

Formal Submission of Lawful Objection to the NSW Consumer Energy Strategy (2024)

To: Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water
GPO Box 39
Sydney NSW 2001

From: Chris Mader
Rylstone, New South Wales
Date: 24th June 2025

Subject:

Submission in Lawful Objection to the NSW Consumer Energy Strategy (2024) on
Constitutional, Legal, and Democratic Grounds

This submission is presented in accordance with the principles and authority of **Magna Carta 1215**, which remains the foundational and supreme constitutional document for the governance of this land. It is submitted to place on the record an **explicit lawful objection** to the policy document titled "**NSW Consumer Energy Strategy – Powering our People and Communities**" (2024).

This Strategy is in direct conflict with constitutional principles and the legal rights of the people of New South Wales. It imposes top-down energy mandates, regulatory compulsion, and digital surveillance mechanisms upon private homes and businesses. It is implemented by statute-based governance **without Trial by Jury** and **without the consent of the governed**, as historically and lawfully required.

Democracy, as derived from the Hellenic Greek *demos* (people) and *kratein* (to rule), requires that supreme power remains in the hands of the People. This power is expressed through **Trial by Jury**, not through imposed policies by executive departments.

Grounds for Lawful Objection

1. Absence of Trial by Jury and Consent

The Strategy bypasses lawful democratic processes. No part of this Strategy has been subject to lawful review by a Common Law Jury, nor has it been presented for direct judgment by the People.

Trial by Jury is not optional — it is the **creator and protector of Democracy**, enshrined in **Article 39 of Magna Carta 1215**, which states:

"No free man shall be seized or imprisoned, or stripped of his rights or possessions... except by the lawful judgment of his equals or by the law of the land."

Legislative enactment, bureaucratic authority, or statutory design **cannot substitute** for lawful judgment by the People.

2. Unlawful Compulsion and Property Infringement

The Strategy imposes:

- Forced electrification of homes and removal of gas systems.
- Participation in Virtual Power Plants (VPPs) that allow remote access and control of private energy systems.
- Mandatory energy efficiency standards and digital compliance without community consent.

These measures constitute **compelled interference in private property**, violating Natural Law and the Common Law tradition upheld since the 13th century. Compulsion without lawful contract, remedy, or Jury approval is invalid and ultra vires.

3. Surveillance and Digital Compliance Regimes

Smart meters, VPP systems, and digital compliance monitoring introduce permanent **data collection and behavioral tracking** in people's homes.

This is a direct breach of:

- **Privacy rights protected under Common Law.**
- The presumption of autonomy in the home.
- The constitutional principle that no data or property may be seized or accessed without lawful warrant and judgment by equals.

4. Economic Discrimination and Technocratic Centralisation

The Strategy structurally favors those with capital, access, and government subsidy eligibility, while burdening rural, low-income, and independent households with non-negotiable energy rules.

It also:

- Embeds control in unelected regulators and corporate partners.
- Uses incentives as coercive levers to impose standardised outcomes.
- Equates policy enforcement with consent — a falsehood under constitutional law.

This is not democratic empowerment — it is a technocratic framework dressed in populist language.

Conclusion and Lawful Demand

This Submission formally records the following:

- I, Chris Mader, **object in law** to the NSW Consumer Energy Strategy (2024).
- I reject any mandates, standards, or surveillance mechanisms implemented without **Trial by Jury** and **Common Law oversight**.
- I demand the withdrawal of all coercive and non-consensual aspects of this Strategy.
- I request that all future energy and infrastructure proposals affecting the People be subject to **lawful democratic review via Common Law Trial by Jury**, as is required by Magna Carta 1215 and constitutional tradition.

This Strategy, and the method of its implementation, stand in violation of constitutional supremacy, property rights, and the democratic right of lawful consent.

This is not Democracy.

It is the **administration of energy through executive decree**, enforced without lawful judgment, contrary to the Constitution, and in breach of the People's sovereignty.

Respectfully submitted,

Chris Mader

Constitutional Researcher | Advocate for Lawful Democracy

Author of multiple formal submissions to NSW and Federal Parliament

Specialising in Magna Carta 1215, Democracy, Natural Law, and the Sovereignty of the People

Constitutional Clarification:

The *Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act 1900 (UK)* is a statute of the British Parliament and holds lawful validity only insofar as it does not conflict with **Magna Carta 1215, Natural Law**, and the Sovereign Right of the People to rule through **Trial by Jury**. Any portion of the Act that contradicts these foundational authorities is, by operation of law, void and of no lawful effect. The People do not stand under Parliament — Parliament stands under the Constitution.

NOTICE TO ALL PUBLIC OFFICERS AND COMMITTEE MEMBERS

This submission is made formally for the ****public record**** in good faith, pursuant to lawful rights under Natural Law, Common Law, and the Constitution of the Realm.

⚠ Suppression, redaction, or failure to acknowledge receipt of this submission may constitute a ****dereliction of duty**** and/or ****political censorship****, and shall be documented as evidence of systemic failure to uphold transparency, public accountability, and lawful governance.

The author hereby demands:

1. ****Written acknowledgment of receipt**** of this submission;
2. That this submission be ****published in full****, unless a legal exemption is cited with full justification;
3. That any refusal to publish or respond will be taken as ****administrative avoidance and tacit acceptance**** of the facts and claims contained herein.

Failure to uphold these responsibilities may be referred to future judicial review, constitutional challenge, or international notice under lawful remedy.