IISERK

Thermal Physics

Author:
Sabarno SAHA

Instructor:
Dr. Koushik DATTA

February 27, 2024

Contents

1 Introduction

The course introduces us to the fundamentals of thermal physics and will end with statistical physics. The instructor for this course is Dr. Koushik Datta. The recommended reading for this course is "Heat and Thermodynamics" by Zemansky and Dittman.

1.1 Readings

- *An Introduction to Thermal Physics* by D.V. Schroeder

- *Thermodynamics* by Enrico Fermi

2 Internal energy of Ideal Gas

We use some elementary equations already taught in CH1201. We will be using the ideal gas equation and the 1st Law of Thermodynamics.

$$PV = NRT$$
$$dU = TdS - pdV + \mu dN$$

We assume the fact that the internal energy of the system, something we will define later, depends on the variables entropy(S), volume(V) and no of moles(N). The first term of equation 2 above change in heat energy $dQ = TdS$ and work done on the system $dW = -PdV$ and chemical potential μdN.

$$dU = \frac{\partial U}{\partial S}dS - \frac{\partial U}{\partial V}dV + \frac{\partial U}{\partial N}dN \tag{1}$$

$$T = \frac{\partial U}{\partial S}dS \tag{2}$$

$$P = -\frac{\partial U}{\partial V}dV \tag{3}$$

$$\mu = \frac{\partial U}{\partial N}dN \tag{4}$$

The chemical potential is a new term added in this course. So chemical potential essentially refers to the change in internal energy on adding or subtracting a molecule. Essentially when we add an infinitesimally small number of molecules say dN, we have the chemical potential term to be μdN.

Problem. We need to find a closed form expression of the internal energy of an ideal gas in terms of its state variables

Answer: We introduce one more equation into solving for the closed form expression i.e. the equipartition of energy.

$$U = \frac{3}{2}NRT$$

$$\Rightarrow T = \frac{2U}{3NR} \tag{5}$$

From here its just algebra to find the solution to the problem

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial S} = T = \frac{2U}{3NR}$$

$$\Rightarrow \ln(U) = \frac{2S}{3NR} + f(V, N) \tag{6}$$

So we now need to obtain the function f. For this again we will use a different thermodynamic equality namely the ideal Gas equation.

$$PV = NRT$$

$$\Rightarrow P = \frac{NRT}{V}$$

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial V} = -P = \frac{NRT}{V} = -\frac{2U}{3V} \qquad \text{(Using (3))}$$

$$\frac{\partial(\ln U)}{\partial V} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial V} \qquad \text{(Differentiating (6))}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{U}\frac{\partial U}{\partial V} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial V}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{U}\left(-\frac{2U}{3V}\right) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial V} \qquad \text{(Substituting the deriavtive)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \partial f = -\frac{2}{3}\frac{\partial V}{V}$$

$$\Rightarrow f = -\frac{2}{3}\ln(V) + \ln(g(N)) \qquad \text{(Integrating)}$$

Other than the fucntion g(N) we have found the closed form expression of internal energy of ideal gas which is

$$\ln U = \frac{2S}{3NR} - \frac{2}{3}\ln(V) + \ln(g(N))$$

$$\Rightarrow U = g(N)V^{-2/3}\exp\left(\frac{2S}{3NR}\right) \tag{7}$$

Now to figure out the value of g(N), we need to apply a property of the variables we are working with. Now given a thermodynamic variable, it can either be intensive or extensive in nature. Intensive variables or properties are such properties that do not depend on the amount of substance we are measuring the variable of. For example, the melting point of a liquid is an intensive property i.e. if we add more liquid, the melting point still remains the same. In contrast there are extensive properties or variable which depend on the amount of the substance taken. For example, mass is a very trivial example of an extensive property.
So all the properties that we are dealing with here are extensive. I will try to give a motivation of why they are extensive, but the fact that a property is extensive or intensive is a purely experimental result.

We first talk about entropy, which is a concept that measures the disorder in a system. Suppose you have a system A and then a system B , the disorder of the combined system will depend on the combined A and B, meaning it is not an inherent property of the substance we are taking. Same goes the case for

volume. I mean if we add two systems with different volumes, their resultant volume will be the combined volume. The no of moles(N) is also an extensive property, since it is just a scaled analogy to mass.
Similary if we talk about the equipartition of energy, then the internal energy is directly dependent on the no of moles, which makes it an extensive property.

