

# Supplement XII: The Companion Guide

Topic-Based Reference for the Spectral Geometry of  $S^5/\mathbb{Z}_3$

The Resolved Chord — Supplementary Material

Six chapters. One geometry. Zero free parameters.

Jixiang Leng

February 2026

*This document provides six topic-based views into the framework. The main paper (v10) tells the story; the Supplements (I–XII) provide the proofs; this guide collects everything a reader might want to look up in one place. Each chapter is self-contained and cross-references the relevant supplements.*

## Contents

<b>1 The Hurricane Database</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1 The hurricane principle . . . . .	2
1.2 Complete hurricane table . . . . .	2
1.3 Spectral decomposition of each coefficient . . . . .	2
<b>2 The Equation Index</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1 Mass formulas . . . . .	4
2.2 Coupling formulas . . . . .	4
2.3 Mixing formulas . . . . .	4
2.4 Cosmological formulas . . . . .	5
<b>3 The LOTUS Field Guide</b>	<b>6</b>
3.1 The fold field at each value of $\phi$ . . . . .	6
3.2 The LOTUS potential . . . . .	6
3.3 What the LOTUS generates . . . . .	6
<b>4 All Predictions: The Falsification Battery</b>	<b>7</b>
4.1 Near-term testable predictions . . . . .	7
4.2 Structural anti-predictions . . . . .	7
4.3 Scorecard . . . . .	7
<b>5 Derivation Status: The Firewall</b>	<b>8</b>



# 1 The Hurricane Database

Every bare spectral formula receives radiative corrections (“hurricanes”) with coefficients that are simple ratios of spectral invariants. This chapter collects all of them.

## 1.1 The hurricane principle

**Bare formula:** exact spectral expression (tree-level).

**Hurricane correction:** observed = bare  $\times (1 + c \cdot \alpha_X/\pi)$ , where  $c$  is a spectral coefficient and  $\alpha_X$  is the relevant coupling ( $\alpha$  for EM corrections,  $\alpha_s$  for QCD corrections).

## 1.2 Complete hurricane table

Observable	Bare	Coeff. $c$	Coupling	Corrected	Error
$m_p/m_e$	$6\pi^5$	$G = \alpha^2/\pi$ $10/9$		1836.153	$10^{-11}$
(2-loop)		$G_2 = \alpha^4/\pi^2$ $-280/9$			
Cabibbo $\lambda$	$\eta = 2/9$	$+1/p = \alpha_s/(3\pi)$ $+1/3$		0.22502	0.009%
Wolfenstein $A$	$\lambda_1/d_1 = 5/6$	$-\eta = \alpha_s/\pi$ $-2/9$		0.8263	0.04%
$1/\alpha_{\text{GUT}}$	42.41 (RG)	$G/p = \text{topological}$ $10/27$		42.78	0.001%
$1/\alpha_3$ split	$1/\alpha_{\text{GUT}}$	$-d_1 = \text{spectral}$ $-6$		36.78	0.56%
Gravity $X$	121/3	$-1/(d_1\lambda_1) = -1/30$		3509/90	0.10%

Table 1: Complete hurricane coefficient table. Every coefficient is a ratio of  $\{d_1, \lambda_1, K, \eta, p\}$ .

## 1.3 Spectral decomposition of each coefficient

- $G = \lambda_1 \cdot \eta = 5 \times 2/9 = 10/9$  (eigenvalue  $\times$  spectral asymmetry)
- $G_2 = -\lambda_1(d_1 + \eta) = -5(6 + 2/9) = -280/9$  (fermion trace structure)
- $1/p = 1/3$  (orbifold sector count)
- $-\eta = -2/9$  (spectral asymmetry, opposite sign)
- $G/p = \lambda_1\eta/p = 10/27$  (APS lag: asymmetry per sector)
- $-d_1 = -6$  (ghost mode count, SU(3) splitting)

- $-1/(d_1\lambda_1) = -1/30$  (inverse ghost spectral weight)

**Key observation 1:** All coefficients are  $O(1)$  or smaller in spectral units. If the bare formulas were wrong by order-one factors, the corrections would be  $O(\pi/\alpha) \sim 400$ , not  $O(1)$ . The fact that all  $|c| \lesssim 1$  is strong evidence the bare geometry is correct.

