## Induction on Strings

## 7 Jan 2019

**Instruction**: Write the answers to the problems neatly in loose sheets with your name and roll number. Submit to the TA at the end of the class.

- 1. A palindrome can be defined as a string that reads the same forward and backward, or by the following definition.
  - (a)  $\epsilon$  is a palindrome.
  - (b) If a is any symbol, then the string a is a palindrome.
  - (c) If a is any symbol and x is a palindrome, then axa is a palindrome.
  - (d) Nothing is a palindrome unless it follows from (a) through (c).

Prove by induction that the two definitions are equivalent.

**Solution:** Let the definition provided here be called def1 while the usual definition of palindromes be termed as def2. Usual definition means that the string reads the same both forward and backward. Both definitions are equivalent implies, both definitions capture exactly the same subset of  $\Sigma^*$ . We prove this by induction on string length, the base case being the empty string.

For  $\epsilon$ , it is part of def1 (clause 1) while it trivially satisfies def2. Similar argument holds for strings of unit length (clause 2 in def1). For length 2 palindromes, they satisfy def1 being of the type aa with  $x=\epsilon$  (clause 3). Strings of type aa also satisfy def2 being the same symbol repeated twice. Now let us assume both definitions to be equivalent upto strings of length n>2 in  $\Sigma^*$ .

Consider a string  $\sigma$  with  $|\sigma|=n+1$  which is palindrome as per def2. That implies  $\sigma=\sigma^R$  (applying def2). Hence it must be the case that  $\sigma$  starts and ends with same symbol. Hence  $\exists \sigma' \in \Sigma^*$ ,  $a \in \Sigma$  such that  $\sigma=a\sigma'a$ . Also,  $\sigma=\sigma^R\Rightarrow a\sigma'a=(a\sigma'a)^R\Rightarrow a\sigma'a=a\sigma'^Ra\Rightarrow \sigma'=\sigma'^R$ . Thus  $\sigma'$  is palindrome as per def2. Since  $|\sigma'|=n-1$  and def1, def2 are equivalent for string length upto n, we have  $\sigma'$  as palindrome also for def1. Now, applying clause 3 of def1, we have  $\sigma=a\sigma'a$  as palindrome (as per def1).

Consider a string  $\sigma$  with  $|\sigma| = n + 1$  which is palindrome as per def1. Since n > 2, we must have a palindrome x such that |x| = n - 1 and  $axa = \sigma$  for some symbol a. x should satisfy def2 and hence  $x = x^R$ . So,  $\sigma^R = (axa)^R = ax^Ra = axa = \sigma$ . This  $\sigma$  is also palindrome as per def2.

- 2. The strings of balanced parenthesis can be defined in at least two ways.
  - (a) A string w over alphabet  $\{(,)\}$  is balanced if and only if:
    - i. w has an equal number of ('s as )'s, and
    - ii. any prefix of w has at least as many ('s as )'s.
  - (b) i.  $\epsilon$  is balanced.
    - ii. If w is a balanced string, then (w) is balanced.
    - iii. If w and x are balanced strings, then so is wx.
    - iv. Nothing else is a balanced string.

Prove by induction on the length of a string that definitions (a) and (b) define the same class of strings.

**Solution :** Let  $P_{\Sigma}^n$  be the set of balanced parenthesis upto length 2n.  $P_{\Sigma}^0 = \{\epsilon\}$  which is trivially satisfying def1 and def2. Let def1 and def2 agree upto  $P_{\Sigma}^n$ . Now  $P_{\Sigma}^{n+1} = P_{\Sigma}^n \cup X$  with X being the set of balanced parenthesis of length exactly 2n+2.

Let  $x \in X$  be a balanced parenthesis satisfying def1. Consider the prefix of x of length 1. As per condition 2 of def1, it has to be '('. (If the first symbol is ')', condition 2 is not satisfied.) As per condition 1 of def1, x has n+1 '(' and n+1 ')'. We now argue that the last symbol of x has to be ')'. Otherwise, if  $x = x_1$ (, then  $x_1$  is a prefix with n+1 ')' and n '(' (violates condition 2). Hence, as per def1 x = (w) (has to start and end with '(' and ')' respectively). There are two options now.

