nodiscard("should have a reason")

JeanHeyd Meneide <phdofthehouse@gmail.com>

Isabella Muerte https://twitter.com/slurpsmadrips/

October 24th, 2019

Document: n2430 **Audience**: WG14

Proposal Category: New Features

Target Audience: General Developers, Compiler/Tooling Developers

Latest Revision: https://thephd.github.io/vendor/future cxx/papers/source/C - nodiscard.html

Abstract:

Many functions return a value, however, not all function return values are of equal importance to the caller. The recent [[nodiscard]] attribute allows compilers to issue a diagnostics, but only hands the user a generic error message. This proposal enhances the [[nodiscard]] attribute in the same manner as the [[deprecated]] attribute, giving developers the same power to guide their users to better APIs with the aid of the compiler by providing a string literal attribute argument clause.

1 Introduction

<u>Document N2267</u> introduced a new attribute [[nodiscard]] in the C2x working paper. This has provided significant improvements in reminding programmers of the safety issues of discarding the return value of a function. The [[nodiscard]] attribute has helped prevent a serious class of software bugs, but sometimes it is hard to communicate exactly **why** a function is marked as [[nodiscard]] and perhaps what actions should be taken to rectify the issue.

This paper supplies an addendum to allow a developer to add a string attribute token to let someone provide a small reasoning or reminder for why a function has been marked [[nodiscard("potential memory leak")]].

2 Design Considerations

This paper is an enhancement of a preexisting feature to help programmers provide clarity with their code. Anything that makes the implementation warn or error should also provide some reasoning or perhaps point users to a knowledge base or similar to have any questions they have about the reason for the nodiscard attribute answered.

Consider the following code example, before and after the change:

```
#define FOO_BASE 0xBA51CF00
#define FOO_LINK_TYPE 1
struct foo { /* ... */ };
```

```
[[nodiscard]] int foo_get_value(struct foo*);
```

2.0.1 Status Quo:

```
[[nodiscard]]
foo* foo_create(int, struct foo*);
[[nodiscard]]
int foo_compare(struct foo*, struct foo*);
// Always > 0
const int kHandles = ...;
int main (int, char*[]) {
  foo* foo_handles[kHandles + 1] = { };
  foo_handles[0] = foo_create(BASE_FOO, NULL);
  for (int i = 1; i < kHandles; ++i) {
    foo_handles[i] = foo_create(FOO_LINK_TYPE, foo_handles[0])
  /* sometime later */
  for (int i = 0; i < kHandles,</pre>
    foo_compare(foo_handles[0], foo_handles[i]), foo_get_value(foo_handles[i]) > 0;
    // ^ warning: function return value marked nodiscard was discarded
    ++i) {
      /* process... */
  return 0;
```

2.0.2 With Proposal:

```
[[nodiscard("memory leaked")]]
struct foo* foo_create(int, struct foo*);
[[nodiscard("value of foo comparison unused")]]
int foo_compare(struct foo*, struct foo*);

// Always > 0
const int kHandles = ...;
int main (int, char*[]) {

struct foo* foo_handles[kHandles + 1] = { };
foo_handles[0] = foo_create(BASE_FOO, NULL);
for (int i = 1; i < kHandles; ++i) {
   foo_handles[i] = foo_create(FOO_LINK_TYPE, foo_handles[0])
}

/* sometime later */

for (int i = 0; i < kHandles,
   foo_compare(foo_handles[0], foo_handles[i]), foo_get_value(foo_handles[i]) > 0;
```

```
// ^ warning: function return marked nodiscard was discarded - value of foo comparison
          unused
    ++i) {
          /* process... */
}
return 0;
}
```

• warning much more clearly makes it obvious that a comma was used with the return value of foo_compare, and not &&.

The design is very simple and follows the lead of the deprecated attribute. We propose allowing a string literal to be passed as an attribute argument clause, allowing for [[nodiscard("use the returned token with lib_foobar")]]. The key here is that there are some nodiscard attributes that have different kinds of "severity" versus others.

Adding a reason to nodiscard allows implementers of the standard library, library developers, and application writers to benefit from a more clear and concise error beyond error:value marked [[nodiscard]] was discarded. This makes it easier for developers to understand the intent for return values for the used libraries (and understand from which individual expression errors originate in complex expressions).

3 Implementation Experience

This is in the official C++ Standard, and has been <u>merged into Clang already</u>. A patch is out for <u>GCC</u>. It would be good to maintain parity with C++ to allow headers that work in both languages to continue to use the same syntax, since this is going to be an increasingly useful existing practice.

4 Proposed Wording

This proposed wording is currently relative to Working Paper N2385. The intent of this wording is to allow for the [[nodiscard]] attribute to be able to take a string literal.

4.1 Changes

Rewrite §6.7.11.2 "The nodiscard attribute"'s **Constraint** subsection as follows:

The nodiscard attribute shall be applied to the identifier in a function declarator or to the definition of a structure, union, or enumeration type. It shall appear at most once in each attribute list. If an attribute argument clause is present, it shall have the form:

(string-literal)

Add additional clauses in the **Semantics** subsection as follows:

A name or entity declared without the nodiscard attribute can later be redeclared with the attribute and vice-versa. Redeclarations using different forms of the attribute (with or without the attribute argument clause or with different attribute argument clauses) are allowed.

NOTE: Thus, an entity initially declared without the attribute can be marked as nodiscard by a subsequent redeclaration. However, after an entity is marked as nodiscard, later redeclarations do not remove the nodiscard from the entity.

Add a clause just beneath the first clause in the **Recommended Practice** subsection as follows:

The diagnostic message may include text provided by the string literal within the attribute argument clause of any nodiscard attribute applied to the name or entity.

Add a third example after the first two in the **Recommended Practice** subsection as follows:

```
[[nodiscard("must check armed state")]]
bool arm_detonator(int);

void call(void) {
    arm_detonator(3);
    detonate();
}
```

A diagnostic for the call to arm_detonator using the string literal "must_check armed state" from the attribute argument clause is encouraged.