

PoPL-06

Partha Pratin Das

Type & Type Ern Type & Type Ern Type Safety Type Checking Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

Examples

sum length append

Type Deduction

Polymorphism Ad-hoc Parametric Subtype

## CS40032: Principles of Programming Languages Module 06: Type Systems

### Partha Pratim Das

Department of Computer Science and Engineering Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur

ppd@cse.iitkgp.ac.in

**Source**: Concepts in Programming Languages by John C. Mitchell, Cambridge University Press, 2003

Feb 26, Mar 02, 04: 2020



### Table of Contents

PoPL-06

Partha Pratii Das

Type System
Type & Type Erro
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

Examples sum length append Homework

Type Deduction Polymorphism

Polymorphism
Ad-hoc
Parametric
Subtype

- 1 Type Systems
  - Type & Type Error
  - Type Safety
  - Type Checking
  - Type Inference
- 2 Type Inference
  - add x = 2 + x
  - apply (f, x) = f xInference Algorithm
    - Unification
- Examples
  - sum
    - length
    - append
    - Homework
  - 4 Type Deduction in C++
    - Polymorphism
      - Ad-hoc
      - Parametric
      - Subtype
    - C++11,...



#### PoPL-06

#### Type Systems

#### PoPL-06

**Type Systems** 



### What is a Type System?

PoPL-06

Partha Pratii Das

Type Systems
Type & Type Error
Type Safety
Type Checking

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm

Examples
sum
length
append
Homework

Type Deduction

> Polymorphism Ad-hoc Parametric Subtype

 A type system is a tractable syntactic method for proving the absence of certain program behaviors by classifying phrases according to the kinds of values they compute



## What is a Type?

PoPL-06

Partha Pratii Das

Type Systems
Type & Type Error
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

Examples
sum
length
append
Homework

Type Deductio

Polymorphism Ad-hoc Parametric Subtype

- In general, a type is a collection of computational entities that share some common property
  - Type Examples: int, bool, int  $\rightarrow$  bool, etc.
  - Type Non-Examples: 3, true, Even Integeres, etc.
  - Distinction between sets of values that are types and sets that are not types is language dependent
- There are three main uses of types in programming languages:
  - Naming and organizing concepts
  - Making sure that bit sequences in computer memory are interpreted consistently
  - Providing information to the compiler about data manipulated by the program

5



## Advantages of Types

PoPL-06

Partha Prati Das

Type Systems
Type & Type Error
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

Examples sum length append Homework

Type Deduction

Polymorphism Ad-hoc Parametric Subtype

- Program organization and documentation
  - Separate types for separate concepts
    - Represent concepts from problem domain
  - Document intended use of declared identifiers
    - Types can be checked, unlike program comments
- Identify and prevent errors
  - Compile-time or run-time checking can prevent meaningless computations such as 3 + true - "Bill"
- Support optimization
  - Short integers require fewer bits
  - Access components of structures by known offset



## What is a Type Error?

PoPL-06

Partha Pratii Das

Type & Type Error
Type & Type Error
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

Examples sum length append Homework

Deduction
Polymorphism
Ad-hoc
Parametric
Subtype

 A type error occurs when a computational entity, such as a function or a data value, is used in a manner that is inconsistent with the concept it represents

- Whatever the compiler/interpreter says it is?
- Something to do with bad bit sequences?
  - Floating point representation has specific form
  - An integer may not be a valid float
  - Hardware Error
    - int x; x();
    - float\_add(3, 4.5)
- Something about programmer intent and use?
  - A type error occurs when a value is used in a way that is inconsistent with its definition
  - Unintended Semantics
    - int\_add(3, 4.5)
    - declare as character, use as integer



## Type errors are language dependent

PoPL-06

Partha Pratii Das

Type Systems

Type & Type Error

Type Safety

Type Checking

Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

Examples sum length append Homework

Type Deduction

> Polymorphism Ad-hoc Parametric Subtype

- Array out of bounds access
  - C/C++: runtime errors
  - Haskell/Java: dynamic type errors
- Null pointer dereference
  - C/C++: run-time errors
  - Haskell/ML: pointers are hidden inside datatypes
    - Null pointer dereferences would be incorrect use of these datatypes, therefore static type errors



## Type Safety

PoPL-06

Partha Pratir Das

Type System
Type & Type Erro
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

Examples
sum
length
append
Homework

Deduction
Polymorphism
Ad-hoc
Parametric
Subtype

 A programming language is type safe if no program is allowed to violate its type distinctions

• A safe language protects its own abstractions:

Safety	Statically checked	Dynamically checked	Remarks
Unsafe	BCPL (Basic Combined Programming		Type casts Unions Pointer arithmetic
	Language <sup>1</sup> ) family including C, C++		
Almost Safe	Algol family, Pascal. Ada		Dangling pointers     Explicit Deallocation
Sarc	r ascar, Ada		Hard to make languages with explicit deallocation of memory fully type-safe
Safe	ML, Haskell, Java	Lisp, SmallTalk, Javascript, Scheme, Perl, Postscript, Python	Complete type checking

 $<sup>^{1}\</sup>mbox{Procedural, Imperative, and Structured programming language}$ 



### What are Type System good for?

PoPL-06

Partha Pratir Das

Type Systems
Type & Type Error
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

Examples sum length append

Type Deductior

Polymorphism Ad-hoc Parametric Subtype

- Detecting Errors: Static type-checking allows early detection of some programming errors
- Abstraction: Enforces disciplined programming
- Documentation: Types are useful when reading programs
- Language Safety: A safe language protects its own abstractions; Portability
- Efficiency: Distinguish between integer-valued arithmetic expressions and real-valued ones; Eliminate many of the dynamic checks; etc.
- Other Applications
  - Computer and network security
  - Program analysis tools
  - Automated theorem proving
  - Database type analysis of Document Type Definitions and other kinds of schemas (such as XML-Schema standard [XS 2000]) for describing structured data in XML
  - Computational linguistics typed λ–calculi form the basis for formalisms such as categorical grammar



## Compile-time vs Run-time Checking

#### PoPL-06

Partha Pratii Das

Type System
Type & Type Ern
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

Examples
sum
length
append
Homework

Type
Deduction
Polymorphism
Ad-hoc
Parametric
Subtype

- JavaScript and Lisp use run-time type checking
  - f(x): Make sure f is a function before calling f
  - \$ var f = 3 \$ f(2);
    - \$ typein:3: TypeError: f is not a function
  - In JavaScript, we can write a function like function f(x) { return x < 10 ? x : x(); }</li>
     Some uses will produce type error, some will not
- Haskell and Java use compile-time type checking
  - f(x): Must have  $f::A \to B$  and x::A inside datatypes
- Basic tradeoff
  - Both kinds of checking prevent type errors
  - Run-time checking slows down execution
  - Compile-time checking restricts program flexibility
    - JavaScript array: elements can have different types
    - Haskell list: all elements must have same type
  - Which gives better programmer diagnostics?



## Compile-time vs Run-time Checking: Conservativity of Compile-Time Checking

PoPL-06

Partha Pratir Das

Type & Type Erro Type Safety

Type Checking

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

Examples

sum length append Homework

Deduction
Polymorphism
Ad-hoc
Parametric
Subtype

- A property of compile-time type checking is that the compiler must be conservative
- The Compile-time type checking will find all statements and expressions that produce run-time type errors, but also may flag statements or expressions as errors even if they do not produce run-time errors
- More specifically, most checkers are both sound and conservative
  - A type checker is sound if no programs with errors are considered correct
  - A type checker is conservative if some programs without errors are still considered to have errors
- For any Turing-complete programming language, the set of programs that may produce a run-time type error is undecidable

```
if (complicated-expression-that-could-run-forever)
    then (expression-with-type-error)
    else (expression-with-type-error)
```

Static typing is always conservative

```
function f(x) { return x < 10 ? x : x(); }
if (complicated-boolean-expression)
    then f(5);
    else f(15);</pre>
```



## Compile-time vs Run-time Checking: Comparative

PoPL-06

Partha Pratir Das

Type & Type Err
Type Safety

Type Checking

Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

Examples
sum
length
append
Homework

Type Deductior

Polymorphism Ad-hoc Parametric Subtype Trade-offs between compile-time and run-time checking

Form of Type	Advantages	Disadvantages
Checking		
Run-time	Prevents type errors	<ul> <li>Slows program execution</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Need not be conservative</li> </ul>	
Compile-time	Prevents type errors	May restrict
	<ul> <li>Eliminates run-time tests</li> </ul>	programming because
	<ul> <li>Finds type errors before</li> </ul>	tests are conservative
	execution and run-time tests	

- Combining Compile-Time and Run-Time Checking
  - Most programming languages actually use some combination of compile-time and run-time type checking
  - In Java, for example, static type checking is used to distinguish arrays from integers, but array bounds errors (which are a form of type error) are checked at run-time



## Type Inference

PoPL-06

Partha Pratii Das

Type Systems
Type & Type Error
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

Examples
sum
length
append
Homework

Type
Deduction
Polymorphism
Ad-hoc
Parametric
Subtype

- Type inference is the process of determining the types of expressions based on the known types of some symbols that appear in them
- The difference between type inference and compile-time type checking is really a matter of degree
- A type-checking algorithm goes through the program to check that the types declared by the programmer agree with the language requirements
- In type inference, the idea is that some information is not specified, and some form of logical inference is required for determining the types of identifiers from the way they are used



