#### REPORTS OF CASES

IN THE

## SUPREME COURT

OF

#### NEBRASKA.

By JAMES M. WOOLWORTH, COUNSELLOR-AT-LAW.

CALLAGHAN & COCKCROFT.

1871.

Entered according to act of Congress, in the office of the Librarian of Congress, in 1871,
By J. M. WOOLWORTH.

#### JUDGES

OF THE

## SUPREME COURT.

Chief Justice.
OLIVER P. MASON.

Associate Justices.
GEORGE B. LAKE.
LORENZO CROUNSE.

### CASES REPORTED.

A 1	PAGE
A.	Eaton v. Lambert 339
Adams v. Boulware 470	Eaton v. Redick 305
Anderson v. Colson 172	Edwards, Jones v 170
Aumock v. Jamison 432	Elbert. Monroe v
Aumock v. Jamison	70
В.	F.
Baker, Nebraska City v 180	Filley & Hopkins v. Duncan 134
Baptist Church, Redgrave v 345	Finn, Miller v 254
Bender, Eaton v 426	Fooks & Moffit, Bennet v 465
Bennet Administrator v. Hargus, 419	Fremont, Kittle v 329
Bennet v. Fooks & Moffit 465	G.
Bennett v. Townsend 460	Griswold, Moffat v 415
Boulware, Adams v 470	Griswold, Monat V 410
Boulware, Newcomb v 428	H.
Boydston, Holmes v 346	Harding, The Platte Val. Bank v. 461
Bradford, Neligh v 451	Hargus, Bennet Administrator v. 419
Bradshaw v. The City of Omaha 16	Holmes v. Boydston 346
Brewer v. Otoe County 373	Homan, Brown v 448
Bridge v. Pomeroy 462	Homan v. Laboo 204
Brown v. Homan 448	Hotailing, Clark v 436
Burley v. Shinn 433	Hurford. The Columbus Co. v 146
Burley v. The State 385	
Butler, Jameson v 115	I.
_	Ide, Zoller v 439
C.	T .
Chicago and Northwestern Rail-	J.
road Company, Porter v 14	Jamison, Aumock v 482
Clark v. Hotailing 436	Jameson v. Butler 115
Colson, Anderson v 172	
Cook v. Kuhn 472	Jones v. Edwards
_	Jones v. Nebraska City 176
D.	Jones, Rogers v 417
Dawley, Phillips v 320	K.
Day, The M. P. R. R. Company v. 407	
Duncan, Filley & Hopkins v 134	Kennard, Meredith v 812 Kittle v. Fremont 329
T2	Kruger v. The State
E. Eaton v. Bender	1
Eaton v. Bender 426	I RELU, COUR V **** ****

#### CASES REPORTED.

L.	PAGE
PAGE	
Laboo, Homan v 20	Pomeroy v. Bridge 46
Laughlin v. Schuyler 409	Porter v. Chicago and Northwest-
Lambert, Eaton v 339	ern Railroad Co 14
Larsh, Morgan v 36	Pundt, McAusland v 211
Loughridge, The People v 1:	1
	R.
М.	Redgrave v. Baptist Church 345
Mattis v. Robinson	Redick, Eaton v 305
McAusland v. Pundt 211	Redick, Mills v 137
McCallum, The People v 185	Richards, Wilson v 842
McCartney, The M. P. R. R. Co. v. 398	Robinson, Mattis v 3
McCartney v. The Territory 121	
McCartney, Watson v 131	Rogers, McReady v 124
McDonald v. Penniston 324	
McReady v. Rogers 124	
Meredith v. Kennard 312	
Middleton, The City of Brown-	<b>∼.</b>
ville v 10	Sahler, Smith v
Miller v. Finn	,,
Mills v. Redick	Sands v. Smith 108
35.11	Schuyler, Laughlin v 409
35:11 36	Seaton, Orr v 105
	Shinn, Burley v 433
Mr. cc : cc :	Shoff v. Wells 168
Monaco n Elbara	Shields, Wood v 453
Monroe v. Elbert	Smiley v. Sampson 56
Morgan v. Larsh	Smith v. Sahler 310
Murry. Mills v 327	Smith v. Sands 108
Murry v. Mills 456	
N.	Т.
	The City of Brownville v. Mid-
Nebraska City v. Baker 180	dleton 10
Nebraska City, Jones v 176	The City of Omaha, Bradshaw v. 16
Neligh v. Bradford 451	The Columbus Co. v. Hurford 146
Newcomb v. Boulware 428	The Midland Pacific R. R. Co. v.
Newman, The M. P. R R. Co. v. 408	Day 407
	The Same v. McCartney 398
О.	The Same v. Newman 408
O'Contor, Poland v 50	The Same v. Williamson 406
Orr v. Orr 359	(M)
Orr v. Seaton 105	m
Otoe County, Brewer v 373	The People v. Loughridge 11
*,	The People v. McCallum 182
Р.	The State, Burley v
Downton Aftill	The State, Kruger v
D	The Territory, McCartney v 121
Phillips v Dawley 324	Townsend. Bennett v 460
	L'EOWEIGY V LOBREON AZ

