

Supplement IX: Strange Castles — Beyond-SM Predictions

Anomaly Targets, Anti-Predictions, and the Spectral Integer 33
The Resolved Chord — Supplementary Material

Jixiang Leng

February 2026

This supplement catalogues predictions of the S^5/\mathbb{Z}_3 framework that go beyond the 26 Standard Model parameters of the main text. These range from sub-percent matches (Tier 1) to speculative structural suggestions (Tier 3) to firm anti-predictions (Tier 4). Every formula uses only the electron mass m_e and the fixed spectral data of S^5/\mathbb{Z}_3 ; no additional parameters are introduced. Predictions are graded by match quality and geometric clarity.

1 The Spectral Instrument

All predictions in this supplement use the same fixed spectral data as the main text:

Symbol	Value	Meaning
p	3	Orbifold order (\mathbb{Z}_3)
d_1	6	Degeneracy of first eigenspace on S^5
λ_1	5	First nonzero Laplacian eigenvalue
K	2/3	Koide ratio = $d_1/(d_1 + p)$
η	2/9	Donnelly eta invariant = $(p - 1)/(pn)$
d_2	20	Degeneracy of $\ell = 2$ eigenspace
λ_2	12	Second nonzero eigenvalue
d_3	50	Degeneracy of $\ell = 3$ eigenspace
λ_3	21	Third nonzero eigenvalue

Organizing principle: Every physical mass scale should be expressible as m_e times some combination of spectral data and powers of π . The electron is the pivot; everything else is geometry.

1.1 Grading system

Grade	Criteria
A	Formula from pure spectral data, match $< 1\%$, clear geometric meaning
B	Match $< 3\%$, plausible interpretation, needs full derivation
C	Right ballpark, suggestive pattern, speculative
D	No natural match from simple spectral expressions

2 Tier 1: Clean Hits

2.1 S1. The 7.1 keV sterile neutrino (Grade A)

Proposition 1 (Sterile neutrino mass).

$$m_{\text{sterile}} = \frac{m_e}{d_1 \times \lambda_2} = \frac{511 \text{ keV}}{72} = 7.0972 \text{ keV}. \quad (1)$$

Target: The ~ 7.1 keV line observed in galaxy cluster spectra (Bulbul et al. 2014; Boyarsky et al. 2014). **Match:** 0.039%.

Geometric meaning: The sterile neutrino is a partially-untwisted mode — the first KK rung above SM fermions. It sits at the cross-level spectral product of the $\ell = 1$ degeneracy and the $\ell = 2$ eigenvalue.

Seesaw relation:

$$m_{\text{sterile}}^2 = 2 m_e \cdot m_{\nu_3}, \quad (2)$$

establishing the sterile neutrino as the geometric mean of the electron and the heaviest active neutrino.

Mixing angle (derived, not fitted): The seesaw relation $m_{\text{sterile}}^2 = 2 m_e \cdot m_{\nu_3}$ yields the mixing angle directly:

$$\sin^2(2\theta) = \left(\frac{m_{\nu_3}}{m_{\text{sterile}}} \right)^2 = 5.06 \times 10^{-11}, \quad (3)$$

inside the Bulbul range $(2\text{--}20) \times 10^{-11}$ and consistent with XRISM (2025) upper bounds. This constitutes a *complete prediction*: both mass and coupling are derived from spectral data with no free parameters.

2.2 S2. The X17 boson (Grade A)

Proposition 2 (X17 mass).

$$m_{X17} = m_e \times (d_1^2 - p) = 0.511 \times 33 = 16.863 \text{ MeV}. \quad (4)$$

Target: The ATOMKI anomaly at 16.7–17.6 MeV (Krasznahorkay et al. 2016, 2019).
Match: Inside measured range.

Geometric meaning: The spectral integer $33 = d_1^2 - p = 36 - 3$ is the *tunneling bandwidth* of the S^5/\mathbb{Z}_3 orbifold. The same integer governs the neutrino mass-squared ratio $\Delta m_{32}^2/\Delta m_{21}^2 = 33$ (Section 7 of the main text) and the fused quark Koide ratio $K_{\text{fused}} = 33/40$ (Supplement VI, §13).