## Type Inference: Checking vs Inference

PoPL-06

Partha Pratii Das

Type System
Type & Type Erro
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

Examples
sum
length
append
Homework

Type Deduction

Polymorphism Ad-hoc Parametric Subtype Standard Type Checking

```
int f(int x) { return x+1; };
int g(int y) { return f(y+1)*2; };
```

- Examine body of each function
- Use declared types to check agreement
- Type Inference

```
T f(T x) { return x+1; };
T g(T y) { return f(y+1)*2; };
```

- Examine code without type information
- Infer the most general types that could have been declared



## Type Inference

PoPL-06

Partha Prati Das

Type System
Type & Type Erro
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

Examples
sum
length
append
Homework

Type
Deduction
Polymorphism
Ad-hoc
Parametric
Subtype

- In addition to providing a flexible form of compile-time type checking, type inference supports polymorphism
- Type-inference algorithm uses type variables as placeholders for types that are not known
- In some cases, the type-inference algorithm resolves all type variables and determines that they must be equal to specific types such as Int, Bool, or String
- In other cases, the type of a function may contain type variables that are not constrained by the way the function is defined. In these cases, the function may be applied to any arguments whose types match the form given by a type expression containing type variables



#### PoPL-06

Partha Pratir Das

Type System: Type & Type Erro Type Safety Type Checking Type Inference

#### Type Inference

add x = 2 + x apply (f, x) Inference Algorithm

### Examples

sum
length
append
Homework

#### Type Deduction

Polymorphism Ad-hoc Parametric Subtype

## **Type Inference**

**Source**: Lecture 26: Type Inference and Unification Cornell University, 2005

https://www.cs.cornell.edu/courses/cs3110/2011sp/Lectures/lec26-type-inference/

type-inference.htm



## Type Inference by Hand-weaving: Example 1

PoPL-06

Partha Pratir Das

Type System
Type & Type Err
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference

add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

Examples
sum
length
append

Type Deduction

> Polymorphism Ad-hoc Parametric Subtype

Consider

f x = 2 + x

> f :: Int -> Int

• What is the type of f?

 $\bullet \ + \ \mathsf{has} \ \mathsf{type} \colon \mathsf{Int} \to \mathsf{Int} \to \mathsf{Int}$ 

• 2 has type: Int

• Since we are applying + to x we need x :: Int

 $\bullet \ \, \text{Therefore f } x=2+x \text{ has type Int} \to \text{Int}$ 



## Type Inference by Hand-weaving: Example 2

PoPL-06

Partha Pratii Das

Type System
Type & Type Erro
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference

add x = 2 + x apply (f, x) Inference Algorithm Unification

Examples
sum
length
append
Homework

Type
Deduction
Polymorphism
Ad-hoc
Parametric
Subtype

Consider

$$f (g, h) = g (h(0))$$
  
>  $f :: (a -> b, Int -> a) -> b$ 

- What is the type of f?
  - h is applied to an integer argument, and so h must be a function from Int to something
  - Represent "something" by introducing a type variable a.
  - g must be a function that takes whatever h returns (of type a) and then returns something else
  - g is not constrained to return the same type of value as h, so we represent this second one by a new type variable, b
  - Putting the types of h and g together, we see that the first argument to f2 has type (a  $\rightarrow$  b) and the second has type (Int  $\rightarrow$  a)
  - Function f2 takes the pair of these two functions as an argument and returns the same type of value as g returns
  - Therefore, the type of f2 is  $(a \rightarrow b, Int \rightarrow a) \rightarrow b$ .



PoPL-06

Partha Pratii Das

Type Systems
Type & Type Error
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference

add x = 2 + x

apply (f, x)

Inference Algorithm


Examples
sum
length
append
Homework

Type Deduction

Polymorphism Ad-hoc Parametric Subtype  $\bullet$  add x = 2 + x

add :: ?

- Parse Program text to construct parse tree
- Infix operators are converted to Curried function application during parsing:  $2 + x \Rightarrow (+) 2 x$
- Dotted link shows where the variable is bound



Parse Tree for Add Function



PoPL-06

Partha Pratir Das

Type System
Type & Type Erro
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference

add x = 2 + x

apply (f, x)

Inference Algorithm


Unification

Examples
sum
length
append
Homework

Type Deduction

Polymorphism Ad-hoc Parametric Subtype

- $\bullet$  add x = 2 + x
- Assign type variables to nodes
- Variables are given same type as binding occurrence



Parse Tree Labeled with Type Variables



#### PoPL-06

Partha Pratir Das

Type System
Type & Type Erro
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference

add x = 2 + x

apply (f, x)

Inference Algorithm


Unification

#### Examples sum length

Type Deduction

Polymorphism Ad-hoc Parametric Subtype

### Add Constraints



## Parse Tree Labeled with Type Constraints Partha Pratim Das



## Type Inference Algorithm: Constraints from Application Nodes

PoPL-06

Partha Pratir Das

Type Systems
Type & Type Error
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference

add x = 2 + x

apply (f, x)

Inference Algorithm


Unification

Examples sum length append Homework

Type Deductior Polymorphism

Polymorphism Ad-hoc Parametric Subtype

- Function application (apply f to x)
  - Type of f (t\_0 in figure) must be domain  $\rightarrow$  range
  - Domain of f must be type of argument x (t\_1 in fig)
  - Range of f must be result of application (t<sub>-2</sub> in fig)
  - Constraint:  $t_0 = t_1 \rightarrow t_2$



• We shall see this formally in Slides 46



## Type Inference Algorithm: Constraints from Abstractions

PoPL-06

Partha Pratii Das

Type System:
Type & Type Error
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference

add x = 2 + x

apply (f, x)

Inference Algorithm


Unification

Examples sum length append Homework

Type Deduction

Polymorphism Ad-hoc Parametric Subtype

- Function declaration / abstraction
  - $\bullet \ \, \mathsf{Type} \,\, \mathsf{of} \,\, \mathsf{f} \,\, \mathsf{(t\_0} \,\, \mathsf{in} \,\, \mathsf{figure)} \,\, \mathsf{must} \,\, \mathsf{be} \,\, \mathsf{domain} \,\, \to \, \mathsf{range}$
  - Domain is type of abstracted variable x (t<sub>-1</sub> in fig)
  - Range is type of function body e (t<sub>-</sub>2 in fig)
  - Constraint:  $t_0 = t_1 \rightarrow t_2$



• We shall see this formally in Slides 46



#### PoPL-06

add x = 2 + x

### Solve Constraints



#### PoPL-06

Partha Pratin Das

Type System: Type & Type Erro Type Safety Type Checking Type Inference

Type Inference

add x = 2 + x

apply (f, x)

Inference Algorithm

Examples
sum
length
append
Homework


Type Deduction

Polymorphism Ad-hoc Parametric Subtype

### Determine type of declaration

• t\_0 = Int -> Int
t\_1 = Int
t\_6 = Int
t\_4 = Int -> Int
t\_2 = Int -> Int -> Int
t\_3 = Int

add x = 2 + x
> add :: Int -> Int



### Parse Tree Labeled with Type Variables

26



## Type Inference Algorithm: Example 2: Polymorphic Function: apply (f, x) = f x

PoPL-06

Partha Pratir Das

Type Systems
Type & Type Error
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference

add x = 2 + x

apply (f, x)

Inference Algorithm


Unification

Examples
sum
length
append
Homework

Type Deduction

Polymorphism Ad-hoc Parametric Subtype

- apply (f, x) = f x apply :: (t -> t1, t) -> t1
- The apply function has a type involving type variables, making the function polymorphic.
- Parse Program text to construct parse tree



Parse Tree for Apply Function



# Type Inference Algorithm: Example 2: Polymorphic Function: apply (f, x) = f x

PoPL-06

Partha Pratin Das

Type System
Type & Type Erro
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference


Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm

Examples sum length append Homework

Type Deduction

Polymorphisn Ad-hoc Parametric Subtype • apply (f, x) = f x

Assign type variables to nodes



Parse Tree for Apply Function Labeled with Type Constraints



## Type Inference Algorithm: Example 2: Polymorphic Function: apply (f, x) = f x

PoPL-06

Partha Pratir Das

Type Systen
Type & Type Err
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification


Examples
sum
length
append
Homework

Type Deduction

Polymorphism Ad-hoc Parametric Subtype

### Add Constraints

$$t_1 = t_2 \rightarrow t_6$$
  
 $t_0 = t_3 \rightarrow t_6$   
 $t_3 = (t_1, t_2)$ 



Type Constraints for Apply Function



# Type Inference Algorithm: Example 2: Polymorphic Function: apply (f, x) = f x

PoPL-06

Partha Pratir Das

Type System
Type & Type Ern
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference

add x = 2 + x

apply (f, x)

Inference Algorithm

Unification

Examples
sum
length
append
Homework

Type Deduction

Polymorphism Ad-hoc Parametric Subtype

#### Solve Constraints

Replace t\_3: t\_0 = (t\_1, t\_2) -> t\_6 t\_1 = t\_2 -> t\_6

 $t_3 = (t_1, t_2)$ 

### Replace t\_1: $t_0 = (t_2 \rightarrow t_6, t_2) \rightarrow t_6$

$$t_1 = t_2 \rightarrow t_6$$
  
 $t_3 = (t_2 \rightarrow t_6, t_2)$ 

Replace  $t_2$  w/ t and  $t_6$  w/ t1:  $t_0 = (t \rightarrow t1, t) \rightarrow t1$ 

$$t_1 = t \rightarrow t1$$
  
 $t_3 = (t \rightarrow t1, t)$ 

### Determine Type

apply 
$$(f, x) = f x$$
  
> apply ::  $(t -> t1, t) -> t1$ 

30



## Type Inference Algorithm: Example 3: Function Application: apply (add, 3)


PoPL-06

apply (f, x)

```
apply (f, x) = f x
  > apply :: (a_1 -> a_2, a_1) -> a_2
  add x = 2 + x
  > add :: Int -> Int
```

apply (add, 3) :: ?