# CASES REPORTED. vii V. PAGE. Williamson, The M. P. R. R. Co. v. 406 Verges v. Roush. 113 Wilson v. Richards. 342 Wood v. Shields. 453 Wells. Shoff v. 168 Zcller v. (de. 439

#### INDEX TO CASES CITED.

<b>A</b> .	PAGE
PAGE.	Barker, Lawrence v 352
Adams, Pratt v 110	Barnes v. Currier 290
Adams v. The People 388	Barr, Fosdick v 468
Albany N. Railway v. Lansing 399	Barret v. Warner 207
Alexander, Parkhurst v 147, 160	Bartels v. Rochester Bank 306
Allen v. Blunt 70	Bartlett, McDuffie v 290
Allen, St. Louis v 21	Bartlett, People v 351
Alley v. Deschamps 251	Batte, Lures v 404
American Ins. Co. v. Oakley 158	Beach, Haines v 274, 301
Andrews v. Pond 109, 110	Beamand, Raymond v 309
Applegate, Langdon v. 192, 193, 195	Beard, Zebnor v 404
Archer, Canal Co. v 399	Beatty v. Knowler 20
Arkansas, Lytle v 83, 69	Beatty v. Fitzpatrick 225
Armstrong, Toler v 110	Beaver, Bishop of Winchester v 275
Arrendends. United States v 70	Beckman, Bemus v 313
Ashiey, Cunningham v 67, 79	Bell v. Bruen 109
Ashton, Jackson v 293	Bemus v. Beckman 313
Aspinwall v. Commissioners 21	Benedict v. Gilman 274
Astor, Gardner v 300	Bennet v. Denniston 301
Atkins, Hunter v 147	Bennet, McMurtie v 52
Atwood v. Weston	Bennet, Woodcock v 227, 240
Avery, Kiersted v	Berger, Phillips v 52
A. and S. Railway v. Carpenter., 399	Berrian v. The State 367
•	Berry v. The Mutual Ins. Co 466
В.	Bigby, McCoy v 307
	Bigelow, Rowly v 207
Bacon, Denniston v	Billingsley v. State Bank 350
Bacon v. School District 19	Bishop of Winchester v. Beaver 275
Baldwin, Dame v 206	Bishop, Livingston v 127
Battimore v. The State 23	Blaac's Exc., Cousin v 72
Banbury, Collins v 352	Blake, Caldwell v 351
Bank of U. S., Voorhees v 273	Blougher, Lessees of Brewer v
Bank of Cincinnati, State v 317	64, 90
Bank of the U.S. v. Peter 302	Bloodgood v. Mohawk & H. Rail-
Bank of Niagara, Roswell v 274	way 18, 400
Banks, Findley v 288	Blunt, Allen v 70
Barclay v. Howard 331	Board of Public Works, Walker v. 19