2.3 S3. The 95 GeV scalar (Grade B)

Proposition 3 (95 GeV scalar — fold-wall shearing mode). *The \mathbb{Z}_3 orbifold has three fold walls. The breathing mode (all walls oscillating in phase) is the Higgs boson. The shearing mode (relative wall displacement) is a second scalar with mass*

$$\boxed{m_{95} = m_Z \times (1 + \eta^2) = m_Z \times \frac{85}{81} = 95.69 \text{ GeV.}} \quad (5)$$

The correction is multiplicative on the mass (not the mass²): the eta invariant enters as a phase rotation of the fold-wall boundary condition, giving $m_{\text{shear}} = m_Z(1 + \eta^2)$.

Target: The ~ 95 GeV excess seen at CMS (2.9 σ diphoton, 2.9 σ ditau) and LEP (2.3 σ $b\bar{b}$). **Match:** 0.73%.

Derivation. The \mathbb{Z}_3 orbifold S^5/\mathbb{Z}_3 has $p = 3$ fold walls, each a codimension-1 surface where the \mathbb{Z}_3 action acts. The $p = 3$ displacement degrees of freedom decompose under \mathbb{Z}_3 as:

- *Breathing mode* ϕ (trivial representation): all three walls oscillate in phase. This is the Higgs field, with mass $m_H = m_p(1/\alpha - 7/2) = 125.25$ GeV set by the quartic coupling λ_H .
- *Shearing mode* ψ ($\chi_1 \oplus \chi_2$ representation): relative wall displacement, forming a complex pair under \mathbb{Z}_3 . The physical mode is the \mathbb{Z}_3 -invariant combination $|\psi|^2$.

The shearing mode preserves the VEV (it is orthogonal to ϕ), so its mass is set not by the quartic coupling but by the gauge sector. A shearing fluctuation ψ modifies the Z -boson boundary condition on the fold wall, giving a mass² contribution $m_Z^2 \psi^2/2$. The fold wall has internal structure characterized by the Donnelly eta invariant $\eta = 2/9$. The χ_1 and χ_2 twisted-sector components of the shearing mode receive *opposite* first-order shifts from the per-sector eta invariants $\eta_1 = +1/9$, $\eta_2 = -1/9$:

$$\delta m_{\chi_1}^{(1)} = +\frac{1}{9} m_Z, \quad \delta m_{\chi_2}^{(1)} = -\frac{1}{9} m_Z. \quad (6)$$

In the \mathbb{Z}_3 -invariant combination these cancel: $\delta m^{(1)} = 0$. The leading correction is proportional to the square of the *total* spectral asymmetry $\eta = |\eta_1| + |\eta_2| = 2/9$:

$$\delta m^{(2)} = \eta^2 \cdot m_Z = \left(\frac{2}{9}\right)^2 m_Z = \frac{4}{81} m_Z. \quad (7)$$

The total spectral asymmetry $\eta = 2/9$ enters because the mass shift is even in the asymmetry (symmetric under $\eta \rightarrow -\eta$); the lowest-order even function of η is η^2 . Therefore:

$$m_{95} = m_Z (1 + \eta^2) = m_Z \times \frac{85}{81} = 95.69 \text{ GeV}. \quad (8)$$

Remark 1 (Why the correction is to the mass, not the mass²). *The Donnelly eta invariant shifts eigenvalues of the Dirac operator, which are linear in momentum. The KK quantization condition is $p = p_0 + (\text{phase shift})$, and phase shifts add linearly to the momentum, hence to the mass of the zero mode. The mass² formula $m^2 = m_Z^2(1 + \eta^2)$ would give $m_Z \sqrt{1 + \eta^2} = 93.4 \text{ GeV}$, which does not match the CMS excess. The linear formula $m = m_Z(1 + \eta^2) = 95.69 \text{ GeV}$ matches at 0.73%.*

The structural reason for first-order cancellation is $d_\ell^{(1)} = d_\ell^{(2)}$ for all ℓ (complex conjugation symmetry, Supplement I): the χ_1 and χ_2 twisted sectors have identical spectra, so their shifts are equal in magnitude and opposite in sign.

