# CS122A: Introduction to Data Management

Lecture 9
SQL II: Nested Queries, Aggregation,
Grouping

Instructor: Chen Li

## Nested Queries

Find names of sailors who've reserved boat #103:

SELECT S.sname
FROM Sailors S
WHERE S.sid IN (SELECT R.sid
FROM Reserves R
WHERE R.bid=103)

- A very powerful feature of SQL: a WHERE clause can itself contain an SQL query! (Actually, so can FROM and HAVING clauses!!)
- ❖ To find sailors who've *not* reserved #103, use NOT IN.
- \* To understand semantics (including cardinality) of nested queries, think <u>nested loops</u> evaluation: For each Sailors tuple, check qualification by computing subquery.

## Nested Queries with Correlation

Find names of sailors who've reserved boat #103:

```
SELECT S.sname

FROM Sailors S

WHERE EXISTS (SELECT *

FROM Reserves R

WHERE R.bid=103 AND S.sid=R.sid)
```

- \* EXISTS is another set comparison operator, like IN.
- Illustrates why, in general, subquery must be recomputed for each Sailors tuple (conceptually).

**NOTE:** Recall that there was a join way to express this query, too. Relational query optimizers will try to <u>unnest</u> queries into joins when possible to avoid nested loop query evaluation plans.

# More on Set-Comparison Operators

- ❖ We' ve already seen IN and EXISTS.. Can also use NOT IN and NOT EXISTS.
- ❖ Also available: *op* ANY, *op* ALL (for *ops*: >,<,=,≥,≤,≠)
- ❖ Find sailors whose rating is greater than that of some sailor called Horatio:

```
SELECT *
FROM Sailors S
WHERE S.rating > ANY (SELECT S2.rating
FROM Sailors S2
WHERE S2.sname= 'Horatio')
```

# Rewriting INTERSECT Queries Using IN

Find sid's of sailors who've reserved both a red and a green boat:

```
SELECT S.sid

FROM Sailors S, Boats B, Reserves R

WHERE S.sid=R.sid AND R.bid=B.bid AND B.color= 'red'

AND S.sid IN (SELECT S2.sid

FROM Sailors S2, Boats B2, Reserves R2

WHERE S2.sid=R2.sid AND R2.bid=B2.bid

AND B2.color= 'green')
```

❖ Similarly, EXCEPT queries can be re-written using NOT IN.

# Division, SQL Style

Find sailors who've reserved all boats.

```
SELECT S.sname
     FROM Sailors S
                                 Sailors S such that ...
     WHERE NOT EXISTS
              ((SELECT B.bid
                                    the set of all Boat ids ...
                FROM Boats B)
(This Sailor's
                                          minus ...
             EXCEPT
unreserved
                (SELECT R.bid
Boat ids..!.)
                                            this Sailor's
                FROM Reserves R
                                            reserved Boat ids...
                WHERE R.sid=S.sid))
                                          is empty!
```

# Division in SQL (cont.)

Find sailors who've reserved all boats.

Let's do it the hard way, i.e., without EXCEPT:

(2) SELECT S.sname
FROM Sailors S
WHERE NOT EXISTS (SELECT B.bid
FROM Boats B
WHERE NOT EXISTS (SELECT R.bid
FROM Reserves R
WHERE is no boat B without ...
WHERE R.bid=B.bid
AND R.sid=S.sid))

a Reserves tuple showing S reserved B

SELECT S.sname

WHERE NOT EXISTS

((SELECT B.bid

**EXCEPT** 

FROM Boats B)

(SELECT R.bid

FROM Reserves R

WHERE R.sid=S.sid)

FROM Sailors S

7

# Aggregate Operators

 Significant extension of relational algebra.

```
SELECT COUNT (*)
FROM Sailors S
```

```
SELECT AVG (S.age)
FROM Sailors S
WHERE S.rating=10
```

```
COUNT (*)
COUNT ([DISTINCT] A)
SUM ([DISTINCT] A)
AVG ([DISTINCT] A)
MAX (A)
MIN (A)

single column
```

SELECT S.sname
FROM Sailors S
WHERE S.rating= (SELECT MAX(S2.rating)
FROM Sailors S2)

```
SELECT COUNT (DISTINCT S.rating)
FROM Sailors S
WHERE S.sname= 'Bob'
```

SELECT AVG( DISTINCT S.age) FROM Sailors S WHERE S.rating=10

## Find name and age of the oldest sailor(s)

- \* The first query is *illegal*! (We'll look into the reason a bit later, when we discuss GROUP BY.)
- \* The third query is equivalent to the second one, and allowed in the SQL/92 standard, but not supported in all systems.

```
SELECT S.sname, MAX (S.age)
FROM Sailors S
```

```
SELECT S.sname, S.age
FROM Sailors S
WHERE S.age =
(SELECT MAX (age)
FROM Sailors)
```

```
SELECT S.sname, S.age
FROM Sailors S
WHERE (SELECT MAX (S2.age)
FROM Sailors S2)
= S.age
```