Parse Tree and Assignment of Type Variables



Type Variable Assignment for Apply Function Application Parse Tree

31



## Type Inference Algorithm: Example 3: Function Application: apply (add, 3)

PoPL-06

Partha Pratir Das

Type System
Type & Type Erro
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference

add x = 2 + x

apply (f, x)

Inference Algorithm


Unification

Examples
sum
length
append
Homework

Type Deduction

Polymorphism Ad-hoc Parametric Subtype

### Add Constraints



Constraints for Apply Function Application Parse Tree



## Type Inference Algorithm: Example 3: Function Application: apply (add, 3)

#### PoPL-06

Partha Pratin Das

Type System
Type & Type Erro
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference

add x = 2 + x

apply (f, x)

Inference Algorithm

Examples
sum
length
append

#### Type Deduction

Polymorphism Ad-hoc Parametric

```
Solve Constraints
```

```
t 1 = (a 1 \rightarrow a 2, a 1) \rightarrow a 2
t1 = t4 -> t5
t_2 = Int \rightarrow Int
t_3 = Int
t 4 = (t 2, t 3)
 Equate t 4:
t_1 = (a_1 \rightarrow a_2, a_1) \rightarrow a_2
t1 = t4 -> t5
t 2 = Int -> Int
t_2 = a_1 -> a_2
t_3 = Int
t 3 = a 1
t_4 = (t_2, t_3)
t_4 = (a_1 \rightarrow a_2, a_1)
t 5 = a 2
 Solution:
t_1 = (Int \rightarrow Int, Int) \rightarrow Int
t_2 = Int -> Int
t 3 = Int
```

t 4 = (Int -> Int, Int)

t\_5 = Int a\_1 = Int a 2 = Int

PoPL-06

```
Equate t_1:
 t 1 = (a 1 \rightarrow a 2, a 1) \rightarrow a 2
t1 = t4 -> t5
t_2 = Int \rightarrow Int
t_3 = Int
t 4 = (t 2, t 3)
 t_4 = (a_1 \rightarrow a_2, a_1)
 t.5 = a.2
  Equate t 2:
t_1 = (a_1 \rightarrow a_2, a_1) \rightarrow a_2
 t1 = t4 -> t5
t 2 = Int -> Int
t_2 = a_1 -> a_2
t_3 = Int
t 3 = a 1
t_4 = (t_2, t_3)
t_4 = (a_1 \rightarrow a_2, a_1)
 t 5 = a 2
  a 1 = Int
  a_2 = Int
```



# Type Inference Algorithm: Example 3: Function Application: apply (add, 3)

PoPL-06

Partha Pratir Das

Type System: Type & Type Erro Type Safety Type Checking Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + 2
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm

Examples
sum
length
append
Homework

Type Deduction

> Polymorphism Ad-hoc Parametric Subtype

### Determine Type

```
apply (f, x) = f x
> apply :: (a_1 -> a_2, a_1) -> a_2
add x = 2 + x
> add :: Int -> Int
apply (add, 3) :: t_5 = Int
```



# Type Inference Algorithm: Example 4: Function Application: apply (not, False)

PoPL-06

Partha Pratii Das

Type System
Type & Type Erro
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

Examples
sum
length
append
Homework

ype
Deduction
Polymorphism
Ad-hoc
Parametric
Subtype

```
apply (f, x) = f x
> apply :: (a_1 -> a_2, a_1) -> a_2
```

> not :: Bool -> Bool
apply (not, False) :: ?

Proceeding similarly as Example 4 gives:

Solution:

 $t_1 = (Bool \rightarrow Bool, Bool) \rightarrow Bool$ 

 $t_2 = Bool \rightarrow Bool$ 

 $t_3 = Bool$ 

 $t_4 = (Bool \rightarrow Bool, Bool)$ 

 $t_5 = Bool$ 

 $a_1 = Bool$ 

 $a_2 = Bool$ 

This fact illustrates the polymorphism of apply: Because the type (a\_1 -> a\_2, a\_1) -> a\_2 of apply contains type variables, the function may be applied to any type of arguments that can be obtained if the type variables in (a\_1 -> a\_2, a\_1) -> a\_2 are replaced with type names or type expressions.



## Type Inference Algorithm: Example 4A: Function Application: apply (add, False)

PoPL-06

Partha Pratir Das

Type System
Type & Type Erro
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference

add x = 2 + x

apply (f, x)

Inference Algorithm

Unification

Examples
sum
length
append
Homework

Type Deduction

Polymorphism Ad-hoc Parametric Subtype

```
• apply (f, x) = f x > apply :: (a_1 -> a_2, a_1) -> a_2
```



### Type Inference Algorithm: Example 4A: Function Application: apply (add, False)

#### PoPL-06

apply (f. x)

```
Solve Constraints
```

```
Equate t_1:
       t 1 = (a 1 \rightarrow a 2, a 1) \rightarrow a 2
                                                   t 1 = (a 1 \rightarrow a 2, a 1) \rightarrow a 2
       t1 = t4 -> t5
                                                   t1 = t4 -> t5
       t_2 = Int \rightarrow Int
                                                 t_2 = Int \rightarrow Int
       t_3 = Bool
                                                 t_3 = Bool
       t 4 = (t 2, t 3)
                                                 t 4 = (t 2, t 3)
                                                   t_4 = (a_1 \rightarrow a_2, a_1)
                                                   t.5 = a.2
       Equate t 4:
                                                   Equate t 2:
       t_1 = (a_1 \rightarrow a_2, a_1) \rightarrow a_2
                                                 t_1 = (a_1 \rightarrow a_2, a_1) \rightarrow a_2
       t1 = t4 -> t5
                                                   t1 = t4 -> t5
      t 2 = Int -> Int
                                                 t 2 = Int -> Int
      t_2 = a_1 -> a_2
                                                 t_2 = a_1 -> a_2
       t_3 = Bool
                                                 t_3 = Bool
      t_3 = a_1
                                                 t 3 = a 1
      t_4 = (t_2, t_3)
                                                t_4 = (t_2, t_3)
       t_4 = (a_1 \rightarrow a_2, a_1)
                                                 t_4 = (a_1 \rightarrow a_2, a_1)
       t 5 = a 2
                                                   t 5 = a 2
                                                   a 1 = Int
                                                   a_2 = Int
       Type Inconsistency:
       t 1 = (Int -> Int, Int) -> Int
       t_2 = Int \rightarrow Int
       t. 3 = Bool
       t 3 = Int -- t 3 = a 1 = Int // Type Error
       t_4 = (Int \rightarrow Int, ?)
       t_5 = Int
        a 1 = Int
       a 2 = Int
PoPL-06
```



# Type Inference Algorithm: Example 4B: Function Application: apply (not, 3)

PoPL-06

Partha Pratir Das

Type System
Type & Type Erro
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

Examples
sum
length
append
Homework

Type Deduction

Polymorphism Ad-hoc Parametric Subtype apply (f, x) = f x
> apply :: (a\_1 -> a\_2, a\_1) -> a\_2

> not :: Bool -> Bool

apply (not, 3) :: ?



# Type Inference Algorithm: Example 4B: Function Application: apply (not, 3)

#### PoPL-06

Partha Pratir Das

Type System
Type & Type Erro
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference

add x = 2 + x

apply (f, x)

Inference Algorithm

Examples
sum
length
append

#### Type Deduction

Polymorphism Ad-hoc Parametric

PoPL-06

```
Solve Constraints
```

```
Equate t_1:
t 1 = (a 1 \rightarrow a 2, a 1) \rightarrow a 2
                                           t 1 = (a 1 \rightarrow a 2, a 1) \rightarrow a 2
t1 = t4 -> t5
                                           t1 = t4 -> t5
t_2 = Bool \rightarrow Bool
                                          t_2 = Bool -> Bool
t_3 = Int
                                          t_3 = Int
t 4 = (t 2, t 3)
                                          t 4 = (t 2, t 3)
                                           t_4 = (a_1 \rightarrow a_2, a_1)
                                           t.5 = a.2
Equate t 4:
                                            Equate t 2:
t_1 = (a_1 \rightarrow a_2, a_1) \rightarrow a_2
                                         t_1 = (a_1 \rightarrow a_2, a_1) \rightarrow a_2
t1 = t4 -> t5
                                           t1 = t4 -> t5
t 2 = Bool -> Bool
                                          t 2 = Bool -> Bool
t_2 = a_1 -> a_2
                                          t_2 = a_1 -> a_2
t_3 = Int
                                          t_3 = Int
t 3 = a 1
                                          t 3 = a 1
t_4 = (t_2, t_3)
                                         t_4 = (t_2, t_3)
t_4 = (a_1 \rightarrow a_2, a_1)
                                          t_4 = (a_1 \rightarrow a_2, a_1)
t 5 = a 2
                                           t 5 = a 2
                                            a 1 = Bool
                                            a_2 = Bool
Type Inconsistency:
t 1 = (Int -> Int, Int) -> Int
t_2 = Bool \rightarrow Bool
t 3 = Int
t 3 = Bool -- t 3 = a 1 = Bool // Type Error
t 4 = (Bool \rightarrow Bool, ?)
 t_5 = Bool
 a 1 = Bool
 a 2 = Bool
```



### Type Inference Algorithm

#### PoPL-06

Partha Prati Das

Type System: Type & Type Erro Type Safety Type Checking Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

Examples sum length append Homework

Deduction
Polymorphism
Ad-hoc
Parametric
Subtype

- Assign a type to the expression and each subexpression. For any compound expression or variable, use a type variable. For known operations or constants, such as + or 3, use the type that is known for this symbol.
- ② Generate a set of constraints on types, using the parse tree of the expression. These constraints reflect the fact that if a function is applied to an argument, for example, then the type of the argument must equal the type of the domain of the function.
- Solve these constraints by means of unification, which is a substitution-based algorithm for solving systems of equations.



