

The University of Manchester  
Department of Computer Science  
Project Report 2024

**My Little Operating System**

Author: Thomas H. Jones

Supervisor: Dr James Garside

**Abstract**

My Little Operating System

Author: Thomas H. Jones

Placeholder

Supervisor: Dr James Garside

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>7</b>
1.1	Aims . . . . .	7
1.2	Motivation and Challenges . . . . .	7
1.3	Report Outline . . . . .	8
<b>2</b>	<b>Background</b>	<b>10</b>
2.1	Operating System Fundamentals . . . . .	10
2.1.1	Process Management . . . . .	10
2.1.2	Memory Management . . . . .	11
2.1.3	IO Management . . . . .	12
2.2	RISC-V . . . . .	12
2.2.1	Registers . . . . .	13
2.2.2	Base ISA . . . . .	13
2.2.3	Traps . . . . .	14
2.3	Information on board . . . . .	16
<b>3</b>	<b>Design and Implementation</b>	<b>17</b>
3.1	Toolchain . . . . .	17
3.2	Board Boot and Configuration . . . . .	18
3.2.1	Clock settings . . . . .	19
3.2.2	Other Settings . . . . .	20
3.3	Traps . . . . .	21
3.3.1	Configuration . . . . .	21
3.3.2	Asynchronous Traps . . . . .	21
3.3.3	Synchronous Traps . . . . .	21
3.4	Processes . . . . .	22
3.4.1	Process Structure . . . . .	22
3.4.2	States . . . . .	23

3.5	Scheduler . . . . .	23
3.6	Memory Management . . . . .	23
3.7	IO . . . . .	23
<b>4</b>	<b>Evaluation</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Conclusions and further work</b>	<b>25</b>
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>26</b>

# List of Figures

2.1	Sifive Hifive1 RevB . . . . .	16
3.1	High Frequency Clock Diagram . . . . .	19
3.2	Low Frequency Clock Diagram . . . . .	20

## List of Tables

# Glossary

**ARM** A semiconductor design company who design a family of RISC architectures. 6

**RISC-V** An open standard reduced instruction set computer architecture developed by the University of California, Berkley. 5, 6, 20

# Abbreviations

**CLINT** core local interruptor

**CSRs** control and status registers

**IO** Input/Output

**ISA** instruction set architecture

**OPT** one time programmable memory

**PLIC** platform level interrupt controller

**PMP** physical memory protection

**RISC** reduced instruction set computer



# Chapter 1

## Introduction

There are many low level concepts that are discussed over the course of higher education. This project sets out to explore some these concepts through basic implementation and experimentation, using simple hardware to allow full comprehension of the system being used during development. This chapter will introduce the aims of the project, and describe the motivations behind the project.

### 1.1 Aims

The overall aim of this project is to produce an operating system for a RISC-V based microcontroller, that allows the execution of multiple processes. To do this, a RISC-V machine must be selected, and a toolchain to develop, load, and execute/debug code on the machine must be made. The elements of the operating system will be split into three main aims, the execution and scheduling of processes, the implementation of basic memory management to restrict each processes memory access, and the development of Input/Output (IO) to allow interaction between the processes and a user. The effectiveness and limitations of the system will then be evaluated, and the RISC-V architecture will be compared with other reduced instruction set computer (RISC) architectures.

### 1.2 Motivation and Challenges

The basis of this project is to facilitate experimentation with operating system concepts, as while in a university level course the concepts are explained, it is often not possible to showcase these concepts in a practical environment, limited

often to simulations. By using a bare metal system, this project will allow full access to a machine with no other system software, allowing complete control over the environment, which allows the implementation of concepts like processes and memory management.

This has also been used as an opportunity to explore the RISC-V architecture, as the prevalent architecture used in microcontroller systems are ARM based, so the use of RISC-V in this project will enable the comparison of the two architectures, and evaluate the effectiveness of RISC-V as an architecture.

Since the project is being developed on a bare metal system, considerations like the toolchain used to develop and debug code are important as they will have to be configured for the specific system being developed on, which may be more challenging as the userbase of RISC-V is more limited, so the tools required will be smaller and less well documented. The loading of code onto the machine is also important to consider, as it is easily possible that faulty or buggy builds could cause serious malfunction in the machine, some of which could not be reversible, so the loading and debugging of code must be done with care.