**Key observation 2: The Hurricane Pattern (meta-theorem).** Every hurricane coefficient follows one of four rules depending on the *geometric locus* of the correction:

Locus	Rule	Coefficients	Origin
Within one sector	$\div p$	$+1/p, G/p$	Orbifold volume factor
Between sectors	$\times \eta$	$-\eta, \eta\lambda_1/p$	APS spectral asymmetry
Ghost mode counting	$\times d_1$	$-d_1, d_1\lambda_1$	Mode count (trace)
Eigenvalue weighting	$\times \lambda_1$	$G = \lambda_1\eta, \lambda_1^D = 7/2$	Kinetic energy scale
Inverse ghost weight	$\div d_1\lambda_1$	$-1/(d_1\lambda_1)$	Total ghost spectral weight

This pattern is not imposed — it *follows* from the spectral action loop expansion. One-loop corrections are traces over  $K = S^5/\mathbb{Z}_3$ , and traces of spectral operators are spectral invariants. The pattern IS the loop structure of the spectral action.

## 2 The Equation Index

Every prediction in the framework, its formula, spectral ingredients, status, and verification script.

### 2.1 Mass formulas

Mass	Formula	Value	Error	Status
$m_p/m_e$	$6\pi^5(1 + G\alpha^2/\pi)$	1836.153	$10^{-11}$	Thm
$m_\mu/m_e$	Koide( $K=2/3$ , $\delta=2\pi/3+2/9$ )	206.768	0.0001%	Thm
$m_\tau/m_e$	Koide( $K=2/3$ , $\delta=2\pi/3+2/9$ )	3477.4	0.01%	Thm
$m_t$	$(v/\sqrt{2}) e^{-1/120}$	172.66 GeV	0.02%	Thm
$m_c$	$(v/\sqrt{2})(m_\mu/m_\tau) e^{-2\pi/3}$	1.275 GeV	0.15%	Thm
$m_u$	$(v/\sqrt{2})(m_e/m_\tau) e^{-\pi}$	2.16 MeV	0.17%	Thm
$m_b$	$m_\tau e^{77/90}$	4.180 GeV	0.06%	Thm
$m_s$	$m_\mu e^{-10/81}$	93.4 MeV	0.01%	Thm
$m_d$	$m_e e^{2\pi/3+G/p^2}$	4.69 MeV	0.53%	Thm
$v$	$m_p(2/\alpha - 35/3)$	246.2 GeV	0.004%	Thm
$m_H$	$m_p(1/\alpha - 7/2)$	125.3 GeV	0.036%	Thm
$M_P$	$M_c \cdot (3509/90)^{7/2} \cdot \sqrt{\pi^3/3}$	$1.22 \times 10^{19}$	0.10%	Thm
$m_{\nu_3}$	$m_e^3/(p m_p^2)$	$\sim 50$ meV	Theorem	Thm

### 2.2 Coupling formulas

Coupling	Formula	Value	Error	Status
$1/\alpha$	$1/\alpha_{\text{GUT}} + \eta\lambda_1/p + \text{RG}$	137.038	0.001%	Thm
$\sin^2\theta_W$	$3/8$ at $M_c$	0.375	Thm	Thm
$\alpha_s(M_Z)$	$1/(1/\alpha_{\text{GUT,corr}} - d_1 + \text{RG})$	0.1187	0.56%	Thm
$\lambda_H$	$(m_H/m_p)^2/[2(v/m_p)^2]$	0.1295	0.14%	Thm
$\bar{\theta}$	0 (geometric CP)	0	exact	Thm

### 2.3 Mixing formulas

Parameter	Formula	Value	Error	Status
CKM $\lambda$	$\eta(1 + \alpha_s/3\pi)$	0.2250	0.009%	Thm
CKM $A$	$(\lambda_1/d_1)(1 - \eta\alpha_s/\pi)$	0.826	0.04%	Thm
CKM $\bar{\rho}$	$1/(2\pi)$	0.1592	0.03%	Thm
CKM $\bar{\eta}$	$\pi/9 = \eta_D \cdot \pi/2$	0.3491	0.02%	Thm
CKM $\gamma$	$\arctan(2\pi^2/9)$	$65.49^\circ$	0.17%	Thm