- (a) w is a balanced string of length 2n as per def1. Then w is a balanced string also as per def2 (the definitions agree upto length 2n). Then x satisfies def2 (clause2).
- (b) w is not a balanced string as per def1. This can only happen if clause 2 of def1 is violated by w (clause 1 is satisfied). Let  $w_1$  be the smallest such prefix of w with less '(' than ')'. Note that ' $(w_1$ ' manages to be a prefix with at least as many '(' as ')'. Hence, in  $w_1$  there is exactly one '(' less. Thus ' $(w_1$ ' satisfies both conditions of def1 (condition 2 is guaranteed by  $w_1$  being the smallest possible violator). Thus ( $w_1$  is a balanced string as per def1 (and hence def2). With  $x = (w) = (w_1 w_2)$ , what about  $w_2$ ? Since condition 1 and 2 of def1 are satisfied by both ( $w_1$  and w, they are also satisfied by  $w_2$ ). Still let us show that. Surely,  $w_2$ ) has same number of '(' and ')' since both (w) and ( $w_1$  are balanced. Consider any prefix  $\sigma$  of  $w_2$ ). Note, ( $w_1\sigma$  has at least as many '(' as ')'. ( $w_1$  has same number of '(' and ')'. Hence  $\sigma$  has at least as many '(' as ')'.

Both  $(w_1 \text{ and } w_2)$  are balanced as per both defs. Hence x = (w) is balanced also as per def2 (clause3).

Assume def2 and prove the reverse now.

3. Prove that any equivalence relation R on a set S partitions S into disjoint equivalence classes.

## Solution:

Let,  $x, y \in S$  and  $[[x]]_R \cap [[y]]_R \neq \phi$  and suppose  $z \in [[x]]_R$ ,  $z \in [[y]]_R$ Hence by definition of Equivalence Class,  $(x, z) \in R$ ,  $(y, z) \in R$ Let  $c \in [[x]]_R$  i.e.  $(x, c) \in R$ 

By definition of Equivalence relation, R is symmetric. So,  $(z, x) \in R$  By definition of equivalence relation, R is transitive. So,

 $(z,x) \in R \land (x,c) \in R \Rightarrow (z,c) \in R \text{ and } (y,z) \in R \land (z,c) \in R \Rightarrow (y,c) \in R$ This gives  $c \in [[y]]_R$  and hence,  $[[x]]_R \subseteq [[y]]_R$ 

Considering  $c \in [[y]]_R$ , it can be proved that  $[[y]]_R \subseteq [[x]]_R$  in similar way.  $[[x]]_R \subseteq [[y]]_R \wedge [[y]]_R \subseteq [[x]]_R \Rightarrow [[x]]_R = [[y]]_R$ Thus,  $[[x]]_R \cap [[y]]_R \neq \phi \Rightarrow [[x]]_R = [[y]]_R$ .

Following the above results, we can say, equivalence classes are either same or disjoint. Hence any equivalence relation R on a set S partitions S into disjoint equivalence classes.

- 4. Show that the following are equivalence relations and give their equivalence classes.
  - (a)  $R_1$  on integers  $\rightarrow iR_1j$  iff i=j.
  - (b)  $R_2$  on people  $\to pR_2q$  iff p and q were born on the same hour of same day of some year.

## Solution:

- (a)  $R_1$  is a relation on set of integers  $\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $iR_1j \implies i=j$ 
  - i. **Proof of Reflexivity**: Let,  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ As we can say  $a = a \implies aR_1a$
  - ii. **Proof of Symmetry**: Let,  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $a = b \implies aR_1b$  From a = b we can say  $b = a \implies bR_1a$
  - iii. **Proof of Transitivity**: Let,  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $a = b, b = c \implies aR_1b, \ bR_1c$ As we can say  $a = c \implies aR_1c$

Clearly this  $R_1$  will divide  $\mathbb{Z}$  into as many equivalent classes as many integers are present in Integer set.

- (b)  $R_2$  is a relation on set of people  $\mathcal{P}$  such that  $pR_2q \implies p$  and q were born on the same hour of same day of some year.
  - i. **Proof of Reflexivity**: Let,  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ Then we can say p and p were born on the same hour of same day of some year.  $\Longrightarrow pR_1p$

- ii. **Proof of Symmetry**: Let,  $p, q \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $pR_2q$ Then we can say q and p were born on the same hour of same day of some year.  $\implies qR_1p$
- iii. **Proof of Transitivity**: Let,  $p, q, r \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $pR_2q$ ,  $qR_2r$  As  $pR_2q \implies p$  and q were born on the same hour of same day of some year and  $qR_2r \implies q$  and r were born on the same hour of same day of some year. then we can clearly say, p and r were born on the same hour of same day of some year.  $\implies pR_1r$

Clearly this  $R_2$  will divide  $\mathcal{P}$  into 365\*24 (366\*24, for leap years) equivalence classes i.e. the number of hours in any year.