ASKAانے . ۔ ۲/۲



Office of the Attorney General

2115 STATE CAPITOL BUILDING LINCOLN, NEBRASKA 68509-8920 (402) 471-2682 FAX (402) 471-3297

DON STENBERG

L. STEVEN GRASZ SAM GRIMMINGER DEPUTY ATTORNEYS GENERAL

STATE OF MEBRASKA
OFFICIAL
AUG 27 1992
DEPT. OF JUSTICE

DATE:

August 25, 1992

SUBJECT:

Child Support Enforcement (Income Withholding by

Employer); Date of Collection for Distribution

REQUESTED BY:

Mary Dean Harvey, Director

Nebraska Department of Social Services

WRITTEN BY:

Don Stenberg, Attorney General

Royce N. Harper, Senior Assistant Attorney General

QUESTION: 45 C.F.R. 302.51(a)(4) provides that the date of collection for distribution purposes in all IV-D cases must be the date the wages or other income are withheld to meet the support obligation. Is there any statutory reason under Nebraska law why the Clerks of the District Courts cannot record the date of collection (for distribution purposes) as month A (date of withholding) rather than in month B (the date received in the district court)?

CONCLUSION: No, there is no reason why the district court cannot use the date of withholding by the employer.

DISCUSSION: Neb.Rev.Stat. § 42-364.01 (Reissue 1988) of the Income Withholding Act in effect appoints the employer as an agent of the Clerk of the District Court for purposes of collection. Subsection (1) of Neb.Rev.Stat. § 42-364.01 provides that the employer shall withhold from earnings due, and subsection (3) provides for inclusion of the employer's fee. The result is that when the obligee employee is paid (absent the withholding), the child support payment has passed from obligor parent to the employer as an agent of the court, and fairness would require that date to be the effective date of the payment.

Mary Dean Harvey Page -2-August 25, 1992

Subsequently, pursuant to subsection (4), once each calendar month the employer remits to the District Court Clerk the withheld amount minus the deduction fee. The date of the receipt in the Clerk's Office is subsequent to the actual payment, and conceivably could be sometime later. To show the date of withholding as the date of collection is logical and reflects the reality of the collection procedure.

A review of the child support enforcement statutes, including the sections on withholding of income, reveal nothing that would prohibit the district court from using the date of withholding as the date of collection. Certainly, one can understand that District Court Clerks would prefer to use the date stamped as received in their office. However, that desire for expediency should not preclude following the federal direction which clearly has its root in fairness to the obligor parent.

Sincerely,

DON STENBERG

Attorney General /

Royce N./Harper

Senior Assistant Attorney General

15-03-14.92

APPROVED:

Attorney General