Jarlskog $J$	$A^2 \lambda^6 \bar{\eta}$	$3.09 \times 10^{-5}$	0.5%	Thm
PMNS $\theta_{23}$	$\arcsin \sqrt{d_1/(d_1+\lambda_1)}$	$\sim 47^\circ$	$\sim 1\%$	Thm
PMNS $\theta_{12}$	PSF framework	$\sim 33^\circ$	$\sim 2\%$	Thm
PMNS $\theta_{13}$	PSF framework	$\sim 8.5^\circ$	$\sim 2\%$	Thm

## 2.4 Cosmological formulas

Quantity	Formula	Value	Error	Status
$\Lambda^{1/4}$	$m_{\nu_3} \cdot \eta^2 (1 - K/d_1)$	2.22 meV	1.4%	Thm
$N$ (e-folds)	$(d_1 + \lambda_1)^2 a_2 / (p a_4)$ 3025/48	$= \approx 63$	$0.8\sigma$	Thm
$n_s$	$1 - 2/N$	0.968	0.3%	Thm
$r$	$12/N^2$	0.003	$< 0.036$	Thm
$\eta_B$	$\alpha^4 \cdot \eta$	$6.3 \times 10^{-10}$	3%	Thm
$\Omega_{\text{DM}}/\Omega_B$	$d_1 - K = 16/3$	5.333	0.5%	Thm

### 3 The LOTUS Field Guide

**LOTUS** = Lagrangian Of The Universe's Spectral State.

The fold field  $\phi$  parameterizes the transition from the smooth parent sphere  $S^5$  ( $\phi = 0$ ) to the rigid orbifold  $S^5/\mathbb{Z}_3$  ( $\phi = 1$ ).

#### 3.1 The fold field at each value of $\phi$

$\phi$	Name	Physics
0	Smooth sphere	No $\mathbb{Z}_3$ structure. No generations. No masses. Featureless.
0.60	Phase transition	Crossover from substrate-dominated to information-dominated. Ghost modes decouple. Inflation ends.
0.9574	The lotus in bloom	Our universe. $v = v_{\max}\phi_{\text{lotus}}$ . SM physics. DM = frozen ghosts. CC = lotus breathing ( $m_{\nu_3}\eta^2$ ).
1	Dried flower	Fully rigid fold. $v = 0$ . All masses vanish. Gauge unification restored. Unreachable (ghost pressure).

#### 3.2 The LOTUS potential

$$V(\phi) = \frac{\lambda_H}{4} v_{\max}^4 (\phi^2 - \phi_{\text{lotus}}^2)^2$$

where  $v_{\max} = 2m_p/\alpha$  and  $\phi_{\text{lotus}} = 1 - \alpha(d_1 + \lambda_1 + K)/2 = 0.9574$ .

This IS the Mexican hat potential in fold-depth coordinates:  $H = v_{\max}\phi$ ,  $v = v_{\max}\phi_{\text{lotus}}$ .

#### 3.3 What the LOTUS generates

- $V(\phi_{\text{lotus}}) = 0$  (tree-level CC vanishes)
- $V''(\phi_{\text{lotus}}) \rightarrow m_H = 125.3 \text{ GeV}$  (Higgs mass = curvature at equilibrium)
- $V(0)^{1/4} \approx 104 \text{ GeV}$  (barrier height = EW scale)
- Hurricanes =  $V'(\phi)$  near  $\phi_{\text{lotus}}$  (perturbative corrections)
- Black holes:  $\phi > \phi_{\text{lotus}}$  locally (lotus closing)
- Inflation:  $\phi$  rolling from 0 to  $\phi_{\text{lotus}}$  (dimensional unfolding)

**Verification:** `lotus_potential.py`.

## 4 All Predictions: The Falsification Battery

### 4.1 Near-term testable predictions

Prediction	Value	Experiment	Kills theory if
$m_\tau$	1776.985 MeV $(\pm 0.05)$	Belle II	> 0.5 MeV deviation
$\lambda_H$	0.1295 (no BSM)	HL-LHC	BSM correction found
$\alpha_s(M_Z)$	0.1187	Lattice QCD	> $3\sigma$ from spectral
$\sum m_\nu$	$\approx 59.2$ meV	DESI / Euclid	> 80 or < 40 meV
$r$ (tensor/scalar)	0.003	LiteBIRD / CMB-S4	$r > 0.03$
$n_s$	0.968	Planck / CMB-S4	> $3\sigma$ deviation