P	AGE.	l p	AGE.
Bond, Owen v	460	Burnet, Lessees of Morgan v	289
Bondereau v. Montgomery	350	Butler v. Haskell	147
Boon v. Chiles	227	B. and Mt. Pl. Railway, Sater v	
Boston and Maine Railway, Whit-		*,	
man v	399	С.	
Boyd v. McLean	147	Calder v. Bull	19
Boyd, Morgan v	174	Caldwell v. Blake	357
Boyd, Taylor v	240	Call v. Hagger	378
Bradley. Harris v	309	Callan v. Statham 65, 278, 286,	287
Brady, Smith v	306	Cameron v. Montgomery	352
Brainard v. Cooper	281	Canal Co. v. Archer	399
Braughn, Nebbe v	351	Canandaigua and N. Railway v.	
Bridgham, Gloucester v	353	Payne	899
Brighton v. Wilkinson	21	Cannon, Brown v	351
Brignardello, Gray v	243	Carney, Tate v	77
Brewer v. Crosby	352	Carpenter, A. & S. Railway Co. v.	399
Broadwell, Hubbel v 227, 240,		Carpenter v. Graff	350
244,	245	Carpenter, White v	138
Bronson v. Kinzie	378	Carroll, Olcott v	204
Bronson v. Newberry	378	Carter, Simmons v	128
Brouner v. Goldsmith	389	Cassady v. Clarke	351
Brown v. Cannon	351	Chadwell, Godfrey v	301
Brown, Champion v	225	Chamberlain, Jackson v	467
Brown v. Cincinnati	399	Chambers v. The People	367
Brown, Doe v	174	Champion v. Brown	225
Brown v. Jackson	70	Chandler, Thompson v	301
Brown v. Manning	331	Chapin v. Norton	351
Brown, Pierce v 3,	7	Chapman, Commonwealth v	395
Brown, The State v	12	Chapman v. Robertson 109, 110,	
Brown v. Wooton	128	Chappell, Stillwell v	404
Browder, McGrew v	206	Charlotte and S. C. Railroad,	
Browning v. Magill	206	White v	399
Browser v. Browser	7	Cheaney v. Hooser 20, 38,	44
Bruce v. Skuyler	378	Cheeseborough v. Millard	141
Bruen, Bell v	109	Cheney, Resinger v	351
Buckingham, Young v		Chester v. Willis	299
Buckley, Ingalls v	207	Chesterman v. Gardner	138
Budlong, Rochester and Syracuse		Childs v. Childs 270, 275,	276
Railway v '899,	400	Chiles. Boon v	227
Buffman v. New York and Boston		Chrisman v. Miller	307
Railway	400	Church v. Sterling 147,	
Bull, Calder v	19	Cincinnati, Brown v	399
Burd, Semple v	468	Cincinnati, Mays v	19
Burghardt v. Turuer	378	Cincinnati, Symonds v	899
Burnam. Hopping v	468	Cincin., Wil. & Zanesville R. R.	-
Burnet v. Dennison	277	v. Com. of Clinton Co	191

PAGE.	PAGE.
City of Boston, Parks v 399	Cooper, Brainard v 281
City of Boston, Smith v 337	Cooper v. Earl of Waldegrave 109
City of Dubuque v. Maloney 331	Corden & Bagg, The Trustees of
	Watertown v
<b>3</b>	Cottrell. Sears v
City of Georgetown v. The Alex-	Cousin v. Blaac's Exc
andria Canal Co	County Judges, State v 194
City of Weston, Wells v 19, 38	• • •
Clapp, Conn. River Railway v 400	
Clapp, Glenn v	Covering, Sill v
Clark, Cassady v 351	50.01.28) Did 11.00
Clark, Jones v 6	Cowan, Davison v
Clark v. People	Cowan, Lance v 206
Clemens, Gillett v	Cowan, State v
Clements v. Warner 331	Cowles v. Raquet
Cleveland Railway v. Vanhorn 400	Cox, Davis v
Clinton Co., Com of Cincin., Wil.	Creed v. Commercial Bank 319
& Zanesville R. R. v 191	Crehore, Gibson v 309
Clynan v. Cooke 52, 53	Crockett v. Lee 296
Coates v. Roberts 354	Cromartie, Donahue v 206
Codman, Hascall v 280	Crosby, Brewer v 352
Coles v. Madison County 21	Crowninshield, Sturges v 378
Collins v. Banbury 352	Cullings, The Commonwealth v 12
Collins, Jackson v 64, 87	Cummings v. The State 12
Colson v. Thompson	Cunningmam v. Ashley 67, 79
Columbus P. & J. Railway v.	Currie, Rothschild v 109
Simpson 399	Currier, Barnes v 290
Commercial Bank, Creed v 319	Curtis v. Leavitt 109, 110
Commissioners, Aspinwall v 21	Cushman, Hale v 337
Commissioners, The Town of Leba-	Cushman v. Smith 400
non v 330	Cutler v. Wright 109
Commissioners of Clermont Co. v.	Cutright Jackson v 52
Little 401	
Commonwealth v. Chapman 395	D.
Commonwealth, McCreary v 370	Dale v. Kennedy 313
Commonwealth v. Morse 366	Dalton, State v
Commonwealth v. Newell 367	Dame v. Baldwin 206
Commonwealth v. Roby 367	Dartmouth College v. Woodward, 178
Compton v. Oxender 299	Davenport. Fulton v 20, 45
Comstock, Mills v 277, 300	Davison v. Cowan
Concord Railway Co. v. Greely 400	Davis v. Cox
Conklin, Vincent v 354	Dawson, Rouge v 313
Conn. River Railway v. Clapp 400	Dawson, Welch v 352
Conrad v. Griffey 351	Deckins, State v 367
Consequa, Fanning v 109	Dedham, King v 378
	Defrees, Wright v
Cooke, Clynan v., 52. 53	
Cooke, Clynan v	Delices, Hingai

