

Stack Data Structure: Comprehensive Summary

1. Introduction to Stacks

A **stack** is a linear data structure that follows the **LIFO (Last In, First Out)** principle. Elements are added (pushed) and removed (popped) only from one end, called the **top** of the stack. Stacks are used in many applications such as function call management, expression evaluation, backtracking algorithms, and browser history navigation[1].

Key Characteristics

- **LIFO Access:** The most recently added element is the first to be removed
 - **Top Pointer/Reference:** Tracks the current top element
 - **Homogeneous Data:** All elements are of the same type
 - **Time Complexity:** Push, pop, and peek operations are $O(1)$
-

2. Stack Implementation Using Arrays

2.1 Array-Based Stack Structure

Attributes:

- **arr[]** - A fixed-size array to store stack elements
- **top** - An integer variable that points to the index of the top element
 - **Initial value:** $\text{top} = -1$ (when stack is empty)
 - **After first push:** $\text{top} = 0$
 - **Increments on push:** $\text{top}++$
 - **Decrements on pop:** $\text{top}--$
- **capacity** - The maximum size of the array (fixed at creation time)

2.2 Array Implementation Code (C++)

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;

class StackArray {
private:
    int* arr;
    int top;
    int capacity;

public:
    StackArray(int size) {
        capacity = size;
        arr = new int[capacity];
```

```
top = -1;  
}
```

```
bool isFull() {  
    return top == capacity - 1;  
}  
  
bool isEmpty() {  
    return top == -1;  
}  
  
void push(int value) {  
    if (isFull()) {  
        cout << "Stack Overflow!\n";  
        return;  
    }  
    arr[++top] = value;  
    cout << value << " pushed to stack\n";  
}  
  
int pop() {  
    if (isEmpty()) {  
        cout << "Stack Underflow!\n";  
        return -1;  
    }  
    return arr[top--];  
}  
  
int peek() {  
    if (isEmpty()) {  
        cout << "Stack is empty!\n";  
        return -1;  
    }  
    return arr[top];  
}  
  
void print() {  
    if (isEmpty()) {
```

```

        cout << "Stack is empty!\n";
        return;
    }
    cout << "Stack elements: ";
    for (int i = 0; i <= top; i++) {
        cout << arr[i] << " ";
    }
    cout << "\n";
}

~StackArray() {
    delete[] arr;
}

```

```
};
```

```

// Example usage
int main() {
    StackArray stack(5);
    stack.push(10);
    stack.push(20);
    stack.push(30);
    stack.print(); // Output: 10 20 30
    cout << "Top: " << stack.peek() << "\n"; // Output: 30
    cout << "Popped: " << stack.pop() << "\n"; // Output: 30
    stack.print(); // Output: 10 20
    return 0;
}

```

2.3 Array Implementation Advantages

- **Cache-Friendly:** Array elements are contiguous in memory, leading to better CPU cache performance[1]
- **Fast Access:** Direct index-based access to elements ($O(1)$ average case)
- **Memory Efficient:** No extra memory overhead for pointers
- **Simple Implementation:** Straightforward logic with minimal code complexity

2.4 Array Implementation Disadvantages

- **Fixed Size:** Capacity is predetermined; cannot grow dynamically[1]
 - **Memory Waste:** If stack size is unknown, declaring a large array wastes memory
 - **Overflow Risk:** Stack overflow occurs when trying to push beyond capacity
 - **Costly Resizing:** If more space is needed, array must be resized (usually doubled), which is $O(n)$ operation
-

3. Stack Implementation Using Linked Lists

3.1 Linked List-Based Stack Structure

Attributes:

- **Node Structure:**
 - **data** - The value stored in the node
 - **next** - A pointer/reference to the next node in the stack
- **top** (or head) - A pointer to the topmost node
 - **Initial value:** top = NULL/nullptr (when stack is empty)
 - **Points to:** The most recently added node
 - **Updates on push:** New node becomes the new top
 - **Updates on pop:** top pointer shifts to the previous node

3.2 Linked List Implementation Code (C++)

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;
```

```
class StackLinkedList {
private:
    struct Node {
        int data;
        Node* next;
        Node(int value) : data(value), next(nullptr) {}
    };
```

```
    Node* top;
```

```
public:
    StackLinkedList() : top(nullptr) {}
```

```
    bool isEmpty() {
        return top == nullptr;
    }

    void push(int value) {
        Node* newNode = new Node(value);
        newNode->next = top;
        top = newNode;
        cout << value << " pushed to stack\n";
    }

    int pop() {
```

```

    if (isEmpty()) {
        cout << "Stack Underflow!\n";
        return -1;
    }
    Node* temp = top;
    int value = temp->data;
    top = top->next;
    delete temp;
    return value;
}