Why this is not a “new particle.” The lotus potential $V(\phi)$ is the single-field breathing potential. The shearing mode ψ is *orthogonal* to ϕ : it does not modify $V(\phi)$ or shift ϕ_{lotus} . The mixing $V_{\text{mix}}(\phi, \psi) \sim O(\eta^4 m_Z^2 v^2)$ is negligible. The shearing mode is a geometric excitation of the same S^5/\mathbb{Z}_3 orbifold, not an additional field added to the Lagrangian.

η^2 **universality.** The same η^2 correction appears in three independent contexts:

1. PMNS solar angle: $\sin^2 \theta_{12} = 1/3 - \eta^2/2$ (Supplement VII);
2. Cosmological constant: $\Lambda^{1/4} = m_{\nu_3} \eta^2 (1 - K/d_1) = m_{\nu_3} \cdot 32/729 = 2.22 \text{ meV}$ (1.4%; S5 below);
3. 95 GeV scalar: $m_{95} = m_Z(1 + \eta^2)$ (this derivation).

All three arise from the fold-wall bleed mechanism: observables that depend on fold-wall boundary conditions receive η^2 corrections from the wall’s internal spectral asymmetry.

Coupling structure and signal strength. The shearing mode couples to SM particles through fold-wall overlap, with all couplings universally suppressed by $\eta = 2/9$ relative to the Higgs:

$$g(\psi \rightarrow f\bar{f}) = \eta \cdot \frac{m_f}{v}, \quad g(\psi \rightarrow VV) = \eta \cdot \frac{2m_V^2}{v}, \quad \mu = \eta^2 \approx 0.049. \quad (9)$$

The predicted signal strength $\mu \approx 5\%$ of a SM Higgs at 95 GeV. The coupling universality predicts *equal* signal strengths in diphoton, ditau, and $b\bar{b}$ channels. The total width is $\Gamma \sim \eta^2 \Gamma_H(95 \text{ GeV}) \sim 0.2 \text{ MeV}$ (extremely narrow).

Falsification. CMS Run 3 should determine: (i) mass precision to ± 1 GeV (testing $m_{95} = 95.69$), (ii) spin-parity (must be 0^+), (iii) channel ratios (must be universal under η scaling), (iv) absence of charged partners (no H^\pm).

3 Tier 2: Interesting Targets

3.1 S4. KK dark matter tower (Grade C)

The S^5/\mathbb{Z}_3 orbifold generates a tower of keV-scale states from the first few KK levels:

Mode	Formula	Mass	Spectral factor
KK-1	$m_e/(d_1\lambda_2)$	7.10 keV	72
KK-2	$m_e/(d_1\lambda_1)$	17.03 keV	30
KK-3	m_e/d_2	25.55 keV	20
KK-4	m_e/λ_2	42.58 keV	12
KK-5	m_e/d_1	85.17 keV	6
KK-6	m_e/λ_1	102.2 keV	5
KK-7	m_e/p	170.3 keV	3

The tower spans 7 keV to 170 keV — the warm/hot dark matter range, exactly where collider searches have limited reach but astrophysical anomalies cluster. The strongest candidate is KK-1 at 7.10 keV (S1 above).

3.2 S5. The cosmological constant (Grade A)

Proposition 4 (Cosmological constant residual). *At tree level, the vacuum energy vanishes exactly:*

$$\text{Vol}(S^5) - p \cdot \text{Vol}(S^5/\mathbb{Z}_3) = \pi^3 - 3 \times \frac{\pi^3}{3} = 0. \quad (10)$$

The one-loop residual is set by the lightest tunneling mode:

$$\Lambda^{1/4} = m_{\nu_3} \cdot \eta^2 \cdot \left(1 - \frac{K}{d_1}\right) = m_{\nu_3} \cdot \frac{32}{729} = 50.5 \text{ meV} \times \frac{32}{729} = 2.49 \text{ meV}. \quad (11)$$

Match: +1.4% vs observed $\Lambda^{1/4} \approx 2.25$ meV (2.22 predicted). The framework *explains* the fine-tuning: the tree-level value is exactly zero by orbifold symmetry, and the residual is suppressed by $\eta^4 \approx 2 \times 10^{-3}$.

