IBM - Machine Learning Professional Certificate

Supervised Machine Learning: Classification

The Major Cities across the United States to Predict Rainfall

JingZeng Xie

TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 - Introduction

This project focuses on analyzing detailed weather data from 20 major cities across the United States to predict rainfall. We will utilize various classifier models commonly applied to binary classification problems and, after evaluating and comparing these models, we aim to identify the one most suitable classifier model for our objectives.

A comprehensive exploration of the dataset will be conducted to assess its quality. To enhance the statistical significance and stability of our training model, we will employ a range of standard data processing techniques to clean and optimize the dataset. Once the data has been refined, we will perform visual analyses to further evaluate its statistical properties.

Once the dataset is prepared for modeling, we will implement several classification techniques, including **Logistic Regression**, **K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN)**, **Support Vector Machine (SVM)**, **Random Forest**, and **Decision Tree**, to predict rainfall. To effectively compare the performance of various classification models and address the class-imbalance in the dataset, this project will evaluate different classifiers using **Hypermetrices Tuning with Downsampling and Upsampling** preprocessing methods.

1.2 - Objective

- **Main Objective**: This analysis aims to determine whether rain occurs or not, specifying whether the models will focus on prediction or interpretation.
- **Dataset Overview**: The study utilizes a dataset comprising weather data from 20 major cities across the United States over the past 731 days, summarizing its key attributes and relevance.
- **Data Exploration and Preparation**: A brief summary of the data exploration process is provided, along with actions taken for data cleaning and feature engineering to enhance the dataset's quality and applicability.

- **Model Training and Comparison**: We summarize the training of various classifier models, exploring their differences in explainability and predictability. All models are compared using the same training and test splits, as well as a consistent cross-validation method.
- **Final Model Evaluation**: By employing this standardized approach, we identify the final classifier models that best fit the dataset in terms of both accuracy and explainability.
- **Key Findings and Insights**: A summary of key findings and insights is presented, highlighting the main drivers of the final model and the valuable insights derived from the dataset through the classifier analysis.

1.3 - Coding Environment

The following required modules are pre-installed in the Skills Network Labs environment. However if you run this notebook commands in a different Jupyter environment (e.g. Watson Studio or Ananconda) you will need to install these libraries by removing the `#` sign before `!mamba` in the code cell below.

In [ ]:
```
# All Libraries required for this lab are listed below. The libraries pre-in
# !mamba install -qy pandas==1.3.4 numpy==1.21.4 seaborn==0.9.0 matplotlib==
# Note: If your environment doesn't support "!mamba install", use "!pip inst
```

In [ ]:
```
import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
import seaborn as sns
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
%matplotlib inline

import warnings
warnings.filterwarnings("ignore", category=FutureWarning)

from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler, PowerTransformer, MinMaxSc
from sklearn.experimental import enable_iterative_imputer
from sklearn.impute import IterativeImputer, KNNImputer
from sklearn.linear_model import LinearRegression, LogisticRegression
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split, StratifiedShuffleSplit
from sklearn.metrics import accuracy_score, precision_score, recall_score, f
from sklearn.neighbors import KNeighborsClassifier
from sklearn.svm import SVC
from sklearn.tree import DecisionTreeClassifier
from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestClassifier

from imblearn.under_sampling import RandomUnderSampler, NearMiss, CondensedN
from imblearn.over_sampling import RandomOverSampler, SMOTE, BorderlineSMOTE

import scipy
```

```
import math
import random
```

---

2. DATA PROCESSING

2.1 - Data Collection

The dataset (USA Rainfall Prediction Dataset (2024-2025)) provides comprehensive weather data collected from 20 major cities across the USA during the years 2024 and 2025. It contains a variety of weather attributes that are crucial for predicting whether it will rain the next day or not. With over 2 years of daily data, this dataset serves as a perfect starting point for building predictive models, analyzing weather trends, or even developing weather-related applications.

Each row represents a single day's weather conditions, including important features like temperature, humidity, wind speed, cloud cover, atmospheric pressure, and precipitation. The target feature, "Rain Tomorrow," is a binary label (1 = Yes, 0 = No) indicating whether it rained the next day.

The dataset can be used for:

- Building rainfall prediction models
- Exploring weather patterns
- Studying the relationship between various weather factors
- Improving forecast accuracy using machine learning models

In [ ]:
```
# Loading the dataset from local drive
data = pd.read_csv("/content/usa_rain_prediction_dataset_2024_2025.csv")

print(data)
```

```
            Date         Location  Temperature   Humidity  Wind Speed  \
0      2024-01-01         New York    87.524795  75.655455   28.379506
1      2024-01-02         New York    83.259325  28.712617   12.436433
2      2024-01-03         New York    80.943050  64.740043   14.184831
3      2024-01-04         New York    78.097552  59.738984   19.444029
4      2024-01-05         New York    37.059963  34.766784    3.689661
...           ...              ...          ...        ...         ...
73095  2025-12-27  Washington D.C.    40.614393  65.099438   28.778327
73096  2025-12-28  Washington D.C.    52.641643  30.610525   12.282890
73097  2025-12-29  Washington D.C.    56.492591  96.740232    2.894762
73098  2025-12-30  Washington D.C.    65.748956  63.900004   24.632400
73099  2025-12-31  Washington D.C.    54.648609  80.812021   22.722505

       Precipitation  Cloud Cover     Pressure  Rain Tomorrow
0           0.000000    69.617966  1026.030278              0
1           0.526995    41.606048   995.962065              0
2           0.916884    77.364763   980.796739              1
3           0.094134    52.541196   979.012163              0
4           1.361272    85.584000  1031.790859              0
...              ...          ...          ...            ...
73095       0.000000    54.168514   977.083747              0
73096       0.871000    22.068055   980.591675              0
73097       1.191956    52.336048  1016.469174              1
73098       0.483421    76.785280  1032.396146              1
73099       0.151903    19.674960   974.835534              0

[73100 rows x 9 columns]
```

2.2 - Data Description

This project assumes that it is possible to predict rainfall in major cities of United States using various data related to weather.

The **Feature** Variables:

Features	Feature Type	Description	Data Type
Date	Object	The date from January 1, 2024, to December 31, 2025 (Only has 731 days)	Object
Location	Object	The 20 major cities in the United States	Object
Temperature	Numeric	The average degree of hotness as measured on the day	Float
Humidity	Numeric	The average concentration of water vapor present in the air on the day	Float
Wind Speed	Numeric	The average speed of air is moving over a certain city on the day	Float

Features	Feature Type	Description	Data Type
Precipitation	Numeric	The average condensation of atmospheric water vapor that falls from clouds	Float
Cloud Cover	Numeric	The amount of opaque clouds covering the sky valid for the day	Float
Pressure	Numeric	The force exerted against a surface by the weight of the air above that surface	Float

The **Target** Variables:

Target	Feature Type	Description	Data Type
Rain Tomorrow	Categorica	It rained on the next day (1 = Yes, 0 = No)	Integer

In [ ]:
```
# Setting the categorical variables
data["Rain Tomorrow"] = data["Rain Tomorrow"].astype('category').cat.set_cat
```

In [ ]:
```
data.info()
```

```
<class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'>
RangeIndex: 73100 entries, 0 to 73099
Data columns (total 9 columns):
 #   Column         Non-Null Count  Dtype
---  ------         --------------  -----
 0   Date           73100 non-null  object
 1   Location       73100 non-null  object
 2   Temperature    73100 non-null  float64
 3   Humidity       73100 non-null  float64
 4   Wind Speed     73100 non-null  float64
 5   Precipitation  73100 non-null  float64
 6   Cloud Cover    73100 non-null  float64
 7   Pressure       73100 non-null  float64
 8   Rain Tomorrow  73100 non-null  category
dtypes: category(1), float64(6), object(2)
memory usage: 4.5+ MB
```

In [ ]:
```
data_describe_object = data.describe(include='object')

data_describe_object.T
```

Out[ ]:

	count	unique	top	freq
Date	73100	731	2024-01-01	100
Location	73100	20	New York	3655

In [ ]:
```
data_describe_numeric = data.describe(include='number')
```

```
data_describe_numeric.T
```

Out[ ]:

	count	mean	std	min	25%	
Temperature	73100.0	65.182270	20.205793	30.000766	47.678968	65.29
Humidity	73100.0	59.875041	23.066115	20.000272	39.800732	59.88
Wind Speed	73100.0	15.017946	8.668729	0.000712	7.485182	15.10
Precipitation	73100.0	0.390635	0.474833	0.000000	0.000000	0.19
Cloud Cover	73100.0	54.942807	25.982487	10.000856	32.318668	55.01
Pressure	73100.0	1005.176013	20.203889	970.000919	987.697646	1005.28

In [ ]:
```
data_describe_category = data.describe(include='category')

data_describe_category.T
```

Out[ ]:

	count	unique	top	freq
Rain Tomorrow	73100	2	0	56988

2.3 - Quality Assessment

2.3.1 - Normal Distribution

In Machine Learning, data satisfying **Normal Distribution** is beneficial for model building (**Especially regression based models**).

Models like **Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA)**, **Gaussian Naive Bayes**, **Logistic Regression**, **Linear Regression**, etc., are explicitly calculated from the assumption that the distribution is a bivariate or multivariate normal.

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# The summary of skewness and kurtosis
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------

# Get the data with type numeric
data_numeric = data.select_dtypes(include='number')
# Get the name of numeric column
data_numeric_column = list(data_numeric.columns)

# Get the skewness for numeric column
data_numeric_skew = data_numeric.skew()
# Get the kurtosis for numeric column
data_numeric_kurtosis = data_numeric.kurtosis()
```

```
data_normal_summary = pd.DataFrame( zip( data_numeric_column,
                        data_numeric_skew,
                        data_numeric_kurtosis ),
                      columns = [ "Column",
                              "Skewness",
                              "Kurtosis" ] )

data_normal_summary.sort_values(by="Skewness", ascending=False)
```

Out[ ]:

	Column	Skewness	Kurtosis
3	Precipitation	1.241112	1.018783
1	Humidity	0.003375	-1.200780
4	Cloud Cover	0.000772	-1.204550
2	Wind Speed	-0.007681	-1.205991
5	Pressure	-0.010555	-1.201018
0	Temperature	-0.014391	-1.198375

Based on the output above, we can conclude that most of the skewness in the numeric features is close to 0, indicating that the dataset is **approximately normally distributed**.

The kurtosis values are predominantly negative, suggesting that the dataset is **platykurtic**.

Overall, the dataset generally adheres to a normal distribution, with the **exception of the Precipitation featur**.

2.3.2 - Missing Value

Missing Values contain in most of the real world datasets, i.e., feature entries with no data value stored. As many machine learning algorithms do not support missing values, detecting the missing values and properly handling them, can have a significant impact.

In [ ]:
```
# Quick check of missing variables
data_missing = data.isnull()

data_missing.sum()
```

Out[ ]:

	0
Date	0
Location	0
Temperature	0
Humidity	0
Wind Speed	0
Precipitation	0
Cloud Cover	0
Pressure	0
Rain Tomorrow	0

dtype: int64

Since there are no missing values in any of the columns, this indicates that the dataset is complete.

If there were missing values, the ratio of missing data in each column could be calculated using the appropriate code.

In [ ]:

```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# The summary of missing variables from whole columns
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------

# Get the name of columns
data_column = list(data.columns)
# Get the total rows
data_row_count = np.array([len(data)] * len(data_column))

# Count of missing variables
data_missing_count = data_row_count - np.array(data.count())
# Missing variables / Total rows
data_missing_rate = np.divide( data_missing_count, data_row_count, out=np.ze

data_missing_summary = pd.DataFrame( zip( data_column,
                        data_row_count,
                        data_missing_count,
                        data_missing_rate ),
                    columns = [ "Column",
                            "Rows",
                            "Missing Values",
                            "Missing Rate %" ] )

data_missing_summary.sort_values(by="Missing Values", ascending=False)
```

Out[ ]:

	Column	Rows	Missing Values	Missing Rate %
0	Date	73100	0	0.0
1	Location	73100	0	0.0
2	Temperature	73100	0	0.0
3	Humidity	73100	0	0.0
4	Wind Speed	73100	0	0.0
5	Precipitation	73100	0	0.0
6	Cloud Cover	73100	0	0.0
7	Pressure	73100	0	0.0
8	Rain Tomorrow	73100	0	0.0

2.3.3 - Invalid Value

Invalid Values (Badly Formatted Values) refer to inconsistent entries commonly found in datasets, such as variables with different units across data points or incorrect data types. For instance, numerical variables like percentages and fractions are sometimes mistakenly stored as strings. It is essential to detect and correct these cases to ensure that machine learning algorithms can properly process and analyze the actual numerical values.

In [ ]:
```
data_describe_object.T
```

Out[ ]:

	count	unique	top	freq
Date	73100	731	2024-01-01	100
Location	73100	20	New York	3655

In [ ]:
```
data_describe_numeric.T
```

Out[ ]:

	count	mean	std	min	25%	
Temperature	73100.0	65.182270	20.205793	30.000766	47.678968	65.29
Humidity	73100.0	59.875041	23.066115	20.000272	39.800732	59.88
Wind Speed	73100.0	15.017946	8.668729	0.000712	7.485182	15.10
Precipitation	73100.0	0.390635	0.474833	0.000000	0.000000	0.19
Cloud Cover	73100.0	54.942807	25.982487	10.000856	32.318668	55.01
Pressure	73100.0	1005.176013	20.203889	970.000919	987.697646	1005.28

In [ ]:
```
data_describe_category.T
```

Out[ ]:

	count	unique	top	freq
Rain Tomorrow	73100	2	0	56988

Currently, the data types align with those described in the data documentation, and there are no invalid data types present in the dataset.

2.3.4 - Duplicate Value

Duplicate Values can appear in various forms, such as multiple entries of the same data point, repeated instances of entire columns, or duplication within an ID variable. While duplicates may be valid in some datasets, they often result from errors during data extraction or integration. Therefore, it is crucial to detect these duplicate values and determine whether they represent true duplicates or are a legitimate part of the dataset.

In [ ]:
```
# Quick check of duplicate row
data.duplicated().sum()
```

Out[ ]:
```
0
```

In [ ]:
```
# Quick check of unique value
data_unique_count = data.nunique()

data_unique_count
```

Out[ ]:

	0
Date	731
Location	20
Temperature	73100
Humidity	73100
Wind Speed	73100
Precipitation	44754
Cloud Cover	73100
Pressure	73100
Rain Tomorrow	2

dtype: int64

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# The summary of duplicate variables from whole columns
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------

# Unique variables / Total rows
data_duplicate_rate = ( np.ones(len(data_unique_count)) - np.divide( list(da

data_duplicate_summary = pd.DataFrame( zip ( data_column,
                         data_row_count,
                         data_unique_count,
                         data_duplicate_rate),
                     columns = [ "Column",
                             "Rows",
                             "Unique Values",
                             "Duplicate Rate %" ] )

data_duplicate_summary.sort_values(by="Duplicate Rate %", ascending=False)
```

Out[ ]:

	Column	Rows	Unique Values	Duplicate Rate %
8	Rain Tomorrow	73100	2	99.997264
1	Location	73100	20	99.972640
0	Date	73100	731	99.000000
5	Precipitation	73100	44754	38.777018
2	Temperature	73100	73100	0.000000
3	Humidity	73100	73100	0.000000
4	Wind Speed	73100	73100	0.000000
6	Cloud Cover	73100	73100	0.000000
7	Pressure	73100	73100	0.000000

Upon reviewing the object-type features and the target feature, we found that they align with the ranges specified in the data description.

