SEQUENCES

References: Manual/Handbook by Dr. N. Quimpo Adv Calculus by R.C. Buck

<u>Definition 1.</u> An (infinite) <u>sequence</u> is a function whose domain is N or a set equivalent to N (that is, in one-to-one correspondence with N).

It is common practice to denote a sequence f by listing the image points of f (called the <u>terms</u> of the sequence). For example, if

$$f(1) = p_1$$

 $f(2) = p_2$
 $f(3) = p_3$
 \vdots
 $f(n) = p_n$

then we denote the sequence by

$$p_1,\,p_2,\,p_3,\,\ldots,\,p_n,\,\ldots$$

or

$$\{p_n\}.$$

The set $\{p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots\}$ is called the <u>trace</u> of the sequence.

Examples:

- 1. If $p_n = \left((-1)^n, \frac{1}{n} \right)$, the trace of $\{p_n\}$ is the set $\left\{ (-1,1), (1, \frac{1}{2}), (-1, \frac{1}{3}), \dots \right\}$ in 2-space.
- 2. If $a_n = 1 + (-1)^n$, the trace of $\{a_n\}$ is the set $\{0, 1\}$ in \Re . Note in this example that graphing the trace of the sequence is not very helpful (unlike in Example 1) because we cannot "draw" the action of "shuttling back and forth" between 0 and 1. This example points out that there are important differences between a set and the terms of a sequence. (What are they?)

Our fundamental concern regarding sequences is whether they <u>converge</u> or <u>diverge</u>.

<u>**Definition 2.**</u> A sequence $\{p_n\}$ <u>converges</u> to a point p (or has the <u>limit p</u>) if, given any neighborhood N of p, there exists n_o such that

$$p_n \in N$$
 for all $n \ge n_o$.

In other words, all terms from index no onwards lie in N.

If $\{p_n\}$ converges to p, we write

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}p_n=p, \text{ or } p_n\to p,$$

and say $\{p_n\}$ is a <u>convergent</u> sequence. If $\{p_n\}$ does not converge we say it <u>diverges</u> or is a <u>divergent</u> sequence.

Examples:

3.
$$p_n = \left(\frac{1}{n}, \frac{n}{n+1}\right) \rightarrow (0,1).$$

For, given any ball of radius $\varepsilon > 0$, we can make

$$\left| \left(\frac{1}{n}, \frac{n}{n+1} \right) - (0,1) \right| = \left[\frac{1}{n^2} + \left(\frac{n}{n+1} - 1 \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} < \varepsilon$$

by taking

$$\frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} < \frac{2}{n^2} < \varepsilon^2$$

or

$$n > \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\varepsilon}$$

4. The following series of real numbers diverge:

$$\begin{array}{lll} a. & a_n = n & 1, \, 2, \, 3, \, \dots \\ b. & b_n = (-1)^n \, n & -1, \, 2, \, -3, \, 4, \, -5, \, \dots \\ c. & c_n \, = 1 + (-1)^n & 0, \, 2, \, 0, \, 2, \, 0, \, 2, \, \dots \\ d. & d_n \, = (-1)^n \, (1 + \frac{1}{n}) & \end{array}$$

To see that $\{d_n\}$ diverges observe that alternative terms go this way - -

even terms:
$$1 + \frac{1}{2}$$
, $1 + \frac{1}{4}$, $1 + \frac{1}{6}$, ...
odd terms: $-(1+1)$, $-(1+\frac{1}{3})$, $-(1+\frac{1}{5})$, ...

Exercise.

(1) Prove that if a sequence has a limit it has only one limit.

In general, a series diverges if its terms "blow up" (i.e., go to infinity), cluster about/at two or more points, or simply "go nowhere".

Remark 1. Convergence is a behavior not affected by a finite number of terms. For example, if $\{p_n\}$ and $\{q_n\}$ are two sequences which differ only in their first 2, 000, 000 terms (all subsequent terms being equal) then $\{p_n\}$ and $\{q_n\}$ both converge or both diverge.

For completeness, we state the following familiar result about limits of sequenceness:

Theorem 1. If $\lim a_n = a$ and $\lim b_n = b$, then

- a. $\lim (a_n + b_n) = a + b$
- b. $\lim a_n b_n = ab$
- c. $\lim \frac{a_n}{b_n} = \frac{a}{b}$ if $b \neq 0$.