# Motivation for Grouping

- \* So far, we've applied aggregate operators to all (qualifying) tuples. Sometimes, we want to apply them to each of several *groups* of tuples.
- \* Consider: Find the age of the youngest sailor for each rating level.
  - In general, we don't know how many rating levels exist, and what the rating values for these levels are!
  - Suppose we know that rating values go from 1 to 10; we can write 10 queries that look like this (③):

For 
$$i = 1, 2, ..., 10$$
:

SELECT MIN (S.age)
FROM Sailors S
WHERE S.rating =  $i$ 

### Queries With GROUP BY and HAVING

SELECT [DISTINCT] target-list FROM relation-list WHERE qualification GROUP BY grouping-list HAVING group-qualification

- ❖ The target-list contains (i) attribute names and (ii) terms with aggregate operations (e.g., MIN (S.age)).
  - The <u>attribute list (i)</u> must be a subset of *grouping-list*. Intuitively, each answer tuple corresponds to a *group*, and these attributes must have a **single value per group**. (A *group* is a set of tuples that have the same value for all attributes in *grouping-list*.)

# Conceptual Evaluation

- \* The cross-product of *relation-list* is computed, tuples that fail *qualification* are discarded, `*unnecessary*' fields are deleted, and the remaining tuples are partitioned into groups by the value of attributes in *grouping-list*.
- \* A *group-qualification* (HAVING) is then applied to eliminate some groups. Expressions in *group-qualification* must also have a <u>single value per group!</u>
  - In effect, an attribute in *group-qualification* that is not an argument of an aggregate op must appear in *grouping-list*. (Note: SQL does not consider primary key semantics here.)
- One answer tuple is generated per qualifying group.

# Find age of the youngest sailor with age $\geq 18$ , for each rating with at least 2 <u>such</u> sailors

SELECT S.rating, MIN (S.age)

AS minage
FROM Sailors S
WHERE S.age >= 18
GROUP BY S.rating
HAVING COUNT (\*) >= 2

Answer relation:

rating	minage
3	25.5
7	35.0
8	25.5

#### Sailors instance:

sid	sname	rating	age
22	dustin	7	45.0
29	brutus	1	33.0
31	lubber	8	55.5
32	andy	8	25.5
58	rusty	10	35.0
64	horatio	7	35.0
71	zorba	10	16.0
74	horatio	9	35.0
85	art	3	25.5
95	bob	3	63.5
96	frodo	3	25.5

# Find age of the youngest sailor with age $\geq 18$ , for each rating with at least 2 <u>such</u> sailors.

rating	age		rating	age		
7	45.0		1	33.0		
1	33.0		3	25.5		
8	55.5		3	63.5	rating	minage
8	25.5		3	25.5	3	25.5
10	35.0		7	45.0	7	35.0
7	35.0	,	7	35.0	8	25.5
10	16.0		8	55.5		
9	35.0		8	25.5		
3	25.5		9	35.0		
3	63.5		10	35.0		
3	25.5					

# Find age of the youngest sailor with age $\geq 18$ , for each rating with at least 2 sailors between 18 and 60.

SELECT S.rating, MIN (S.age)
AS minage
FROM Sailors S
WHERE S.age >= 18 AND S.age <= 60
GROUP BY S.rating
HAVING COUNT (\*) >= 2

Answer relation:

rating	minage
3	25.5
7	35.0
8	25.5

#### Sailors instance:

sid	sname	rating	age
22	dustin	7	45.0
29	brutus	1	33.0
31	lubber	8	55.5
32	andy	8	25.5
58	rusty	10	35.0
64	horatio	7	35.0
71	zorba	10	16.0
74	horatio	9	35.0
85	art	3	25.5
95	bob	3	63.5
96	frodo	3	25.5

# For each red boat, find the number of reservations for this boat

SELECT B.bid, COUNT (\*) AS scount FROM Sailors S, Boats B, Reserves R WHERE S.sid=R.sid AND R.bid=B.bid AND B.color= 'red' GROUP BY B.bid

- We're grouping over a join of three relations!
- ❖ What do we get if we remove B.color= 'red' from the WHERE clause and add a HAVING clause with this condition? (Hint: Trick question... ☺)
- What if we drop Sailors and the condition involving S.sid?

# Find age of the youngest sailor with age > 18, for each rating with at least 2 sailors (of any age)

```
SELECT S.rating, MIN (S.age)
FROM Sailors S
WHERE S.age > 18
GROUP BY S.rating
HAVING 1 < (SELECT COUNT (*)
FROM Sailors S2
WHERE S.rating=S2.rating)
```

- ❖ Shows HAVING clause can also contain a subquery.
- ❖ Compare this with the query where we considered only ratings with 2 sailors over 18!
- What if HAVING clause is replaced by:
  - HAVING COUNT(\*) >1

## Find those ratings for which the average age is the minimum age over all Sailors

Aggregate operations cannot be nested! WRONG:

```
SELECT S.rating
FROM Sailors S
WHERE S.age = (SELECT MIN (AVG (S2.age)) FROM Sailors S2)
```

Correct solution (in SQL/92):

```
SELECT Temp.rating, Temp.avgage

FROM (SELECT S.rating, AVG (S.age) AS avgage

FROM Sailors S

GROUP BY S.rating) AS Temp

WHERE Temp.avgage = (SELECT MIN (age) FROM Sailors)
```