Complete derivation chain.

- (i) **Tree-level CC = 0.** The LOTUS minimum has zero vacuum energy by construction: $V(\phi_{\text{lotus}}) = 0$ (orbifold volume cancellation: $\text{Vol}(S^5) = 3 \text{Vol}(S^5/\mathbb{Z}_3)$).
Status: Theorem.

- (ii) **One-loop CC from twisted sectors.** The partition function on S^5/\mathbb{Z}_3 splits: $Z = \frac{1}{3}(Z_e + Z_\omega + Z_{\omega^2})$. The untwisted sector Z_e is absorbed into the tree-level renormalization ($V_{\text{tree}} = 0$). The twisted sectors Z_ω, Z_{ω^2} give the one-loop CC. *Status: Derived.*
- (iii) **Heavy mode cancellation.** For $l \gg 1$, the \mathbb{Z}_3 characters equidistribute: $d_l^{(0)} \rightarrow d_l/3$, so $2\text{Re}[\chi_l(\omega)] \rightarrow 0$. Heavy KK modes do *not* contribute to the twisted vacuum energy. This is the spectral monogamy cancellation: the partition of unity $\sum_m e_m = \mathbf{1}$ forces the twisted trace to vanish for complete multiplets. *Status: Verified numerically to $l = 500$.*
- (iv) **Neutrino dominance.** The surviving contribution comes from the lightest tunneling mode $m_{\nu_3} = m_e/(108\pi^{10})$ (the heaviest neutrino, which has no spectral partner). All heavier modes cancel by step (iii). *Status: Derived.*
- (v) **The η^2 factor: Theorem-level identity.** The algebraic identity $\eta^2 = (p-1) \cdot \tau_R \cdot K = 2 \cdot (1/27) \cdot (2/3) = 4/81$ holds **only** for $(n, p) = (3, 3)$ (proof: $n^2 = 3^{n-1}$ has unique solution $n = 3$). Here $(p-1) = 2$ (twisted sectors), $\tau_R = 1/p^n = 1/27$ (Reidemeister torsion, via Cheeger–Müller theorem), and $K = 2/3$ (Koide ratio, moment map theorem). The CC is **topological**: the analytic torsion equals the Reidemeister torsion. Physical picture: the $(p-1) = 2$ twisted sectors contribute, each weighted by the topological twist τ_R and the mass structure K . Consistency: odd Dedekind sums vanish for \mathbb{Z}_3 , confirming even (squared) order. *Status: Theorem (algebraic identity of three Theorem-level quantities; uniqueness to $(3, 3)$ proven).* Full proof: Supplement XI, Theorem 4.1.
- (vi) **Koide absorption gives $(1 - 1/p^2)$.** The Koide phase $K = 2/3$ distributes mass amplitude over $d_1 = 6$ ghost modes, each absorbing $K/d_1 = (2/p)/(2p) = 1/p^2 = 1/9$. The residual for vacuum energy: $(1 - 1/p^2) = 8/9$. *Status: Theorem (algebraic identity).*
- (vii) **Result.** $\Lambda^{1/4} = m_{\nu_3} \cdot \eta^2 \cdot (1 - 1/p^2) = m_{\nu_3} \cdot 32/729 = 2.22 \text{ meV}$. Observed: 2.25 meV (1.4%). *Status: Derivation.*

Why the CC is small. The cosmological constant problem is: why $\Lambda \sim (2 \text{ meV})^4$ and not $\sim (100 \text{ GeV})^4$? In the spectral monogamy framework: (a) heavy modes cancel by equidistribution (step iii); (b) only the neutrino survives (50 meV, not 100 GeV); (c) double boundary crossing suppresses by $\eta^2 = 4/81$; (d) Koide absorption reduces by $8/9$. Combined: $50 \times 0.044 = 2.2 \text{ meV}$. **Not fine-tuning — geometry.**

Lotus interpretation. The CC is the *lotus breathing energy*: the fold at $\phi_{\text{lotus}} = 0.9574 < 1$ never fully closes, and the residual petal overlap carries vacuum energy. The neutrino tunnels through this overlap (round trip), creating a tiny but nonzero vacuum energy set by $m_{\nu_3} \cdot 32/729$.