Proof.

See the proofs in any standard reference (e.g., R.C. Buck, *Advanced Calculus*, pp. 43 - 44).

We can use sequences to throw more light on ideas previously described. For instance:

<u>Theorem 2.</u> The closure of a set S in n – space is the set of all limits of converging sequences of points from S.

Proof.

We shall show each set described is a subset of the other.

- (1) If $\{p_n\}$ is a sequence of points in S and $\lim p_n = p$, then $p \in \overline{S}$. For it is an easy exercise to show that p cannot be an exterior point of S.
- (2) If $q \in \overline{S}$, then we can find a sequence $\{q_n\}$ of points of S which converges to q as follows:

If $q \in S$, then we can simply take $q_n = q$ for each n.

If $q \notin S$, then q must be a cluster point for S. (Why?) Now take a suitable decreasing sequence of neighborhood of q, for example,

$$B_n = B(q, \frac{1}{n}), \quad n = 1, 2, ...$$

There is always a point of S in each such neighborhood so we can choose a point of S in B_n and call it q_n . Clearly,

$$q_n \rightarrow q$$
.

<u>Corollary 3.</u> A set S is closed if and only if it contains the limit of every converging sequence $\{p_n\}$ of points lying in S.

Proof.

- (⇒) Since S is closed, $\bar{S} = S$. Now cite the theorem.
- (\Leftarrow) If p is a boundary point of S, then we can construct a sequence of points of S converging to p. By the theorem, p lies in S.

Two notions related to the concept of "limit of a sequence", are used a lot in higher analysis. These are the "limit superior" and "limit inferior" of a sequence. To discuss them requires introducing the analog for sequences of the concept of "cluster point of a set".

<u>Definition 3.</u> A point p is a <u>limit point</u> for the sequence $\{p_n\}$ if, for any neighborhood N of p, there are infinitely many subscripts n for which $p_n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Example:

5. The sequence $\{p_n\}$ where

$$p_n = \left((-1)^n \frac{1}{n}, 1 + (-1)^n \right)$$

has the limit points (0, 0) and (0, 2).

Remarks.

- 2. We shift the emphasis to <u>subscripts</u> instead of the points themselves because a sequence differs from a set in this aspect, namely, terms of a sequence may involve repetition of points. (See Example 2.)
- 3. Mark the distinction between "limit" and "limit point":

$$\begin{array}{ll} \underline{\textit{limit of a sequence}} & \underline{\textit{limit point of a sequence}} \\ \text{"for all subscripts } n > n_o, & \text{"for infinitely many subscripts } n, \\ p_n \in \textbf{N"} & p_n \in \textbf{N"} \end{array}$$

To understand the two concepts better, it will be necessary to work out the following exercises:

Exercises.

(2) Show that the real sequence

$$a_n = \{1 + (-1)^n\}$$

has two limit points but no limit.

- (3) Show that the limit of a sequence is a limit point of the sequence. (Clearly, the converse is not always true.)
- (4) Give an example of a sequence with one limit point but which diverges.
- (5) Construct a sequence with more than two limit points.
- (6) Can a sequence have infinitely many limit points? no limit point?
- (7) Given a sequence

$$p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_i, \ldots$$

the sequence

$$q_1,\,q_2,\,\ldots,\,q_j,\,\ldots$$

is called a <u>subsequence</u> of $\{p_i\}$ if

i.) To each j, there corresponds an i such that $q_i = p_i$.

ii.) Whenever $j_1 < j_2$ then $i_1 < i_2$.

More informally, a subsequence is obtained from a sequence $\{p_i\}$ when we form a new sequence by selecting only some of the terms of $\{p_i\}$.

We denote a subsequence of $\{p_i\}$ by

$$p_{i_1}, p_{i_2}, p_{i_2}, \ldots$$

or $\{p_{i_k}\}$. Note here that it is k which runs through 1, 2, 3, ...

Now for the exercise: Prove that if p is a limit point of $\{p_n\}$, there is a subsequence $\{p_{n_k}\}$ of $\{p_n\}$ which converges to p.