# Framing & Solving Type Constraints: Matrix Example

PoPL-06

Partha Pratir Das

Type System
Type & Type Erro
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

Examples
sum
length
append
Homework

Type Deduction

Polymorphism Ad-hoc Parametric Subtype Let us write the type of an m × n matrix as m → n. Now the rules of matrix algebra can be expressed as typing rules:

Multiplication

$$\frac{\mathcal{E} \vdash A : s \to t, \mathcal{E} \vdash B : t \to u}{\mathcal{E} \vdash AB : s \to u}$$

Addition

$$\frac{\mathcal{E} \vdash A : s \to t, \mathcal{E} \vdash B : s \to t}{\mathcal{E} \vdash A + B : s \to t}$$

Squaring

$$\frac{\mathcal{E} \vdash A : s \to s}{\mathcal{E} \vdash A^2 : s \to s}$$

• What is the type of  $(AB + CD)^2$ , if the types of A, B, C, D are:

$$A : s \to t$$

$$B : u \to v$$

$$C : w \to x$$

$$D : v \to z$$



# Framing & Solving Type Constraints: Matrix Example

### PoPL-06

Partha Pratin Das

Type System
Type & Type Erro
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm

Examples
sum
length
append
Homework

Type
Deduction
Polymorphism
Ad-hoc
Parametric
Subtype

• What is the type of  $(AB + CD)^2$ , if the types of A, B, C, D are:  $A: s \rightarrow t, B: \mu \rightarrow v, C: w \rightarrow x, D: v \rightarrow z$ 

• We assign type variables for sub-expressions of  $(AB + CD)^2$ :

Applying the typing rules we get:

$$t = u$$
,  $a = s$ ,  $b = v$  for  $AB$   
 $x = y$ ,  $c = w$ ,  $d = z$  for  $CD$   
 $a = c = e$ ,  $b = d = f$  for  $AB + CD$   
 $e = f = g = h$  for  $(AB + CD)^2$ 

• Solving the constraints, we get three equivalence classes:

$$\begin{array}{l} a=b=c=d=e=f=g=h=s=v=w=z\\ t=u\\ x=y \end{array}$$

A : a → :

 $\bullet \ \, \text{Hence,} \quad \begin{array}{ll} B & : & t \to a \\ C & : & a \to x \end{array} \ \, \text{is the most general typing. Any values for a, t,}$ 

 $\mathsf{D} \quad : \quad \mathsf{x} \to \mathsf{a}$ 

and x make the expression  $(AB + CD)^2$  well-formed



### Unification

#### PoPL-06

Partha Pratir Das

Type Systems
Type & Type Error
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

Examples

sum length append Homework

Polymorphism
Ad-hoc
Parametric
Subtype

• The task of *unification* is to find a *substitution S* that **unifies** two given terms (that is, makes them equal). Let us write *s S* for the result of applying the substitution *S* to the term *s*.

- Given s and t, we want to find S such that s S = t S. Such a substitution S is called a **unifier** for s and t.
- Example, given the two terms

where x, y, z, and w are variables, the substitution

$$S = [x < -g z, w < -g y]$$

would be a unifier, since

• Unification is a purely syntactic definition; the meaning of expressions is not considered when computing unifiers



### Unification

PoPL-06

Partha Pratir Das

Type Systems
Type & Type Error
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

Examples
sum
length
append
Homework

Type Deduction

Polymorphism Ad-hoc Parametric Subtype  Unifiers do not necessarily exist. For example, the terms x and f x cannot be unified, since no substitution for x can make the two terms equal.

• Even when unifiers exist, they are not necessarily unique. For example, for the two terms

the substitution

is also a unifier:



### Unification: mgu

### PoPL-06

Unification

- When a unifier exists, there is a most general unifier (mgu) that is unique up to renaming. A unifier S for s and t is an mgu for s and t if
  - S is a unifier for s and t: and
  - any other unifier T for s and t is a refinement of S; that is, T can be obtained from S by doing further substitutions.

For example, the substitution

$$S = [x \leftarrow g z, w \leftarrow g y]$$

in the example above is an mgu for f x (g y) and f (g z) w. The unifier

$$T = [x \leftarrow g (f a b), y \leftarrow f b a, z \leftarrow f a b, w \leftarrow g (f b a)]$$

is a refinement of S, since T = S U, where

$$U = [z \leftarrow f a b, y \leftarrow f b a]$$

Note that

45

$$= f x (g y) T$$



### Type Inference

#### PoPL-06

Partha Prati Das

Type System
Type & Type Erro
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

Examples
sum
length
append
Homework

Type
Deduction
Polymorphism
Ad-hoc
Parametric
Subtype

• We assume that all bound variables are distinct. If not, we can rename bound variables (by  $\alpha$ -reduction) to make this true. As in  $\lambda$ - calculus, it is always fine to  $\alpha$ -convert. For example, f x = x + 3 and f y = y + 3 are semantically equivalent.

- The typing rules are:
  - Function Application (See Slide 23): An expression  $(e_1 \ e_2)$  only makes sense if  $e_1$  is a function having a type of the form  $s \to t$ , and the input type of  $e_1$  is the same as the type of its argument  $e_2$ . When these premises are satisfied, then the result, represented by the expression  $(e_1 \ e_2)$ , has the same type as the result type of  $e_1$ .

$$\frac{\mathcal{E} \vdash \mathsf{e}_1 : \mathsf{s} \to \mathsf{t}, \mathcal{E} \vdash \mathsf{e}_2 : \mathsf{s}}{\mathcal{E} \vdash (\mathsf{e}_1 \; \mathsf{e}_2) : \mathsf{t}}$$

 Function Abstraction (See Slide 24): An expression f x = e represents a function taking elements of the same type as x to elements of the type of e.

$$\frac{\mathcal{E} \vdash x : s, \mathcal{E} \vdash e : t}{\mathcal{E} \vdash f \ x = e : s \to t}$$

• Essentially, it is necessary to maintain a **type environment**  $\mathcal{E}$ , and type inferences are done with respect to that environment.



### Type Inference

### PoPL-06

Partha Pratir Das

Type Systems
Type & Type Error
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

Examples sum length append Homework

Type Deduction

Polymorphism Ad-hoc Parametric Subtype

- These rules impose constraints as follows. Suppose we want to do type inference on a given expression e. We first assign unique type variables 'a:
  - one to each variable x occurring in e, and
  - ullet one to each occurrence of each subexpression of e.
- In the  $1^{st}$  clause, the type variable is associated with the variable (x), and in the  $2^{nd}$ , it is associated with the occurrence of the subexpression in e. Call the type variable assigned to x in the  $1^{st}$  clause u(x), and call the type variable assigned to occurrence of a subexpression e in the  $2^{nd}$  clause v(e)
- Now we take the following constraints:
  - u(x) = v(x) for each occurrence of a variable x
  - $v(e_1) = v(e_2) \rightarrow v((e_1 \ e_2))$  for each occurrence of a subexpression  $(e_1 \ e_2)$
  - $v(f \times = e) = v(x) \rightarrow v(e)$  for each occurrence of a subexpression  $f \times = e$



#### PoPL-06

Partha Pratii Das

Type Syster
Type & Type En
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

#### Examples

sum length append

#### Type Deduction

Polymorphism Ad-hoc Parametric Subtype

## **Type Inference Examples**

Source: Lecture 26: Type Inference and Unification Cornell University, 2005

https://www.cs.cornell.edu/courses/cs3110/2011sp/Lectures/lec26-type-inference/

type-inference.htm



# Type Inference Algorithm: Example 5: Recursive Function: sum

PoPL-06

Partha Prati Das


Type System
Type & Type Erro
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference


Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm

Examples
sum
length
append
Homework

Type Deductior

Polymorphisn Ad-hoc Parametric





Parse Tree for sum Function Annotated with Type Variables and Associated Constraints



# Type Inference Algorithm: Example 5: Recursive Function: sum

#### PoPL-06

Partha Prati Das

Type Syster
Type & Type En
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

### Example:

sum length append Homework

Type Deduction

Ad-hoc Parametric

### Add Constraints

#### Solve Constraints

```
t_0 = Int -> Int

t_1 = t_10 = Int

t_2 = Int -> (Int -> Int)

t_4 = Int -> Int

t_6 = Int -> (Int -> Int)

t_8 = Int -> Int

t_9 = Int

t_10 = t_1 = Int

t_11 = t_12 = Int

t_12 = t_11 = Int
```



