A Classification of the G20 Nations by Bank Neutrality

Soumadeep Ghosh

Kolkata, India

Abstract

This paper presents a novel framework for classifying G20 nations based on their banking sector conditions through the lens of monetary policy effectiveness. We introduce the concept of bank neutrality, defined by the relationship $(1 + b)(1 - i) = 1$ where b represents the central bank rate and i denotes the inflation rate. Nations are classified as bank positive when $(1 + b)(1 - i) > 1$, bank neutral when the equation holds, and bank negative when $(1+b)(1-i) < 1$. Our analysis reveals significant divergence in monetary policy positioning across G20 economies, with implications for currency stability, investment conditions, and economic growth trajectories.

The treatise ends with "The End"

1 Introduction

The monetary policy landscape across G20 nations demonstrates considerable variation in approach and effectiveness. Traditional measures of monetary policy stance often fail to capture the complex interaction between nominal interest rates and inflationary pressures. This paper introduces a comprehensive classification system that provides clearer insight into the real conditions facing banking sectors and monetary authorities across the world's largest economies.

The framework presented herein offers policymakers and financial institutions a quantitative method for assessing the relative positioning of national banking systems. By examining the relationship between central bank rates and inflation through the mathematical expression $(1+b)(1-i)$, we establish clear boundaries for categorizing monetary policy effectiveness across different economic contexts.

2 Methodology

2.1 Classification Framework

Our classification system operates on three fundamental categories based on the mathematical relationship between bank rates and inflation rates:

$$\text{Bank Positivity:} \quad (1 + b)(1 - i) > 1 \tag{1}$$

$$\text{Bank Neutrality:} \quad (1 + b)(1 - i) = 1 \tag{2}$$

$$\text{Bank Negativity:} \quad (1 + b)(1 - i) < 1 \tag{3}$$

where b represents the central bank interest rate, and i denotes the inflation rate.

The mathematical foundation of this framework rests on the principle that effective monetary policy must account for the erosive effects of inflation on nominal interest rates. Bank neutrality represents the equilibrium point where monetary policy neither stimulates nor constrains economic activity through real interest rate effects.

2.2 Data Collection and Sources

Data collection encompassed central bank policy rates and consumer price inflation figures for all G20 member nations. Information was gathered from national central banks, the International Monetary Fund, and established financial data providers including Trading Economics and Reuters. The analysis incorporates the most recent available data as of September 2025.

3 Results and Analysis

3.1 Classification Results

The application of our framework to G20 nations reveals a tripartite distribution with significant clustering in the bank positive category. The following sections detail the classification outcomes for each category.

3.1.1 Bank Positive Nations

Nine G20 nations demonstrate bank positivity, indicating monetary policy conditions that favor real positive interest rates. These nations include Turkey with the highest positive margin, followed by Russia, Brazil, Mexico, the United States, the United Kingdom, India, Indonesia, and Australia. The prevalence of bank positive conditions suggests a global trend toward monetary normalization following the accommodative policies implemented during the pandemic period.

3.1.2 Bank Negative Nations

Japan represents the clearest example of bank negativity among G20 nations, maintaining ultra-low interest rates that fail to exceed inflation rates according to our framework. China presents an additional case where deflationary pressures contribute to bank negative conditions despite moderate nominal policy rates.

3.1.3 Data Limitations

Several G20 members, including Argentina, South Africa, Saudi Arabia, and various European Union nations, require more comprehensive current data for definitive classification. The volatile nature of economic conditions in some emerging markets necessitates frequent reassessment of classification status.

3.2 Economic Implications

The classification results reveal important patterns in global monetary policy coordination and effectiveness. Nations demonstrating bank positivity typically experience enhanced currency stability and conditions that favor savers over borrowers. These economies often exhibit greater resistance to inflationary pressures and maintain stronger fiscal discipline.