<u>Definition 4.</u> The largest limit point of a bounded real sequence $\{a_n\}$ is called the <u>limit superior</u> of the sequence, and is denoted by

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup a_n$$
.

The smallest limit point of a bounded real sequence $\{a_n\}$ is called the <u>limit inferior</u> of the sequence, and is denoted by

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\inf a_n$$
.

<u>Note 4.</u> If the sequence $\{a_n\}$ is not bounded, we extend the above definition as follows: If $\{a_n\}$ is not bounded above,

we define

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sup\,a_n=+\infty$$

If $\{a_n\}$ is bounded above but not below and if $\{a_n\}$ has no finite limit superior, then we define

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sup a_n=-\infty$$

The limit inferior of $\{a_n\}$ can now be defined in a general way as follows:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \inf \, a_n = -\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup \, b_n$$
 , where $b_n =$ - $a_n \; \text{ for } n=1,\,2,\,\dots$

Lemma 4. Let $\{a_n\}$ be a bounded real sequence with

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sup\,a_n=a.$$

Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

- (i) $a_n \le a + \varepsilon$ for all but a finite number of n, and
- (ii) $a_n \ge a \varepsilon$ for infinitely many n.

Proof.

(ii) is easier to show so its proof shall be given first. For the proof of (i), we shall need a result which comes later (Corollary 5.4).

- (ii): If $a_n \geq a \varepsilon$ for finitely many n only, this contradicts the fact that a is a limit point: Every neighborhood of a must contain a_n for infinitely many n and $[a-\varepsilon\,,+\infty)$ is a neighborhood of a.
 - (i): Suppose there exist infinitely many n for which

$$a_n > a + \varepsilon$$
,

say, $n_1, n_2, n_3, \ldots n_k, \ldots$ We shall show that, contrary to hypothesis, $\{a_n\}$ has a cluster point larger than a. If infinitely many of these a_n are equal, say, to a number α , then α is a limit point of $\{a_n\}$. Since $\alpha > a$, then we have a contradiction.

If only finitely many of these numbers are equal, then the trace of $\{a_{n_k}\}$ is an infinite set and

$$a + \varepsilon < a_{n_k} < b$$

where b is an upper bound for the bounded sequence $\{a_n\}$. By Theorem 3 of the next chapter, the trace of $\{a_{n_k}\}$ has a cluster point β (Exercise (8): Show $\beta >$ a.) Accordingly, $\{a_{n_k}\}$ has a limit point β with $\beta >$ a. Again we have a contradiction.

Remark 5. The converse of the lemma is also true. (**Exercise** (9).) Hence the condition given in the lemma can be taken as the definition of $\lim \sup a_n$.

Exercise 10. State and prove a result for $\lim \inf a_n$ analogous to the Lemma.

Exercises.

(11) Find $\limsup a_n$ and $\liminf a_n$ if

(a)
$$a_n = (-1)^n (1 + \frac{1}{n})$$

(b)
$$a_n = n + 1 + (-1)^n (n + \frac{1}{n})$$

(c)
$$a_n = \sin(n\frac{\pi}{3})$$

(d)
$$a_n = n^2 \sin^2(n \frac{\pi}{2})$$

(12) If $a \le a_n \le b$ for all but a finite number of n, show that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\inf\,a_n\,\geq\,a$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sup a_n\leq b.$$

(13) (a) Prove in general that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} sup\ (a_n+b_n)\ \leq\ \lim_{n\to\infty} sup\ a_n+\lim_{n\to\infty} sup\ b_n$$

(b) Construct an example of bounded sequences $\{a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$ where

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup\left(a_n+b_n\right) < \lim_{n\to\infty} \sup a_n + \lim_{n\to\infty} \sup b_n$$

- (14) Prove that a bounded sequence $\{a_n\}$ converges if and only if $\lim_{n\to\infty}\sup a_n$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty}\inf a_n$ are both finite and equal. (What is $\lim_{n\to\infty}a_n$?)
- (15) Prove that for any sequence $\{a_n\}$ (bounded or unbounded)

 $\lim\inf a_n\leq \lim\sup a_n.$

(Here you can treat $-\infty$ and $+\infty$ as "numbers" satisfying $-\infty < c < +\infty$ for any real number c.)