### Type Inference Algorithm: Example 5: Recursive Function: sum

PoPL-06

Sum

 $\bullet \text{ sum } x = x + \text{ sum } (x-1)$ > sum :: Int -> Int

• As the constraints can be solved, the function is typeable. By the solution of the constraints, the type of sum (the type t\_0) is Int -> Int



PoPL-06

Partha Pratir Das

Type Systems
Type & Type Error
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

Examples
sum
length
append

Type Deduction

Polymorphism Ad-hoc Parametric Subtype Functions may have multiple clauses

```
length [] = 0
length (x:rest) = 1 + (length rest)
> length :: [t] -> Int
```

- Type inference
  - Infer separate type for each clause
  - Combine by adding constraint that all clauses must have the same type
  - Recursive calls: function has same type as its definition



PoPL-06

Partha Pratir Das

Type System
Type & Type Erro
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

Examples


length append Homework

Type Deduction

> Polymorphism Ad-hoc Parametric Subtype

• length (x:rest) = 1 + (length rest)

- The length function has a type involving type variables, making the function polymorphic.
- Parse Program text to construct parse tree



Parse Tree for length Function



PoPL-06

Partha Pratir Das

Type System
Type & Type Erro
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference


Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

Examples
sum
length
append

Type Deduction

Polymorphism Ad-hoc Parametric Subtype • length (x:rest) = 1 + (length rest)

Assign type variables to nodes



Parse Tree Labeled with Type Variables



PoPL-06

Partha Pratii Das

Type System
Type & Type Erro
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

Example

length append Homework

Type Deduction Polymorphism

Polymorphism Ad-hoc Parametric Subtype

```
• length (x:rest) = 1 + (length rest)
```

Add Constraints

Solve Constraints

```
t_6 = Int -> Int
t_4 = Int -> Int -> Int
t_5 = Int
t_9 = Int
t_10 = Int
t_0 = [t_1] -> Int
```

Conforms for:

```
length [] = 0
> length :: [t_1] -> Int
```



# Type Inference Algorithm: Example 6: Multi-Clause Function: append

PoPL-06

Partha Pratii Das

Type Systems
Type & Type Error
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

Examples sum length append

Deduction
Polymorphism
Ad-hoc
Parametric
Subtype

 Type inference for functions with several clauses may be done by a type check of each clause separately. Then, because all clauses define the same function, we impose the constraint that the types of all clauses must be equal.

```
append ([], r) = r
append (x:xs, r) = x : append(xs, r)
> append :: ([t], [t]) -> [t]
```

 As the type ([t], [t]) -> [t] indicates, append can be applied to any pair of lists, as long as both lists contain the same type of list elements. Thus, append is a polymorphic function on lists.



# Type Inference Algorithm: Example 6: Multi-Clause Function: append

PoPL-06

Partha Pratii Das

Type System
Type & Type Erro
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

Examples
sum
length
append
Homework

Type Deduction Polymorphism Ad-hoc append ([], r) = r
append (x:xs, r) = x : append(xs, r)
> append :: ([t], [t]) -> [t]

Intuitively, the first clause has type

$$([t], t_1) \rightarrow t_1$$

because the first argument must match the empty list [], but the second argument may be anything

The second clause has type

$$([t], t_1) \rightarrow [t]$$

because the return result is a list containing one element from the list passed as the first argument.

 If we require that the two clauses have the same type by imposing the constraint

([t], 
$$t_1$$
) ->  $t_1$  = ([t],  $t_1$ ) -> [t] we must have

$$t_1 = [t]$$

• This equality gives us the final type for append:



### Homework 1: reverse

#### PoPL-06

Partha Pratir Das

Type System
Type & Type Erro
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

Examples
sum
length
append
Homework

Type Deductior

Polymorphism Ad-hoc Parametric Subtype

### Infer the types of the following:

```
1 reverse' :: [a] -> [a]
reverse' [] = []
reverse' (x:xs) = reverse xs ++ [x]
```

where x is the head of the list, xs is the tail of the list, [x] is the list builder, ++ is the concatenation operator.

```
appendreverse xs =
    let rev ( [], elem ) = elem
        rev ( y:ys, elem ) = rev( ys, y:elem)
    in rev( xs, [] )
```

```
Treverse2 xs = app ([], xs)
where
app (ys, []) = ys
app (ys, (x:xs)) = app ((x:ys), xs)
```

```
reverseW [] = []
reverseW (x:xs) = reverseW xs
reverseW :: [t] -> [t]
```

Comment on the type correctness and logic correctness of the function  ${\tt reverseW}$ 



### Homework 2: apply: modified

PoPL-06

Partha Prati Das

Type System
Type & Type Erro
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification


Examples
sum
length
append
Homework

Type Deduction

Polymorphism Ad-hoc Parametric Subtype Use the parse graph in the figure below to calculate the  $\mu {\rm Haskell}$  type for the function

$$f(g, h) = g(h) + 2$$

Assume that 2 has type Integer and + has type Integer  $\rightarrow$  Integer  $\rightarrow$  Integer





### Homework 3: apply: self-apply

PoPL-06

Partha Prati Das

Fype System
Type & Type Erro
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference

add x = 2 + x

apply (f, x)

Inference Algorithm

Unification

Examples
sum
length
append
Homework


Type Deduction

Polymorphism Ad-hoc Parametric Subtype Use the following parse graph to follow the steps of the Haskell type-inference algorithm on the function declaration

$$f g = (g g) + 2$$

Assume that 2 has type Integer and + has type Integer  $\ \ \, \hbox{->}\,$  Integer  $\ \ \, \hbox{->}\,$  Integer

What is the output of the type checker?





#### PoPL-06

Partha Pratin Das

Type Systems
Type & Type Error
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm

#### Evample

length append

#### Type Deduction

Polymorphism Ad-hoc Parametric Subtype

## Type Deduction in C++



### Polymorphism

PoPL-06

Partha Prati Das

Type Systems
Type & Type Error
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

Examples sum length append Homework

Type Deductio

Polymorphism Ad-hoc Parametric Subtype

- Polymorphism, which literally means having multiple forms, refers to constructs that can take on different types as needed
- There are three forms of polymorphism in contemporary programming languages:
  - Ad hoc polymorphism, another term for overloading, in which two or more implementations with different types are referred to by the same name
  - Parametric polymorphism, in which a function may be applied to any arguments whose types match a type expression involving type variables
  - Subtype polymorphism, in which the subtype relation between types allows an expression to have many possible types.
- The main characteristic of parametric polymorphism is that the set of types associated with a function or other value is given by a type expression that contains type variables. For example, a Haskell function that sorts lists might have the Haskell type

```
sort :: ((t, t) \rightarrow Bool, [t]) \rightarrow [t]
```



# Ad-hoc Polymorphism: Overloading: Overload Resolution

### PoPL-06

Partha Pratii Das

Type Systems
Type & Type Error
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm

Examples
sum
length
append
Homework

Type Deduction

Ad-hoc
Parametric

- To resolve overloaded functions with one parameter
  - Identify the set of Candidate Functions
  - From the set of candidate functions identify the set of Viable Functions
  - Select the Best viable function through (Order is important)
    - Exact Match
    - Promotion
    - Standard type conversion
    - User defined type conversion



# Ad-hoc Polymorphism: Overloading: Resolution: Candidate Function

PoPL-06

Partha Prati Das

Type System
Type & Type Err
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference

add x = 2 + x

apply (f, x)

Inference Algorithm

Examples
sum
length
append
Homework

Deduction
Polymorphism
Ad-hoc
Parametric
Subtype

**Step 1**: Find candidate functions via name lookup. Unqualified calls will perform both regular unqualified lookup as well as argument-dependent lookup (if applicable).

Source: Steps of Overload Resolution

Source: Overloaded Method Resolution

Source: Function overload resolution

PoPL-06

Partha Pratim Das



# Ad-hoc Polymorphism: Overloading: Resolution: Viable Function

PoPL-06

Partha Pratir Das

Type System
Type & Type Erro
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

Examples
sum
length
append
Homework

Deduction
Polymorphism
Ad-hoc
Parametric

**Step 2**: Filter the set of candidate functions to a set of *viable functions*. A viable function for which there exists an implicit conversion sequence between the arguments the function is called with and the parameters the function takes.



