\,2} \right\rangle + 2\langle \hat{n} \rangle + 1 - \left(\left\langle \hat{b} \right\rangle + \left\langle \hat{b}^\dagger \right\rangle \right)^2 \right]
\end{aligned}
$$

First, we'll compute $\left\langle \hat{b} \right\rangle$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle \hat{b} \right\rangle &= \left\langle 0 \middle| \hat{S}(\zeta)^\dagger \hat{D}(\alpha)^\dagger \hat{b} \hat{D}(\alpha) \hat{S}(\zeta) \middle| 0 \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle 0 \middle| \hat{S}(\zeta)^\dagger (\hat{a} + \alpha) \middle| 0 \right\rangle e^{-i\frac{\theta}{2}} \\
&= \left\langle 0 \middle| \left(\hat{S}(\zeta)^\dagger \hat{a} \hat{S}(\zeta) + \alpha \right) \middle| 0 \right\rangle e^{-\frac{\theta}{2}} \\
&= \langle 0 | \hat{a} \cosh(r) - e^{i\theta} \sinh(r) + \alpha | 0 \rangle e^{-\frac{\theta}{2}} \\
&= \alpha e^{-i\frac{\theta}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\left\langle \hat{b}^\dagger \right\rangle = \alpha^* e^{i\frac{\theta}{2}}
$$

Now, we compute $\left\langle \hat{b}^2 \right\rangle$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle \hat{b}^2 \right\rangle &= \left\langle 0 \middle| \hat{S}(\zeta)^\dagger \hat{D}(\alpha)^\dagger \hat{b}^2 \hat{D}(\alpha) \hat{S}(\zeta) \middle| 0 \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle 0 \middle| \hat{S}(\zeta)^\dagger \hat{D}(\alpha)^\dagger \hat{b} \hat{D}(\alpha) \hat{S}(\zeta) \hat{S}(\zeta)^\dagger \hat{D}(\alpha)^\dagger \hat{b} \hat{D}(\alpha) \hat{S}(\zeta) \middle| 0 \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle 0 \middle| \hat{S}(\zeta)^\dagger (\hat{a} + \alpha) \hat{S}(\zeta) \hat{S}(\zeta)^\dagger (\hat{a} + \alpha) \hat{S}(\zeta) \middle| 0 \right\rangle e^{-i\theta} \\
&= \left\langle 0 \middle| \left(\hat{S}(\zeta)^\dagger \hat{a} \hat{S}(\zeta) + \alpha \right)^2 \middle| 0 \right\rangle e^{-i\theta} \\
&= \left\langle 0 \middle| \left(\hat{a} \cosh(r) - e^{i\theta} \hat{a}^\dagger \sinh(r) + \alpha \right)^2 \middle| 0 \right\rangle e^{-i\theta} \\
&= \left\langle 0 \middle| -\hat{a}\hat{a}^\dagger e^{i\theta} \cosh(r) \sinh(r) + \alpha^2 \middle| 0 \right\rangle e^{-i\theta} \\
&= \alpha^2 e^{-i\theta} - \sinh(r) \cosh(r)
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly,

$$\left\langle \hat{b}^{\dagger^2} \right\rangle = (\alpha^*)^2 e^{i\theta} - \sinh(r)\cosh(r)$$

Substituting these expressions in the expression for δY_1 we get,

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta Y_1 &= \frac{1}{4}\left(\alpha^2 e^{-i\theta} + (\alpha^*)^2 e^{i\theta} - 2\sinh(r)\cosh(r) + 2|\alpha|^2 + 2\sinh^2(r) + 1 - \left(\alpha e^{-i\frac{\theta}{2}} + \alpha e^{i\frac{\theta}{2}}\right)^2\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{4}\left(\left(\alpha e^{-i\frac{\theta}{2}} + \alpha e^{i\frac{\theta}{2}}\right)^2 + 1 + 2\sinh^2(r) - 2\cosh(r)\sinh(r) - \left(\alpha e^{-i\frac{\theta}{2}} + \alpha e^{i\frac{\theta}{2}}\right)^2\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{4}(1 + 2\sinh^2(r) - 2\cosh(r)\sinh(r)) \\
&= \frac{1}{4}(\cosh(2r) - \sin(2r)) \\
&= \frac{1}{4}e^{-2r}
\end{aligned}$$

With a very similar computation we can show that,

$$\delta Y_2 = \frac{1}{4}e^{2r}$$

Now let us compute the second order correlation function for this state $|\alpha, \zeta\rangle$ We know that,

$$g^{(2)}(0) = \frac{\langle : \hat{n}^2 : \rangle}{\langle \hat{n} \rangle^2}$$

Using the actions of the displacement and squeeze operators on the ladder operators, we can calculate $\langle : \hat{n}^2 : \rangle$.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle : \hat{n}^2 : \rangle &= \left\langle \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \hat{a} \right\rangle \\ &= 3 \sinh^4(r) + \left(1 + 2|\alpha|^2\right) \sinh^2(r) - \mathrm{Re}\left(\alpha^2 e^{-i\theta}\right) \sinh(2r) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the correlation function turns out to be

$$g^{(2)}(0) = \frac{3\sinh^4(r) + \left(1 + 2|\alpha|^2\right)\sinh^2(r) - \mathrm{Re}\left(\alpha^2 e^{-i\theta}\right)\sinh(2r)}{\left(|\alpha|^2 + \sinh^2(r)\right)^2}$$

We dont get a simple relation between squeezing and antibunching, however, we can evaluate at certain limits to see how this function behaves.

We can see that for $\alpha \ll 1$, we have

$$g^{(2)}(0) = \frac{3\sinh^4(r) + \sinh^2(r)}{\sinh^4(r)} = 1 + \frac{\cosh(2r)}{\sinh^2(r)}$$

Thus we can see that we will always observe photon bunching in the squeezed state, in the limit of $\alpha \ll 1$.

Bibliography

[1] P. A. M. Dirac, *The Principles of Quantum Mechanics*, 4th ed. Oxford University Press, 1958.

[2] R. J. Glauber, *Quantum Theory of Optical Coherence: Selected Papers and Lectures*. Wiley-VCH, 2007. doi: 10.1002/9783527619219.

[3] R. J. Glauber, "Some Notes on Multiple-Boson Processes," *Physical Review*, vol. 84, no. 3, pp. 395–400, Nov. 1951, doi: 10.1103/PhysRev.84.395.

[4] C. C. Gerry and P. L. Knight, *Introductory Quantum Optics*. Cambridge University Press, 2005, p. 182. doi: 10.1017/CBO9780511791239.