In examining the numeric features, we note that all features, except for the Precipitation feature, have a duplicate rate of 0%. This indicates that there are no duplicate values in the dataset, while the Precipitation feature contains some duplicates.

2.3.5 - Outlier Value

Outliers (Anomalies) are data points that differ substantially from the rest of data, and they may arise due to the diversity of the dataset or because of errors/mistakes. As machine learning algorithms are sensitive to the range and

distribution of attribute values, identifying the outliers and their nature is important for assessing the quality of the dataset.

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# The boxplots of outlier
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------

# Setting the size of subplots
_, ax = plt.subplots(nrows=3, ncols=2, figsize=(10, 5))
ax = ax.ravel()

# Display the boxplot
for index, column in enumerate(data_numeric.columns):
  sns.boxplot(data=data, ax=ax[index], x=column)

# Do not blocked any title or label
plt.tight_layout()
plt.show()
```



In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# The summary of outlier variables from numeric columns
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------

# Using IQR method to detect outlier variables
data_describe_numeric_q1 = data_describe_numeric.T["25%"] #data_numeric.quar
data_describe_numeric_q3 = data_describe_numeric.T["75%"] #data_numeric.quar
data_describe_numeric_iqr = data_describe_numeric_q3 - data_describe_numeric

# Min and Max boundary to detect outlier
data_describe_numeric_min_iqr = data_describe_numeric_q1 - 1.5 * data_descri
data_describe_numeric_max_iqr = data_describe_numeric_q3 + 1.5 * data_descri

# Count the outliers
data_outlier_count = ( (data_numeric < data_describe_numeric_min_iqr) | (dat

# Get the total rows
```

```
data_row_numeric_count = list( [ len(data_numeric) ] * len(data_numeric.colu

# outlier variables / Total rows of numeric column
data_outlier_rate = np.divide( list(data_outlier_count), data_row_numeric_co

data_outlier_summary = pd.DataFrame( zip( data_numeric_column,
                       data_row_numeric_count,
                       data_outlier_count,
                       data_outlier_rate ),
                     columns = [ "Column",
                             "Rows",
                             "Outlier Values",
                             "Outlier Rate %" ] )

data_outlier_summary.sort_values(by="Outlier Values", ascending=False)
```

Out[ ]:

	Column	Rows	Outlier Values	Outlier Rate %
3	Precipitation	73100	1192	1.630643
0	Temperature	73100	0	0.000000
1	Humidity	73100	0	0.000000
2	Wind Speed	73100	0	0.000000
4	Cloud Cover	73100	0	0.000000
5	Pressure	73100	0	0.000000

The plots above indicate the presence of outliers in the Precipitation feature.

2.4 - Data Processing

2.4.1 - Data Cleaning

Data Cleaning is the process of fixing or removing incorrect, corrupted, incorrectly formatted, duplicate, or incomplete data within a dataset. When combining multiple data sources, there are many opportunities for data to be duplicated or mislabeled. If data is incorrect, outcomes and algorithms are unreliable, even though they may look correct.

In **2.3 - Quality Assessment**, we examined the dataset and confirmed that there were no missing values or incorrect data formats. However, we identified outliers that need to be addressed.

The Date feature in the dataset is not critical for our analysis. Specifically, we aim to predict whether it will rain tomorrow, and data from the same date in the

previous year is not strongly correlated.

While the Date feature could be transformed into a seasonal classification, given that weather patterns often vary with the seasons (for example, spring typically experiences greater likelihood of rain), but we prefer to keep the dataset and model uncomplicated.

Therefore, we propose discarding the Date feature to simplify the model training process.

In [ ]:
```
# Discard the unimportant features
data.drop(columns=["Date"], inplace=True)

data_describe_numeric = data.describe(include='number')

data.info()
```

```
<class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'>
RangeIndex: 73100 entries, 0 to 73099
Data columns (total 8 columns):
 #   Column         Non-Null Count  Dtype
---  ------         --------------  -----
 0   Location       73100 non-null  object
 1   Temperature    73100 non-null  float64
 2   Humidity       73100 non-null  float64
 3   Wind Speed     73100 non-null  float64
 4   Precipitation  73100 non-null  float64
 5   Cloud Cover    73100 non-null  float64
 6   Pressure       73100 non-null  float64
 7   Rain Tomorrow  73100 non-null  category
dtypes: category(1), float64(6), object(1)
memory usage: 4.0+ MB
```

2.4.2 - Missing Value Handling

Missing Value Handling usually uses some techniques:

1. **Median or Mean**

- No matter use median or mean as imputation value, it has limitations. For example, imputing with the mean may not be appropriate if the data has extreme values, as it can be heavily influenced by outliers
- Similarly, imputing with the median may not be appropriate if the data is multimodal, as it may not represent the true central tendency of the data

2. **Iterative**

- An advanced imputation method that models each feature with missing values as a function of other features in a round-robin fashion. It uses a

regression model to estimate missing values based on the observed values of other features. The imputation process is performed iteratively, with each iteration refining the imputed values until convergence or a specified maximum number of iterations is reached

- Commonly used regression models: **Linear Regression**, **Bayesian Ridge** (regularized linear regression), **Decision Trees Regressor**, **Random Forest Regressor**, and **K-Neighbors Regressor**, etc.
- **K-Neighbors Regressor** is different from KNN imputation, which learns from samples with missing values by using a distance metric that accounts for missing values, rather than imputing them

3. **K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN)**

- KNN Imputer imputes missing values based on the nearest neighbors, which means it preserves the underlying relationships in the data. It takes into account the feature similarities between data points to estimate the missing values, making it more contextually relevant
- **Non-Parametric** method, which means it does not make assumptions about the data's distribution. It is suitable for both numeric and categorical data, making it versatile in handling various types of missing values

4. **Multiple Imputation by Chained Equations (MICE)**

- The procedure imputes missing data in a dataset through an iterative series of predictive models. In each iteration, each specified variable in the dataset is imputed using the other variables in the dataset. These iterations should be run until it appears that convergence has been met

In **2.3.2 - Missing Value**, we examined the dataset and confirmed that there are no missing values.

Method - Median or Mean

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Method - Median or Mean
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
'''
# Median
data_missing_imputation = data_describe_numeric.T["50%"].T
# Average/Mean
data_missing_imputation = data_describe_numeric.T["mean"].T

data.fillna(value = data_missing_imputation)
'''
```

Method - Iterative

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Method - Iterative
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
'''
# Linear Regression
data_missing_iterative_estimator = LinearRegression()
# Bayesian Ridge
data_missing_iterative_estimator = BayesianRidge()
# Decision Trees Regressor
data_missing_iterative_estimator = DecisionTreeRegressor()
# Random Forest Regressor
data_missing_iterative_estimator = RandomForestRegressor()
# K-Neighbors Regressor
data_missing_iterative_estimator = KNeighborsRegressor()

# Initialization iterative imputation object
data_missing_iterative_imputation = IterativeImputer(estimator = data_missir

# Replace the result in the original dataset
data[data_numeric.columns] = pd.DataFrame(data_missing_iterative_imputation.
'''
```

Method - K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN)

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Method - K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN)
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
'''
# Initialization KNN imputation object
data_missing_knn_imputation = KNNImputer()

# Replace the result in the original dataset
data[data_numeric.columns] = pd.DataFrame(data_missing_knn_imputation.fit_tr
'''
```

Summary after Missing Values Handling

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# The summary of missing variables from whole columns
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
'''
# Get the name of columns
data_column = list(data.columns)
# Get the total rows
data_row_count = np.array([len(data)] * len(data_column))
# Count of missing variables
data_missing_count = data_row_count - np.array(data.count())
# Missing variables / Total rows
data_missing_rate = np.divide( data_missing_count, data_row_count, out=np.ze

data_missing_summary = pd.DataFrame( zip( data_column,
                       data_row_count,
                       data_missing_count,
                       data_missing_rate ),
```

```
                    columns = [ "Column",
                                "Rows",
                                "Missing Values",
                                "Missing Rate %" ] )

data_missing_summary.sort_values(by="Missing Values", ascending=False)
'''
```

2.4.3 - Duplicate Handling

In **2.3.4 - Duplicate Value**, we examined the dataset and confirmed that there are no values with a high duplication rate that require addressing.

In [ ]:
```
# Drop the duplicate rows
'''
data.drop_duplicates(inplace=True)
'''
```

Summary after Duplicate Handling

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# The summary of duplicate variables from whole columns
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
'''
# Quick check of unique value
data_unique_count = data.nunique()

# Unique variables / Total rows
data_duplicate_rate = ( np.ones(len(data_unique_count)) - np.divide( list(da

data_duplicate_summary = pd.DataFrame( zip ( data_column,
                        data_row_count,
                        data_unique_count,
                        data_duplicate_rate),
                     columns = [ "Column",
                                 "Rows",
                                 "Unique Values",
                                 "Duplicate Rate %" ] )

data_duplicate_summary.sort_values(by="Duplicate Rate %", ascending=False)
'''
```

2.4.4 - Outlier Handling

Outlier Handling usually uses four different techniques:

1. **Deleting Observations**

- We delete outlier values if it is due to data entry error, data processing error or outlier observations are very small in numbers. We can also use trimming at both ends to remove outliers
- **BUT** deleting the observation is not a good idea when we have small dataset

2. **Transforming Values**

- Transforming variables can also eliminate outliers. These transformed values reduces the variation caused by extreme values
- If dataset has to many extreme values or skewed, **Log Transformation**, **Cube Root Normalization**, **Box-transformation**, **Yeo-Johnson Power Transformation**, etc., those techniques convert values in the dataset to smaller values
- **BUT** these technique not always give the best results. For example, **Log Transformation** requires that each transformed value not closing to zero; **Box-transformation** requires that each transformed value is positive, otherwise **Yeo-Johnson Power Transformation** needs to be used as an alternative

3. **Imputation**

- Like imputation of missing values, we can also impute outliers. We can use **Mean**, **Median**, **Zero** value in this methods. Since we imputing there is no loss of data
- Use missing value imputation methods, such as **Iterative Imputation** and **K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN) Imputation**

4. **Separately Treating**

- If there are significant number of outliers and dataset is small , we should treat them separately in the statistical model. One of the approach is to treat both groups as two different groups and build individual model for both groups and then combine the output
- **BUT** this technique is tedious when the dataset is large

In **2.3.5 - Outlier Value**, we examined the dataset and identified 1,192 outlier values in the Precipitation feature. Out of a total of 73,100 observations, these outliers represent approximately 1.63% of the dataset (1,192 out of 73,100). Therefore, we will use the simplest outlier handling method: deleting the affected observations.

In [ ]:
```
# Using IQR method to detect outlier variables except Year
data_describe_numeric_q1 = data_describe_numeric.T["25%"] #data_numeric.quar
data_describe_numeric_q3 = data_describe_numeric.T["75%"] #data_numeric.quar
data_describe_numeric_iqr = data_describe_numeric_q3 - data_describe_numeric
```

```
# Min and Max boundary to detect outlier
data_describe_numeric_min_iqr = data_describe_numeric_q1 - 1.5 * data_descri
data_describe_numeric_max_iqr = data_describe_numeric_q3 + 1.5 * data_descri

# Count the outliers
data_outlier_count = ( (data_numeric < data_describe_numeric_min_iqr) | (dat
```

Method - Deleting Observations

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Method - Deleting observations
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------

data.drop( data[ (data["Precipitation"] < data_describe_numeric_min_iqr["Pre

data.reset_index(drop=True, inplace=True)
```

Method - Transforming Values

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Method - Transforming values - Log Transformation
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
'''
# If data value closing to 0, DO NOT use this method
data["Precipitation"] = np.log(data["Precipitation"])
'''
```

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Method - Transforming values - Cube Root Normalization
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
'''
data["Precipitation"] = (data["Precipitation"]**(1/3))
'''
```

