

# Analytic Continuation of the Laplace and Z Transform Operators

Michael Gionet

Jan 18th, 2024

## 1 Preliminaries

For more information about complex analysis, or any theorems seen, see [1].

### 1.1 Complex Analytic Functions

**Definition 1.** Denote by  $\mathbb{C}$  the set of complex numbers. Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be open. Let  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . We say that  $f$  is complex differentiable at  $z_0 \in U$  iff the limit:

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0; z \in U \setminus \{z_0\}} \frac{f(z) - f(z_0)}{z - z_0}$$

exists.

**Definition 2.** Assume the same setup as in 1. Then, we say that  $f$  is complex differentiable on  $U$  iff  $f$  is complex differentiable at every  $z_0 \in U$ . Another name we can give to complex differentiable functions are Holomorphic functions.

**Definition 3.** Let  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be Holomorphic on  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then, we say that  $f$  is an entire function (or, just  $f$  is entire, for short).

Following the definition of a meromorphic function presented by Stein and Shakarchi [1],

**Definition 4.** Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be open, and let  $(z)_k$  be a sequence of points in  $U$  with no limit point in  $U$ . Let  $f : U \setminus \{z_k\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be holomorphic so that  $f$  takes on poles at the points in  $\{z_k\}$ . Then, we say that  $f$  is meromorphic.

TODO: Cauchy's theorem, analytic continuation, theorem that shows that an integral converges to a holomorphic function.

### 1.2 Laplace and Z Transforms

**Definition 5.** Let  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be of exponential type for some order  $B$ . Then, we define the Z transform of  $f$  as the function  $F : \mathbb{C} \setminus D_B(0) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , with  $D_B(0)$

the disc of radius  $B$  centered at the origin, and where

$$F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(n) z^{-n}$$

It should be noted that the definition given for the  $Z$  transform already requires that the sum converges. Normally though you might define it in terms of the formal sum, and then just bicker about the region of convergence later. This paper does not delve into the analysis regarding those regions of convergence though, and simply cares about the region as a postulate, so this approach is not unreasonable.

**Definition 6.** Let  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be of exponential type with order  $B$ . Then, we define the Laplace transform of  $f$  as the function  $F : \{s \in \mathbb{C} | \operatorname{Re}(s) > B\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , where

$$F(s) = \int_0^{\infty} f(t) e^{-st} dt$$

## 2 Main Results

**Theorem 1.** Let  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be entire, and satisfy the bound

$$|f(x + yi)| \leq A e^{B|x| + C|y|}$$

for some constants  $A > 0$ ,  $B \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $C < \pi$ .

Then, if

$$F(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(n) s^{-n}$$

for some radius of divergence, is the  $Z$  transform of  $f$  when evaluated at the natural numbers, then  $F$  has an analytic continuation, for some radius of convergence, given by the expression

$$F(s) = - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(-n-1) s^{n+1}$$

*Proof.* It suffices to show that the function

$$H(s) = \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}-i\infty}^{-\frac{1}{2}+i\infty} \frac{\pi f(z)(-s)^{-z}}{\sin(\pi z)} dz$$

evaluates to both sums shown, whenever they converge in some radii of divergence and of convergence respectively (note that these radii will not be degenerate, due to the growth rate imposed on  $f$ ).

To evaluate this function, consider the contour corresponding to the boundary of a strip:

$$\int_{\gamma} = \int_{-\frac{1}{2}-iR}^{-\frac{1}{2}+iR} + \int_{-\frac{1}{2}+iR}^{\frac{1}{2}+N+iR} + \int_{\frac{1}{2}+N+iR}^{\frac{1}{2}+N-iR} + \int_{\frac{1}{2}+N-iR}^{-\frac{1}{2}-iR}$$

Note that this contour has negative orientation.

For the time being, we will restrict  $s$  to the sliver  $|\angle - s| < \pi - C$ . Analytic continuation can be later invoked to get the rest back.

By Cauchy's residue theorem, we can evaluate this integral to get:

$$\frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{\pi f(z)(-s)^{-z}}{\sin(\pi z)} dz = \sum_{n=0}^N \operatorname{res}_{z=n} \frac{\pi f(z)(-s)^{-z}}{\sin(\pi z)} = \sum_{n=0}^N f(n)(-s)^{-n}(-1)^n = \sum_{n=0}^N f(n)s^{-n}$$

We can deform this contour now, letting  $R \rightarrow \infty$ . Then, the integral over the top and bottom parts of the strip vanish:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}+iR}^{\frac{1}{2}+N+iR} \frac{\pi f(z)(-s)^{-z}}{\sin(\pi z)} dz \right| &\leq A e^{R\angle -s} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}+N} e^{B|x|+(C-\pi)R} |s|^{-x} dx \\ &\leq A e^{R(\angle -s+C-\pi)} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}+N} e^{B|x|} |s|^{-x} dx \end{aligned}$$

As  $R \rightarrow \infty$ , the expression vanishes, as desired. The case for the bottom integral is similar and will be omitted.