#### INDEX TO CASES CITED.

	PAGE.	1	AGE
Denman, Winona and St. Pau	l	Edilen, Hampsen v	143
Railway v	399	Edwards, Ladd v	460
Dennison v. Burnet	277	Edwards v. Meyrick	147
Denniston v. Bacon		Edwards v. Varrick	137
Denniston, Bennet v	301	Eldred v. Hazlett	353
Denniston v. Wertz	352	Eldridge v. Howell	114
Depeau v. Humphreys 110,	112	Ellis v. Haskins	306
Depeyster, Wheelwright v	206	Ellis, Stanton v	275
Dequindse v. Williams	289	Ellis, The State v	12
Deschamps, Alley v	251	Ellis v. Tousley	138
De Witt v. Greenfield	317	Erwine v. Parham	273
De Witt, Van Benthuysen v	313	Eslava, Doe v 76,	77
De Wolf v. Johnson	109	Evans, Watts v	52
Dickerson, Nashville Railway v	399	Evansville R. R. Co. v. Fitzpatrick,	
Dickerson v. Tillinghast	467	Evertson, Ketchum v 306,	309
Digby, State v	399	Ex rel. Goodrich v. Guthrie	173
Ditmas, Vroom v 281,		77	
Doe v. Brown.	174	F.	
Doe v. Eslava		Fanning v. Consequa	109
Donahue v. Cromartie	206	Faucett v. Whitehouse 147,	160
Donnelly, People v	191	Faw v. Marsteller	64
Doolittle v. Supervisors	337	Ferry v. Pfleffer	225
Dorlan v. E. Br. & Wav. R. R. Co. Doughty, Somerville Railway v	:	Finch v. The State	367
Douglas v. Huston	399	Findley v. Banks	288
Douglas v. Huston	273	Finley, Galloway v	
Draper, The People v 21,	301	Finney, Holbrook v	378
Dubuque, Langworthy v 21,	22	First Presbyterian Church, Wil-	
Dufrene, Haydell v	45	liams v	331
Duke of Marlborough, Neate v	$\begin{bmatrix} 71 \\ 274 \end{bmatrix}$	Fisher, United States v	64
Dunham, Macomber v	111	Fitchburg Railway, Meacham v	399
Durrawsean v. United States	90	Fitzpatrick v. Beatty	225
Dyce v. Ford	251	Fitzpatrick, Evansville R. R. Co.v.	
2,00 1.1014	201	Flagg v. Mann	160
		Foley v. Harrison	70
E.	l	Forbes v. Moffat 299,	
Earl of Clarendon, Draper v	301	Ford, Dyce v	257
Earl of Waldegrave, Cooper v	109	Foster v. Morris	468
Earl, Huff v	353	Fraley, Stump v	6
Earle v. Willsmore	206	Franklin MaAnthung	404
Earls v. Pittsburg Railroad Co	404	Franklin, McArthur v Freeman v. Paul	276 300
East Penn. Railway Co. v. Hotten-		Frees, Morgan v.	353
steine	400	Fricho v. Kramer's Lessee	275
East Penn. Railway Co. v. Heister,		Friendly v. Hamilton	468
Eaton v. The Commonwealth	391	Fulks. Spurlock v	181
E. Br. & Wav. R. R. Co., Dorlan v.		<b>-</b> -	45
,,	-001	Darenport 20,	40

