Pedophile Case – James Carl Liboon

Acquired using: ADI3.1.5.0
Case Number: 101024-10
Evidence Number: 101024
Submitted by: Jomar Leaño

1. Source Drive: **Generic Flash Disk USB Device**
2. The capacity of the source disk in # of bytes: **246 MB**
3. Type of Forensic Tool Used: **AccessData® FTK® Imager 3.1.5.0**
4. Destination Location: **C:\Users\Godwin Monserate\Desktop\2ND Sem 2020-2021\Information Assurance and Security\Digital Forensics\Photo Crime\Pedophile**
5. Target Filename: **Pedophile-Case.001**
6. Estimated time to finish developing the image: **3 minutes, and 58 seconds**
7. Hash Value MD5: **514cad8d821a1404ece56c78ebc62b9d**
8. Hash Value SHA1: **a8f7f7387396ef06a9eb0c73ee5b3ac96067cebb**

Pedophile Case – James Carl Liboon

Acquired using: ADI3.1.5.0
Case Number: 101024-10
Evidence Number: 101024
Submitted by: Jomar Leaño

Disk Analysis

1. Number of Files in the Source Drive: **184 Files**
2. Number of Files in the Target Image: **203 Files**
3. Number of Folders in the Source Drive: **12 Folders**
4. Number of Folders in the Target Image: **12 Folders**
5. Number of Deleted Files: **8 Files**
6. Number of Deleted Folders: **0 Folders**

Data Recovery

1. Extract the Deleted Files in the Root
   Number of Files Extracted? **4 Files**
2. Extract the Deleted Folders
   Number of Folders Extracted? **0 Folders**
   Number of Files Extracted in the Folder (Specify folder and number of files) : **0**

Pedophile Case – James Carl Liboon

Acquired using: ADI3.1.5.0
Case Number: 101024-10
Evidence Number: 101024
Submitted by: Jomar Leaño

Data Analysis

1. Examine the contents of the file if it is an image file or a document file
    Number of JPEG Files: **17 files**
2. Number of Document (.doc) Files: **2 files**
3. After Examining the signature format of the files, Identify the following:
    What is the signature Format of JPEG files? **ÿØÿâ**
    how many jpeg files have been altered?: **None**
    have you recovered the file back to its original format? **Nothing to be recovered**
    What is the signature format of a word document file? **ÐÏ.à¡±.á and PK**
    how many doc files have been altered? **None**
    have you recovered the file back to its original format? **Nothing to be recovered**
4. After recovering the file into its original form.
    Number of JPEG Files: **17 files**
    Number of Document (.doc) Files: **2 files**

5. Use HASH calculator for the image file and the source file, and compare both hash values.

    Source:
    MD5 value: **514cad8d821a1404ece56c78ebc62b9d**
    SHA1 value : **a8f7f7387396ef06a9eb0c73ee5b3ac96067cebb**

    Target:
    MD5 value: **514cad8d821a1404ece56c78ebc62b9d**
    SHA1 value : **a8f7f7387396ef06a9eb0c73ee5b3ac96067cebb**

    Does the output between the source and the target image render a similar value? **Yes, it has the same hash value**

Pedophile Case – James Carl Liboon

Acquired using: ADI3.1.5.0
Case Number: 101024-10
Evidence Number: 101024
Submitted by: Jomar Leaño

Report Conclusion

Evidence Table

Fig #	Image Name:	Image	Date Deleted	Date Recovered
1	baby-wearing.jpg		3/21/2021	10/12/2022
2	baby1.jfif		3/20/2021	10/12/2022
3	baby2.jfif		3/21/2021	10/12/2022
4	baby3.jfif		3/21/2021	10/12/2022

| 5	daughter janine at school.jfif		3/21/2021	10/12/2022
6	father daughter love.jfif		3/21/2021	10/12/2022
7	i love my little girl.jfif		3/21/2021	10/12/2022
8	me and janine.jfif		3/21/2021	10/12/2022

Pedophile Case – James Carl Liboon

Acquired using: ADI3.1.5.0
Case Number: 101024-10
Evidence Number: 101024
Submitted by: Jomar Leaño

Using FTK Imager and all the available evidence, an image copy of the data was made in order to preserve the evidence. A laptop underwent considerable and thorough analysis using a digital forensic process. A major number of the 8 crucial photographs that were discovered after extensive file searching and the recovery of lost files—including those of James Carl Liboon, his wife, Janine, and their daughter—are displayed here. Other photographs also appear to be youngsters, although these kids have no connection to the people who were detained.

Fig #	Image Name:	Image	Date Deleted	Date Recovered
1	Screenshot-2020-04-20-at-15.59.04.png		3/21/2021	10/12/2022
2	sei_43779174-e47e.jpg		3/21/2021	10/12/2022
3	!mage13.png		3/21/2021	10/12/2022

Pedophile Case – James Carl Liboon

Acquired using: ADI3.1.5.0
Case Number: 101024-10
Evidence Number: 101024
Submitted by: Jomar Leaño

Although deleting pictures of oneself, one's wife, one's daughter, as well as other pictures of kids who aren't your own seems highly suspicious, it doesn't offer sufficient proof for one to be found guilty of pedophilia. Despite this, additional proof that points to sextortion was found in Mr. Liboon's laptop's erased files.

Report Recommendation

Screenshots of emails that the sender is using for sextortion were on his laptop. There is not enough evidence to prove that James Carl Liboon is a pedophile based on the information found on his work laptop. He might simply be a devoted father who has no sexual or evil intentions. He may be devoted to his family, but there is evidence that he has been involved in numerous sextortion cases, indicating that he does not have the same regard for other women. As a result, James Carl Liboon might not be found guilty in this pedophilia case, but his sextortion-related activities need to be looked at more thoroughly.

After analyzing the data, the examiner has acquired sufficient evidence to bring charges against Kushiro Yamamoto from many drug case incidents. A trial is scheduled for the guilty, and we firmly support the harsh penalty of the accused based on the proof of several crimes that has been revealed.