Thus we will scale the system now where $S \mapsto \lambda S, V \mapsto \lambda V$ and $N \mapsto \lambda N$ where λ is some scaling factor. As a result $U \mapsto \lambda U$. Keeping this in mind we perform the aforementioned mapping on equation (7).

$$U' = g(\lambda N)(\lambda V)^{-2/3} \exp\left(\frac{2\lambda S}{3\lambda NR}\right)$$

$$= g(\lambda N)\lambda^{-2/3}V^{-2/3} \exp\left(\frac{2S}{3NR}\right)$$

$$= g(\lambda N)\lambda^{-2/3}\frac{U}{g(N)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda U = g(\lambda N)\lambda^{-2/3}$$

$$\Rightarrow g(\lambda n) = \lambda^{5/3}g(N)$$

This is a fairly easy function equation to solve we just set N=1 and $\lambda = N$.

$$g(N) = kN^{5/3} \qquad\qquad \text{(where k is some constant)}$$

Now plugging this equation in (7), We get our final closed form solution of the problem we want.

$$\boxed{U = kN^{5/3}V^{-2/3} \exp\left(\frac{2S}{3NR}\right)}$$

∎

3   The Zeroth Law

In any study of something, we have to choose what properties to study or not study for our causes. The importance of context has a large role to play. We concern ourself with an effective description of the *system*(defined later) we are studying. For example, in fluid dynamics we do not need to concern ourself with the individual motions of each particle of the fluid. Studying that to understand fluids would be unecessarily cumbersome. Instead, what we do is that we take averages of certain properties over a certain volume to reduce the small epsilon changes that takes place in each configuration of the molecules. Here we discuss that a bit further.

3.1   Macroscopic and Microscopic points of view

3.1.1   Macroscopic POV

Let's get the definition of the macroscopic POV straight of the way. Zemansky and Dittman refer to the macroscopic description of the system as a *few fundamental measureable* properties of the system we are trying to study.

So we can elaborate this further. In order to get an effective description of our system for the cases of thermodynamics, we do not need to consider very small changes in the microscopic description of the system. We need to select a lengthscale and a timescale for our measurements. So what we do is we only consider changes that happen within our length-scale i.e. if we have a length-scale of 1-10 metres, we should not consider changes that requires something like a vernier calliper to measure. Essentially, if we do not see that small changes in a length scale smaller than this affect our effective description, we should not take into account that when we consider the macroscopic definition of the system. Similarly we also choose a timescale and ignore anything that happens outside of our timescale. The choice of length and time scales are heavily dependent on the context of the study we are doing. After doing so, we move on to an important stage.

Now we have to choose some variables that both effectively describe our system effectively and can be measured by us. The point of choosing length and time scales will much more evident now. The choice of macroscopic variables should be such that they do not evolve within that length or time scale. Qualitatively we are effectively choosing variables such that our system is somewhat static over that length and time scale. Going the other way, we can also check when our system is static and then check for constant quantities.

3.1.2 Microscopic POV

ZD defines a microscopic description to be a description involving the internal structure of a system and then based on those assumptions calculating system wide characteristics. This will be discussed later, inevitably when we talk about Statistical mechanics later.

3.1.3 Conclusion

Whatever description we give be it Macroscopic or microscopic, they must eventually give the same result because they are essentially the same thing. The emergence macroscopic variables of a system is just an averaging of some microscopic variables. For example, Pressure is just averaging out the linear momenta of particles over some length and time scales.

3.2 Definitions

- *System:* The part of the universe we are studying.

- *Surroundings:* The part of universe which is not the system.

- *Boundary:* The seperating between the system and the surroundings.

- *Open System:* A system where exchange of matter and energy is allowed between the system and the surroudings.

- *Closed System:* A system where exhange of energy is allowed but exchange of matter is not.

- *Isolated System:* A system where exchange of both energy and matter are not allowed.

- (not a definition) We can exchange energy two ways, by heating the system and by doing mechanical work of the system.

- *Adiabatic Wall:* A boundary that allows no exchange of heat energy to take place.

- *Diathermic Wall:* A boundary that allows heat transfer, but no transfer of matter is allowed.

- *State of a system:* When we talk about a system, we talk about its macroscopic variables. The state of the system is the state of these variables.

- *Mechanical Equilibrium:* When the resultant force and torque on a system are 0, i.e. $\sum_{i=1}^{n} F_i + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \tau_i = 0$

- *Chemical Equilibrium:* When the system exhibits bo change in composition.

- *Thermal Equilibrium:* This will be defined much better later. For now, it is just when heat exchange stops between systems.

- *Thermodynamic Equilibrium:* If the system is in all of the equilibria above, then it is thermodynamic equilibrium.

> **Note**
>
> For all systems we talk about here, unless otherwise mentioned, are implicitly assumed to be in thermodynamic equilibrium.

There is a very good reason for this assumption. In thermodynamics, we always deal with macroscopic quantities, i.e. microscopic quantities that are coarse grained. Now, if we do not have the system in thermal equilibrium, we will not have an effective description of the system using macroscopic quantities. The microscopic quantities might vary enormously, causing the macroscopic definition to not be as effective of a description as we would like.

3.3 Thermal Equilibrium and Temperature

We now talk about the concept of thermal equilibrium and temperature.

> **Theorem**
>
> Let us have a system defined by macroscopic quantities x, y, z. We claim that there will exist a function $f(x, y, z) = 0$.

3.3.1 The Zeroth Law

4 The First Law

lol