PAGE	· I PAGE
Holliday, Howes v 404	
Hornfray, Guest v 252	Jackson v. Cutright 52
Hooser, Cheany v 20, 88, 44	
Hopkins v. Lee 849	
Hopping v. Burnam 468	·
Hopping, Hammond v 109	•
Hosack v. Weaver 206	
Hosey v. County of Macoupin 460	
Hottenstein, East Penn R. R. Co. v. 400	Jacocks, Sweet v 147, 160
Howard, Barclay v 33	1
Howell, Eldridge v 114	
Howell, Ransom v 147	
Howes v. Holliday 404	
Hoyt, Schmidt v 467	
Hubbard, Hodgeden v 206	
Hubbel v. Broadwell 227, 240	Johnson, De Wolf v 109
244, 245	
Hubbel, Rockwell v 878	
Huff v. Earl 353	Jones v. Clark
Huff, Vincent v 350	Judd, Seymour v 114
Humphreys, Depeau v 110, 112	
Hunt v. Hunt 300	K.
Hunter v. Atkins 147	Keeler, Ruggles v 378
Hunter, Kelly v 311	Kelly v. Hunter 311
Hunter, Indiana Central v 399	Kelly v. Stanbury 311
Hussy v. Thornton 206	Kennedy, Dale v 313
Huston, Douglas v 278	Kent v. Lawson 404
Hyde v. Goodnow 109	Ketchum v. Evertson 306, 309
_	Kiersted v. Avery 138
I.	Killer, Sites v 52
Indiana Central v. Hunter 899	Kimball v. Lockwood 6
Ingalls v. Buckley 207	
Ingersell v. Sawyer 297	King v. Hoare 128
In re Albany Street 19, 31	
In re John Street 19, 33	
In re Selby 63	Kliny, Hill v 322
In the matter of Howe 138	
Irvine v. Marshall 147, 160	Knowler, Beatty v 20
Irwin, The People v 280	
	Kramer's Lessee, Fricho v 275
J.	Kugler v. Wiseman 175
Jackman v. Hallock 280	, -
Jacks, Hallum v 174	L.
Jackson v. Ashton 296	Lackawanna Railway, Harvey v 399
Jackson, Brown v 70	
Jackson v. Chamberlain 467	

