

22/10/20

Para-Algo  
Test-3Koushik Ray  
17CS30022  
h j

2.

~~Lets define  $I[x]$  = maximum number of independent sets~~

Lets define for  $x \subseteq V(G)$ ,

$I[x] =$  minimum  $k$  such that  $G[x]$  can be partitioned into  $k$  independent sets

$C[x] =$  minimum  $k$  such that  $G[x]$  can be partitioned into  $k$  cliques

Recursions:-

$$I[x] = \begin{cases} 0 & x = \emptyset \\ \min_{\substack{y \subseteq x \\ y \text{ is independent} \\ y \neq \emptyset}} (1 + I[x-y]) & \text{dse} \end{cases}$$

$$C[x] = \begin{cases} 0 & x = \emptyset \\ \min_{\substack{y \subseteq x \\ y \text{ is clique} \\ y \neq \emptyset}} (1 + C[x-y]) & \text{dse} \end{cases}$$

As, this is an exhaustive recursion, we can see the transitions are optimal

If  $\exists x \subseteq V(G)$  ( $I[x] + C[V-x] = k$ ), we

Say that  $G$  is a  $k$ -IC-graph.

Total number of states =  $O(2^n)$   
 Transition checking feasibility =  $O(n^{O(1)})$   
 =  $O(2^n)$

Total time :-  $O(2^n \cdot n^{O(1)})$

Koushik Ray  
17CS30022

② hj

1.

$$\text{partition}(C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k) = \forall v \in V(G)$$

$$\text{partition}(C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k) = \forall v \in V(G) \left[ \begin{aligned} & (v \in C_1 \wedge v \notin C_2 \wedge \dots \wedge v \notin C_k) \\ & \vee (v \notin C_1 \wedge v \in C_2 \wedge \dots \wedge v \notin C_k) \\ & \vee (v \notin C_1 \wedge v \notin C_2 \wedge v \in C_3 \wedge \dots \wedge v \notin C_k) \\ & \vdots \\ & \vee (v \notin C_1 \wedge v \notin \dots \wedge v \in C_k) \end{aligned} \right]$$

$$\text{dist} 1(u, v) = \exists e \in E(G) \text{ inc}(u, e) \wedge \text{inc}(v, e)$$

$$\text{dist} 2(u, v) = \exists w \in V(G) \text{ dist} 1(u, w) \wedge \text{dist} 1(w, v)$$

$$Q(C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k) = \begin{aligned} & \text{partition}(C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k) \wedge \\ & [\forall u, v \in C_1 \neg \text{dist} 1(u, v) \wedge \neg \text{dist} 2(u, v)] \wedge \\ & (\forall u, v \in C_2 \neg \text{dist} 1(u, v) \wedge \neg \text{dist} 2(u, v)) \wedge \\ & \vdots \\ & (\forall u, v \in C_k \neg \text{dist} 1(u, v) \wedge \neg \text{dist} 2(u, v)) \wedge \\ & (\forall u \in C_1 \forall v \in C_2 \neg \text{dist} 1(u, v)) \wedge \\ & (\forall u \in C_2 \forall v \in C_3 \neg \text{dist} 1(u, v)) \wedge \\ & \vdots \\ & (\forall u \in C_{k-1} \forall v \in C_k \neg \text{dist} 1(u, v)). \end{aligned}$$

③

Kaushik Raj  
17 CS30022  
hij

$Q$  accepts  $k$  free parameters  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k$  which is a partition of  $V(G)$  and correspond to the vertices with color  $i$ .  
 $Q$  is an  $MSO_2$  accepting  $L(2, 1)$ - $k$ -coloring

~~By~~  
 $\|Q\| = k^2$

$\therefore$  By Courcelle's Theorem, there exists an FPT that verifies  $Q$  in time  $f(\|Q\|, t)$  for some computable function  $f$ . Here,  $t$  is treewidth.

Thus,  $p^+$ -tw- $L(2, 1)$ -coloring is FPT