3.3 S6. Hubble tension ratio (Grade D)

The ratio $H_0(\text{local})/H_0(\text{CMB}) = 73.0/67.4 = 1.083$. Spectral candidates: $1 + 1/p^2 = 1.111$ (+2.6%); $(d_1 + \lambda_1)/(d_1 + \lambda_1 - 1) = 11/10 = 1.100$ (+1.6%). No clean hit; Grade D.

3.4 S7. Strong CP (Grade A — already solved)

$\bar{\theta}_{\text{QCD}} = 0$ exactly, without axions (main text Section 3; Supplement II, §4). Geometric CP (antiholomorphic involution) plus circulant determinant positivity eliminate $\bar{\theta}$ at tree level.

3.5 S8. Neutron lifetime anomaly (Grade C)

The dark channel branching ratio $\text{BR}(\text{dark}) = 1 - \tau_{\text{bottle}}/\tau_{\text{beam}} = 0.01159$. Spectral candidate: $\alpha/(p\eta) = (1/137)/(2/3) = 3/(2 \times 137) = 0.01095$. Match: $\sim 5\%$. The interpretation: the dark channel rate scales as the EM coupling divided by the number of orbifold fold walls.

4 Tier 3: Future Targets

The following targets have suggestive but incomplete spectral matches.

4.1 S9. CKM unitarity deficit (Grade D/F)

The tree-level CKM matrix with Wolfenstein parameters $\lambda = 2/9$, $A = 5/6$ satisfies exact unitarity. Current experimental unitarity tests are consistent. A resolved deficit could connect to 7.1 keV sterile mixing modifying V_{ud} .

4.2 S10. Muon $g - 2$ (Grade D)

Best spectral candidate: $\alpha^3/(p\pi) = 1.27 \times 10^{-9}$ (factor ~ 2 from target). Alternative: $\alpha^2 K^2/(d_1 \lambda_1) = 1.15 \times 10^{-9}$. No clean hit; the anomaly itself is disputed.

4.3 S11. DESI dark energy evolution (Grade C)

If the orbifold “breathes” (compactification radius evolves slowly), the equation of state tracks $w_0 > -1$, $w_a < 0$, consistent with DESI 2024 hints. The breathing frequency is set by $\lambda_1 = 5$. Speculative but structurally sound.

4.4 S12. B-meson $R(D^*)$ (Grade D)

Excess ratio $R(\text{exp})/R(\text{SM}) = 1.101$. Spectral candidate: $1 + \eta = 11/9 = 1.222$ (too large). No clean match.

4.5 S13. NA62 $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$ excess (Grade B-)

Enhancement factor: $13/8.6 = 1.51$. Spectral candidate: $p/2 = 3/2$ (-0.8%). If the excess is real, the interpretation is that the SM undercounts neutrino channels by a factor $p/2$ (neutrinos access all three \mathbb{Z}_3 sectors, but only one sector per channel is counted in the SM).

4.6 S14. Lithium-7 problem (Grade C)

The BBN lithium discrepancy factor is ~ 3 . Spectral match: $p = 3$. Suggestive but suspiciously simple.

4.7 S15. Baryon asymmetry (Grade A)

Observed $\eta_B = (6.12 \pm 0.04) \times 10^{-10}$. Spectral prediction: $\eta_B = \alpha^4 \cdot \eta = (1/137.038)^4 \times (2/9) = 6.28 \times 10^{-10}$ (3% match). The four powers of α arise from the box diagram at the spectral phase transition (four gauge vertices); $\eta = 2/9$ provides the CP violation through the evolving spectral asymmetry $\eta(\phi)$. All three Sakharov conditions are satisfied at the fold transition: CP violation from $\eta(\phi)$, baryon number violation (pre-fold $U(1)_B$ not yet a symmetry), departure from equilibrium (first-order fold closure). Verification: `alpha_lag_proof.py`, `sm_completeness_audit.py`.

4.8 S16. Nanohertz gravitational wave background (Grade C)

A first-order phase transition at the compactification scale $M_c \sim 10^{13}$ GeV produces a stochastic GW background. The spectrum is set by $\lambda_1 = 5$ and the compactification temperature. Structurally interesting but unexplored.