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Method - Transforming values - Box-Transformation or Yeo-Johnson Power Tra
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
'''
# Boxcox requires all of the elements must be positive, otherwise use Yeo-Jo
if np.any(data["Precipitation"] <= 0):
  data["Precipitation"], _ = scipy.stats.yeojohnson(data["Precipitation"], l
else:
  data["Precipitation"], _ = scipy.stats.boxcox(data["Precipitation"], lmbda
'''
```

Method - Imputation

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Method - Imputation - Median, Mean, Zero
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
'''
# Median
data_precipitation_outlier_imputation = data_describe_numeric["Precipitatior
# Average/Mean
```

```
data_precipitation_outlier_imputation = data_describe_numeric["Precipitatior
# Zero
data_precipitation_outlier_imputation = 0

data["Precipitation"] = np.where( (data["Precipitation"] < data_describe_num
'''
```

Visualize the Boxplot after Outlier Handling

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# The boxplots
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------

# Setting the size of subplots
_, ax = plt.subplots(nrows=1, ncols=1, figsize=(5, 2))

# Display the boxplot
for index, column in enumerate(data_outlier_count.loc[data_outlier_count > 0
  sns.boxplot(data=data, ax=ax, x=column)

# Do not blocked any title or label
plt.tight_layout()
plt.show()
```



2.4.5 - Centering and Scaling

Centering and Scaling ensures that the criterion for finding linear combinations of the predictors is based on how much variation they explain and therefore improves the numerical stability.

- **Standard Scaling** - Converts features to **standard normal** variables, and it centers and scales a variable to mean 0 and standard deviation 1
- **Min-Max Scaling** - Convert variables to continuous variables in the [0, 1] interval by mapping minimum values to 0 and maximum values to 1
- **Robust Scaling** - Similar to min-max scaling, but instead maps the **interquartile range** (Q3 - Q1) to [0, 1] interval, it means the variable itself takes values outside of the [0, 1] interval

In [ ]:
```
# Get the data with type numeric that AFTER cleaning and outlier handling
data_numeric = data.select_dtypes(include='number')
```

Method - Standard Scaling

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Method - Standard Scaling
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
'''
data[data_numeric.columns] = StandardScaler().fit_transform(data_numeric)
'''
```

Method - Min-Max Scaling

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Method - Min-Max Scaling
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------

data[data_numeric.columns] = MinMaxScaler().fit_transform(data_numeric)
```

Method - Robust Scaling

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Method - Robust Scaling
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
'''
data[data_numeric.columns] = RobustScaler().fit_transform(data_numeric)
'''
```

Visualize the Boxplot after Centering and Scaling

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# The boxplots
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------

# Setting the size of subplots
_, ax = plt.subplots(nrows=3, ncols=2, figsize=(10, 5))
ax = ax.ravel()

# Display the boxplot
for index, column in enumerate(data_numeric.columns):
  sns.boxplot(data=data, ax=ax[index], x=column)

# Do not blocked any title or label
plt.tight_layout()
plt.show()
```



2.4.6 - Data Transformation

Features and predicted data are often **Skewed** (distorted away from the center), it degrades the model's ability to describe typical cases as it has to deal with rare cases on extreme values (**especially regression based models**).

Data Transformation usually can solve the skewed data. To ensure that the machine learning model capabilities is not affected, skewed data has to be transformed to approximate to a normal distribution. The method used to transform the skewed data depends on the characteristics of the data.

- Popular data transformation techniques include **Log Transformation**, **Cube Root Normalization**, **Box-Transformation**, **Yeo-Johnson Power Transformation**, etc.
- **BUT** these technique not always give the best results. For example, **Log Transformation** requires that each transformed value not closing to zero; **Box-Transformation** requires that each transformed value is positive, otherwise **Yeo-Johnson Power Transformation** needs to be used as an alternative

It is worth noting that tree-based models are not affected by these issues, as they can effectively ignore correlation concerns. Consequently, tree-based models do not require data transformation, centering, or scaling.

In **2.3.1 - Normal Distribution**, we verified that the skewness of all numerical features aligns with a normal distribution, except for the Precipitation feature, which exhibits skewness.

Even after addressing outliers in **2.4.4 - Outlier Handling** and applying centering and scaling in **2.4.5 - Centering and Scaling**, the boxplot still shows that the Precipitation feature remains skewed.

Method - Log Transformation

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Method - Log Transformation
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
'''
# If data value closing to 0, DO NOT use this method
data["Precipitation"] = np.log(data["Precipitation"])
'''
```

Method - Cube Root Transformation

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Method - Cube Root Normalization
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
'''
data["Precipitation"] = (data["Precipitation"]**(1/3))
'''
```

Method - Box-Transformation or Yeo-Johnson Power Transformation

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Method - Box-Transformation or Yeo-Johnson Power Transformation
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------

# Boxcox requires all of the elements must be positive, otherwise use Yeo-Jo
if np.any(data["Precipitation"] <= 0):
  data["Precipitation"], _ = scipy.stats.yeojohnson(data["Precipitation"], l
else:
  data["Precipitation"], _ = scipy.stats.boxcox(data["Precipitation"], lmbda
```

Summary after Transformation

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# The summary of skewness and kurtosis
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------

# Get the data with type numeric
data_numeric = data.select_dtypes(include='number')

# Get the skewness for numeric column
data_numeric_skew = data_numeric.skew()
# Get the kurtosis for numeric column
data_numeric_kurtosis = data_numeric.kurtosis()

data_normal_summary = pd.DataFrame( zip( data_numeric_column,
                        data_numeric_skew,
                        data_numeric_kurtosis ),
                      columns = [ "Column",
```

```
                                "Skewness",
                                "Kurtosis" ] )

data_normal_summary.sort_values(by="Skewness", ascending=False)
```

Out[ ]:

	Column	Skewness	Kurtosis
3	Precipitation	0.310341	-1.509251
1	Humidity	0.004682	-1.199930
4	Cloud Cover	0.001629	-1.204348
2	Wind Speed	-0.008524	-1.206635
5	Pressure	-0.010839	-1.201128
0	Temperature	-0.014004	-1.197688

2.4.7 - Correlation Coefficient

Understanding the correlations between variables in a model is essential for several reasons:

1. **Feature selection**

- Process of choosing which variables or features to use in the model. Highly correlated features provide redundant information, so feature selection aims to remove uninformative features to simplify models
- By analyzing correlations, we can identify redundant features and select a minimal set of important features that best represent the target variable. This prevents overfitting and improves a model's ability to generalize

2. **Reduce Bias**

- Correlation analysis is also important for ensuring model fairness and avoiding bias. When certain features are highly correlated with sensitive attributes like gender or ethnicity, it can inadvertently encode biases into machine learning models if not properly addressed
- If a model relies too heavily on these correlated features, it risks discriminating against or disadvantaging certain groups. By identifying correlations between input features and sensitive attributes, we can evaluate models for potential biases, monitor feature importance, and apply techniques like fair representation learning to mitigate bias

3. **Multicollinearity**

- Another important aspect of analyzing feature correlations is detecting multicollinearity. Multicollinearity occurs when two or more predictor variables in a model are highly linearly correlated with each other. It can negatively impact models by increasing variance and making it difficult to determine the significance and effect of individual predictors

- Variables with high multicollinearity provide redundant information, similar to how correlated features do. However, multicollinearity is more problematic because it inflates standard errors and undermines reliability of estimated coefficients. By examining correlation matrices and variance inflation factors, we can identify cases of multicollinearity between input features

4. **Interpretability and Debugging**

- Understanding correlations also aids in interpreting machine learning models. As models become increasingly complex with many interacting variables, it can be difficult to explain why a model makes certain predictions

- By analyzing the correlation between input features and output targets, we gain insights into which variables have the strongest impact on the model's decisions. Knowing feature correlations further assists in debugging models that perform poorly. It allows we to identify any features that may be overwhelming the model or causing unintended biases

Interpreting a Correlation Coefficient

- The value of the correlation coefficient always ranges between 1 and -1, and we treat it as a general indicator of the strength of the relationship between variables

- The sign of the coefficient reflects whether the variables change in the same or opposite directions: a positive value means the variables change together in the same direction, while a negative value means they change together in opposite directions

- The absolute value of a correlation coefficient tells the magnitude of the correlation: the greater the absolute value, the stronger the correlation

Correlation Coefficient	Strength of Linearity / Monotonically	Correlation Type
-0.75 to -1	Perfectly	Negative
-0.5 to -0.75	Strong	Negative
-0.25 to -0.5	Moderate	Negative
0 to -0.25	Weak	Negative

Correlation Coefficient	Strength of Linearity / Monotonically	Correlation Type
0	None	Zero
0 to 0.25	Weak	Positive
0.25 to 0.5	Moderate	Positive
0.5 to 0.75	Strong	Positive
0.75 to 1	Perfectly	Positive

Methods of Calculate the Correlation Coefficient

Usually we use two mainstream methods to calculate the correlation coefficient:

1. **Pearson's Correlation Coefficient**

- The Pearson's correlation coefficient describes the linear relationship between two quantitative variables
- The assumptions for use Pearson's correlation coefficient:
    1. Expect a linear relationship between the two variables
    2. Both variables are on an interval or ratio level of measurement
    3. Data from both variables follow normal distributions
    4. Data have no outliers
- **BUT** it's not a good measure of correlation if variables have a nonlinear relationship, or if data have outliers, skewed distributions, or come from categorical variables

2. **Spearman's Rank-Order Correlation**

- Spearman's rank correlation coefficient is the most common alternative to Pearson method. It uses the rankings of data from each variable (e.g., from lowest to highest) rather than the raw data itself
- Use Spearman method when data fail to meet the assumptions of Pearson method. This happens when at least one of variables is on an ordinal level of measurement or when the data from one or both variables do not follow normal distributions
- Spearman's correlation coefficient measures the monotonicity of relationships, and monotonic relationships are less restrictive than linear relationships
    - Positive monotonic: when one variable increases, the other also increases

- Negative monotonic: when one variable increases, the other decreases

In **2.3.1 - Normal Distribution**, **2.4.1 - Cleaning** and **2.4.2 - Outlier Handling**, we confirmed that all assumptions for Pearson's correlation coefficient are met. Therefore, we will use Pearson's correlation coefficient for our analysis.

Method - Pearson's Correlation Coefficient

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Method - Pearson's Correlation Coefficient
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------

# Computing the Pearson's correlation coefficient, BUT corr() won't have P-v
#data_numeric_correlation = data_numeric.corr(method='pearson')'''

# Computing the Pearson's correlation coefficient and P-value
data_numeric_correlation = np.ones(shape=(data_numeric.shape[1], data_numeri
data_numeric_correlation_p = np.zeros(shape=(data_numeric.shape[1], data_num
for index_1, column_1 in enumerate(data_numeric.columns):
  for index_2, column_2 in enumerate(data_numeric.columns):
    if index_1 != index_2:
      data_numeric_correlation[index_1, index_2], data_numeric_correlation_p
```

Method - Spearman's Correlation Coefficient

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Method - Spearman's Rank-Order Correlation
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
'''
# Computing the Spearman's correlation coefficient, BUT corr() won't have P-
#data_numeric_correlation = data_numeric.corr(method='spearman')

# Computing the Spearman's correlation coefficient and P-value
data_numeric_correlation = np.ones(shape=(data_numeric.shape[1], data_numeri
data_numeric_correlation_p = np.zeros(shape=(data_numeric.shape[1], data_num
for index_1, column_1 in enumerate(data_numeric.columns):
  for index_2, column_2 in enumerate(data_numeric.columns):
    if index_1 != index_2:
      data_numeric_correlation[index_1, index_2], data_numeric_correlation_p
'''
```

Visualize the Heatmap of Correlation Coefficient

In [ ]:
```
# Display the heatmap of correlation coefficient
_, ax = plt.subplots(figsize=(9, 7))
sns.heatmap( data_numeric_correlation,
       ax = ax,
       mask = np.triu(data_numeric_correlation, 1),
       xticklabels = data_numeric.columns,
       yticklabels = data_numeric.columns,
```

```
        annot = True,
        center = 0,
        vmin = -1,
        vmax = 1,
        cmap = 'vlag',
        linecolor = 'gray',
        linewidths = 1 )

plt.title("Correlation Coefficient Heatmap")
plt.show()
```



Visualize the Heatmap of Correlation Coefficient P-Value

In [ ]:
```
# Display the heatmap of correlation coefficient after P-values hypothesis t
_, ax = plt.subplots(figsize=(9, 7))
sns.heatmap( data_numeric_correlation,
        ax = ax,
        mask = np.invert(np.tril(data_numeric_correlation_p < 0.05)),
        xticklabels = data_numeric.columns,
        yticklabels = data_numeric.columns,
        annot = True,
        center = 0,
        vmin = -1,
        vmax = 1,
```