Other challenges include system limitations, as unlike a modern machine that would be used for general use, a microcontroller will have vastly limited resources in comparison, resulting in a need for greater optimization and careful planning, so the requirements of the system do not exceed the resources of the machine. Another limitation is the machine IO capabilities. This limitation does not only affect the end result of the systems IO, but also increases the difficulty of development, as some features such as time based interrupts can be difficult to develop without physical feedback from the machine.

## 1.3 Report Outline

- Introduction: This chapter gives the basic overview of the planned project
- Background: This chapter will introduce key concepts to enable a more comprehensive understanding of the projects details
- Design: This chapter will outline the key design decisions made before and during the project
- Implementation: This chapter will give details on the practical implementation of concepts and designs mentioned in previous chapters

- Evaluation: This chapter will discuss the implementation and findings made during the implementation
- Conclusion: This chapter will give a summary of how the aims of the project were met

# Chapter 2

## Background

This chapter will go over the background of this project, which will cover basic operating system fundamentals, a brief overview of the RISC-V architecture, and the specifics of the board that will be used, which is the Sifive HiFive1 RevB. By the end of the chapter, the reader should be able to understand how parts of the operating system should function, and be able to understand the RISC-V architecture in comparison to the ARM architecture.

### 2.1 Operating System Fundamentals

An operating system's purpose is to provide an interface between user code and the hardware, such as memory and IO, to allow the user code to function seamlessly, and allow interaction with users. This has been split into three key sections, as listed by the aims of the project, as process management, memory management and IO management.[3]

#### 2.1.1 Process Management

A process is a section of executing code along with the registers that executing code uses. The goal of the operating system is to allow multiple processes to be ran at once. In a system with multiple hardware threads, this would be possible to do explicitly, as the different threads could simple execute the processes. However the desired number of processes is almost always higher than the number of available threads, and in the world of microprocessors there is rarely more than one thread, so instead processes must be run individually and interleaved to give

the illusion of multitasking, provided that this is done at a high enough frequency. There are several methods and approaches to this task. [3]

## **Scheduling Algorithms**

The main approaches to be considered for scheduling algorithms for this project will be a batch approach and an interactive approach. The most important distinction between the two is the inclusion of preemption. In a batch system, a non-preemptive approach will be taken, where once a processes execution is began, it will be allowed to be completed before any other process is ran. This creates a simple system and is used in situations where completion of tasks is not expected to be quick. The main challenges of this approach is in process execution time estimation, as this allows the scheduler to order the execution of processes in a way that ensure small tasks are allowed to run. In an interactive system, it is expected from the user that interactions should have quick responses. This introduces the need for preemption, where a processes' execution may be paused after a short period of time to allow other processes to be ran. By running each process in small interleaved sections this allows multiple processes functionally at the same time, which means processes from which a user is expecting a response will not get halted by a larger process.[3]

### **2.1.2 Memory Management**

The goal of memory management is to streamline how a process can use memory, while at the same time protecting critical sections of memory from faulty or malicious user code. In a system with multiple processes being executed and no memory abstraction, there exists the possibility that two processes attempt to use the same section of memory, creating a conflict that would cause both processes to run incorrectly. This occurs as different processes cannot be aware of each other, and have no choice but to use memory without knowledge of which sections are in use. This can be solved using memory abstraction. The simplest method of this is using address spaces, where each process is given permission to access only segments of directly addressed memory. This prevents each process modifying other processes memory, and allows the process to behave individually, as long as it is provided with the location of its address space.[3]

### **2.1.3 IO Management**

The device that the project features will have severely limited IO. For the purpose of this project there will be two categories of IO, programmed IO and interrupt driven IO.

#### **Programmable IO**

Programmable IO is where actions that require IO are done in sequence, which will generally function by the user code making an environment call, which will then perform the IO operation, and return control to the user code once the operation is complete. This is not always desirable, as the operations are often slow and would require polling, which is the process of performing busy operations until a resource is free. During this time all processes are effectively blocked as the environment call is not being preempted, so this process can significantly slow execution if large amounts of data is needed to be transferred. However this type of IO can be useful in cases where only small amounts of data is being transferred, for instance in cases where only single bytes are transferred the overhead needed for interrupt driven IO would be larger than the time lost to polling.