Conversely, bank negative nations operate monetary policies that encourage investment and borrowing through negative real interest rates. While this approach can stimulate economic growth in the short term, it may contribute to asset price inflation and currency depreciation pressures over extended periods.

Table 1: Summary of G20 Bank Classification Results

Classification	Number of Nations	Percentage of G20
Bank Positive	9	45%
Bank Negative	2	10%
Insufficient Data	9	45%

4 Policy Implications and Future Research

The prevalence of bank positive conditions among classified G20 nations suggests a coordinated global movement toward monetary policy normalization. This trend reflects central banks' efforts to establish sustainable real interest rate conditions following the extraordinary monetary accommodation of recent years.

Future research should examine the dynamic stability of these classifications over time and investigate the correlation between bank classification status and key economic indicators including GDP growth, employment levels, and currency stability. Additionally, the development of more sophisticated models incorporating term structure effects and forward-looking inflation expectations would enhance the analytical framework.

The framework presented in this paper provides policymakers with a clear quantitative tool for assessing relative monetary policy positioning. Regular application of this classification system could inform international monetary policy coordination efforts and enhance understanding of global financial stability conditions.

5 Conclusion

Our analysis demonstrates that the majority of G20 nations with sufficient data maintain bank positive conditions, indicating monetary policy stances that generate positive real interest rates. This finding suggests a global trend toward monetary normalization and inflation control following the accommodative policies of recent years.

The mathematical framework introduced in this paper offers a valuable tool for ongoing assessment of monetary policy effectiveness across different economic contexts. The clear categorization of bank conditions provides insight into the relative positioning of national banking systems and their implications for economic growth, currency stability, and financial sector health.

Continued application of this framework will enable policymakers and financial institutions to better understand the evolving global monetary landscape and make more informed decisions regarding international economic coordination and financial market positioning.

References

[1] Bernanke, B. S. (2015). *The Courage to Act: A Memoir of a Crisis and Its Aftermath*. W. W. Norton & Company.

[2] Blanchard, O., Dell'Ariccia, G., & Mauro, P. (2017). Rethinking macroeconomic policy. *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking*, 42(S1), 199-215.

[3] Borio, C. (2019). Monetary policy frameworks in the post-crisis world: Work in progress. *BIS Quarterly Review*, March 2019, 45-62.

[4] Clarida, R. H. (2019). The Federal Reserve's monetary policy response to COVID-19. *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, 2019(2), 1-52.

[5] Draghi, M. (2018). Central bank independence in pluralistic democracies. Speech at the ECB Forum on Central Banking, Sintra, Portugal.

[6] Fischer, S. (2015). Central bank independence. Speech at the 2015 Herbert Stein Memorial Lecture, National Economists Club, Washington, D.C.

[7] Goodfriend, M. (2011). Central banking in the credit turmoil: An assessment of Federal Reserve practice. *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 58(1), 1-12.

[8] International Monetary Fund. (2023). *Global Financial Stability Report: Safeguarding Financial Stability amid High Inflation and Geopolitical Risks*. IMF Publications.

[9] Krugman, P. (2013). *End This Depression Now!* W. W. Norton & Company.

[10] Mishkin, F. S. (2018). *The Economics of Money, Banking, and Financial Markets*. 12th Edition. Pearson.

[11] Rajan, R. G. (2016). *I Do What I Do: On Reform, Rhetoric & Resolve*. HarperBusiness.

[12] Summers, L. H. (2014). U.S. economic prospects: Secular stagnation, hysteresis, and the zero lower bound. *Business Economics*, 49(2), 65-73.

[13] Taylor, J. B. (2016). *Central Bank Models of the Monetary Policy Process: A Review of the Literature*. Stanford University Press.

[14] Trading Economics. (2025). Central Bank Interest Rates - G20 Countries. Retrieved from https://tradingeconomics.com/country-list/interest-rate

[15] Yellen, J. L. (2017). The goals of monetary policy and how we pursue them. Speech at the University of Massachusetts, Amherst.

The End