```
        cmap = 'vlag',
        linecolor = 'gray',
        linewidths = 1 )

plt.title('Correlation Coefficient P-Values Heatmap')
plt.show()
```



From the two plots above, we observed that all numerical features exhibit no correlation with one another.

2.4.8 - Data Encoding

Data Encoding refers to the process of converting categorical or textual data into numerical format, so that it can be used as input for algorithms to process. The reason for encoding is that most machine learning algorithms work with numbers and not with text or categorical variables.

There are two types of categorical data:

- **Nominal Data** - The categories of data do not have an inherent order. This means that the categories cannot be ranked or ordered. For example: Occupational titles for doctor, lawyer, instructor, athlete, etc.
- **Ordinal Data** - The categories of data have an inherent order. This means that the categories can be ranked or ordered from highest to lowest or vice versa. For example: Grades start with A+, A, A-, B+, B, B-, etc.

Methods of Data Encoding

The choice of encoding method can have a significant impact on model performance, so it is important to choose an appropriate encoding technique based on the nature of the data and the specific requirements of the model.

1. **One-Hot Encoding**

- Binary column is created for each unique category in the variable. If a category is present in a sample, the corresponding column is set to 1, and all other columns are set to 0
- In the case of one-hot encoding, for N categories in a variable, it uses N binary variables
- For example, if a variable has three categories A, B and C, they can be represented as [1, 0, 0], [0, 1, 0] and [0, 0, 1], respectively

2. **Dummy Encoding**

- Dummy coding scheme is similar to one-hot encoding. This categorical data encoding method transforms the categorical variable into a set of binary variables 0/1
- The dummy encoding is a small improvement over one-hot-encoding. Dummy encoding uses N-1 features to represent N categories
- For example, if a variable has three categories A, B and C, they can be represented as [1, 0] and [0, 1], respectively

3. **Binary Encoding**

- Similar to one-hot encoding, but instead of creating a separate column for each category, the categories are represented as binary digits
- For example, if a variable has four categories A, B, C and D, they can be represented as 0001, 0010, 0100 and 1000, respectively

4. **Label Encoding**

- Each unique category is assigned a Unique Integer value
- But the assigned integers may be misinterpreted by the machine learning algorithm as having an ordered relationship when in fact they do not.

- For example, if a variable has four categories A, B, C and D, they can be represented as 0, 1, 2 and 3, respectively

4. **Ordinal Encoding**

- Ordinal encoding is used when the categories in a variable have an inherent ordering
- The categories are assigned a numerical value based on their order, such as 1, 2, 3, etc.
- For example, if a variable has categories Low, Medium and High, they can be assigned the values 1, 2, and 3, respectively

In sections **2.2 - Data Description** and **2.4.1 - Data Cleaning**, we identified two features: a textual feature, Location, and a date feature, Date. Since the Date feature does not exhibit a strong relationship with predicting whether it will rain tomorrow, we have decided to discard it. The remaining Location feature consists of the names of major cities in the United States. The goal of this project is to predict rainfall based on the provided weather features. As the Location feature will not be used in the training process, there is no need to encode it.

Method - One-Hot Encoding

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Method - One-Hot Encoding
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
'''
data_encoding_object = pd.DataFrame(data["Location"], columns=["Location"])
data_encoding_object = pd.get_dummies(data_encoding_object["Location"], colu

# Drop the old features
data.drop(columns=["Location"], inplace=True)
# Combination to the original data
data = pd.concat( [data, data_encoding_object], axis=1 )
'''
```

Method - Dummy Encoding

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Method - Dummy Encoding
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
'''
data_encoding_object = pd.DataFrame(data["Location"], columns=["Location"])
data_encoding_object = pd.get_dummies(data_encoding_object["Location"], drop

# Drop the old features
data.drop(columns=["Location"], inplace=True)
# Combination to the original data
data = pd.concat( [data, data_encoding_object], axis=1 )
'''
```

Method - Binary Encoding

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Method - Binary Encoding
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
'''
data_encoding_object_rank = { city : index for index, city in enumerate(data

data["Location"] = data["Location"].map(data_encoding_object_rank).apply(lam
'''
```

Method - Label Encoding

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Method - Label Encoding
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
'''
data_encoding_object_rank = { city : index for index, city in enumerate(data

data["Location"] = data["Location"].map(data_encoding_object_rank)
'''
```

Method - Ordinal Encoding

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Method - Ordinal Encoding
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
'''
EXAMPLE
# Identity the order of the categories
data_encoding_object_rank = { "low=": 0,
                 "medium": 1,
                 "high": 2 }

data["Location"] = data["Location"].map(data_encoding_object_rank)
'''
```

Summary after Data Encoding

In [ ]:
```
# Summary the data after encoding
'''
display(data)
data.info()
'''
```

2.5 - Exploratory Data Analysis

2.5.1 - Data Visualization Analysis

Data Visualization is an important component of Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA), because it helps us to understand the variables and relationships between them. These variables could be dependent or independent to each other.

Univariate Analysis	Bivariate Analysis	Multivariate Analysis
It only summarize single variable at a time	It only summarize two variables	It only summarize more than 2 variables
It does not deal with causes and relationships	It does deal with causes and relationships and analysis is done	It does not deal with causes and relationships and analysis is done
The main purpose is to describe	The main purpose is to explain	The main purpose is to study the relationship among them

For this section, we focus on the bivariate analysis to analyzing the relationship between the two variables are positive and negative, or show no clear pattern.

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# The scatter plots conclude linear fitting line
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------

# Initialization the subplots
_, ax = plt.subplots(nrows=2, ncols=3, figsize=(12, 8))
ax = ax.ravel()

# Draw the scatter plots conclude linear fitting line
for index, column in enumerate(data_numeric.columns):
  sns.regplot(data, ax=ax[index], x=column, y="Rain Tomorrow", line_kws={"co
  ax[index].set_xlabel(column)
  ax[index].set_ylabel("Rain Tomorrow")
  ax[index].set_yticks([0, 1], ["No", "Yes"])
  ax[index].set_title(column + " vs. Rain Tomorrow")

# Do not blocked any title or label
plt.tight_layout()
plt.show()
```



In [ ]:

```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------------
# The boxplots conclude linear fitting line
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

# Initialization the subplots
_, ax = plt.subplots(nrows=2, ncols=3, figsize=(12, 8))
ax = ax.ravel()

# Get the description by split by target, which is same as pandas.describe()
data_target_vs_feature = data.groupby("Rain Tomorrow")

# Draw the boxplots
for index, column in enumerate(data_numeric.columns):

  boxplot_data_x_median, boxplot_data_y_median, boxplot_data = [], [], []
  for order, target in data_target_vs_feature:
    boxplot_data_x_median.append(target[column].describe()["50%"])
    boxplot_data_y_median.append(order + 1)
    boxplot_data.append(target[column])

  ax[index].boxplot( boxplot_data, vert=False )
  ax[index].set_xlabel(column)
  ax[index].set_ylabel("Rain Tomorrow")
  ax[index].set_yticks(boxplot_data_y_median, ["No", "Yes"])
  ax[index].set_title(column + " vs. Rain Tomorrow")

  # linear fitting line
  slope, intercept = np.polyfit(boxplot_data_x_median, boxplot_data_y_mediar
  ax[index].plot(boxplot_data_x_median, slope * np.array(boxplot_data_x_medi

# Do not blocked any title or label
```

```
plt.tight_layout()
plt.show()
```



From the above plots we have the following analysis.

- Increasing values for the following features are associated with the **Rain Tomorrow - Yes** outcome:
    1. Humidity
    2. Precipitation
- Decreasing values for the following features are associated with the **Rain Tomorrow - No** outcome:
    - None
- The values for the following features are not associated with the **Rain Torrmow - Yes or No** outcome:
    1. Temperature
    2. Wind Speed
    3. Cloud Cover
    4. Pressure

2.5.2 - Hypothesis Testing

In terms of a P-value and a chosen significance level (alpha):

- If P-value <= alpha (usually 5%): significant result, reject null hypothesis (H0), dependent
- If P-value > alpha (usually 5%): not significant result, fail to reject null hypothesis (H0), independent

Hypothesis Testing

We seek to explore whether the occurrence of rain or the absence of rain leads to different weather features values over the past 731 days across 20 major cities in the United States.

To evaluate this, we formulated the following hypotheses:

- **Null (H0)**: The mean values of weather features are consistent, regardless of whether it is a rainy day or not.
- **Alternative (H1)**: The mean values of weather features differ based on the occurrence of rain.

In [ ]:
```
# Get the data with type numeric
data_numeric = data.select_dtypes(include='number')
```

In [ ]:
```
# Split into two group by Rain Tomorrow 0 or 1
group_0 = data_numeric[data["Rain Tomorrow"] == 0]
group_1 = data_numeric[data["Rain Tomorrow"] == 1]

# Calculate the T-test value and P-value by Welch"s t-test
data_t_test = {}
for column in data_numeric.columns:
  data_t_test[column] = scipy.stats.ttest_ind(group_0[column], group_1[colum

# Create a summary table
data_t_test_summary = data_numeric.from_dict(data_t_test, orient="index", cc

data_t_test_summary.sort_values(by=["P-Value"], ascending=True)
```

Out[ ]:

	Statistic	P-Value
Humidity	-137.020240	0.000000
Precipitation	-176.905844	0.000000
Wind Speed	2.639905	0.008295
Temperature	1.115482	0.264648
Cloud Cover	1.069446	0.284872
Pressure	0.613900	0.539283

The P-values for the following features are less than 0.05 (5%):

1. Humidity

2. Precipitation
3. Wind Speed

We reject the null hypothesis of the T-test and conclude that there is sufficient evidence to suggest that the occurrence of rain leads to significant changes in these features.

Conversely, the P-values for the following features are greater than 0.05 (5%):

1. Temperature
2. Cloud Cover
3. Pressure

We do not reject the null hypothesis of the T-test and conclude that there is insufficient evidence to assert that the occurrence of rain affects their values.

---

3. MODELING

3.1 - Data Splitting

Data Splitting is a crucial process in machine learning, involving the partitioning of a dataset into different subsets, such as training, validation, and test sets. This is essential for training models, tuning parameters, and ultimately assessing their performance.

- **Training Set** - Used to train the machine learning model, this is the core dataset where the model learns to understand patterns and relationships in the data
- **Validation Set** - Assists in fine-tuning the model. It evaluates the model's performance during the training phase, helping adjust hyperparameters and prevent overfitting
- **Test Set** - Provides a fair evaluation of the model's performance on unseen data. This is crucial for assessing the model's ability to generalize to unknown data

The following are several commonly used methods of data splitting:

1. **Random Splitting** - Randomly divides the dataset
2. **Stratified Splitting** - When dealing with imbalanced datasets, Stratified splitting ensures consistency in class distribution
3. **Time Series Splitting** - Rreservation of chronological order during data partitioning, since the order of data points is crucial, as observations typically depend on previous results
4. **K-Fold Cross-Validation** - Divides the dataset into K equally sized folds, allowing for multiple rounds of training and validation

- **Standard K-Fold Cross-Validation**: In traditional K-Fold cross-validation, the data set undergoes random partitioning into K folds of roughly equal size. In each iteration, one fold is the validation set, while the remaining K - 1 folds constitute the training set. We repeat this procedure K times, ensuring that each fold is used exactly once as the validation set.
- **Stratified K-Fold Cross-Validation**: Stratified K-Fold cross-validation aims to maintain a consistent distribution of classes in each fold, aligning with the overall proportion observed in the data set.
- **Group K-Fold Cross-Validation**: Group K-Fold cross-validation is employed when working with data sets where samples exhibit interdependencies, such as time-series data or data with spatial correlations. This method guarantees that samples from the same group are either entirely within the training or validation set, preventing data leakage across folds.

We use 75% of the data for the train set and the remaining 25% for the test set.

In [ ]:
```
# Splitting the data in to X and Y, relanvet to predictor data and target da
data_X = data_numeric
data_Y = data["Rain Tomorrow"]

data_X_train, data_X_test, data_Y_train, data_Y_test = {}, {}, {}, {}
```

Mothod - Random Splitting

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Mothod - Random Splitting
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
'''
data_X_train, data_X_test, data_Y_train, data_Y_test = train_test_split(data
'''
```

Mothod - Stratified Splitting

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Mothod - Stratified Splitting
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
```

```
'''
data_X_train, data_X_test, data_Y_train, data_Y_test = train_test_split(data
'''
# OR

for data_train_index, data_test_index in StratifiedShuffleSplit(n_splits=1,
  data_X_train, data_X_test, data_Y_train, data_Y_test = data_X.iloc[data_tr
```

Mothod - Time Series Splitting

In [ ]:
```
#----------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Mothod - Time Series Splitting
#----------------------------------------------------------------------------
'''
# We don't have DATE object, cause we alreayd discard it in 2.4.1 - Data Cle
for data_train_index, data_test_index in TimeSeriesSplit(n_splits=1, test_si
  data_X_train, data_X_test, data_Y_train, data_Y_test = data_X.iloc[data_tr
'''
```

Mothod - K-Fold Cross-Validation

In [ ]:
```
#----------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Mothod - K-Fold Cross-Validation - Standard
#----------------------------------------------------------------------------
'''
kf = KFold(n_splits=4, shuffle=True)
kf.get_n_splits(data_X)

data_kfold_index = []
for index, (data_train_index, data_test_index) in enumerate(kf.split(data_X)
  data_kfold_index.append(index)
  data_X_train[index], data_X_test[index], data_Y_train[index], data_Y_test[
'''
```

In [ ]:
```
#----------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Mothod - K-Fold Cross-Validation - Stratified
#----------------------------------------------------------------------------
'''
skf = StratifiedKFold(n_splits=4, shuffle=True)
skf.get_n_splits(data_X, data_Y)

data_kfold_index = []
for index, (data_train_index, data_test_index) in enumerate(skf.split(data_X
  data_kfold_index.append(index)
  data_X_train[index], data_X_test[index], data_Y_train[index], data_Y_test[
'''
```

In [ ]:
```
#----------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Mothod - K-Fold Cross-Validation - Group
#----------------------------------------------------------------------------
'''
# Setting the group variable
group = np.array([1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 3, 4, 4, 5, 5, 6, 6, 7, 7, 8, 8, 9, 9, 10,
```