#### **Interrupt driven IO**

To avoid the blocking caused by polling, IO can be interacted with through the use of interrupts. This would be done by a process making an environment call similar to programmable IO, however in this case only the caller process would be blocked until the IO operation was complete. It would also enable some form of interrupt, which signals when the IO function is available to be used. At that point an interrupt will be raised and part of the operation will be completed until the IO is busy again, at which point execution is returned to all the unblocked processes. This means that there is no time wasted polling, although does introduce overhead in the form of interrupt calls, which if done too often could slow down execution to a similar level as polling.

## **2.2 RISC-V**

RISC-V is RISC instruction set architecture (ISA), built with the goal to be completely open. The ISA uses a base integer ISA which can be used on its own or with a number of optional standard extensions, which is part of a goal to avoid

‘over-architecting’ for particular micro-architectures. There are variants for both 32 bit systems and 64 bit systems however for this project only the 32 bit system will be considered.[5]

### **2.2.1 Registers**

RISC-V implements 32 general purpose registers, which in our case will be 32 bits wide, and labeled x0 to x31. The x0 register is hardwired to zero, and all other registers may be used. There are no specific registers used for storing information like the stack pointer or return address, however in this project the standard calling convention will be used. This specifies how each register should be used, how the register should be saved, and gives each register a pseudonym which correlates to its purpose. Important registers are ra, which is used to store the return address produced by the jump and link instruction, sp and gp, which are used to store the stack pointer and the global pointer. The stack pointer is used to store the most recent item in the current processes stack, and the global pointer is used to point to the address space where a processes global variables will be stored. The collection of registers a0-a7 are used to pass arguments to functions, as well as a0 serving as a return value. For general use there are registers t0 to t6 and s0 to s11, the difference being that t0-t6 are temporary registers that are not required to have their value saved before use, whereas s0-s11 does require saving the value. This allows the use of temporary registers to store intermediate values or value not needed to be stored, without needed the overhead of adding them to the stack, and the saved registers allow important information not to be overwritten by called functions.[5]

### **2.2.2 Base ISA**

The base ISA, known as RV32I, specifies the set of instructions that all RISC-V systems implement, which includes both privileged and unprivileged instructions. The load and store operations allow loading and storing one register to or from memory. This registers can be treated as a word, half word or as a byte. There are the standard arithmetic, logic and shift operations, however immediate values are limited to 12 bits, so operations such as LUI (load upper immediate) may be used to load larger immediate values into a register.

Unlike ARM, the RISC-V ISA does not use flags to determine conditional operations. Instead the condition that is used to determine whether a branch is taken or not is included in the branch operation itself, with instructions like BEQ (branch

if equal) or BGT (branch if greater than). These are accompanied by comparison operations, which evaluate similar conditions, and produce either a 1 or a 0 in the target register. JAL (jump and link) branches to a specified location while storing a return address, which allows the implementation of subroutines and functions.[5]

### **Privilege levels**

The previously described operations can be performed in any privilege mode. RISC-V can support up to three privilege modes, being user, supervisor, and machine mode, although this report will only be considering user mode and machine mode. The zicsr extension adds operations that allow a hart in machine mode to read and modify control and status registers (CSRs), such as the CSRRW, which reads a csr to a register and writes a register to a csr, although either the source or target register can be set to zero to disable either the reading or the writing. Also included is the CSRRS and CSRRC, which is similar to CSRRW but sets or clears bits of the CSRs. Machine mode also has full access to the full memory space, whereas a thread in user mode can only access memory that has been specified by the physical memory protection (PMP), producing an access fault otherwise.[5][4]

### **Optional Extensions**

There are several optional extensions that can be implemented. This includes the ‘C’ extensions, which includes a reduced number of instructions from the base ISA, but in a 16 bit compressed format. The ‘M’ extension includes 32 bit instructions to perform multiplication and division, as well as a remainder operation. The ‘A’ extension includes a number of atomic memory operations, such as store conditional, swap instructions and memory operations that perform logic operations atomically.