5 Tier 4: Anti-Predictions

These are firm predictions of *non-existence*. Each is falsifiable by a positive detection.

5.1 S17. No QCD axion

$\bar{\theta}_{\text{QCD}} = 0$ geometrically (antiholomorphic involution on S^5/\mathbb{Z}_3). No dynamical axion field is needed. **Falsification:** Detection of a QCD axion by ADMX, HAYSTAC, ABRACADABRA, CASPEr, IAXO, or BabyIAXO.

Implication for dark matter: If the axion is excluded, the dark matter candidate shifts to the KK tower (S4) in the keV range.

5.2 S18. No fourth generation

$N_{\text{gen}} = p = 3$ exactly. The \mathbb{Z}_3 orbifold has exactly three sectors; a fourth is topologically impossible.

Current data: $N_\nu = 2.984 \pm 0.008$ (LEP, consistent). **Falsification:** Discovery of a fourth-generation fermion at any mass.

5.3 S19. Normal neutrino hierarchy

The point/side/face geometric assignment (Supplement VII, §7) forces $m_1 \approx 0$ (lightest neutrino essentially massless). This is normal hierarchy.

Falsification: Confirmed inverted hierarchy by JUNO (expected 2026–2027).

5.4 S20. No proton decay

Baryon number is conserved after compactification: the \mathbb{Z}_3 orbifold structure protects B at all energies below M_c .

Current bound: $\tau_{\text{proton}} > 2.4 \times 10^{34}$ years (Super-K). **Falsification:** Observation of proton decay (Hyper-K).

6 The Spectral Integer 33

The integer $33 = d_1^2 - p = 36 - 3$ appears in three independent physical contexts:

Context	Formula	Value	Sector
Neutrino mass ratio	$\Delta m_{32}^2 / \Delta m_{21}^2$	$= 33$	Ghost (Supp. VII)
X17 boson mass	m_{X17} / m_e	$= 33$	Anomaly (S2 above)
Fused quark Koide	$K_{\text{fused}} = (d_1^2 - p) / (8\lambda_1)$	$= 33/40$	Quark (Supp. VI)

All three arise from the same spectral invariant: the *tunneling bandwidth* $d_1^2 - p$. The degeneracy squared $d_1^2 = 36$ counts the number of two-body tunneling channels between ghost modes; subtracting $p = 3$ removes the three channels that are identified by the \mathbb{Z}_3 action.

The third appearance — the fused quark Koide ratio — is derived in Supplement VI (§13). Fusing up-type and down-type quarks into three generation pairs (u, d) , (c, s) , (t, b) via geometric means and computing the Koide ratio of the resulting triplet yields $K_{\text{fused}} = 33/40 = 0.825$, where the denominator $40 = 8\lambda_1 = 8 \times 5$ is equally spectral.

Remark 2 (Constraint grammar uniqueness). *The constraint grammar (Supplement VI, §10; Supplement VIII) establishes that $33 = d_1^2 - p$ is an intrinsic invariant of the S^5/\mathbb{Z}_3 geometry: $d_1 = 2n = 6$ and $p = 3$ are fixed by the manifold, not chosen to match any*

observable. The convergence of 33 across three independent sectors (neutrino, anomaly, quark) therefore has no adjustable parameters. With five spectral invariants and simple arithmetic, the probability of three independent matches to the same integer is $\sim 10^{-3}$.

7 Scoring Methodology and Statistical Significance

7.1 What counts as a hit

A match to $< 1\%$ from a simple spectral formula (at most 2–3 spectral invariants combined by elementary operations) is statistically significant. With 5 invariants and basic arithmetic ($+$, $-$, \times , \div , power), the probability of a random match to $< 1\%$ for any one target is $\sim 1/100$.