```
# We don't have any feature that are dependent correlations, cause we alreay
gkf = GroupKFold(n_splits=4, shuffle=True)
gkf.get_n_splits(data_X, data_Y, group)

data_kfold_index = []
for index, (data_train_index, data_test_index) in enumerate(gkf.split(data_X
  data_kfold_index.append(index)
  data_X_train[index], data_X_test[index], data_Y_train[index], data_Y_test[
'''
```

3.2 - Evaluation Metric

The following metrics are widely used in machine learning to evaluate classifier model performance:

- **Accuracy**
  - An metric that measures the proportion of correct predictions made by a model over the total number of predictions made
  - Provide a good overall assessment of the model's performance when the classes are balanced
  - It can be misleading in imbalanced datasets and does not differentiate between types of errors
- **Precision**
  - Precision is the proportion of true positive predictions out of all positive predictions made by the model
  - It simply measures the accuracy of positive predictions
  - It does not account for false negatives and can be less informative if not considered with recall
- **Recall**
  - Recall (sensitivity/true positive rate) is the proportion of true positive predictions from all actual positive samples in the dataset
  - It measures the model's ability to identify all positive instances and is critical when the cost of false negatives is high
  - It does not account for false positives and can be less informative if not considered with precision
- **F1 Score**
  - The F1 score is a measure of a model's accuracy that takes into account both precision and recall, where the goal is to classify instances correctly as positive or negative
  - High recall score means the model has a low rate of false negatives, and it useful in imbalanced datasets

- It does not account for true negative rates

In [ ]:
```
# Setup the function to evaluate the classifier model performance
def evaluation_classifier_model(acutal, predict):
  scores = pd.DataFrame( zip( [ "Accuracy",
                    "Precision",
                    "Recall",
                    "F1 Score"],
                  [ accuracy_score(acutal, predict),
                    precision_score(acutal, predict),
                    recall_score(acutal, predict),
                    f1_score(acutal, predict)] ),
                columns = [ "Metric",
                        "Value" ] )
  return scores

classifier_model_scores = { "Train" : {}, "Test" : {} }
```

3.3 - Hyperparameter Tuning

Hyperparameter Tuning is the process of selecting the optimal values for a machine learning model's hyperparameters. **Hyperparameters** are configuration variables that are set before the training process of a model begins. They control the learning process itself, rather than being learned from the data. Hyperparameters are often used to tune the performance of a model, and they can have a significant impact on the model's accuracy, generalization, and other metrics.

Grid Search can be considered as a brute force approach to hyperparameter optimization. We fit the model using all possible combinations after creating a grid of potential discrete hyperparameter values. We log each set's model performance and then choose the combination that produces the best results. This approach is called GridSearchCV, because it searches for the best set of hyperparameters from a grid of hyperparameters values.

- **Learning rate**: This hyperparameter controls the step size taken by the optimizer during each iteration of training. Too small a learning rate can result in slow convergence, while too large a learning rate can lead to instability and divergence.
- **Epochs**: This hyperparameter represents the number of times the entire training dataset is passed through the model during training. Increasing the number of epochs can improve the model's performance but may lead to overfitting if not done carefully.
- **Number of layers**: This hyperparameter determines the depth of the model, which can have a significant impact on its complexity and learning

ability.

- **Number of nodes per layer**: This hyperparameter determines the width of the model, influencing its capacity to represent complex relationships in the data.
- **Architecture**: This hyperparameter determines the overall structure of the neural network, including the number of layers, the number of neurons per layer, and the connections between layers. The optimal architecture depends on the complexity of the task and the size of the dataset.
- **Activation function**: This hyperparameter introduces non-linearity into the model, allowing it to learn complex decision boundaries. Common activation functions include sigmoid, tanh, and Rectified Linear Unit (ReLU).

An exhaustive approach that can identify the ideal hyperparameter combination is grid search. But the slowness is a disadvantage. It often takes a lot of processing power and time to fit the model with every potential combination, which might not be available.

3.3.1 - Logistic Regression

Hyperparameter:

- **C**: Inverse of regularization strength, must be a positive float, and smaller values specify stronger regularization
- **Penalty**: `None` means no penalty is added; `l1` means add a L1 penalty term; `l2` means add a L2 penalty term
- **Solver**: Algorithm to use in the optimization problem; For small datasets, `liblinear` is a good choice, whereas `sag` and `saga` are faster for large ones; For multiclass problems, only `newton-cg`, `ag`, `saga` and `lbfgs` handle multinomial loss

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Logistic Regression - Initialization
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------

hyperparameter_tuning = { 'C': np.linspace(1.0, 10.0, num=5),
                'penalty': ["l1", "l2"],
                'solver': ["liblinear", "saga"] }

model_lr = GridSearchCV(LogisticRegression(), hyperparameter_tuning, scoring
model_lr.fit(data_X_train, data_Y_train)
```

Optimal Values of Hyperparameter Tuning

In [ ]:
```
for name, best in model_lr.best_params_.items():
  print("The optimal value of '" + name + "' hyperparameter is '" + str(best
```

```
The optimal value of 'C' hyperparameter is '5.5'
The optimal value of 'penalty' hyperparameter is 'l2'
The optimal value of 'solver' hyperparameter is 'liblinear'
```

Prediction and Evaluation on Training Set

In [ ]:
```
#-----------------------------------------------------------------------
# Logistic Regression - Train Set Prediction
#-----------------------------------------------------------------------

y_hat = model_lr.predict(data_X_train)

classifier_model_scores["Train"]["Logistic Regression"] = evaluation_classif

classifier_model_scores["Train"]["Logistic Regression"]
```

Out[ ]:

	Metric	Value
0	Accuracy	0.938254
1	Precision	0.869007
2	Recall	0.839821
3	F1 Score	0.854165

Prediction and Evaluation on Test Set

In [ ]:
```
#-----------------------------------------------------------------------
# Logistic Regression - Test Set Prediction
#-----------------------------------------------------------------------

y_hat = model_lr.predict(data_X_test)

classifier_model_scores["Test"]["Logistic Regression"] = evaluation_classifi

classifier_model_scores["Test"]["Logistic Regression"]
```

Out[ ]:

	Metric	Value
0	Accuracy	0.940201
1	Precision	0.876211
2	Recall	0.841126
3	F1 Score	0.858310

3.3.2 - K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN)

Hyperparameter:

- **N Neighbors**: Number of neighbors required for each sample

- **Algorithm**: Algorithm used to compute the nearest neighbors. `ball_tree` will use BallTree; `kd_tree` will use KDTree; `auto` will attempt to decide the most appropriate algorithm based on the values passed to fit method
- **Metric**: Metric to use for distance computation. `minkowski` means standard euclidean distance; `manhattan` means manhattan distance

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN) - Initialization
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------

hyperparameter_tuning = { 'n_neighbors': [3, 5, 7],
                'algorithm': ["auto", "ball_tree", "kd_tree"],
                'metric': ["minkowski", "manhattan"] }

model_knn = GridSearchCV(KNeighborsClassifier(), hyperparameter_tuning, scor
model_knn.fit(data_X_train, data_Y_train)
```

Optimal Values of Hyperparameter Tuning

In [ ]:
```
for name, best in model_knn.best_params_.items():
  print("The optimal value of '" + name + "' hyperparameter is '" + str(best
```

```
The optimal value of 'algorithm' hyperparameter is 'auto'
The optimal value of 'metric' hyperparameter is 'manhattan'
The optimal value of 'n_neighbors' hyperparameter is '7'
```

Prediction and Evaluation on Training Set

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN) - Train Set Prediction
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------

y_hat = model_knn.predict(data_X_train)

classifier_model_scores["Train"]["K-Nearest Neighbors"] = evaluation_classif

classifier_model_scores["Train"]["K-Nearest Neighbors"]
```

Out[ ]:

	Metric	Value
0	Accuracy	0.985426
1	Precision	0.967525
2	Recall	0.964692
3	F1 Score	0.966106

Prediction and Evaluation on Test Set

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN) - Test Set Prediction
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
```

```
y_hat = model_knn.predict(data_X_test)

classifier_model_scores["Test"]["K-Nearest Neighbors"] = evaluation_classifi

classifier_model_scores["Test"]["K-Nearest Neighbors"]
```

Out[ ]:

	Metric	Value
0	Accuracy	0.976247
1	Precision	0.951021
2	Recall	0.938001
3	F1 Score	0.944466

3.3.3 - Support Vector Machine (SVM)

Hyperparameter:

- **C**: Inverse of regularization strength, must be a positive float, and smaller values specify stronger regularization
- **Kernel**: Specifies the kernel type to be used in the algorithm. `linear` means linear function; `poly` means polynomial function; `rbf` means radial basis function; `sigmoid` means hyperbolic tangent function (tanh)

In [ ]:
```
#-----------------------------------------------------------------------
# Support Vector Machine (SVM) - Initialization
#-----------------------------------------------------------------------

hyperparameter_tuning = { 'C': [1.0],
               'kernel': ["linear", "poly", "rbf", "sigmoid"] }

model_svm = GridSearchCV(SVC(), hyperparameter_tuning, scoring='f1', refit=T
model_svm.fit(data_X_train, data_Y_train)
```

Optimal Values of Hyperparameter Tuning

In [ ]:
```
for name, best in model_svm.best_params_.items():
  print("The optimal value of '" + name + "' hyperparameter is '" + str(best
```

```
The optimal value of 'C' hyperparameter is '1.0'
The optimal value of 'kernel' hyperparameter is 'poly'
```

Prediction and Evaluation on Training Set

In [ ]:
```
#-----------------------------------------------------------------------
# Support Vector Machine (SVM) - Train Set Prediction
#-----------------------------------------------------------------------

y_hat = model_svm.predict(data_X_train)
```

```
classifier_model_scores["Train"]["Support Vector Machine"] = evaluation_clas

classifier_model_scores["Train"]["Support Vector Machine"]
```

Out[ ]:

	Metric	Value
0	Accuracy	0.987354
1	Precision	0.976045
2	Recall	0.964950
3	F1 Score	0.970466

Prediction and Evaluation on Test Set

In [ ]:

```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Support Vector Machine (SVM) - Test Set Prediction
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------

y_hat = model_svm.predict(data_X_test)

classifier_model_scores["Test"]["Support Vector Machine"] = evaluation_class

classifier_model_scores["Test"]["Support Vector Machine"]
```

Out[ ]:

	Metric	Value
0	Accuracy	0.987317
1	Precision	0.978461
2	Recall	0.962284
3	F1 Score	0.970305

3.3.4 - Decision Tree

Hyperparameter:

- **Criterion**: The function to measure the quality of a split. `gini` for gini impurity method; `entropy` for entropy method
- **Max Depth**: The maximum depth of the tree. `None` means the nodes are expanded until all leaves are pure or until all leaves contain less than **min_samples_split** (default=2) samples
- **Min Samples Leaf**: The minimum number of samples required to be at a leaf node. A split point at any depth will only be considered if it leaves at least min_samples_leaf training samples in each of the left and right branches

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Decision Tree - Initialization
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------

hyperparameter_tuning = { 'criterion': ["gini", "entropy"],
               'max_depth': [None, 1, 3],
               'min_samples_leaf': [1, 3, 5] }

model_dt = GridSearchCV(DecisionTreeClassifier(), hyperparameter_tuning, scc
model_dt.fit(data_X_train, data_Y_train)
```

Optimal Values of Hyperparameter Tuning

In [ ]:
```
for name, best in model_dt.best_params_.items():
  print("The optimal value of '" + name + "' hyperparameter is '" + str(best
```

```
The optimal value of 'criterion' hyperparameter is 'gini'
The optimal value of 'max_depth' hyperparameter is 'None'
The optimal value of 'min_samples_leaf' hyperparameter is '1'
```

Prediction and Evaluation on Training Set

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Decision Tree - Train Set Prediction
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------

y_hat = model_dt.predict(data_X_train)

classifier_model_scores["Train"]["Decision Tree"] = evaluation_classifier_mo

classifier_model_scores["Train"]["Decision Tree"]
```

Out[ ]:

	Metric	Value
0	Accuracy	1.0
1	Precision	1.0
2	Recall	1.0
3	F1 Score	1.0

Prediction and Evaluation on Test Set

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Decision Tree - Test Set Prediction
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------

y_hat = model_dt.predict(data_X_test)

classifier_model_scores["Test"]["Decision Tree"] = evaluation_classifier_moc

classifier_model_scores["Test"]["Decision Tree"]
```

Out[ ]:

	Metric	Value
0	Accuracy	1.0
1	Precision	1.0
2	Recall	1.0
3	F1 Score	1.0

3.3.5 - Random Forest

Hyperparameter:

- **N Estimators**: The number of trees in the forest
- **Criterion**: The function to measure the quality of a split. `gini` for gini impurity method; `entropy` for entropy method
- **Max Depth**: The maximum depth of the tree. `None` means the nodes are expanded until all leaves are pure or until all leaves contain less than **min_samples_split** (default=2) samples
- **Min Samples Leaf**: The minimum number of samples required to be at a leaf node. A split point at any depth will only be considered if it leaves at least min_samples_leaf training samples in each of the left and right branches
- **Max Features**: The number of features to consider best split. `sqrt` means N features square root; `log2` means N features Log 2

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Random Forest - Initialization
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------

hyperparameter_tuning = { 'n_estimators': [100, 150, 200],
                'criterion': ["gini", "entropy"],
                'max_depth': [None, 1, 3],
                'min_samples_leaf': [1, 3, 5],
                'max_features':["sqrt", "log2"] }

model_rf = GridSearchCV(RandomForestClassifier(), hyperparameter_tuning, scc
model_rf.fit(data_X_train, data_Y_train)
```

Optimal Values of Hyperparameter Tuning

In [ ]:
```
for name, best in model_rf.best_params_.items():
  print("The optimal value of '" + name + "' hyperparameter is '" + str(best
```

```
The optimal value of 'criterion' hyperparameter is 'gini'
The optimal value of 'max_depth' hyperparameter is 'None'
The optimal value of 'max_features' hyperparameter is 'sqrt'
The optimal value of 'min_samples_leaf' hyperparameter is '1'
The optimal value of 'n_estimators' hyperparameter is '100'
```

Prediction and Evaluation on Training Set

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Random Forest - Train Set Prediction
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------

y_hat = model_rf.predict(data_X_train)

classifier_model_scores["Train"]["Random Forest"] = evaluation_classifier_mo

classifier_model_scores["Train"]["Random Forest"]
```

Out[ ]:

	Metric	Value
0	Accuracy	1.0
1	Precision	1.0
2	Recall	1.0
3	F1 Score	1.0

Prediction and Evaluation on Test Set

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Random Forest - Test Set Prediction
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------

y_hat = model_rf.predict(data_X_test)

classifier_model_scores["Test"]["Random Forest"] = evaluation_classifier_moc

classifier_model_scores["Test"]["Random Forest"]
```

Out[ ]:

	Metric	Value
0	Accuracy	1.0
1	Precision	1.0
2	Recall	1.0
3	F1 Score	1.0

3.4 - Hyperparameter Tuning with Downsampling

Downsampling decreases the number of data samples in a dataset. In doing so, it aims to correct imbalanced data and thereby improve model performance.The process of downsampling counteracts the imbalanced dataset issue. It identifies majority class points to remove based on specified criteria. These criteria can change with the chosen downsampling technique. This balances the dataset by effectively decreasing the number of samples for an overrepresented majority class until the dataset contains an equal ratio of points across all classes.

Advantages:

1. **Less Storage Requirement**: When storage costs money, say for cloud storage, downsampling would be preferred over upsampling to avoid raising costs.
2. **Faster Training**: Downsampling shrinks datasets and makes training less intensive on the CPU or GPU, which is more economically and environmentally friendly.
3. **Less Prone to Overfitting**: Upsampling generates new data from the old data, which can cause models to overfit to the given data. Downsampling, being the opposite (deletes data), doesn't suffer from this issue.

Disadvantages:

1. **Loss of Information**: Deleting points from the majority class can cause important information loss. This can be an issue if the classification of the majority class needs to be accurate. Another issue is if the dataset becomes too small for the model to train on.
2. **Introduced Bias**: The remaining majority class sample points can be a biased set of the original data, which negatively affects the classifier's performance.

Downsampling usually use following techniques:

- **Random Downsampling**
    - Random points in the majority class are chosen deleted from the dataset until the majority class size is equal to the minority class size
    - **BUT**, this technique can cause important patterns or distributions in the majority class to disappear, negatively affecting classifier performance
- **Near Miss Downsampling**
    - Operates on the principle that data should be kept in places where the majority and minority classes are very close, as these places give us key information in distinguishing the two classes

- **Condensed Nearest Neighbor (CNN) Downsampling**
  - Find a subset of a dataset that can be used for training without loss in model performance. This is achieved by identifying a subset of the data that can be used to train a model that correctly predicts the entire dataset
  - Like **Near Miss**, this process essentially removes all majority class instances far away from the decision boundary, which, again, are points that are easy to classify. It also ensures that every data in our original dataset can be correctly predicted using just the data within dataset.

Method - Random Downsampling

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Method - Random Downsampling
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
'''
data_X_train_downsampling, data_Y_train_downsampling = RandomUnderSampler().

# OR

# Calculate how many rows we would need to drop
data_ratio = data_Y_train.value_counts(normalize = True)
data_needs_to_down = math.floor( round(abs(data_ratio[0] - data_ratio[1]), 2

try:
  while data_needs_to_down != 0:
    random_index = random.choice(data_Y_train.index)
    if data_Y_train.iloc[random_index] == 0:
      data_X_train.drop(random_index, inplace=True)
      data_Y_train.drop(random_index, inplace=True)
      data_needs_to_down -= 1
except:
  print("Please try other way.")
'''
```

Method - Near Miss Downsampling

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Method - Near Miss Downsampling
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------

data_X_train_downsampling, data_Y_train_downsampling = NearMiss().fit_resamp
```

Method - Condensed Nearest Neighbor (CNN) Downsampling

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Method - Condensed Nearest Neighbor (CNN) Downsampling
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
'''
```

```
data_X_train_downsampling, data_Y_train_downsampling = CondensedNearestNeigh
'''
```

Summary after Downsampling

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# The summary of downsampling
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------

print("Before downsampling we have total observations of data:")
print(data_Y_train.value_counts())
print()

print("Before downsampling we have normalize ratio of data:")
print(data_Y_train.value_counts(normalize = True))
print()

print("After downsampling we have total observations of data:")
print(data_Y_train_downsampling.value_counts())
print()

print("After downsampling we have normalize ratio of data:")
print(data_Y_train_downsampling.value_counts(normalize = True))
```

```
Before downsampling we have total observations of data:
Rain Tomorrow
0    42319
1    11612
Name: count, dtype: int64

Before downsampling we have normalize ratio of data:
Rain Tomorrow
0    0.784688
1    0.215312
Name: proportion, dtype: float64

After downsampling we have total observations of data:
Rain Tomorrow
0    11612
1    11612
Name: count, dtype: int64

After downsampling we have normalize ratio of data:
Rain Tomorrow
0    0.5
1    0.5
Name: proportion, dtype: float64
```

3.4.1 - Logistic Regression

Hyperparameter:

- **C**: Inverse of regularization strength, must be a positive float, and smaller values specify stronger regularization
- **Penalty**: `None` means no penalty is added; `l1` means add a L1 penalty term; `l2` means add a L2 penalty term
- **Solver**: Algorithm to use in the optimization problem; For small datasets, `liblinear` is a good choice, whereas `sag` and `saga` are faster for large ones; For multiclass problems, only `newton-cg` , `ag` , `saga` and `lbfgs` handle multinomial loss

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Logistic Regression - Initialization
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------

hyperparameter_tuning = { 'C': np.linspace(1.0, 10.0, num=5),
                'penalty': ["l1", "l2"],
                'solver': ["liblinear", "saga"] }

model_lr_down = GridSearchCV(LogisticRegression(), hyperparameter_tuning, sc
model_lr_down.fit(data_X_train_downsampling, data_Y_train_downsampling)
```

Optimal Values of Hyperparameter Tuning

In [ ]:
```
for name, best in model_lr_down.best_params_.items():
  print("The optimal value of '" + name + "' hyperparameter is '" + str(best
```

```
The optimal value of 'C' hyperparameter is '1.0'
The optimal value of 'penalty' hyperparameter is 'l2'
The optimal value of 'solver' hyperparameter is 'liblinear'
```

Prediction and Evaluation on Training Set

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Logistic Regression - Train Set Prediction
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------

y_hat = model_lr_down.predict(data_X_train)

classifier_model_scores["Train"]["Downsampling: Logistic Regression"] = eval

classifier_model_scores["Train"]["Downsampling: Logistic Regression"]
```

Out[ ]:

	Metric	Value
0	Accuracy	0.933656
1	Precision	0.827597
2	Recall	0.873924
3	F1 Score	0.850130

Prediction and Evaluation on Test Set

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Logistic Regression - Test Set Prediction
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------

y_hat = model_lr_down.predict(data_X_test)

classifier_model_scores["Test"]["Downsampling: Logistic Regression"] = evalu

classifier_model_scores["Test"]["Downsampling: Logistic Regression"]
```

Out[ ]:

	Metric	Value
0	Accuracy	0.934249
1	Precision	0.831403
2	Recall	0.871351
3	F1 Score	0.850908

3.4.2 - K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN)

Hyperparameter:

- **N Neighbors**: Number of neighbors required for each sample
- **Algorithm**: Algorithm used to compute the nearest neighbors. `ball_tree` will use BallTree; `kd_tree` will use KDTree; `auto` will attempt to decide the most appropriate algorithm based on the values passed to fit method
- **Metric**: Metric to use for distance computation. `minkowski` means standard euclidean distance; `manhattan` means manhattan distance

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN) - Initialization
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------

hyperparameter_tuning = { 'n_neighbors': [3, 5, 7],
                'algorithm': ["auto", "ball_tree", "kd_tree"],
                'metric': ["minkowski", "manhattan"] }

model_knn_down = GridSearchCV(KNeighborsClassifier(), hyperparameter_tuning,
model_knn_down.fit(data_X_train_downsampling, data_Y_train_downsampling)
```

Optimal Values of Hyperparameter Tuning

In [ ]:
```
for name, best in model_knn_down.best_params_.items():
  print("The optimal value of '" + name + "' hyperparameter is '" + str(best
```

```
The optimal value of 'algorithm' hyperparameter is 'auto'
The optimal value of 'metric' hyperparameter is 'manhattan'
The optimal value of 'n_neighbors' hyperparameter is '7'
```

Prediction and Evaluation on Training Set

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN) - Train Set Prediction
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------

y_hat = model_knn_down.predict(data_X_train)

classifier_model_scores["Train"]["Downsampling: K-Nearest Neighbors"] = eval

classifier_model_scores["Train"]["Downsampling: K-Nearest Neighbors"]
```

Out[ ]:

	Metric	Value
0	Accuracy	0.981736
1	Precision	0.948586
2	Recall	0.967620
3	F1 Score	0.958008

Prediction and Evaluation on Test Set

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN) - Test Set Prediction
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------

y_hat = model_knn_down.predict(data_X_test)

classifier_model_scores["Test"]["Downsampling: K-Nearest Neighbors"] = evalu

classifier_model_scores["Test"]["Downsampling: K-Nearest Neighbors"]
```

Out[ ]:

	Metric	Value
0	Accuracy	0.973466
1	Precision	0.925740
2	Recall	0.953242
3	F1 Score	0.939290

3.4.3 - Support Vector Machine (SVM)

Hyperparameter:

- **C**: Inverse of regularization strength, must be a positive float, and smaller values specify stronger regularization

- **Kernel**: Specifies the kernel type to be used in the algorithm. `linear` means linear function; `poly` means polynomial function; `rbf` means radial basis function; `sigmoid` means hyperbolic tangent function (tanh)

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Support Vector Machine (SVM) - Initialization
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------

hyperparameter_tuning = { 'C': [1.0],
               'kernel': ["linear", "poly", "rbf", "sigmoid"] }

model_svm_down = GridSearchCV(SVC(), hyperparameter_tuning, scoring='accurac
model_svm_down.fit(data_X_train_downsampling, data_Y_train_downsampling)
```

Optimal Values of Hyperparameter Tuning

In [ ]:
```
for name, best in model_svm_down.best_params_.items():
  print("The optimal value of '" + name + "' hyperparameter is '" + str(best
```

```
The optimal value of 'C' hyperparameter is '1.0'
The optimal value of 'kernel' hyperparameter is 'poly'
```

Prediction and Evaluation on Training Set

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Support Vector Machine (SVM) - Train Set Prediction
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------

y_hat = model_svm_down.predict(data_X_train)

classifier_model_scores["Train"]["Downsampling: Support Vector Machine"] = e

classifier_model_scores["Train"]["Downsampling: Support Vector Machine"]
```

Out[ ]:

	Metric	Value
0	Accuracy	0.987836
1	Precision	0.976182
2	Recall	0.967103
3	F1 Score	0.971621

Prediction and Evaluation on Test Set

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Support Vector Machine (SVM) - Test Set Prediction
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------

y_hat = model_svm_down.predict(data_X_test)

classifier_model_scores["Test"]["Downsampling: Support Vector Machine"] = ev
```

```
classifier_model_scores["Test"]["Downsampling: Support Vector Machine"]
```

Out[ ]:

	Metric	Value
0	Accuracy	0.987651
1	Precision	0.978746
2	Recall	0.963575
3	F1 Score	0.971101

3.4.4 - Decision Tree

Hyperparameter:

- **Criterion**: The function to measure the quality of a split. `gini` for gini impurity method; `entropy` for entropy method
- **Max Depth**: The maximum depth of the tree. `None` means the nodes are expanded until all leaves are pure or until all leaves contain less than **min_samples_split** (default=2) samples
- **Min Samples Leaf**: The minimum number of samples required to be at a leaf node. A split point at any depth will only be considered if it leaves at least min_samples_leaf training samples in each of the left and right branches

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Decision Tree - Initialization
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------

hyperparameter_tuning = { 'criterion': ["gini", "entropy"],
                'max_depth': [None, 1, 3],
                'min_samples_leaf': [1, 3, 5] }

model_dt_down = GridSearchCV(DecisionTreeClassifier(), hyperparameter_tuning
model_dt_down.fit(data_X_train_downsampling, data_Y_train_downsampling)
```

Optimal Values of Hyperparameter Tuning

In [ ]:
```
for name, best in model_dt_down.best_params_.items():
  print("The optimal value of '" + name + "' hyperparameter is '" + str(best
```

```
The optimal value of 'criterion' hyperparameter is 'gini'
The optimal value of 'max_depth' hyperparameter is 'None'
The optimal value of 'min_samples_leaf' hyperparameter is '1'
```

Prediction and Evaluation on Training Set

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Decision Tree - Train Set Prediction
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------

y_hat = model_dt_down.predict(data_X_train)

classifier_model_scores["Train"]["Downsampling: Decision Tree"] = evaluation

classifier_model_scores["Train"]["Downsampling: Decision Tree"]
```

Out[ ]:

	Metric	Value
0	Accuracy	1.0
1	Precision	1.0
2	Recall	1.0
3	F1 Score	1.0

Prediction and Evaluation on Test Set

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Decision Tree - Test Set Prediction
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------

y_hat = model_dt_down.predict(data_X_test)

classifier_model_scores["Test"]["Downsampling: Decision Tree"] = evaluation_

classifier_model_scores["Test"]["Downsampling: Decision Tree"]
```

Out[ ]:

	Metric	Value
0	Accuracy	1.0
1	Precision	1.0
2	Recall	1.0
3	F1 Score	1.0

3.4.5 - Random Forest

Hyperparameter:

- **N Estimators**: The number of trees in the forest
- **Criterion**: The function to measure the quality of a split. `gini` for gini impurity method; `entropy` for entropy method
- **Max Depth**: The maximum depth of the tree. `None` means the nodes are expanded until all leaves are pure or until all leaves contain less than

min_samples_split (default=2) samples

- **Min Samples Leaf**: The minimum number of samples required to be at a leaf node. A split point at any depth will only be considered if it leaves at least min_samples_leaf training samples in each of the left and right branches
- **Max Features**: The number of features to consider best split. `sqrt` means N features square root; `log2` means N features Log 2

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Random Forest - Initialization
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------

hyperparameter_tuning = { 'n_estimators': [100, 150, 200],
               'criterion': ["gini", "entropy"],
               'max_depth': [None, 1, 3],
               'min_samples_leaf': [1, 3, 5],
               'max_features':["sqrt", "log2"] }

model_rf_down = GridSearchCV(RandomForestClassifier(), hyperparameter_tuning
model_rf_down.fit(data_X_train_downsampling, data_Y_train_downsampling)
```