We only need to treat the other integral  $\frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_{\frac{1}{2}+N+iR}^{\frac{1}{2}+N-iR} \frac{\pi f(z)(-s)^{-z}}{\sin(\pi z)} dz$ . We can also establish an estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_{\frac{1}{2}+N+iR}^{\frac{1}{2}+N-iR} \frac{\pi f(z)(-s)^{-z}}{\sin(\pi z)} dz \right| &\leq \frac{A}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |s|^{N+\frac{1}{2}} e^{|y|\angle -s} e^{B(N+\frac{1}{2})+C|y|} dy \\ &\leq A e^{(B-\log|s|)(N+\frac{1}{2})} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{(C-\pi+\angle -s)|y|} dy \end{aligned}$$

The integral converges. For  $|s| > e^B$ , the factor out front will drive the expression to 0 as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . We are left with the desired relation:

$$\frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}-i\infty}^{-\frac{1}{2}+i\infty} \frac{\pi f(z)(-s)^{-z}}{\sin(\pi z)} dz = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(n)z^{-n}$$

We now compute the initial function by using a different contour (due to the similarity to the last, I will leave some details to the reader).

Let

$$\int_{\gamma'} = \int_{-\frac{1}{2}-iR}^{-\frac{1}{2}+iR} + \int_{-\frac{1}{2}+iR}^{-\frac{1}{2}-N+iR} + \int_{-\frac{1}{2}-N+iR}^{-\frac{1}{2}-N-iR} + \int_{-\frac{1}{2}-N-iR}^{-\frac{1}{2}-iR}$$

Note that this time the contour has a positive orientation.

Then, From Cauchy's theorem,

$$\frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma'} \frac{\pi f(z)(-s)^{-z}}{\sin(\pi z)} dz = - \sum_{n=-N}^{-1} f(n)(-s)^{-n}(-1)^n = - \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} f(-n-1)s^{n+1}$$

The same estimates apply as above for

$$\int_{-\frac{1}{2}+iR}^{-\frac{1}{2}-N+iR} \frac{\pi f(z)(-s)^{-z}}{\sin(\pi z)} dz$$

and

$$\int_{-\frac{1}{2}-N-iR}^{-\frac{1}{2}-iR} \frac{\pi f(z)(-s)^{-z}}{\sin(\pi z)} dz$$

so we can deform the contour and let  $R \rightarrow \infty$ .

For the last integral, we can use the estimate

$$\left| \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}-N+iR}^{-\frac{1}{2}-N-iR} \frac{\pi f(z)(-s)^{-z}}{\sin(\pi z)} dz \right| \leq A e^{(B+\log|s|)(N+\frac{1}{2})} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{(C+|\angle-s|-\pi)|y|} dy$$

Which decreases to 0 as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  and when we impose the condition that  $|s| < e^{-B}$ .

So for  $|\angle-s| < C-\pi$  and  $|s| < e^{-B}$ , we have:

$$\frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}-i\infty}^{-\frac{1}{2}+i\infty} \frac{\pi f(z)(-s)^{-z}}{\sin(\pi z)} dz = - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(-n-1) s^{n+1}$$

Since these representations are only defined on simply connected open subsets of  $\mathbb{C}$ , and the integral's domain of convergence intersects both sums' domains of convergence, the integral defines an analytic continuation of the two sums, and hence the sums are analytic continuations of each other for different domains. Whenever these series converge with some smaller radius of divergence, or larger radius of convergence, will still work by analytic continuation.  $\square$

It should be noted that another way of doing this is to define a series in the form  $H(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f(n)(-z)^n}{n!}$ , and then evaluate its laplace transform in two different ways. One way by distributing it term by term, and the other by expanding  $e^{-sx}$  into its taylor series, and invoking Ramanujan's master theorem. If I recall correctly, this way requires stricter assumptions on the growth rate of  $H(z)$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be entire, and satisfy the growth rate  $|f(z)| \leq A e^{B|z|}$ , for some  $B \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then, let*

$$F(s) = \int_0^{\infty} f(z) e^{-sz} dz$$

*for  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > B$ , be its Laplace transform. Then, for any angle,  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ , letting  $\omega = e^{\theta i}$ , we have*

$$F(s) = \omega \int_0^{\infty} f(\omega z) e^{-\omega z s} dz$$

as an analytic continuation, whenever  $Re(\omega s) > B$ . In particular,

$$F(s) = - \int_0^\infty f(-z) e^{-(-s)z} dz$$

*Proof.* Let  $0 < \theta < \frac{\pi}{2}$ . Then, consider the contour given by  $\int_C = \int_0^R + \int_R^{Re^{i\theta}} + \int_{Re^{i\theta}}^0$ . By Cauchy's theorem,

$$\int_C f(z) e^{-sz} dz = 0$$

For now, we will impose the restrictions that  $x = Re(s) > B$ , and  $y = Im(s) \leq 0$ .