## **2.2.3 Traps**

In RISC-V, a trap refers to anything where the execution on the hart is handed to the trap handler. There are two categories of traps, synchronous and asynchronous

### **Asynchronous**

An asynchronous trap is a break in execution caused by external factors, and can be referred to as an interrupt. There are three types of interrupt which are software,



timer, and external. These are controlled by two units, the core local interruptor (CLINT) and the platform level interrupt controller (PLIC). The CLINT handles the software and timer interrupts, and the PLIC handles the external interrupts. A software interrupt is triggered when a hart sets its interrupt bit high. This is used generally for communication between harts, and since this project will not involve more than one hart, this functionality will not be used. A timer interrupt is taken when the mtime CSR is greater than the mtimecmp CSR, so is used to generate an interrupt after a given amount of time. External interrupts are any other caused by the PLIC, which can include separate timer interrupts, or IO sourced interrupts.[2]

### **Synchronous**

A synchronous trap occurs in response to the execution of an instruction, which occurs with the clock, hence synchronous. These come from two sources, errors and environment calls. Errors included memory misalignment's, access faults and illegal instructions. This allows for these errors to be handled, either to inform the process of the error, or to remove the process. Environment calls are how processes make calls to the kernel to perform actions that are above the process access level, generally to perform IO operations, or to interact with other processes.

## 2.3 Information on board




Figure 2.1: This figure shows the Sifive Hifive1 RevB development board from the Hifive1 Rev B Schematics[1]

This project will be done on the Sifive Hifive1 Rev B. This is the second iteration of the Hifive1 board, which uses the SiFive Freedom E310-G002 chip featuring a RV32IMAC core, a 16 KiB instruction cache, 16 KiB data RAM, and 512 Mib of flash memory, which acts as ROM. It has Arduino compatible pins, a set of LEDs, a Bluetooth/WiFi chip, and a USB interface.

# Chapter 3

## Design and Implementation

### 3.1 Toolchain

To develop code for the Hifive1, it was required to develop an effective method of compiling and loading code onto the board, as well as an effective debugger. Initially I used the Sifive's Freedom E SDK with PlatformIO to perform basic prototypes and experiments with the board. However these tools did not provide a sufficient level of control over what was compiled and loaded, as the SDK interacts with many parts of system that were needed for the project, as well as altering the configuration of the board. This meant that the SDK was effective for developing simple applications with the board but did not allow for low level control of operations like interrupts or privilege levels. Inspection of source code of these lead to useful insights.

To replace these tools, I used `as` and `ld` from the `riscv-gnu-toolchain` to assemble and link my code into an elf file that could be loaded onto the board. Also used from that collection was `objdump`, which was used as a disassembler. The assembler's target architecture was `rv32ima_zicsr_zifencei`, to include all the extensions available on the Hifive1, except for the compressed extension. This was done to prevent the assembler generating a mix of 32 and 16 bit operations, as this causes operations to not be word aligned, and allows jump tables to be implemented without inspecting what instructions are used. Two linker configurations were used throughout the project, the first which put both the text section and the data section into RAM, whereas the second put text and read-only data in ROM, and left the RAM free. The first configuration was used earlier, as it allowed for safe experimentation with the board while maintaining Sifive's double-tap bootloader.

The double-tap bootloader allows normal operation on a regular reset but allows the board to be loaded into a safe mode when the reset is ‘double tapped’, which loads the board into a safe and known state, so that if the board becomes otherwise inoperable it is still able to be recovered. While this was a useful feature, it limited development, as it also performed several unwanted functions, such as changing the clock configuration, and the uart configuration, which was not acceptable. The second linker config replaced the bootloader in the flash memory with the project code. This was also necessary as it was not practical to store code in RAM, as it was incredibly limited in space, so the RAM was reserved for program data. To load, run and debug code on the board, I used openocd and gdb. Openocd was used to create an interface with the board, and to specify how the board should be initialized and loaded, and gdb was used to target the openocd interface, which allowed it to load elf files onto the board, and to run/debug code as normal, with some limits such as a limit to the number of breakpoints.

