# Introduction to testing day 2 Challenge Report

## Report

## Task:

#### And how each is achieved:

- create 5 test cases about a program with no initial contact, equipped with a basic description
  - Read through provided information and created test cases
- To provide running notes
  - Recorded the exercise to provide full visual, gave a running commentary, and wrote notes live
  - Ran the test cases as advised by coaches using terminal (iterm mac os python 10.11.1)
- Summarise findings
  - please see summary below
- All to be submitted upon completion

## **Program expected Specification:**

Wraps given text to a given character limit and inserts new line markers - followed by "-- END OF FILE--"

- Name wrap\_it
- Argument string english text AND Int character length of line

#### Run from terminal as such:

\$ python3 wrap\_it.py 26 "a quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog"

## Summary of test cases and notes

- 1. example output with expected inputs all working fine.
- 2. Small CHAR input broke the running of the program, with no catch or return output clause for this.

- 3. Input that is within CHAR range but with no space to break the text broke program, with no catch or return output clause for this.
- 4. Standard input with CHAR (new line break) limit exactly in the centre all working fine.
- 5. Invalid entry in the form of an INT rather than a STRING unexpected result, but did not break the program.
  - 1. Same entry as a string all working fine

### Further Details regarding test case 5

- It was interesting that other tests (2, 3) lead to the program breaking due to an abnormal input
- 5 did not do this despite being and INT rather than a STRING
- The STRING version of this still functioned fine so the length and other parameters are unlikely to be the reason
- Despite there being no 'catch' or 'response' when giving an incorrect input (as found so far) the program executed fine with the parameters given in 5
- Would recommend further tests to explore this and give other 'incorrect' inputs as well as explore why the given one in test 5 was 'ok' to run.

Of course would recommend if it is within the scope of the project, that the code be reviewed based on potential errors/bugs found.