## **CNS 2013**

#### Solutions to Paul Tiesinga Problem Set 1 - Exercise 3

Marije ter Wal

## **3.a Analytical solution of** y'' + by' + y = 0

The analytical solution to y'' + by' + y = 0 can be found by trying the function  $y(x) = C\exp(\lambda x)$ . This will give the characteristic equation:

$$\lambda^2 + b\lambda + 1 = 0 \tag{1}$$

and hence the solution:

$$y(x) = C_1 \exp(\lambda_1 x) + C_2 \exp(\lambda_2 x) \tag{2}$$

 $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are given by:

$$\lambda_{1,2} = \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4}}{2} \tag{3}$$

This gives the following characterization of the fixed points:

- if  $-\infty < b \le -2$  the solution blows up (unstable node)
- if -2 < b < 0 the solution is blow-up oscillatory (unstable spiral)
- if b = 0 the solution is oscillatory (limit cycle)
- if 0 < b < 2 the solution is damped oscillatory (stable spiral)
- if  $2 \le b < \infty$  the solution is damped (stable node)

#### 3.b Rewrite and analyze as a system of first order ODEs

In order to rewrite the second order ODE to a system of two first order ODE, we define a new variable, let's call it  $y_1$ , as the derivative of the original variable y:

$$y_1 = y' \tag{4}$$

Then, by definition:

$$y_1' = y'' \tag{5}$$

$$y_1' = y''$$

$$Y_1 = y$$

$$(5)$$

$$(6)$$

with  $Y_1$  being the primitive of  $y_1$ . For the derivative of  $y_1$  we thus find:

$$y_1' = -by_1 - Y_1 \tag{7}$$

Redefining  $Y_1$  as a new variable  $y_2$  gives us the second differential equation:

$$y_2' = Y_1' = y_1 \tag{8}$$

leaving us with a system of two coupled first order ODEs:

$$y'_1 = -by_1 - y_2$$
 (9)  
 $y'_2 = y_1$  (10)

$$y_2' = y_1 \tag{10}$$

We can linearize this system around the origin, by computing the Jacobian:

$$J_{(0,0)} = \begin{pmatrix} -b & -1\\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{11}$$

The stability of the fixed point can be determined based on the eigenvalues, which are given by:

$$\lambda_{1,2} = \frac{-\text{tr}J \pm \sqrt{\text{tr}J^2 - 4\text{det}J}}{2} \tag{12}$$

with the trace trJ and the determinant detJ of the Jacobian matrix given by:

$$trJ = -b + 0 = -b \tag{13}$$

$$\det J = -b * 0 - -1 * 1 = 1 \tag{14}$$

As you can see, and could have expected, this gives the same form as we found in a, and of course the characterization is also the same.

# 3.c Characterize stability of origin for $y'' + by'^3 + y = 0$

We transform the system to a set of first order ODEs:

$$y_1' = y_2 \tag{15}$$

$$y'_1 = y_2$$
 (15)  
 $y'_2 = -by_2^3 - y_1$  (16)

Linearizing this system around the origin will yield trJ = 0 and hence is inconclusive. To benifit from the oscillatory dynamics that we can deduce from a zero trace, let's transform the system to polar coordinates:

$$y_1 \equiv r \cos \theta \tag{17}$$

$$y_2 \equiv r \sin \theta \tag{18}$$

This gives:

$$y_1' = r' \cos \theta - r\theta' \sin \theta \tag{19}$$

$$y_2' = r' \sin \theta + r\theta' \cos \theta \tag{20}$$

In order to find r', we make use of the fact that  $\cos^2 \theta + \sin^2 \theta = 1$ , so we multiply the above equations by  $\cos \theta$  and  $\sin \theta$ , respectively, and add them up:

$$y_1' \cos \theta + y_2' \sin \theta = r' \cos^2 \theta - r\theta' \sin \theta \cos \theta + r' \sin^2 \theta + r\theta' \cos \theta \sin \theta (21)$$
$$= r' \tag{22}$$

Furthermore, we know:

$$y_1' = y_2 = r\sin\theta \tag{23}$$

$$y'_{1} = y_{2} - r \sin \theta$$

$$y'_{2} = -by_{2}^{3} - y_{1} = -br^{3} \sin^{3} \theta - r \cos \theta$$
(24)

which leaves us with:

$$r' = r \sin \theta \cos \theta + (-br^3 \sin^3 \theta - r \cos \theta) \sin \theta \tag{25}$$

$$= r\sin\theta\cos\theta + -br^3\sin^4\theta - r\cos\theta\sin\theta \tag{26}$$

$$= -br^3 \sin^4 \theta \tag{27}$$

From this equation we can readily see that the radius and hence the amplitude of the oscillations will decrease for all b > 0, while it will increase for b < 0. The fixed point in the origin therefore is characterized by:

- if b < 0 the solution blows up (unstable spiral)
- if b = 0 the solution is oscillatory (limit cycle)
- if b > 0 the solution is damped oscillatory (stable spiral)

As you might have noticed when plotting trajectories, the behaviour for b > 0 grows increasingly slow when the radius decreases and seems to never reach the origin. This is because of slow  $\theta$  dynamics, not due to instability (write down the ODE for  $\theta$  to see why).