## **3.2 Board Boot and Configuration**

On power on the Hifive1 will begin execution at the reset vector of 0x1004, which in this implementation is not configurable and will jump to the Mask ROM at 0x1\_0000, in which similarly cannot be configured in the Hifive1’s implementation of the E31. The mask ROM will immediately jump to the one time programmable memory (OPT) memory. This is configurable, but will not be done in this project. This is because the OPT memory can only be edited by code executing on the board, and only one bit at a time. Since the OPT memory is executed as part of the boot sequence, if it is programmed in a way that does not jump to code in flash or memory it will prevent the board from executing code, which also means that the malfunctioning OPT code cannot be fixed, leaving the board in an unrecoverable state. This danger is obviously unacceptable for this project, so the OPT memory will be left to its default, which will jump to 0x2000\_0000, which is the beginning of flash memory.

From that point, execution of the system setup begins. On the Hifive1, there are several important configuration options that affect general operation of the board. The most notable of these are the clock settings, as these indicate the frequency of the processor, input and output frequencies, and timer interrupts. This is the second thing configured during boot, after zeroing the registers.

### 3.2.1 Clock settings

The Hifive1 has 3 clock regions, a high frequency clock, a low frequency clock, and a clock used to drive the JTAG connection. The JTAG driver is constant and only used for debugging through JTAG, so is not relevant here.




Figure 3.1: The high frequency clock generation scheme, specifying how the high frequency clock is driven and configured, taken from the Sifive FE310-G002 Manual[2]

The high frequency clock controls the processor frequency, and the baud rate of input and output is derived from it. The high frequency clock can be driven from two sources, an internally trimmable high frequency ring oscillator and an external high frequency crystal oscillator. The ring oscillator can produce frequencies ranging from 1 MHz to 75 MHz, whereas the crystal will produce a constant frequency of 16 MHz. Both of these clock sources may be used 'as is', or can be modified using a PLL and divider, giving a available range of 48 MHz to 384 MHz.




Figure 3.2: The low frequency clock generation scheme, specifying how the low frequency clock is driven and configured[2]

The low frequency clock is part of the Hifive1 ‘always on block’ and controls the watchdog timer, which can be used to cause a reset on malfunction, and both the real-time clock and the machine timer, both of which are used to generate timed interrupts. Similar to the high frequency clock can be driven from a ring oscillator or from an external clock, which in the Hifive1 is a crystal oscillator. The low frequency ring oscillator functions at 1.5 KHz to 230 KHz using a frequency divider, and the implemented external clock runs at a constant 32.768 KHz, with no option to divide the frequency.

For both clock domains, the crystal oscillator was chosen. The ring oscillator gives the option to operate at a higher frequency, which would result in a higher number of operations per second. While in a practical operating system this would be desirable, since this system is not intended for practical use, a constant frequency was more desirable as it would give more predictable results, and makes IO operations more reliable. For the low frequency clock, a high frequency would be beneficial for a real time system as the higher frequency would allow for more precise timing of interrupts and other functions, however for an interactive system this precision is not required, and so similar to the high frequency domain the constant frequency of a crystal oscillator was selected.

### 3.2.2 Other Settings

After the clock has been set up, various other parts of the system also require a one time configuration, ranging from the trap vector to IO settings.

## **3.3 Traps**

### **3.3.1 Configuration**

When an enabled trap is raised, the pc is set to the trap vector according to the trap mode. The trap vector and mode are stored in the 32 bit csr mtvec. In this csr, bits [1:0] are used to store the mode, where 0 is direct mode and 1 is vectored mode. Bits [31:2] represent vector base address, which is the top 30 bits of a 32 bit address. Since this is stored as 30 bits, two zeros are added to pad the value. This means that the trap handler address must be aligned to 64 bytes. When in direct mode, a trap will set the pc to the base vector address, whereas in vectored mode only synchronous traps are set to the base address, and asynchronous traps set the pc to the base address added to four times the trap code. For this system the vectored mode was chosen. This was because the asynchronous traps are more frequent than synchronous traps, and by jumping to the specific trap call directly a large amount of overhead is skipped. This is relevant for functions like the preemptive scheduler, as it reduces the time to switch processes, which will allow the preemter to be more affective with short quantumts.