To evaluate it, we can bound the integral along the arc as follows:

$$\int_R^{Re^{i\theta}} f(z) e^{-sz} dz = \int_0^\theta f(Re^{it}) e^{-Re^{it}s} iRe^{it} dt$$

$$\left| \int_0^\theta f(Re^{it}) e^{-Re^{it}s} iRe^{it} dt \right| \leq R \int_0^\theta |f(Re^{it})| e^{-R(x\cos(t)+y\sin(t))} dt$$

From the bound we impose on the function,

$$\leq AR \int_0^\theta e^{-R(x\cos(t)-y\sin(t)-B)} dt \leq AR\theta \sup_{t \in [0, \theta]} e^{-R(x\cos(t)-y\sin(t)-B)}$$

The expression  $x\cos(t) - y\sin(t) - B > 0$  from the conditions imposed on  $x$ ,  $y$ , and  $\theta$ , so the integral goes to 0 as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ .

Deforming the main contour now, by letting  $R \rightarrow \infty$ , we find that

$$\int_0^\infty f(z) e^{-zs} dz = \int_0^{e^{i\theta}\infty} f(z) e^{-zs} dz$$

or after substituting,

$$\int_0^\infty f(z) e^{-zs} dz = e^{i\theta} \int_0^\infty f(e^{i\theta}z) e^{-se^{i\theta}z} dz$$

As desired, for the restriction to the angles  $0 < \theta < \pi/2$ .

At this point we just need to prove that if  $\theta$  was any other angle, then we can use a sequence of contour deformations and analytic continuations in the same vein, and relate it back to  $0 < \theta < \pi/2$ .

Note that the last expression converges to a holomorphic function for  $Re(se^{i\theta}) > B$ , and is otherwise free from the initial restriction  $Re(s) > B$  (i.e. it is an analytic continuation of the first expression).

We can let  $\theta = \theta_1 + \dots + \theta_k$  for  $0 < \theta_1 < \pi/2$ , ...,  $0 < \theta_k < \pi/2$ , and then invoke the same procedure to get a sequence of analytic continuations, each of which agree on some open set  $U_l$ ,  $l = 1 \dots k$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^\infty f(z)e^{-zs}dz = e^{i\theta_1} \int_0^\infty f(e^{i\theta_1}z)e^{-se^{i\theta_1}z}dz \text{ on } U_1 \\
& e^{i\theta_1} \int_0^\infty f(e^{i\theta_1}z)e^{-se^{i\theta_1}z}dz = e^{i(\theta_1+\theta_2)} \int_0^\infty f(e^{i(\theta_1+\theta_2)}z)e^{-se^{i(\theta_1+\theta_2)}z}dz \text{ on } U_2 \\
& \dots \\
& e^{i\theta_1+\dots+\theta_{k-1}} \int_0^\infty f(e^{i\theta_1+\dots+\theta_{k-1}}z)e^{-se^{i\theta_1+\dots+\theta_{k-1}}z}dz = e^{i\theta_1+\dots+\theta_k} \int_0^\infty f(e^{i\theta_1+\dots+\theta_k}z)e^{-se^{i\theta_1+\dots+\theta_k}z}dz \text{ on } U_k \\
& = e^{i\theta} \int_0^\infty f(e^{i\theta}z)e^{-se^{i\theta}z}dz
\end{aligned}$$

Our choice of  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$  was arbitrary, so it holds for all  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ .

To obtain  $-\int_0^\infty f(-z)e^{-(s)z}dz$ , set  $\theta = \pi$ , and  $e^{i\theta} = -1$ , and this converges at least for  $\operatorname{Re}(-s) > B$ .

□

Another way of proving the later theorem is to start off with theorem 1, but apply it to  $f(\alpha + n)s^{-\alpha}$ , and integrate both sides from 0 to 1 in  $\alpha$ .

### 3 Discussion

The significance of this is that analytic continuation can be applied to entire operators on holomorphic functions, without the need for a case by case analysis of the input function. Instead, knowledge of particular regularities of the inputs, such as holomorphy and growth rates, is all that is required to find a general form for an analytic continuation.

### References

- [1] Elias M. Stein and Rami Shakarchi. *Complex Analysis*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2003.