PAGE.	PAGE
Lance v. Cowan	1_
Lane, State v 366	1 -
Lane, Smith v 350	
Langdon v. Applegate 192, 198, 195	M.
Langley v. Warner 242	Macomber v. Dunham 111
Langworthy v. Dubuque 20, 45	Madison County, Coles v 21
Lansing, Albany N. Railway v 399	Magill, Browning v 206
Lansing v. Goelet 453	Main v. Melbourne
Laverty v. Moore	Maloney, City of Dubuque v 331
Law, Merrills v 111	Mann, Flagg v 160
Law, Pratt v 252	1
Lawrence v. Barker 352	Marshall, Irvine v 147, 160
Lawrence v. Miller 7	Marsteller, Faw v
Lawrence County, Richland Co. v. 21	Marvin v. Stone
Lawrence, The People v 23	Mason v. Rowe 352
Lawson, Kent v 404	Massie v. Watts 147, 160
Leaf v. Hewitt 311	Matter of F Street 399
Leavitt, Curtis v 109, 110	Mattis v. Robinson 227, 249
Le Clercq v. Town of Gallopolis	Mayer v. Hinman 138, 139
330, 332	1 * /
Lee, Crocket v	Mayor, Stuyvesant v 52
Lee, Hopkins v 349	Mayor of Brooklyn, The Peo-
Lee, Troy & Boston Railway v 399	ple v 19, 37
Lees v. Nuthall 146, 158	Mayor v. Lord 64, 88
Lehman v. McBride 192	Mays v. Cincinnati
Leland, Wilkinson v 19	Mayworm, People v 189, 191
Leonard v. Morgan 306	McArthur v. Franklin 276
Lessee of Morgan v. Burnet 289	McBlain v. McBlain 227, 241
Lessees of Brewer v. Blougher, 64, 90	McBride, Lehman v
Lincoln v. Saratoga Railway 400	McBride, Millspaugh v 300
Lindsey v. Hawes 56, 66, 78	McCarty, State v
Little, Comm's of Clermont Co. v. 401	McCollough v. Moss 147, 161
Littler v. Smiley 198, 195	McCoy v. Bigby 307
Livermore v. Jamaica 399	McCracken v. Haywood 378
Livingston v. Bishop 127	McCreary v. Commonwealth 370
Livingston, Storm v 207	McCulloch v. The State 28
Lockwood, Kimball v 6	McDuffie v. Bartlett 290
Longworth v. Sturges 311	McGrew v. Browder 206
Longworth, Taylor v 225	McGuire v. The People 391
Lord, Mayor v 64, 88	McIntire v. The State 399
Louisville & Nashville R. R. v.	McKernon, James v 296
Thompson 400	McKinney v. McKinney 378
Lovett v. The Ger. Ref. Church 242	McLean, Boyd v
Luce, Jackson v 468	McMeehan v. Nichols 453
Lures v. Batte 404	McMurtrie v. Bennett 52
Lynch, Gouverneur v 138, 139	Meacham v. Fitchburg Railway 399

PAGE.	N.
Melbourne, Main v 52	PAGE.
Mercer v. Whall 400	Nashville Railway v. Dickerson 399
Meredith, Wright v 460	Neate v. Duke of Marlborough 274
Merrills v. Law 111	Nebbe v. Braughn 351
Meyrick, Edwards v 147	Neville v. Gillespie 52
Millard, Cheeseborough v 141	Newberg, Gardner v 18, 19, 37
Miller, Chrisman v 306	Newberry, Bronson v 378
Miller, Lawrence v 7	Newell, Commonwealth v 367
Miller v. Miller	Newton v. Harris 352
Miller, Moore v	New York and Boston Railway,
Miller v. Ohio 192	Buffman v
Miller v. Tiffany 110	New York Central, The People v. 23
Mills v. Comstock 277, 300	Nichols, Hasford v 111
Mills v. Williams	Nichols, McMeehan v 453
Millspaugh v. McBride 300	Nicholson, Pim v 192
Millspaugh v. Mitchell 207	Noonnan, Wesley v 349
Milwaukie Railway, Robbins v 399	Northern Turnpike Co., Troy and
Milwaukie, Weeks v 21	Boston Railway v 400
Mitchell, Millspaugh v 207	Norton, Chapin v
Mockridge, The State v 12	Nuthall, Lees v 146, 148
Moffat, Forbes v 299, 301	
Mohawk & H. Railway, Blood-	O. Oakon Waad n
good v	Oakey, Wood v
Monell v. Johnson 270	O'Connor v. Guthrie 206
Monson, Powell v 147	Ogden v. Saunders 378
Montgomery, Bondereau v 350	Ohio, Miller v
Montgomery, Cameron v 352	Olcott v. Carroll. 203
Montgomery v. Varner 400	Oliver v. Piatt 147
Moore, Gilchrist v	Orth v. Jennings 468
Moore, Laverty v	100
Moore v. Miller	0
Morford v. Unger	10 1 0 1
Morford v. Weger 20, 23, 43, 46	· -
Morgan v. Boyd	The state of the s
Morgan v. Frees 358	
Morgan, Leonard v 300	1
Morley, James v	
Morris, Foster v	1
Morse, Commonwealth v 366	
Morse v. Stocker	
Moss, McCollough v 147, 16	
Mowrey v. Walsh 207	1
Munson, Greene v	Parks v. Jackson 138, 142
Mutual Insurance Co., Berry v 460	
•	Parkhurst v. Jackson