### **3.3.2 Asynchronous Traps**

Due the use of vectored trap mode, instead of having one single handler for asynchronous traps, each interrupt has it's own handler. Since the Hifive1 does not implement a supervisor mode, there are only 3 available interrupt types, software, timer and external. The software interrupts are not used, as they are mainly used for communication between harts, and the Hifive1 only has a single hart. The machine timer is used for the preemptive scheduler, which will be explored in detail in further sections. Finally the external interrupts, the handler will read from the CLINT claim/complete register. This will provide the handler with the highest priority interrupt code, which will then be used in a jump table to execute the correct interrupt, and once completed the same value is written back to the claim/-complete register to indicate that the interrupt has been handled. The interrupts implemented in this will be explored in further sections.

### **3.3.3 Synchronous Traps**

The trap code for synchronous traps can be fetched from the mcause register. This can then be used with a jump table to handle the correct trap.

## 3.4 Processes

### 3.4.1 Process Structure

Due to the extremely limited amount of memory available on the Hifive1, the data required to store information on each process has to be structured carefully, else the amount of memory required to store process information would begin to limit the amount of memory available to the processes themselves. In the current implementation, 35 bytes are used to store process information.

One byte each is allocated for a process id, process parent id, process status, and size of a processes text section. For process id and process parent id, only one byte is needed as the Hifive1 does not have the memory to support a large of processes, so a theoretical cap of 256 processes is acceptable. Process status can only take 4 values, so only 2 bits of the byte are used, and the text size byte stores the size as a power of 2, where a process has  $2^n$  words, where  $n$  is the value stored. The minimum number of bits needed to store these is 13, however this would need to be padded to either 32 bits, to ensure that the structure remained word aligned, as an attempt to use the load word instruction on a non word aligned address causes a load address missaligned error. Instead each entry is stored as a byte, as this is the smallest size load that RISC-V allows, which avoids the need to use bit masks to retrieve these entries.

One word each is allocated for the processes program counter, text section pointer and address space pointer. Since memory addresses are word length, these cannot be reduced.

The vast majority of the process structure is used storing the 31 general purpose registers. This is required to retain the state of each process in between scheduling. The only option to reduce this would be to limit the amount of registers available to use. Only 31 must be stored as the x0 register is hardwired to zero.

In other systems, information like the processes stack pointer may be stored, however standard RISC-V calling convention specifies x2 to be used as the stack pointer, so separate storing of this information is not required, and allows a process to handle its address space on its own, however on process creation x2 and x3 are initialized as the stack pointer and the global pointer, where the stack pointer points to the bottom of the process address space and the global pointer at the top. This is done to reduce the overhead of processes that use the standard calling convention.



### **3.4.2 States**

Each process has a state, which indicates how the process should be scheduled. In our model, there are three states that a process can be in, ready, running, and blocked. Running indicates that the process is currently being executed. A running process can transition to ready if the scheduler preempts the process, or if the process yields to the processor with an environment call. A process will transition to blocked when it makes an interrupt driven IO call. The blocked process may then transition to ready when the interrupt has been handled. The transition between ready and running is handled by the scheduler, whereas the status of blocked processes are dealt with by each IO handler. The state value stored in each process entry is used as metadata only, as instead the scheduler and each IO handler tracks state by how each process is stored, for instance any process in a queue to be ran is in the ready state, the current process is in the running state, and any processes not in the queue and not running must be blocked.

## **3.5 Scheduler**

## **3.6 Memory Management**

## **3.7 IO**

# **Chapter 4**

## **Evaluation**

## **Chapter 5**

### **Conclusions and further work**

# Bibliography

- [1] *Hifive1 Rev B Schematics*. Sifive Inc, 2021.
- [2] *SiFive FE310-G002 Manual v1p5*. Sifive Inc, 2022.
- [3] H. B. Andrew S. Tanenbaum. *Modern Operating Systems 4th Edition*. Pearson, 2015.
- [4] J. H. Andrew Waterman, Krste Asanovi, editor. *The RISC-V Instruction Set Manual, Volume II: Privileged Architecture, Document Version 2021120*. RISC-V International, December 2021.
- [5] K. A. Andrew Waterman, editor. *The RISC-V Instruction Set Manual, Volume I: User-Level ISA, Document Version 2019121*. RISC-V Foundation, December 2019.