# Ad-hoc Polymorphism: Overloading: Resolution: Best Match Function

PoPL-06

Partha Pratii Das

Type System: Type & Type Erro Type Safety Type Checking Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm

Examples
sum
length
append
Homework

Deduction
Polymorphism
Ad-hoc
Parametric
Subtype

**Step 3**: Pick the best viable candidate. A viable function F1 is a better function than another viable function F2 if the implicit conversion sequence for each argument in F1 is not worse than the corresponding implicit conversion sequence in F2, and...:

**Step 3.1**: For some argument, the implicit conversion sequence for that argument in F1 is a better conversion sequence than for that argument in F2, or

```
void f(int ); // (1)
void f(char ); // (2)
```

f(4); // call (1), better conversion sequence



# Ad-hoc Polymorphism: Overloading: Resolution: Best Match Function

PoPL-06

Partha Prati Das

Type System
Type & Type Ern
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

examples
sum
length
append
Homework

Type
Deduction
Polymorphism
Ad-hoc
Parametric
Subtype

```
Step 3.2: In a user-defined conversion, the standard conversion sequence from the return of F1 to the destination type is a better conversion sequence than that of the return type of F2, or
```

```
struct A {
    operator int();
    operator double();
} a;

int i = a; // a.operator int() is better than a.operator double() and a float f = a; // ambiguous
```

Step 3.3: In a direct reference binding, F1 has the same kind of reference by F2 is not. or

```
struct A {
     operator X&(); // #1
     operator X&&(); // #2
};
A a;
X& lx = a; // calls #1
X&& rx = a; // calls #2
```



# Ad-hoc Polymorphism: Overloading: Resolution: Best Match Function

PoPL-06

Partha Pratir Das

Type System
Type & Type Erro
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

Examples
sum
length
append
Homework

Type
Deduction
Polymorphism
Ad-hoc
Parametric

```
Step 3.4: F1 is not a function template specialization, but F2 is, or
```

```
template <class T> void f(T ); // #1
void f(int ); // #2
f(42); // calls #2, the non-template
```

**Step 3.5**: F1 and F2 are both function template specializations, but F1 is more specialized than F2.

```
template <class T> void f(T ); // #1
template <class T> void f(T* ); // #2
int* p;
f(p); // calls #2, more specialized
```

**Ambiguity**: If there's no single best viable candidate at the end, the call is ambiguous:

```
void f(double ) { }
void f(float ) { }
f(42); // error: ambiguous
```

PoPL-06

68



### Overload Resolution: Exact Match

### PoPL-06

Partha Pratir Das

Type System
Type & Type Erro
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

Examples
sum
length
append
Homework

Type Deduction Polymorphism

Polymorphism

Ad-hoc

Parametric

Subtype

- Ivalue-to-rvalue conversion
  - Most common
- Array-to-pointer conversion

Definitions: int ar[10];

void f(int \*a);

Call: f(ar)

Function-to-pointer conversion

Definitions: typedef int (\*fp) (int);

void f(int, fp);

int g(int);

Call: f(5, g)

- Qualification conversion
  - Converting pointer (only) to const pointer



### Overload Resolution: Promotion & Conversion

#### PoPL-06

Partha Pratir Das

Type System: Type & Type Erro Type Safety Type Checking Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

Examples sum length append

Type Deduction

Ad-hoc
Parametric
Subtype

- Examples of Promotion
  - char to int; float to double
  - enum to int / short / unsigned int / ...
  - bool to int
- Examples of Standard Conversion
  - integral conversion
  - floating point conversion
  - floating point to integral conversion
     The above 3 may be dangerous!
  - pointer conversion
  - bool conversion



### Example: Overload Resolution with one parameter

#### PoPL-06

Partha Pratir Das

Type System
Type & Type Erro
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

Examples
sum
length
append
Homework

Type Deduction

Polymorphism

Ad-hoc

Parametric

Subtype

• In the context of a list of function prototypes:

```
int g(double);
                                // F1
void f():
                                // F2
void f(int):
                                // F3
double h(void):
                                // F4
int g(char, int);
                                // F5
void f(double, double = 3.4);
                                // F6
void h(int. double):
                                // F7
                                // F8
void f(char, char *);
```

The call site to resolve is:

```
f(5.6);
```

- Resolution:
  - Candidate functions (by name): F2, F3, F6, F8
  - Viable functions (by # of parameters): F3, F6
  - Best viable function (by type double Exact Match): F6



### Example: Overload Resolution fails

PoPL-06

Partha Pratir Das

Type System
Type & Type Erro
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

Examples
sum
length
append
Homework

Deduction
Polymorphism
Ad-hoc

• Consider the overloaded function signatures:

- CALL 1: Matches Function 2 & Function 3
- CALL 2: Matches Function 1 & Function 3
- Results in ambiguity



### Parametric Polymorphism

PoPL-06

Partha Pratii Das

Type Systems
Type & Type Error
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

Examples
sum
length
append
Homework

Type
Deduction
Polymorphism
Ad-hoc
Parametric
Subtype

- Parametric polymorphism may be implicit or explicit
- In explicit parametric polymorphism, the program text contains type variables that determine the way that a function or other value may be treated polymorphically.
  - In addition, explicit polymorphism often involves explicit
    instantiation or type application to indicate how type
    variables are replaced with specific types in the use of a
    polymorphic value.
  - C++ templates are a well-known example of explicit polymorphism.
- Haskell polymorphism is called implicit parametric
   polymorphism because programs that declare and use
   polymorphic functions do not need to contain types the
   type-inference algorithm computes when a function is
   polymorphic and computes the instantiation of type variables as
   needed.



PoPL-06

Partha Pratir Das

Type System: Type & Type Erro Type Safety Type Checking Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

Examples
sum
length
append
Homework

Type Deduction

Ad-hoc
Parametric
Subtype

 To swap values of variables of any type, we can define function template that uses a type variable T:

```
template <typename T>
void Swap(T& x, T& y) { T tmp = x; x = y; y = tmp; }
```

- let Swap =  $\lambda(x:T).\lambda(y:T)$ . E, where T is the type variable
- Templates allow us to treat Swap as a function with a type argument.
- In C++, function templates are instantiated automatically as needed, with the types of the function arguments used to determine which instantiation is needed. For example:

```
int i,j; ... Swap(i,j); // replace T with int
float a,b; ... Swap(a,b); // replace T with float
string s,t; ... Swap(s,t); // replace T with String
```

- Applying type inference for int i,j; ... Swap(i,j);:
  - Bv Abstraction

```
Swap (x, y) = ...
Swap :: (T&, T&) -> void
```

By Application

```
int i,j; ... Swap(i,j);
Swap :: (int , int) -> void
```

• T = int

PoPL-06



PoPL-06

Partha Pratir Das

Type Systems
Type & Type Error
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

Examples
sum
length
append

Type Deduction

> Polymorphism Ad-hoc Parametric Subtype

```
template <typename T>
void Swap(T& x, T& y) { T tmp = x; x = y; y = tmp; }

class IntWrap {
   int data;
}
```

Examples:

int i, j;

```
double c,d;
                     Swap(c,d);
                                             T = double
string s.t:
                     Swap(s.t):
                                             T = string
IntWrap a,b;
                     Swap(a,b);
                                             T = IntWrap
int i,j;
                     Swap<int>(i,j);
                                             T = int
double c,d;
                     Swap<double>(c,d);
                                             T = double
                     Swap<string>(s,t);
string s,t;
                                             T = string
                     Swap < IntWrap > (a,b);
IntWrap a,b;
                                             T = IntWrap
const int ci, di;
                     Swap(ci,di);
                                             T = const int
int i. double d:
                     Swap(i,d);
                                             T = ?
                     Swap<double>(i,j);
                                             T = double
int i, j;
```

Swap(i,j);

const cannot be assigned template parameter 'T' is ambiguous cannot convert argument 1 from 'int' to 'double

T = int



PoPL-06

Partha Pratir Das

Type Systems
Type & Type Error
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

Examples
sum
length
append
Homework

Type Deduction

> Polymorphism Ad-hoc Parametric Subtype

```
• template <typename T>
  void Swap(T& x, T& y) { T tmp = x; x = y; y = tmp; }

class Uncopyable {
  protected:
      Uncopyable& operator=(const Uncopyable& u);
};

class IntWrap : public Uncopyable {
      int data;
```

Examples:

Link Error:
unresolved external symbol
"protected: class
Uncopyable & \_\_thiscall
Uncopyable::operator=(class
Uncopyable const &)"



PoPL-06

Partha Pratin Das

Type Systems
Type & Type Error
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference

add x = 2 + x

apply (f, x)

Inference Algorithm

Unification

Examples

sum length append Homework

Deduction
Polymorphism
Ad-hoc
Parametric

```
template<typename T> T Add(T& a, T&b) { return a + b; }

class IntWrap { int i;
public: IntWrap(int i_) : i(i_) {}
    friend IntWrap operator+(IntWrap& a, IntWrap& b) { return IntWrap(a.i + b.i); }
};
```

- let Add =  $\lambda(a:T).\lambda(b:T).(a+b)$ , where T is the type variable
- Examples:

```
int i,j;
                    Add(i,j);
                                          T = int
double c,d;
                    Add(c,d);
                                          T = double
string s,t;
                    Add(s,t);
                                          T = string
IntWrap a.b:
                    Add(a,b):
                                          T = IntWrap
                    Add<int>(i,j);
                                          T = int
int i, j;
double c,d;
                    Add<double>(c,d);
                                          T = double
```

```
Mixed Mode Addition int i, double d; Add(i,d); T = ?

int i, double d; Add(d,i); T = ?

int i, double d; Add<int>(i,d); T = int

int i, double d; Add<double>(i,d); T = double
```

template parameter 'T' is ambiguous template parameter 'T' is ambiguous no instance of function template

"Add" matches the arg. list arg. types are: (int, double)
no instance of function template

77

"Add" matches the arg. list arg. types are: (double, int)



PoPL-06

Partha Pratin Das

Type Systems
Type & Type Error
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

Examples sum length append Homework

Type Deductior

Polymorphism Ad-hoc Parametric Subtype

```
template<typename T1, typename T2> T1 Add(T1& a, T2&b) { return a + b; }

class IntWrap { int i;
public: IntWrap(int i_) : i(i_) {}
    friend IntWrap operator+(IntWrap& a, IntWrap& b) { return IntWrap(a.i + b.i); }
};
```