Raquet, Cowles v ...... 273 | Sawyer, Ingersoll v..... 297

PAGE.	PAG	E.
Schenk, The People v 12	Starks v. People 38	52
Schmidt v. Hoyt 467	Statham, Callan v 65, 278, 286, 28	87
School District, Bacon v 19	1.	23
•		
Seaman v. Cook 147	1	90
Seamons, State v 367		17
Sears v. Cottrell	State v. County Judges 19	94
Semple v. Burd	State v. Cowan 2	21
Seymour v. Judd 114	State v. Dalton 37	78
Sharp, Roswell v 289	State v. Deckins 36	67
Sharp, Spier v		99
Shields, Root v	- /	
Shultze, Hurf v 311		66
Sill v. Covering 24	State v. Lane 36	66
Simmon v. The Commonwealth 12	State v. McCarty 39	94 .
Simmons v. Carter 128	State, Ross v 36	<b>37</b>
Simon v. Stuart 270	State v. Seamons 36	67
Simpson v. The State 12		04
Simpson, Columbus P. & J. Rail-	State v. Voshall 36	
	l .	
way v	State Bank, Billingsly v 35	
Sites v. Killer 52	State of Iowa v. Pitts 20	
Skuyler, Bruce v 378	State of Ohio, Gana v 19	€1
Sloan v. The State 21	States v. Stanley 38	31
Slocum v. Pomeroy 109	Sterling, Church v 147, 16	60
Smiley, Littler v 193, 195	Stewart v. The State 36	38
Smiley v. Sampson 102, 103	Stillwell v. Chappell 40	04
Smith v. Brady 306	Stiver, Gray v 40	
Smith v. City of Boston 337	1	21
		_
Smith, Cushman v	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	19
Smith v. Gugerty 351	Stone, Marvin v 34	
Smith, Hawes v 352	Storm v. Livingston 20	)7
Smith v. Lane 350	Stuart, Simon v 27	70
Smith v. Painter 292	Stump v. Fraley 40	<b>)4</b>
Smith, Robertson v 460	Sturges v. Crowninshield 37	78
Smith, The People v 20	Sturges, Longworth v 31	
Smith, Ventress v 206	Stuyvesant v. Hall	
,	1	52
Somerville Railway v. Dougherty, 399	1 3	
Southgate, Covington v 20, 23, 41	Supervisors, Doolittle v 38	
South Reading Railway, Upton v. 399	,	23
Spence, Watson v 275	Swain v. Ross 31	13
Spier v. Sharp 20	Swan, Powell v 29	98
Spurlock v. Fulks 181	Swarts, State v 40	)4
Stanbury, Kelly v 311	Sweet v. Jacocks 147, 16	60
Stanchfield v. Palmer 207	Swift, Henderson v 30	
	<b>)</b>	
Stanley v. States	· ·	
Stanton v. Ellis 275	Symmes, Roads v 18	
Stanton, Georgia v 30	Symonds v. Cincinnati 89	<b>,</b> 9