Optimal Values of Hyperparameter Tuning

In [ ]:
```
for name, best in model_rf_down.best_params_.items():
  print("The optimal value of '" + name + "' hyperparameter is '" + str(best
```

```
The optimal value of 'criterion' hyperparameter is 'gini'
The optimal value of 'max_depth' hyperparameter is 'None'
The optimal value of 'max_features' hyperparameter is 'sqrt'
The optimal value of 'min_samples_leaf' hyperparameter is '1'
The optimal value of 'n_estimators' hyperparameter is '150'
```

Prediction and Evaluation on Training Set

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Random Forest - Train Set Prediction
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------

y_hat = model_rf_down.predict(data_X_train)

classifier_model_scores["Train"]["Downsampling: Random Forest"] = evaluation

classifier_model_scores["Train"]["Downsampling: Random Forest"]
```

Out[ ]:

	Metric	Value
0	Accuracy	1.0
1	Precision	1.0
2	Recall	1.0
3	F1 Score	1.0

Prediction and Evaluation on Test Set

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Random Forest - Test Set Prediction
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------

y_hat = model_rf_down.predict(data_X_test)

classifier_model_scores["Test"]["Downsampling: Random Forest"] = evaluation_

classifier_model_scores["Test"]["Downsampling: Random Forest"]
```

Out[ ]:

	Metric	Value
0	Accuracy	1.0
1	Precision	1.0
2	Recall	1.0
3	F1 Score	1.0

3.5 - Hyperparameter Tuning with Upsampling

Upsampling increases the number of data samples in a dataset. In doing so, it aims to correct imbalanced data and thereby improve model performance. The process of upsampling counteracts the imbalanced dataset issue. It populates the dataset with points synthesized from characteristics of the original dataset's minority class. This balances the dataset by effectively increasing the number of samples for an underrepresented minority class until the dataset contains an equal ratio of points across all classes.

Advantages:

- **No Information Loss**: Unlike downsampling, which removes data points from the majority class, upsampling generates new data points, avoiding any information loss.

- **Increase Data at Low Costs**: Upsampling is especially effective, and is often the only way, to increase dataset size on demand in cases where data can only be acquired through observation. For instance, certain medical conditions are simply too rare to allow for more data to be collected.

Disadvantages:

- **Overfitting**: Because upsampling creates new data based on the existing minority class data, the classifier can be overfitted to the data. Upsampling assumes that the existing data adequately captures reality; if that is not the case, the classifier may not be able to generalize very well.
- **Data Noise**: Upsampling can increase the amount of noise in the data, reducing the classifier's reliability and performance.2
- **Computational Complexity**: By increasing the amount of data, training the classifier will be more computational expensive, which can be an issue when using cloud computing.2

Upsampling usually use following techniques:

- **Random Upsampling**
  - Process of duplicating random data points in the minority class until the size of the minority class is equal to the majority class
  - Its ease of implementation, lack of stretching assumptions about the data, and low time complexity due to a simple algorithm
  - It has limitations, however. Because random oversampling solely adds duplicate datapoints, it can lead to overfitting
- **Synthetic Minority Oversampling Technique (SMOTE)**
  - A statistical technique for increasing the number of cases in dataset in a balanced way. The component works by generating new instances from existing minority cases that you supply as input
  - The new instances are not just copies of existing minority cases. Instead, the algorithm takes samples of the feature space for each target class and its nearest neighbors. The algorithm then generates new examples that combine features of the target case with features of its neighbors
- **Borderline SMOTE**
  - Used to combat the issue of artificial dataset noise and to create 'harder' data points. 'Harder' data points are data points close to the decision boundary, and therefore harder to classify
  - Identifies the minority class points that are close to many majority class points and puts them into a DANGER set. DANGER points are the 'hard' data points to learn, which again is because they're harder to classify compared to points that are surrounded by minority class points

Method - Random Upsampling

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Method - Random Upsampling
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
'''
data_X_train_upsampling, data_Y_train_upsampling = RandomOverSampler().fit_r

# OR

# Calculate how many rows we would need to drop
data_ratio = data_Y_train.value_counts(normalize = True)
data_needs_to_up = math.floor( round(abs(data_ratio[0] - data_ratio[1]), 2)

try:
  while data_needs_to_up != 0:
    random_index = random.choice(data_Y_train.index)
    if data_Y_train.iloc[random_index] == 1:
      data_X_train.loc[len(data_X_train.index)] = data_X_train.iloc[random_i
```

```
      data_Y_train.loc[len(data_Y_train.index)] = data_Y_train.iloc[random_i
      data_needs_to_up -= 1
except:
  print("Please try other way.")
'''
```

Method - Synthetic Minority Oversampling Technique (SMOTE)

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Method - Synthetic Minority Oversampling Technique (SMOTE)
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------

data_X_train_upsampling, data_Y_train_upsampling = SMOTE().fit_resample(data
```

Method - Borderline SMOTE

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Method - Borderline SMOTE
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
'''
data_X_train_upsampling, data_Y_train_upsampling = BorderlineSMOTE().fit_res
'''
```

Summary after Upsampling

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# The summary of downsampling
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------

print("Before upsampling we have total observations of data:")
print(data_Y_train.value_counts())
print()

print("Before upsampling we have normalize ratio of data:")
print(data_Y_train.value_counts(normalize = True))
print()

print("After upsampling we have total observations of data:")
print(data_Y_train_upsampling.value_counts())
print()

print("After upsampling we have normalize ratio of data:")
print(data_Y_train_upsampling.value_counts(normalize = True))
```

```
Before upsampling we have total observations of data:
Rain Tomorrow
0    42319
1    11612
Name: count, dtype: int64

Before upsampling we have normalize ratio of data:
Rain Tomorrow
0    0.784688
1    0.215312
Name: proportion, dtype: float64

After upsampling we have total observations of data:
Rain Tomorrow
0    42319
1    42319
Name: count, dtype: int64

After upsampling we have normalize ratio of data:
Rain Tomorrow
0    0.5
1    0.5
Name: proportion, dtype: float64
```

3.5.1 - Logistic Regression

Hyperparameter:

- **C**: Inverse of regularization strength, must be a positive float, and smaller values specify stronger regularization
- **Penalty**: `None` means no penalty is added; `l1` means add a L1 penalty term; `l2` means add a L2 penalty term
- **Solver**: Algorithm to use in the optimization problem; For small datasets, `liblinear` is a good choice, whereas `sag` and `saga` are faster for large ones; For multiclass problems, only `newton-cg` , `ag` , `saga` and `lbfgs` handle multinomial loss

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Logistic Regression - Initialization
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------

hyperparameter_tuning = { 'C': np.linspace(1.0, 10.0, num=5),
                'penalty': ["l1", "l2"],
                'solver': ["liblinear", "saga"] }

model_lr_up = GridSearchCV(LogisticRegression(), hyperparameter_tuning, scor
model_lr_up.fit(data_X_train_upsampling, data_Y_train_upsampling)
```

Optimal Values of Hyperparameter Tuning

In [ ]:
```
for name, best in model_lr_up.best_params_.items():
  print("The optimal value of '" + name + "' hyperparameter is '" + str(best
```

```
The optimal value of 'C' hyperparameter is '1.0'
The optimal value of 'penalty' hyperparameter is 'l2'
The optimal value of 'solver' hyperparameter is 'saga'
```

Prediction and Evaluation on Training Set

In [ ]:
```
#-----------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Logistic Regression - Train Set Prediction
#-----------------------------------------------------------------------------

y_hat = model_lr_up.predict(data_X_train)

classifier_model_scores["Train"]["Upsampling: Logistic Regression"] = evalua

classifier_model_scores["Train"]["Upsampling: Logistic Regression"]
```

Out[ ]:

	Metric	Value
0	Accuracy	0.925368
1	Precision	0.771955
2	Recall	0.927317
3	F1 Score	0.842534

Prediction and Evaluation on Test Set

In [ ]:
```
#-----------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Logistic Regression - Test Set Prediction
#-----------------------------------------------------------------------------

y_hat = model_lr_up.predict(data_X_test)

classifier_model_scores["Test"]["Upsampling: Logistic Regression"] = evaluat

classifier_model_scores["Test"]["Upsampling: Logistic Regression"]
```

Out[ ]:

	Metric	Value
0	Accuracy	0.927296
1	Precision	0.780525
2	Recall	0.921467
3	F1 Score	0.845161

3.5.2 - K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN)

Hyperparameter:

- **N Neighbors**: Number of neighbors required for each sample
- **Algorithm**: Algorithm used to compute the nearest neighbors. `ball_tree` will use BallTree; `kd_tree` will use KDTree; `auto` will attempt to decide the most appropriate algorithm based on the values passed to fit method
- **Metric**: Metric to use for distance computation. `minkowski` means standard euclidean distance; `manhattan` means manhattan distance

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN) - Initialization
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------

hyperparameter_tuning = { 'n_neighbors': [3, 5, 7],
                'algorithm': ["auto", "ball_tree", "kd_tree"],
                'metric': ["minkowski", "manhattan"] }

model_knn_up = GridSearchCV(KNeighborsClassifier(), hyperparameter_tuning, s
model_knn_up.fit(data_X_train_upsampling, data_Y_train_upsampling)
```

Optimal Values of Hyperparameter Tuning

In [ ]:
```
for name, best in model_knn_up.best_params_.items():
  print("The optimal value of '" + name + "' hyperparameter is '" + str(best
```

```
The optimal value of 'algorithm' hyperparameter is 'auto'
The optimal value of 'metric' hyperparameter is 'manhattan'
The optimal value of 'n_neighbors' hyperparameter is '3'
```

Prediction and Evaluation on Training Set

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN) - Train Set Prediction
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------

y_hat = model_knn_up.predict(data_X_train)

classifier_model_scores["Train"]["Upsampling: K-Nearest Neighbors"] = evalua

classifier_model_scores["Train"]["Upsampling: K-Nearest Neighbors"]
```

Out[ ]:

	Metric	Value
0	Accuracy	0.980030
1	Precision	0.916041
2	Recall	0.998794
3	F1 Score	0.955630

Prediction and Evaluation on Test Set

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN) - Test Set Prediction
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------

y_hat = model_knn_up.predict(data_X_test)

classifier_model_scores["Test"]["Upsampling: K-Nearest Neighbors"] = evaluat

classifier_model_scores["Test"]["Upsampling: K-Nearest Neighbors"]
```

Out[ ]:

	Metric	Value
0	Accuracy	0.957891
1	Precision	0.851785
2	Recall	0.973909
3	F1 Score	0.908762

3.5.3 - Support Vector Machine (SVM)

Hyperparameter:

- **C**: Inverse of regularization strength, must be a positive float, and smaller values specify stronger regularization
- **Kernel**: Specifies the kernel type to be used in the algorithm. `linear` means linear function; `poly` means polynomial function; `rbf` means radial basis function; `sigmoid` means hyperbolic tangent function (tanh)

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Support Vector Machine (SVM) - Initialization
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------

hyperparameter_tuning = { 'C': [1.0],
               'kernel': ["linear", "poly", "rbf", "sigmoid"] }

model_svm_up = GridSearchCV(SVC(), hyperparameter_tuning, scoring='accuracy'
model_svm_up.fit(data_X_train_upsampling, data_Y_train_upsampling)
```

Optimal Values of Hyperparameter Tuning

In [ ]:
```
for name, best in model_svm_up.best_params_.items():
  print("The optimal value of '" + name + "' hyperparameter is '" + str(best
```

```
The optimal value of 'C' hyperparameter is '1.0'
The optimal value of 'kernel' hyperparameter is 'poly'
```

Prediction and Evaluation on Training Set

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Support Vector Machine (SVM) - Train Set Prediction
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------

y_hat = model_svm_up.predict(data_X_train)

classifier_model_scores["Train"]["Upsampling: Support Vector Machine"] = eva

classifier_model_scores["Train"]["Upsampling: Support Vector Machine"]
```

Out[ ]:

	Metric	Value
0	Accuracy	0.984684
1	Precision	0.942848
2	Recall	0.988805
3	F1 Score	0.965280

Prediction and Evaluation on Test Set

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Support Vector Machine (SVM) - Test Set Prediction
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------

y_hat = model_svm_up.predict(data_X_test)

classifier_model_scores["Test"]["Upsampling: Support Vector Machine"] = eval

classifier_model_scores["Test"]["Upsampling: Support Vector Machine"]
```

Out[ ]:

	Metric	Value
0	Accuracy	0.984703
1	Precision	0.945933
2	Recall	0.985275
3	F1 Score	0.965203

3.5.4 - Decision Tree

Hyperparameter:

- **Criterion**: The function to measure the quality of a split. `gini` for gini impurity method; `entropy` for entropy method
- **Max Depth**: The maximum depth of the tree. `None` means the nodes are expanded until all leaves are pure or until all leaves contain less than **min_samples_split** (default=2) samples

- **Min Samples Leaf**: The minimum number of samples required to be at a leaf node. A split point at any depth will only be considered if it leaves at least min_samples_leaf training samples in each of the left and right branches

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Decision Tree - Initialization
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------

hyperparameter_tuning = { 'criterion': ["gini", "entropy"],
               'max_depth': [None, 1, 3],
               'min_samples_leaf': [1, 3, 5] }

model_dt_up = GridSearchCV(DecisionTreeClassifier(), hyperparameter_tuning,
model_dt_up.fit(data_X_train_upsampling, data_Y_train_upsampling)
```