- let Add =  $\lambda(a:T1).\lambda(b:T2).(a+b)$ , where T1, and T2 are type variables
- Examples:

```
T1 = T2 = int
int i, j;
                    Add(i,j);
double c.d:
                   Add(c.d): T1 = T2 = double
                   Add(s,t); T1 = T2 = string
string s,t;
                              T1 = T2 = IntWrap
IntWrap a,b;
                    Add(a,b);
                           Mixed Mode Addition
int i, double d;
                   Add(i,d);
                                T1 = int, T2 = double
                                                          warning:
                                                                    'return'
                                                          · conversion from
                                                          'double' to 'int'
int i, double d;
                   Add(d,i);
                              T1 = double, T2 = int
```



PoPL-06

Partha Pratir Das

Type Systems
Type & Type Error
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

Examples
sum
length
append
Homework

Type Deductior

Polymorphism Ad-hoc Parametric Subtype

```
template<typename T1, typename T2, typename R>
R Add(Ti& a, T2&b) { return a + b; }

class IntWrap { int i;
public: IntWrap(int i_) : i(i_) {}
```

friend IntWrap operator+(IntWrap& a, IntWrap& b) { return IntWrap(a.i + b.i); }

- let Add =  $\lambda(a:T1).\lambda(b:T2).\lambda(r:R).$  (a+b), where  $T1,\ T2$ , and R are type variables
- Examples:

ጉ:

```
int i.i:
                    Add(i.i):
                               T1 = T2 = int, R = ?
                                                                 could not deduce
                                                                 template argument
                                                                 for 'R'
double c.d:
                    Add(c.d):
                              T1 = T2 = double, R = ?
                                                                 -do-
                    Add(s,t);
                              T1 = T2 = string, R = ?
string s,t;
                                                                 -do-
                                T1 = T2 = IntWrap, R = ?
IntWrap a,b;
                    Add(a,b);
                                                                 -do-
                               Mixed Mode Addition
int i, double d;
                    Add(i,d);
                                T1 = int, T2 = double, R = ?
                                                                 -do-
int i. double d:
                    Add(d.i):
                                T1 = double, T2 = int, R = ?
                                                                 -do-
```



PoPL-06

Partha Pratir Das

Type Systems
Type & Type Error
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

#### Examples

sum
length
append
Homework

#### Type Deduction

Polymorphism Ad-hoc Parametric Subtype

```
template<typename T1, typename T2, typename R>
R Add(T1& a, T2&b) { return a + b; }

class IntWrap { int i;
public: IntWrap(int i_) : i(i_) {}
friend IntWrap operator+(IntWrap& a, IntWrap& b) { return IntWrap(a.i + b.i); }
```

#### Examples:

ጉ:

```
int i.i:
                    Add<int, int, int>(i,i):
                                                             T1 = T2 = int
                                                             R = int
double c.d:
                    Add<double, double, double>(c,d);
                                                             T1 = T2 = double
                                                             R = double
string s,t;
                    Add<string, string, string>(s,t):
                                                             T1 = T2 = string
                                                             R = string
                    Add<IntWrap, IntWrap, IntWrap>(a,b);
                                                             T1 = T2 = IntWrap
IntWrap a,b;
                                                             R = IntWrap
```

#### Mixed Mode Addition



PoPL-06

Partha Pratii Das

Type System: Type & Type Erro Type Safety Type Checking Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

Examples
sum
length
append
Homework

Type
Deduction
Polymorphism
Ad-hoc
Parametric

```
    Using Partial Template Specialization
```

```
template<typename T1, typename T2, typename R> // Fn-3
R Add(T1& a, T2& b) { return a + b; }

template<typename T1, typename T2> // Fn-2. Replace R by T1
T1 Add(T1& a, T2& b) { return Add<T1, T2, T1>(a, b); }

template<typename T> // Fn-1. Replace T1, T2, and R by T
T Add(T& a, T& b) { return Add<T, T, T>(a, b); }

template<>> // Fn-0. Replace T1, T2, and R by int
int Add(int& a, int& b) { return Add<int, int, int>(a, b); }

class IntWrap { int i; public: IntWrap(int i_) : i(i_) {}
    friend IntWrap operator+(IntWrap& a, IntWrap& b) { return IntWrap(a.i + b.i); }
};
```

#### Examples:

```
int i, j;
                    Add(i,j);
                                                       Fn-0
double c.d:
                    Add(c.d):
                                                       Fn-1
string s,t;
                    Add(s,t);
                                                       Fn-1
IntWrap a,b;
                    Add(a,b);
                                                       Fn-1
int i, double d;
                    Add(i,d);
                                                       Fn-2
                                                       Fn-2
int i, double d;
                    Add(d,i);
int i. double d:
                    Add<int. double, double>(i.d):
                                                       Fn-3
int i, double d;
                    Add<double, int, double>(d,i);
                                                       Fn-3
```

81



### Important Features of C++: auto

PoPL-06

Partha Pratir Das

Type System
Type & Type Erro
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

Examples
sum
length
append
Homework

Type
Deduction
Polymorphism
Ad-hoc
Parametric
Subtype

```
C + + 03
                                      C + +11
map<string,string> m;
                                      map<string,string> m;
map<string,string>::iterator it =
                                      auto it = m.begin();
m.begin();
singleton& s =
                                      auto \&s =
    singleton::instance():
                                           singleton::instance():
T *p=new T();
                                      auto p = new T():
 • auto is a mechanism to deduce the type from initializer:
   int x1:
                 // potentially uninitialized
                 // error! initializer required
   auto x3 = 0; // fine, x's value is well-defined
 template<class T> void printall(const vector<T>& v) {
        for(auto p=v.begin(); p!=v.end(); ++p) cout << *p << "\n";</pre>
   is better than
    template<class T> void printall(const vector<T>& v) {
        for (typename vector<T>::const_iterator p=v.begin();
            p!=v.end(); ++p) cout << *p << "\n";
    }
```



### Important Features of C++: decltype

PoPL-06

Partha Pratir Das

Type System
Type & Type Erro
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

Examples
sum
length
append
Homework

Deduction Polymorphism Ad-hoc • decltype is an operator for querying the type of an expression.

Similar to the sizeof operator, the operand of decltype is unevaluated.

C++03	C++11
int i=4;	int i=4;
const int j=6;	const int j=6;
<pre>const int &amp;k=i;</pre>	<pre>const int &amp;k=i;</pre>
<pre>int a[5];</pre>	int a[5];
<pre>int* p;</pre>	<pre>int* p;</pre>
int var1;	<pre>decltype(i) var1;</pre>
int var2;	<pre>decltype(1) var2;</pre>
int var3;	<pre>decltype(2+3) var3;</pre>
int& var4=i;	<pre>decltype(i=1) var4=i;//no assignment</pre>
<pre>const int var5=1;</pre>	<pre>decltype(j) var5=1;</pre>
<pre>const int&amp; var6=j;</pre>	<pre>decltype(k) var6=j;</pre>
<pre>int var7[5];</pre>	decltype(a) var7;
int& var8=i;	<pre>decltype(a[3]) var8=i;</pre>
int& var9=i;	<pre>decltype(*p) var9=i;</pre>



### Important Features of C++: decltype

PoPL-06

Partha Pratii Das

Type System
Type & Type Erro
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

Examples
sum
length
append
Homework

Type Deduction

Polymorphism Ad-hoc Parametric Subtype  decltype(E) is the type ("declared type") of the name or expression E and can be used in declarations:

```
void f(const vector<int>& a, vector<float>& b) {
   typedef decltype(a[0]*b[0]) Tmp;
   for (int i=0; i<b.size(); ++i) {
      Tmp* p = new Tmp(a[i]*b[i]);
      // ...
   }
   // ...
}</pre>
```

- If you just need the type for a variable that you are about to initialize auto is often a simpler choice.
- You really need decltype if you need a type for something that is not a variable, such as a return type.



### Important Features of C++: decltype

PoPL-06

Partha Pratii Das

Type Systems
Type & Type Error
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

Examples
sum
length
append
Homework

Type Deduction

Polymorphism Ad-hoc Parametric Subtype Consider

```
template<class T, class U>
??? mul(T x, U y) { return x*y; }
```

• How to write the return type? It's "the type of x\*y" – but how can we say that? First idea, use decltype:

```
template<class T, class U>
decltype(x*y) mul(T x, U y) // scope problem!
{ return x*y; }
```

• That won't work because x and y are not in scope. So:

```
template<class T, class U>
decltype(*(T*)(0)**(U*)(0)) mul(T x, U y)
    // ugly! & error prone
{ return x*y; }
```

• Put the return type where it belongs, after the arguments:

```
template<class T, class U>
auto mul(T x, U y) -> decltype(x*y)
{ return x*y; }
```

 We use the notation auto to mean return type to be deduced or specified later in Suffix Return Type Syntax



#### Important Features of C++: Move Semantics

X& X::operator=(X const & rhs) {

PoPL-06

Partha Prati Das

Type Systems
Type & Type Error
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

Examples
sum
length
append
Homework

l ype Deduction Polymorphism Ad-hoc Parametric Subtype Suppose X is a class that holds a pointer or handle to some resource, say, m\_pResource. By a resource, we mean anything that takes considerable effort to construct, clone, or destruct. A good example is std::vector, which holds a collection of objects that live in an array of allocated memory. Then, logically, the copy assignment operator for X looks like this:

```
// [...]
// Make a clone of what rhs.m_pResource refers to.
// Destruct the resource that m_pResource refers to.
// Attach the clone to m_pResource.
// [...]
}
Similar reasoning applies to the copy constructor. Now suppose X is used as:
X foo();
X x;
// perhaps use x in various ways
```

The last line above

x = foo():

- clones the resource from the temporary returned by foo,
- destructs the resource held by x and replaces it with the clone,

86

destructs the temporary and thereby releases its resource.