T.	PAGE.
PAGE.	The State v. Mockridge 12
Tahann Trustees v 21	The State, Simpson v 12
Tarpley v. Hamer 378	The State, Sloan v 21
Tate v. Carney 77	The State, Stewart v 868
Tate v. Williamson 164	The Sun Mutual Ins. Co. v. The
Taylor v. Boyd 240	City of New York 195
Taylor v. Longworth 225	The Trustees of Watertown v. Cor-
Taylor v. Porter 18, 20	den and Bagg 332
Taylor v. Salmon 147, 160	Thompson v. Chandler 301
Taylor, Terret v 19	Thompson, Colson v
Taylor v. Williams 351, 353	Thompson, Louisville and Nash-
Terret v. Taylor 19	ville R. R. Co. v 400
The Alexandria Canal Co., City	Thompson, Owings v 292
of Georgetown v 337	Thompson v. Powles 109
The City of New York, The Sun	Thornton, Hussey v 206
Mutual Ins. Co. v	Tiffany, Miller v 110
The Commonwealth v. Cullings 12	Tillinghast, Dickerson v 467
The Commonwealth, Eaton v 391	Toler, Armstrong v 110
The Commonwealth, Prine v 392	Tousley, Ellis v 138
The Commonwealth, Simmon v 12	Town of Gallopolis, Le Clercq v
The Commonwealth v. Uprichard, 12	330, 332
The Dubuque & Pacific Railway,	Town of Lebanon v. Commission-
Henry v 399, 401	ers 330
The Ger. Ref. Church, Lovett v 242	Tredgal, Pintard v 227
The People, Adams v 388	Troy & Boston Railway v. Lee 399
The People, Chambers v 367	Troy & Boston Railway v. North-
The People v. Draper 21, 22	ern Turnpike Co 400
The People v. Gardner 12	Trustees v. Tahann
The People v. Lawrence 23	Turner, Burghardt v 378
The People v. Mayor of Brook-	TT
lyn 19, 37	U.
The People, McGuire v 391	Unger, Morford v 45
The People v. N. Y. Central R. R. 23	United States v. Arredends 70
The People v. Power 21	United States, Durransean v 90
The People v. Schenk 12	United States v. Fisher 64
The People v. Smith 20	United States v. Perchman 77
The People, Wenehamer v 20	United States v. Ritchie 70
The People v. White 368, 369	Uprichard, The Commonwealth v. 12
The State v. Brown	Upton v. South Reading Railway, 899
The State, Berrian v 367	**
The State, Cummings v 12	V.
The State v. Ellis 12	Van Allen, Pardee v 802
The State, Greencastle v 195	Van Benthuysen v. De Witt 313
The State, Hamilton v 12	Vanhorn, Cleveland Railway v 400
The State, McCulloch v 28	Varner, Montgomery v 400
The State, McIntyre v 899	Varrick, Edwards v 137

PAGE.	PAGE
Ventress v. Smith 206	White, City of Cincinnati v 331
Vincent v. Conklin 354	White v. Denman 468
Vincent v. Huff	White, The People v 368, 369
Voorhees v. Bank of the U. S 278	Whitehouse, Faucett v 147, 160
Voshall, State v	Whitman v. Boston & Maine Rail-
Vroom v. Ditmas 281, 303	way 399
,	Wilcox v. Jackson 75
W.	Wilkes v. Harper 467
Wade v. Paget	Wilkinson, Brighton v 21
Walker v. Board of Public Works, 19	Wilkinson v. Leland 19
Walsh, Mowrey v	Williams, Dequindse v 289
Wardell, Plype v 52	Williams v. First Presbyterian
Warner, Barret v 206	Church 331
Warner, Clements v 331	Williams, Mills v 21
Warner, Langley v 242	Williams, Taylor v 351, 353
Warner, Reed v 147, 160	Williamson, Tate v 164
Warner v. Webster 273	Willis, Chester v 299
Warren v. Helm 467	Willsmore, Earle v 206
Washington Ins. Co., Perkins v 158	Winona & St. Paul Railway v.
Watson v. Spence 275	Denman 399
Watts v. Evans 52	Wiseman, Kugler v
Watts, Massie v 147, 160	Wood v. Jackson 240
Waumbaugh v. Gates 227, 241	Wood v. Oakey
Weaver, Hosack v 206	11 00000011 11 20220111111111
Webster v. Hoban 225	Woods v. Young
Webster v. Reid 446	Wooton, Brown v 128
Webster, Warner v 273	Wright, Cutler v 109
Weeks v. Milwaukie 21	Wright v. Defrees
Wells v. City of Weston 19, 38	Wright, Jewett v 109, 110
Welsh, Geiger v 354	Wright v. Meredith 460
Welch v. Dawson	Wright v. Prescott 298
Wenehamer v. The People 20	Wyne, Kline v 174, 175
Weger, Morford v 20, 23, 43, 46	Wynn, Garland v 68
Wertz, Denniston v 352	
Wesley v. Noonan 349 Westen Atwood v 352	Y.
W C300H, 220WOOd 111111	Yates, Lyon v 128
W Hall, McCocci V	Young v. Buckingham 826
W Heran V. W Horani	Young, Woods v 119
W HCCIWIIGHT V. Dopojates	Toung, Woods
White V. Compensation	<b>Z.</b>
White v. Charlotte & S. C. Rail-	Zebnor v. Beard 404
road 399	