

minimizing expected loss for hypothesis testing

posterior probabilities & decision

- ▶ suppose you have two competing hypotheses:

H_1 and H_2

- ▶ then

- ▶ $P(H_1 \text{ is true} \mid \text{data})$ = posterior probability of H_1

- ▶ $P(H_2 \text{ is true} \mid \text{data})$ = posterior probability of H_2

- ▶ potential decision criterion: choose the hypothesis with the higher posterior probability

reject H_1 if $P(H_1 \text{ is true} \mid \text{data}) < P(H_2 \text{ is true} \mid \text{data})$

- ▶ alternative: consider a loss function

example: HIV testing with ELISA

H_1 : Patient doesn't have HIV

H_2 : Patient has HIV

- ▶ these are the only two possibilities.
- ▶ they are mutually exclusive hypotheses that cover the entire decision space.

loss functions & decisions

- ▶ $L(d)$: loss that occurs when decision d is made
- ▶ Bayesian testing procedure then minimizes the posterior expected loss
- ▶ possible decisions (actions):
 - ▶ d_1 : choose H_1 - decide that the patient doesn't have HIV
 - ▶ d_2 : choose H_2 - decide that the patient has HIV

making the right (or wrong) decision

$$d = d_1$$

- ▶ **right:** decide patient doesn't have HIV, and indeed they don't

$$L(d_1) = 0$$

- ▶ **wrong:** decide patient doesn't have HIV, but they do

$$L(d_1) = w_1$$

$$d = d_2$$

- ▶ **right:** decide patient has HIV, and indeed they do

$$L(d_2) = 0$$

- ▶ **wrong:** decide patient has HIV, but they don't

$$L(d_2) = w_2$$

loss depends on consequences

- ▶ consequences of making a wrong decision d_1 or d_2 are different
- ▶ wrong d_1 :
 - ▶ decide that patient doesn't have HIV when in reality they do — false negative
 - ▶ potential consequences: no treatment and premature death!
- ▶ wrong d_2 :
 - ▶ decide that the patient has HIV when in reality they don't — false positive
 - ▶ potential consequences: distress and unnecessary further investigation

back to example: HIV testing with ELISA

hypotheses

H_1 : Patient does not have HIV

H_2 : Patient has HIV

posteriors

$$P(H_1 \mid +) \approx 0.88$$

$$P(H_2 \mid +) \approx 0.12$$

decision

d_1 : Decide that patient does not have HIV

d_2 : Decide that patient has HIV

expected losses

losses

$$L(d_1) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } d_1 \text{ is right} \\ w_1 = 1000 & \text{if } d_1 \text{ is wrong} \end{cases}$$

$$L(d_2) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } d_2 \text{ is right} \\ w_2 = 10 & \text{if } d_2 \text{ is wrong} \end{cases}$$

$$E[L(d_1)] = 0.88 \times 0 + 0.12 \times 1000 = 120$$

$$E[L(d_2)] = 0.88 \times 10 + 0.12 \times 0 = 8.8$$

back to example: HIV testing with ELISA

hypotheses

H_1 : Patient does not have HIV

H_2 : Patient has HIV

decision

d_1 : Decide that patient does not have HIV

d_2 : Decide that patient has HIV

losses

$$L(d_1) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } d_1 \text{ is right} \\ w_1 = 1000 & \text{if } d_1 \text{ is wrong} \end{cases}$$

$$L(d_2) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } d_2 \text{ is right} \\ w_2 = 10 & \text{if } d_2 \text{ is wrong} \end{cases}$$

posteriors

$$P(H_1 \mid +) \approx 0.88$$

$$P(H_2 \mid +) \approx 0.12$$

expected losses

$$E[L(d_1)] = 0.88 \times 0 + 0.12 \times 1000 = 120$$

$$E[L(d_2)] = 0.88 \times 10 + 0.12 \times 0 = 8.8$$

summary

- ▶ Bayesian methodologies allow for the integration of losses into the decision making framework easily
- ▶ in Bayesian testing we minimize the posterior expected loss