



Office of the Attorney General

2115 STATE CAPITOL BUILDING LINCOLN, NEBRASKA 68509-8920 (402) 471-2682 FAX (402) 471-3297

DON STENBERG ATTORNEY GENERAL L. STEVEN GRASZ SAM GRIMMINGER DEPUTY ATTORNEYS GENERAL

H 91079

STATE OF MERRISHA

OF FIGURE

NOV 7 1991

DEFT. OF JUSTICE

DATE:

November 6, 1991

SUBJECT:

Constitutionality of Reimbursing Commonwealth Depositors from the Financial Institution Assessment Cash Fund in Light of Haman v. Marsh.

REQUESTED BY: Senator LaVon Crosby, District No. 29

WRITTEN BY:

Don Stenberg, Attorney General

Lisa D. Martin-Price, Assistant Attorney General

In your letter dated October 16, 1991, you state that you are contemplating introducing legislation which would authorize reimbursing the claims of depositors of Commonwealth, State Securities, and American Securities from the Financial Institution Assessment Cash Fund found at Neb.Rev.Stat. §§ 8-601 and 602 (Reissue 1987). You question whether such legislation would be constitutionally suspect in light of the Nebraska Supreme Court's decision in Haman v. Marsh, 237 Neb. 669, N.W.2d (1991).

At the outset, we must note that you have not presented any specific legislation to us with your request for our review and analysis. You have simply asked our general opinion whether such legislation could be constitutionally suspect. Consequently, our response to your request must necessarily also be general, based upon the broad, nonspecific question that you have presented to us.

First, as you make reference to in your letter, sections 8-601 and 8-602 do not authorize the Director of Banking & Finance to collect fees from financial institutions for reimbursing depositors of failed financial institutions. Therefore, specific legislation would necessarily have to be passed authorizing the Department to do what you propose.

The Honorable LaVon Crosby November 6, 1991 Page -2-

Secondly, while you could probably amend those statutes to authorize the Director of Banking to assess fees for the purpose of reimbursing depositors of Commonwealth, State Securities, and American Securities, such legislation would, in all likelihood, suffer from the same constitutional problems set forth in Haman v. Marsh. That is, unless the legislation guaranteed the deposits of all financial institutions, and was not just designed to reimburse depositors of these past failed financial institutions, it would create a closed class. Consequently, pursuant to the holding in Haman, such legislation would be special legislation in violation of Article III, Section 18, of the Nebraska Constitution. In this same vein, depending on how the fund is financed, the legislation could be constitutionally suspect because it constitutes the taking money belonging to one class (i.e. existing financial institutions) to pay the claims of another class. Moreover, under Haman, if the Legislature appropriated money to the fund, such legislation would most likely result in lending the credit of the state in violation of Article XIII, Section 3, of the Nebraska Constitution.

The constitutionality of several restitution options for Commonwealth depositors in light of the <u>Haman</u> decision was addressed by our office in an Opinion dated April 10, 1991. This Opinion discusses the possible constitutional infirmities which could attach to any legislation seeking to reimburse these depositors. We have attached a copy for your perusal.

As we noted at the outset, our discussion in this Opinion is based upon your general proposal. If we are asked to review specific legislation, we will be in a better position to analyze the constitutionality of a particular reimbursement plan.

Sincerely,

DON STENBERG Attorney General

Lisa D. Martin-Price

Assistant Attorney General

cc: Patrick J. O'Donnell
Clerk of the Legislature

APPROVED_BY:

Attorney General

18-213-7.6