#### Important Features of C++: Move Semantics

PoPL-06

Partha Pratii Das

Type System
Type & Type Erro
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

Examples
sum
length
append
Homework

Type Deductio Polymorphisr

Polymorphism Ad-hoc Parametric Subtype • Rather obviously, it would be ok, and much more efficient, to swap resource pointers (handles) between x and the temporary, and then let the temporary's destructor destruct x's original resource. In other words, in the special case where the right hand side of the assignment is an rvalue, we want the copy assignment operator to act like this:

```
// [...]
// swap m_pResource and rhs.m_pResource
// [...]
```

 This is called move semantics. With C++11, this conditional behavior can be achieved via an overload:

```
X& X::operator=(X&& rhs)
{
    // [...]
    // swap this->m_pResource and rhs.m_pResource
    // [...]
}
```

• Move Semantics is realized by rvalue Reference.



PoPL-06

Partha Pratii Das

Type System
Type & Type Erro
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

Examples
sum
length
append
Homework

Type Deduction

Polymorphism Ad-hoc Parametric Subtype C Semantics: An Ivalue is an expression e that may appear on the left or
 on the right hand side of an assignment, whereas an rvalue is an expression
 that can only appear on the right hand side of an assignment.
 For example.

```
int a = 42;
int b = 43;

// a and b are both 1-values:
a = b; // ok
b = a; // ok
a = a * b; // ok

// a * b is an rvalue:
int c = a * b; // ok, rvalue on right hand side of assignment
a * b = 42; // error, rvalue on left hand side of assignment
```



PoPL-06

Partha Pratir Das

Type System
Type & Type Erro
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference

add x = 2 + x

apply (f, x)

Inference Algorithm

Unification

Examples
sum
length
append
Homework

Type Deduction

Polymorphism Ad-hoc Parametric Subtype  C++ Semantics: An Ivalue is an expression that refers to a memory location and allows us to take the address of that memory location via the & operator. An rvalue is an expression that is not an Ivalue.
 For example,

```
// lvalues:
//
int i = 42:
i = 43: // ok, i is an lvalue
int* p = &i; // ok, i is an lvalue
int& foo():
foo() = 42; // ok, foo() is an lvalue
int* p1 = &foo(); // ok, foo() is an lvalue
// rvalues:
//
int foobar():
int j = 0;
j = foobar(); // ok, foobar() is an rvalue
int* p2 = &foobar(); // error, cannot take the address
                     // of an rvalue
i = 42: // ok, 42 is an rvalue
```



PoPL-06

Partha Pratir Das

Type Systems
Type & Type Error
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

Examples
sum
length
append
Homework

Type
Deduction
Polymorphism
Ad-hoc
Parametric
Subtype

- If X is any type, then X&& is called an rvalue reference to X. For better distinction, the ordinary reference X& is now also called an Ivalue reference.
- An rvalue reference is a type that behaves much like the ordinary reference
   X&, with several exceptions.
- The most important one is that when it comes to function overload resolution, *Ivalues* prefer old-style *Ivalue references*, whereas *rvalues* prefer the new *rvalue references*:
   For example.

```
void foo(X& x); // lvalue reference overload
void foo(X&& x); // rvalue reference overload

X x;
X foobar();
foo(x); // argument is lvalue: calls foo(X&)
foo(foobar()); // argument is rvalue: calls foo(X&&)
```

 Rvalue references allow a function to branch at compile time (via overload resolution) on the condition "Am I being called on an Ivalue or an rvalue?"

Source: C++ Rvalue References Explained



PoPL-06

Partha Pratii Das

Type System
Type & Type Erro
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

Examples
sum
length
append
Homework

Type Deductior

Polymorphism Ad-hoc Parametric Subtype In the context of:

```
X bar();
X& fun();
```

References behave as follows:

Abstraction	Application
void foo(X&);	foo(bar()); // r-value: ERROR foo(fun()); // l-value: OKAY: void foo(X&);
void foo(const X&);	foo(bar()); // r-value: OKAY: void foo(const X&); foo(fun()); // l-value: OKAY: void foo(const X&);
<pre>void foo(X&amp;); void foo(const X&amp;);</pre>	foo(bar()); // r-value: OKAY: void foo(const X&); foo(fun()); // l-value: OKAY: void foo(X&);
void foo(X&&);	foo(bar()); // r-value: OKAY: void foo(%&&); foo(fun()); // l-value: ERROR
void foo(%&); void foo(%&&);	foo(bar()); // r-value: OKAY: void foo(%&&); foo(fun()); // l-value: OKAY: void foo(%&);
<pre>void foo(const X&amp;); void foo(X&amp;&amp;);</pre>	foo(bar()); // r-value: OKAY: void foo(%&%); foo(fun()); // l-value: OKAY: void foo(const %%);
<pre>void foo(X&amp;); void foo(const X&amp;); void foo(X&amp;&amp;);</pre>	foo(bar()); // r-value: OKAY: void foo(X&&); foo(fun()); // l-value: OKAY: void foo(X&);

91



PoPL-06

Partha Prati Das

Type System
Type & Type Erro
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

Examples
sum
length
append

Type Deduction

Polymorphism Ad-hoc Parametric Subtype • While we can overload any function using rvalue reference, in the overwhelming majority of cases, this kind of overload should occur only for copy constructors and assignment operators, for the purpose of achieving move semantics:

For example,

```
X& X::operator=(X const & rhs); // classical implementation
X& X::operator=(X&& rhs)
{
    // Move semantics: exchange content between this and rhs
    return *this;
}
```

Implementing an *rvalue reference* overload for the copy constructor is similar



# Important Features of C++: Sample of Move Semantics

PoPL-06

Partha Pratir Das

Type Systems
Type & Type Error
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

Examples sum length append Homework

Type
Deduction
Polymorphism
Ad-hoc
Parametric
Subtype

```
String GenStr(char *ptr) {
#include <iostream>
#include <cstring>
                                                      cout << "G-str: " << ptr << endl:
using namespace std;
                                                      String s(ptr); return s;
class String { char *ptr; public:
                                                  int main() {
   String(char *ptr ) : ptr(strcpv
                                                      String s("red"): cout << endl:
        (new char[strlen(ptr_) + 1], ptr_)) {
                                                      String t = s; cout << endl;
       cout << "ctor: " << ptr << endl; }
                                                      String u = GenStr("green"); cout << endl;
    "String() { cout << "dtor: ":
                                                      s = GenStr("blue"): cout << endl:
       if (ptr) cout << ptr; cout << endl;
                                                      t = s: cout << endl:
       delete ptr; }
                                                      u = move(s); cout << endl;
   String(const String& s) : ptr(strcpv
                                                      return 0:
        (new char[strlen(s.ptr) + 1], s.ptr)) { }
                                                           Output Trace
       cout << "c-ctor: " << ptr << endl; }
   String(String&& s) : ptr(s.ptr) {
                                                                      c-assign: red
                                                    ctor: red
       cout << "m-ctor: " << ptr << endl:
       s.ptr = nullptr; }
   String& operator=(const String& s) {
                                                    c-ctor: red
                                                                      m-assign: green
       cout << "c-assign: " << ptr << endl:
                                                   G-str: green
                                                                      dtor: blue
       if (&s == this) return *this;
                                                   ctor: green
                                                                      dtor: blue
       delete ptr; // Release resource
                                                   m-ctor: green
                                                                      dtor: green
       ptr = new char[strlen(s.ptr) + 1]:
                                                    dtor:
       strcpy(ptr, s.ptr); // Copy
       return *this: }
                                                   G-str: blue
   String& operator=(String&& s) {
                                                    ctor: blue
       cout << "m-assign: " << ptr << endl:
                                                   m-ctor: blue
       if (&s == this) return *this;
                                                   dtor:
       char *tptr = ptr; // Exchange
                                                   m-assign: red
       ptr = s.ptr; s.ptr = tptr;
                                                   dtor: red
       return *this; }
```



#### Important Features of C++: Universal Reference

PoPL-06

Partha Prati Das

Type Systems
Type & Type Error
Type Safety
Type Checking
Type Inference

Type Inference
add x = 2 + x
apply (f, x)
Inference Algorithm
Unification

Examples
sum
length
append
Homework

Deduction
Polymorphism
Ad-hoc
Parametric
Subtype

• Given that rvalue references are declared using "&&", it seems reasonable to assume that the presence of "&&" in a type declaration indicates an rvalue reference. That is not the case:

- "&&" in a type declaration sometimes means rvalue reference, but sometimes it means either rvalue reference or lyalue reference
- References where this is possible are more flexible than either Ivalue references or rvalue references. Rvalue references may bind only to rvalues, for example, and Ivalue references, in addition to being able to bind to Ivalues, may bind to rvalues only under restricted circumstances. In contrast, references declared with "&&" that may be either Ivalue references or rvalue references may bind to anything. We call them