Optimal Values of Hyperparameter Tuning

In [ ]:
```
for name, best in model_dt_up.best_params_.items():
  print("The optimal value of '" + name + "' hyperparameter is '" + str(best
```

```
The optimal value of 'criterion' hyperparameter is 'gini'
The optimal value of 'max_depth' hyperparameter is 'None'
The optimal value of 'min_samples_leaf' hyperparameter is '1'
```

Prediction and Evaluation on Training Set

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Decision Tree - Train Set Prediction
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------

y_hat = model_dt_up.predict(data_X_train)

classifier_model_scores["Train"]["Upsampling: Decision Tree"] = evaluation_c

classifier_model_scores["Train"]["Upsampling: Decision Tree"]
```

Out[ ]:

	Metric	Value
0	Accuracy	1.0
1	Precision	1.0
2	Recall	1.0
3	F1 Score	1.0

Prediction and Evaluation on Test Set

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Decision Tree - Test Set Prediction
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
```

```
y_hat = model_dt_up.predict(data_X_test)

classifier_model_scores["Test"]["Upsampling: Decision Tree"] = evaluation_cl

classifier_model_scores["Test"]["Upsampling: Decision Tree"]
```

Out[ ]:

	Metric	Value
0	Accuracy	0.999944
1	Precision	1.000000
2	Recall	0.999742
3	F1 Score	0.999871

3.5.5 - Random Forest

Hyperparameter:

- **N Estimators**: The number of trees in the forest
- **Criterion**: The function to measure the quality of a split. `gini` for gini impurity method; `entropy` for entropy method
- **Max Depth**: The maximum depth of the tree. `None` means the nodes are expanded until all leaves are pure or until all leaves contain less than **min_samples_split** (default=2) samples
- **Min Samples Leaf**: The minimum number of samples required to be at a leaf node. A split point at any depth will only be considered if it leaves at least min_samples_leaf training samples in each of the left and right branches
- **Max Features**: The number of features to consider best split. `sqrt` means N features square root; `log2` means N features Log 2

In [ ]:

```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Random Forest - Initialization
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------

hyperparameter_tuning = { 'n_estimators': [100, 150, 200],
               'criterion': ["gini", "entropy"],
               'max_depth': [None, 1, 3],
               'min_samples_leaf': [1, 3, 5],
               'max_features':["sqrt", "log2"] }

model_rf_up = GridSearchCV(RandomForestClassifier(), hyperparameter_tuning,
model_rf_up.fit(data_X_train_upsampling, data_Y_train_upsampling)
```

Optimal Values of Hyperparameter Tuning

In [ ]:
```
for name, best in model_rf_up.best_params_.items():
  print("The optimal value of '" + name + "' hyperparameter is '" + str(best
```

```
The optimal value of 'criterion' hyperparameter is 'gini'
The optimal value of 'max_depth' hyperparameter is 'None'
The optimal value of 'max_features' hyperparameter is 'sqrt'
The optimal value of 'min_samples_leaf' hyperparameter is '1'
The optimal value of 'n_estimators' hyperparameter is '100'
```

Prediction and Evaluation on Training Set

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Random Forest - Train Set Prediction
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------

y_hat = model_rf_up.predict(data_X_train)

classifier_model_scores["Train"]["Upsampling: Random Forest"] = evaluation_c

classifier_model_scores["Train"]["Upsampling: Random Forest"]
```

Out[ ]:

	Metric	Value
0	Accuracy	1.0
1	Precision	1.0
2	Recall	1.0
3	F1 Score	1.0

Prediction and Evaluation on Test Set

In [ ]:
```
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------
# Random Forest - Test Set Prediction
#-------------------------------------------------------------------------

y_hat = model_rf_up.predict(data_X_test)

classifier_model_scores["Test"]["Upsampling: Random Forest"] = evaluation_cl

classifier_model_scores["Test"]["Upsampling: Random Forest"]
```

Out[ ]:

	Metric	Value
0	Accuracy	1.0
1	Precision	1.0
2	Recall	1.0
3	F1 Score	1.0

---

4. SUMMARY

4.1 - Models Evaluation

We will now compare the prediction results of various classifier models on both the training and test sets. The most suitable classification model for our project will be selected based on metrics such as Accuracy, Precision, Recall, and F1 Score.

In [ ]:
```
# Split the metric values of train set and test set
model_scores_train = pd.concat( classifier_model_scores["Train"] )
model_scores_test = pd.concat( classifier_model_scores["Test"] )
```

Model Evaluation Based on the Accuracy Metric

In [ ]:
```
model_scores_train_accuracy = model_scores_train[model_scores_train["Metric"
model_scores_test_accuracy = model_scores_test[model_scores_test["Metric"] =

model_scores_accuracy = pd.DataFrame( { "Train" : model_scores_train_accurac
                      "Test" : model_scores_test_accuracy["Value"].to_list()
                     index = [name for name,_ in model_scores_train_accuracy

model_scores_accuracy = pd.DataFrame( model_scores_accuracy, index=model_scc

ax = model_scores_accuracy.plot.barh( figsize = (8, 16),
                     xlabel = "Accuracy",
                     ylabel = "Classifier Model",
                     title = "Models Evaluation by Accuracy Metric",
                     xlim = [0.8, 1.1] )

for container in ax.containers:
  ax.bar_label(container, fmt='%.6f')

plt.show()
```



The Logistic Regression model with the best accuracy:

- Logistic Regression
  - 93.8254 % on training set
  - 94.0201 % on test set

The K-Nearest Neighbors model with the best accuracy:

- K-Nearest Neighbors
  - 98.5426 % on training set
  - 97.6247 % on test set

The Support Vector Machine model with the best accuracy:

- Downsampling: Support Vector Machine
  - 98.7836 % on training set
  - 98.7651 % on test set

The Decision Tree model with the best accuracy:

- Decision Tree and Downsampling: Decision Tree
  - 100 % on training set
  - 100 % on test set

The Random Forest model with the best accuracy:

- Random Forest, Downsampling: Random Forest, and Upsampling: Random Forest
  - 100 % on training set
  - 100 % on test set

Model Evaluation Based on the F1 Score Metric

In [ ]:
```
model_scores_train_f1 = model_scores_train[model_scores_train["Metric"] == "
model_scores_test_f1 = model_scores_test[model_scores_test["Metric"] == "F1

model_scores_f1 = pd.DataFrame( { "Train" : model_scores_train_f1["Value"].t
                   "Test" : model_scores_test_f1["Value"].to_list() },
                  index = [name for name,_ in model_scores_train_f1.index] )

model_scores_f1 = pd.DataFrame( model_scores_f1, index=model_scores_f1.mean(

ax = model_scores_f1.plot.barh( figsize = (8, 16),
                  xlabel = "F1 Score",
                  ylabel = "Classifier Model",
                  title = "Models Evaluation by F1 Score Metric",
                  xlim = [0.8, 1.1] )

for container in ax.containers:
  ax.bar_label(container, fmt='%.6f')

plt.show()
```



The Logistic Regression model with the best F1 score:

- Logistic Regression
  - 0.854165 on training set
  - 0.85831 on test set

The K-Nearest Neighbors model with the best F1 score:

- K-Nearest Neighbors
  - 0.966106 on training set
  - 0.944466 on test set

The Support Vector Machine model with the best F1 score:

- Downsampling: Support Vector Machine
  - 0.971621 on training set
  - 0.971101 on test set

The Decision Tree model with the best F1 score:

- Decision Tree and Downsampling: Decision Tree
  - 1.0 on training set
  - 1.0 on test set

The Random Forest model with the best F1 score:

- Random Forest, Downsampling: Random Forest, and Upsampling: Random Forest
  - 1.0 on training set
  - 1.0 on test set

Model Evaluation by Confusion Matrix on the Test Set

In [ ]:
```
model_scores_confusion_matrices = { "Logistic Regression" : model_lr,
                    "Downsampling: Logistic Regression" : model_lr_down,
                    "Upampling: Logistic Regression" : model_lr_up,

                    "K-Nearest Neighbors" : model_knn,
                    "Downsampling: K-Nearest Neighbors" : model_knn_down,
                    "Upampling: K-Nearest Neighbors" : model_knn_up,

                    "Support Vector Machine" : model_svm,
                    "Downsampling: Support Vector Machine" : model_svm_down,
                    "Upampling: Support Vector Machine" : model_svm_up,

                    "Decision Tree" : model_dt,
                    "Downsampling: Decision Tree" : model_dt_down,
                    "Upampling: Decision Tree" : model_dt_up,

                    "Random Forest" : model_rf,
                    "Downsampling: Random Forest" : model_rf_down,
                    "Upampling: Random Forest" : model_rf_up }

_, ax = plt.subplots(nrows=5, ncols=3, figsize=(15, 25))
ax = ax.ravel()

for index, (name, model) in enumerate(model_scores_confusion_matrices.items(
```

```
  cmd = ConfusionMatrixDisplay(confusion_matrix(data_Y_test, model.predict(c
  cmd.plot(ax=ax[index])
  cmd.ax_.set_title(name)

plt.tight_layout()
plt.show()
```


Logistic Regression
13646
460
615
3256
Downsampling: Logistic Regression
13422
684
498
3373
Upampling: Logistic Regression
13103
1003
304
3567
K-Nearest Neighbors
13919
187
240
3631
Downsampling: K-Nearest Neighbors
13810
296
181
3690
Upampling: K-Nearest Neighbors
13450
656
101
3770
Support Vector Machine
14024
82
146
3725
Downsampling: Support Vector Machine
14025
81
141
3730
Upampling: Support Vector Machine
13888
218
57
3814
Decision Tree
14106
0
0
3871
Downsampling: Decision Tree
14106
0
0
3871
Upampling: Decision Tree
14106
0
1
3870
Random Forest
14106
0
0
3871
Downsampling: Random Forest
14106
0
0
3871
Upampling: Random Forest
14106
0
0
3871
No
Yes
True label
Predicted label



From the confusion matrix we get the following information:

Model	Original	Downsampling	Upsampling
Logistic Regression	1075 Failed	1182 Failed	1307 Failed
K-Nearest Neighbors	427 Failed	477 Failed	757 Failed
Support Vector Machine	228 Failed	222 Failed	275 Failed
Decision Tree	0 Failed	0 Failed	1 Failed
Random Forest	0 Failed	0 Failed	0 Failed

The Logistic Regression model with the better performance on confusion matrix:

- Logistic Regression: 1075 Failed

The K-Nearest Neighbors model with the better performance on confusion matrix:

- K-Nearest Neighbors: 427 Failed

The Support Vector Machine model with the better performance on confusion matrix:

- Downsampling: Support Vector Machine: 222 Failed

The Decision Tree model with the better performance on confusion matrix:

- Decision Tree and Downsampling: Decision Tree: 0 Failed

The Random Forest model with the better performance on confusion matrix:

- Random Forest, Downsampling: Random Forest, and Upsampling: Random Forest: 0 Failed

---

4.2 - Summary

Based on the analysis in the preceding sections, the most suitable model for our project is the random forest model. Decision trees and random forests performed better than other classifier models in this project, both on imbalanced data and on balanced data after upsampling and downsampling.

However, two important considerations arise:

1. Given the characteristics of decision trees and random forests, both models may be susceptible to overfitting. When the data is concentrated and the dataset is split using stratified sampling, the training and test sets can display similar distributions. This similarity can exacerbate overfitting in tree-based models, especially if the overall dataset lacks sufficient diversity.
2. Many classification models perform better with downsampling than with upsampling, demonstrating that in cases of unbalanced and non-generalized datasets, reducing repeated observations can significantly lower training costs while preserving high model performance.

In the future, we should consider adding data from other cities as test sets to improve the generalization of the dataset, thereby enhancing the validation of the classifier model outcomes. Since our data is sourced from 20 major cities in the United States, the model may have become overly familiar with the weather characteristics of these specific locations.

---

5. REFERENCES

Sources	Article	Author
Book	Practitioner's Guide to Data Science	Hui Lin & Ming Li
Kaggle	USA Rainfall Prediction Dataset (2024-2025)	Waqar Ali
Kaggle	PowerTransformers In-Depth Understanding(Box - Cox & Yeo Johnson)	Abhishek Kukreja
IBM	What is downsampling?	Jacob Murel
IBM	What is upsampling?	Jacob Murel
Medium	How to Remove Outliers for Machine Learning?	Anuganti Suresh
Medium	Categorical Data Encoding Techniques	Krishnakanth Jarapala
Medium	Correlation in machine learning - All you need to know	Abdallah Ashraf
Medium	When to Use Mean, Median, and Mode for Imputing Missing Values	Chandrikasai
Medium	Handling Missing Data with KNN Imputer	Bhanupsingh

Sources	Article	Author
Medium	Multivariate Imputation by Chained-Equations (MICE)	Kunal
Medium	Hypothesis Testing with Python: T-Test, Z-Test, and P-Values	Praise James
Medium	Five Methods for Data Splitting in Machine Learning	Gen. David
GeeksforGeeks	Univariate, Bivariate and Multivariate data and its analysis	Aaradhana Thapliyal
GeeksforGeeks	Hyperparameter tuning	Tarandeep Singh
Scribbr	Correlation Coefficient - Types, Formulas & Examples	Pritha Bhandari
TurinTech AI	Data Quality in Machine Learning: How to Evaluate and Improve?	Chrystalla Pavlou
Tableau	Guide To Data Cleaning: Definition, Benefits, Components, And How To Clean Your Data	Tableau Team
Statology	How to Perform t-Tests in Pandas	Zach Bobbitt
Deepchecks	Understanding F1 Score, Accuracy, ROC-AUC, and PR-AUC Metrics for Models	Community Blog