

TSC 29/6/2022

![FINOS LOGO](...)

# Agenda

- Recap of activities in the past 2 weeks
- Housekeeping
  - Discuss meeting scheduling
- Discuss a high-level project plan for refining the Contribution process
  - I have a suggestion!
- Reflect on the questions we should be asking as part of the Contribution process
  - A brief summary of the discussions that have taken place

meeting agenda discussion: <https://github.com/finos/technical-steering-committee/discussions/11>

# Recap

- Meeting minutes published on GitHub  
<https://github.com/finos/technical-steering-committee/blob/master/meetings/2022-06-16.md>
- 'Ways of working' published  
<https://github.com/finos/technical-steering-committee#ways-of-working>
  - We use GitHub discussions as our primary mechanism for discussing and collaboration
  - We use the email distribution list (tsc@lists.finos.org) for announcements (e.g. announcing a meeting)
  - We use our regular (minuted) meetings primarily for planning
  - We have ad-hoc meetings as and when they are needed. These will not be minuted.
- Elspeth Minty has joined the group (RBC)

# Housekeeping

- We determined that we will start with bi-weekly meetings
- Today's meeting (Wed) was determined via a poll  
<https://github.com/finos/technical-steering-committee/discussions/6>
- QUESTION: Do we want to run a poll each time? Or select a day / time and have a repeating invite?
- VOTE?

# Proposed high level project plan

- #1 - **As a group** we create some high-level principles that guide our recommendations. Candidate principles are:
  - A contribution **must** be aligned with the purpose of FINOS
  - A contribution **should** generate interest within the member organisation
  - A proposed standard **must** not compete with other FINOS standards
  - A project **must** have a compatible licence
  - The process **should** be designed with the experience of the contributor in mind
  - A project **should** have more than one contributing organisation
  - ...
- #2 - Once we agree the principles, **as individuals / teams**, we determine how these principles are embodied within the process
  - **NOTE:** We should make use of the existing process components if they are sufficient!
- #3 - We collate this work into a cohesive process, designed around the contributor experience
  - e.g. share our principles, and how these are achieved via the process

# Brain-stormed questions

via: <https://github.com/finos/technical-steering-committee/discussions/10>

- Where does it fit in our landscape?
- Does it align with the purpose of FINOS?
- Does it solve the same problem as an existing FINOS project?
- Does it solve the same problem as an existing FINOS standard?
- Is it of general interest to member organisations?
- Does it compete with other project or standards?
  - see: <https://github.com/finos/technical-steering-committee/issues/13>
- How is the distribution packaged / distributed?
  - see: <https://github.com/finos/technical-steering-committee/issues/12>
- How ready is the project for contribution/adoption?
- Who will the maintainer(s) of the project be if adopted/contributed?
- Will the project be able to generate a strong enough community around it?