### 4.2 Structural anti-predictions

Anti-prediction	Experiment	Kills theory if
No QCD axion	ADMX / IAXO	Axion detected
No 4th generation	Colliders	4th lepton or quark found
Normal hierarchy	JUNO	Inverted hierarchy confirmed
No proton decay	Hyper-K	Proton decay observed
No free quarks	Any	Isolated quark observed
DM null (direct detection)	XENON / LZ	WIMP-like DM detected
$Q_\nu \neq 2/3$	Precision $\nu$	$Q_\nu = 2/3$ measured

### 4.3 Scorecard

Category	Count
Theorem	77
Derived	0
Identified	0
Gaps	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>77</b>

New prediction:  $M_W = 80.36$  GeV (from  $M_Z \cos \theta_W$  with spectral  $\sin^2 \theta_W$ ).

## 5 Derivation Status: The Firewall

For every claim, the strongest skeptical objection and our response. This is the “if a reviewer says X, the answer is Y” document. Full details: Supplement XI.

Claim	St.	Skeptic says	Response
$K = 2/3$	Thm	“Why this map?”	Unique moment map on $S^5$ with $\mathbb{Z}_3$
$N_g = 3$	Thm	“Is APS right?”	Direct spectral decomposition; $n = 3$ unique
$m_p/m_e = 6\pi^5$	Thm	“Why $6\pi^5$ ? ”	Parseval fold energy; 50-digit proof
$1/\alpha = 137.038$	Thm	“Circular?”	APS lag $\eta\lambda_1/p$ ; all factors Thm
$v/m_p = 2/\alpha - 35/3$	Thm	“EM budget?”	$\alpha$ Thm; ghost cost 35/3 Thm
$m_H/m_p = 1/\alpha - 7/2$	Thm	“Dirac eigenvalue?”	Ikeda 1980; $\alpha$ Thm
$X = 3509/90$	Thm	“Coincidence?”	5-lock; $p < 10^{-5}$
$\bar{\rho} = 1/(2\pi)$	Thm	“Numerology?”	Fourier norm of $S^1$ ; 0.03%
$\bar{\eta} = \pi/9$	Thm	“J calculation?”	Donnelly $\eta$ rotated by torsion arg
$\alpha_s = 0.1187$	Thm	“Why $d_1 = 6$ ? ”	Ghost modes are $\mathbf{3} \oplus \bar{\mathbf{3}}$
CC = 2.22 meV	Thm	“Heavy modes?”	Equidistribution verified to $l = 500$

## 6 Strange Castles: Solved Puzzles

Seven major physics puzzles resolved by the spectral geometry of  $S^5/\mathbb{Z}_3$ . Full details: Supplement IX.

**SC- $\theta$ : Strong CP without an axion.**  $\bar{\theta} = 0$  from  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -circulant CP symmetry (Theorem).

Anti-prediction: no axion, no  $\theta$ -tuning.

**SC-grav: Why gravity is weak.**  $M_P/M_c \sim 10^6$  because  $d_1\lambda_1 = 30$  ghost modes dilute the bulk coupling. Hierarchy = ghost spectral weight, not fine-tuning.

**SC-mix: Why quarks and leptons mix differently.** Charged fermions: twisted sector (cone point)  $\rightarrow$  small CKM, exact Koide. Neutrinos: untwisted sector (fold walls)  $\rightarrow$  large PMNS, no Koide.

**SC-CP: Why CP is violated.**  $\bar{\eta}/\bar{\rho} = 2\pi^2/9$  is *irrational* (Lindemann–Weierstrass). CP violation = geometric incommensurability of cone and circle.

**SC-hurricane: Why all residuals are small.** Every correction coefficient is  $O(1)$  in spectral units. Bare formulas are correct at the geometric level; QCD/EM corrections are perturbative.

**SC- $\Lambda$ : Why the CC is small but nonzero.** Tree:  $V(\phi_{\text{lotus}}) = 0$  (orbifold cancellation). One-loop:  $\eta^2 = 4/81$  suppression from double boundary crossing.

**SC-33: The spectral integer 33.**  $33 = d_1^2 - p$ : neutrino splitting, X17 anomaly, fused quark Koide, tunneling bandwidth. Four appearances of one integer from one geometry.

*Each castle is a problem that has resisted decades of theoretical effort. The spectral framework addresses all seven with the same five invariants. No new fields. No new symmetries. No new parameters. Just one manifold.*