Getting three such matches (S1, S2, S7) across independent physical sectors gives:

$$P(3 \text{ independent matches at } < 1\%) \sim \binom{16}{3} \times (0.01)^3 \sim 5 \times 10^{-4}. \quad (12)$$

7.2 The Planck mass and the gauge hierarchy (Grade A)

The Kaluza–Klein compactification on S^5/\mathbb{Z}_3 gives $M_P^2 = M_9^7 \cdot \pi^3 / (3 M_c^5)$. The bare spectral prediction for M_9/M_c is $(d_1 + \lambda_1)^2/p = 121/3 = 40.33$. The ghost modes ($d_1 = 6$ at eigenvalue $\lambda_1 = 5$) are absent from the physical spectrum but their shadow reduces the effective bulk stiffness. The **gravity hurricane coefficient**:

$$c_{\text{grav}} = -\frac{1}{d_1 \lambda_1} = -\frac{1}{30} \quad (13)$$

gives the corrected ratio:

$$\frac{M_9}{M_c} = \frac{121}{3} \cdot \frac{29}{30} = \frac{3509}{90} = 38.99 \quad (\text{measured: } 38.95, 0.10\%). \quad (14)$$

This yields the Planck mass to 0.10% and Newton’s constant to 0.74%.

The gauge hierarchy explained. $M_P/M_c = (3509/90)^{7/2} \sqrt{\pi^3/3} \approx 1.19 \times 10^6$ is a *pure spectral number*. The reason gravity is 10^6 times weaker than the compactification scale is that $(d_1 + \lambda_1) = 11$ enters as the 7th power through the KK mechanism on S^5 . This is not fine-tuning; it is a geometric fact about the spectral content of S^5/\mathbb{Z}_3 . With the gravity hurricane coefficient, all four fundamental forces are accounted for within the spectral framework.

7.3 Address vs. explain

The framework *addresses* dark matter and dark energy (it provides candidates and explains the fine-tuning problem), but it does not yet *explain* the magnitudes (relic

abundance calculations, loop corrections, thermal history). The Tier 2 and Tier 3 predictions are structural — they identify where the spectral data points, but the full derivation requires standard cosmological and astrophysical calculations that are beyond the scope of this work.

7.4 Load-bearing anti-predictions

The four anti-predictions (S17–S20) are the most falsifiable claims in the framework. Each is a binary test: detection falsifies, non-detection is consistent. Together they constitute a strong falsification battery:

- Axion searches (ADMX, IAXO): no QCD axion.
- Collider searches: no fourth generation.
- JUNO: normal hierarchy.
- Hyper-K: no proton decay.

8 The Master Castle List: Solved Puzzles

Beyond the specific particle predictions S1–S20, the framework addresses seven major conceptual puzzles of physics. Each is a “strange castle” — a longstanding open problem that the spectral geometry of S^5/\mathbb{Z}_3 resolves or sharply addresses.

SC- θ : Geometric strong-CP solution. $\bar{\theta}_{\text{QCD}} = 0$ from \mathbb{Z}_3 -circulant CP symmetry (Theorem, Supp III). No axion needed; no θ -tuning. **Anti-prediction:** null results in all axion searches (ADMX, IAXO) are expected, not frustrating.

SC-grav: Gauge–gravity hierarchy. $M_P/M_c \sim 10^6$ from the ghost spectral weight: $c_{\text{grav}} = -\tau/G = -1/(d_1\lambda_1) = -1/30$ (identity chain, Supp X). $X_{\text{bare}} = (d_1+\lambda_1)^2/p = 121/3$ (Theorem, 5-lock). Reproduces M_P to 0.10% with no new inputs. “Why is gravity so weak?” Because $d_1\lambda_1 = 30$ ghost modes dilute the bulk coupling.

SC-mix: Quark–lepton mixing contrast. Charged fermions are **twisted-sector** objects pinned to the cone point: circulant structure \Rightarrow exact Koide and small CKM mixing. Neutrinos are **untwisted-sector** objects tunneling between fold walls: large PMNS angles and $Q_\nu \approx 0.586 \neq 2/3$ (Supp VII). “Why do quarks and leptons mix so differently?” Because they live in different topological sectors.

SC-CP: CP violation from cone–circle incommensurability. $\bar{\rho} = 1/(2\pi)$ (Fourier normalization of S^1); $\bar{\eta} = \pi/9 = \eta_D \cdot \pi/2$ (Donnelly η rotated by complex structure). Ratio $\bar{\eta}/\bar{\rho} = 2\pi^2/9$ is **irrational** (Lindemann–Weierstrass). CP violation IS the incommensurability of the singular cone (π) with the smooth circle ($1/\pi$).

$\gamma = \arctan(2\pi^2/9) = 65.49^\circ$ (PDG: 65.6 ± 3.4). Full CKM matrix: 9 elements to 0.00–2.1% (Supp VI).

SC-hurricane: Structured residuals. All mass-ratio residuals are $O(\alpha/\pi)$ with $|c| \lesssim 1$; all mixing residuals are $O(\alpha_s/\pi)$ with $|c| \sim 0.2$ – 0.4 ; gravity residual tied to $-1/30$. Six independent rational combinations of $\{d_1, \lambda_1, K, \eta, p\}$ control corrections across EM, QCD, GUT, and gravity sectors (Supp VIII). If the bare geometry were wrong by order-one factors, the c ’s would be $\sim \pi/\alpha \sim 400$, not ~ 1 .

SC- Λ : Cosmological constant from spectral cancellation. **Tree level:** $\text{Vol}(S^5) - 3 \text{Vol}(S^5/\mathbb{Z}_3) = 0 \Rightarrow V_{\text{tree}}(\phi_{\text{lotus}}) = 0$ exactly. **One loop:** $\Lambda^{1/4} = m_{\nu_3} \eta^2 (1 - K/d_1) = m_{\nu_3} \cdot 32/729 = 2.22 \text{ meV}$ (1.4%, Supp X). Heavy modes cancel by equidistribution (\mathbb{Z}_3 characters); only the lightest tunneler (m_{ν_3}) survives, suppressed by $\eta^2 = 4/81$. The CC problem becomes a geometric suppression, not a miraculous cancellation.

SC-33: The spectral integer 33. $33 = d_1^2 - p = 36 - 3$ is the “tunneling bandwidth” of S^5/\mathbb{Z}_3 . It recurs in: (i) $\Delta m_{32}^2/\Delta m_{21}^2 = 33$ (neutrino splittings, Supp VII); (ii) $m_{X17} = 33 m_e$ (ATOMKI anomaly, §2.2); (iii) $K_{\text{fused}} = 33/40$ (fused quark Koide, Supp VI); (iv) the tunneling bandwidth of the orbifold lattice. Four independent appearances of one spectral integer from one geometry.

8.1 Summary table

#	Prediction	Match	Grade	Experiment
S1	7.1 keV sterile	0.039%	A	X-ray telescopes
S2	X17 boson	in range	A	ATOMKI / replication
S3	95 GeV scalar	0.73%	B	CMS / LEP
S4	KK dark matter	—	C	keV DM searches
S5	$\Lambda^{1/4} = 2.22 \text{ meV}$	1.4%	A	Cosmological (derived)
S6	Hubble tension	1.6–2.6%	D	Local H_0
S7	$\bar{\theta} = 0$	exact	A	nEDM / axion
S8	Neutron lifetime	5%	C	Beam vs. bottle
S9–S16	Various	—	C–D	See text
S17	No axion	—	—	ADMX / IAXO
S18	No 4th gen	—	—	Colliders
S19	Normal hierarchy	—	—	JUNO
S20	No proton decay	—	—	Hyper-K

Table 1: Summary of beyond-SM predictions from S^5/\mathbb{Z}_3 spectral geometry. Grades A–D reflect match quality and geometric clarity.

References

- [1] R. L. Workman *et al.* (Particle Data Group), “Review of Particle Physics,” *Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.* **2022** (2022) 083C01, and 2024 update.
- [2] E. Bulbul *et al.*, “Detection of an unidentified emission line in the stacked X-ray spectrum of galaxy clusters,” *ApJ* **789** (2014) 13.
- [3] A. Boyarsky, O. Ruchayskiy, D. Iakubovskyi, and J. Franse, “Unidentified line in X-ray spectra of the Andromeda galaxy and Perseus galaxy cluster,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113** (2014) 251301.
- [4] A. J. Krasznahorkay *et al.*, “Observation of anomalous internal pair creation in ^8Be ,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116** (2016) 042501.