```

```

int peek() {
    if (isEmpty()) {
        cout << "Stack is empty!\n";
        return -1;
    }
    return top->data;
}

```

```

void print() {
    if (isEmpty()) {
        cout << "Stack is empty!\n";
        return;
    }
    cout << "Stack elements: ";
    Node* temp = top;
    while (temp != nullptr) {
        cout << temp->data << " ";
        temp = temp->next;
    }
    cout << "\n";
}

```

```

~StackLinkedList() {
    while (!isEmpty()) {
        pop();
    }
}

```

```

    }
}

};

// Example usage
int main() {
    StackLinkedList stack;
    stack.push(10);
    stack.push(20);
    stack.push(30);
    stack.print(); // Output: 30 20 10
    cout << "Top: " << stack.peek() << "\n"; // Output: 30
    cout << "Popped: " << stack.pop() << "\n"; // Output: 30
    stack.print(); // Output: 20 10
    return 0;
}

```

3.3 Linked List Implementation Advantages

- **Dynamic Size:** Stack grows and shrinks as needed; no fixed capacity[1]
- **No Overflow:** As long as memory is available, stack can expand indefinitely
- **Memory Efficient:** Memory is allocated only when needed
- **Flexible:** Scales well for unpredictable data sizes

3.4 Linked List Implementation Disadvantages

- **Memory Overhead:** Extra memory required for storing pointers (typically 4-8 bytes per node)[1]
- **Cache Unfriendly:** Nodes are scattered in memory; poor cache locality
- **Slower Access:** Accessing elements requires traversing from the top
- **More Complex Code:** Requires manual memory management and pointer handling

4. Comparison: Array vs Linked List Stack

Attribute	Array-Based	Linked List-Based
Memory Allocation	Fixed at creation	Dynamic (as needed)
Size Limitation	Fixed capacity	Unlimited (limited by available memory)
Push/Pop Time	$O(1)$ amortized	$O(1)$
Peek Time	$O(1)$	$O(1)$
Memory Overhead	None for data	Extra for pointers per node
Cache Performance	Cache-friendly	Cache-unfriendly
Overflow Risk	Yes (if full)	No
Resizing Cost	$O(n)$ when doubled	No resizing needed
Underflow Handling	Checked at top = -1	Checked at top = NULL
Best Use Case	Known fixed size	Unknown/variable size
Implementation Complexity	Simple	Moderate
Memory Waste	Possible if unused	Minimal (one pointer per element)

Table 1: Detailed Comparison of Array vs Linked List Stack Implementations

5. Attribute Differences in Detail

5.1 Top Pointer/Index

Array Version:

- Stores an **integer index** (0 to capacity-1)
- Top = -1 means empty
- Top = 4 means elements from index 0 to 4 are in use
- Direct calculation of used space: (top + 1) elements

Linked List Version:

- Stores a **pointer/reference** to a Node
- Top = NULL means empty
- Top points to the actual node object
- Must traverse to find stack size

5.2 Capacity Management

Array Version:

- **Fixed capacity** set during initialization
- Cannot exceed declared size
- Checking: `isFull()` returns `(top == capacity - 1)`
- Overflow must be handled explicitly

Linked List Version:

- **No predefined capacity**
- Grows with each push (within system memory limits)
- No `isFull()` method needed
- Overflow only occurs if system runs out of memory

5.3 Memory Overhead

Array Version:

Memory used = $\text{capacity} \times \text{sizeof}(\text{int})$

Example: Stack of 100 integers = $100 \times 4 \text{ bytes} = 400 \text{ bytes}$

Linked List Version:

Memory used = $(\text{number of elements}) \times (\text{sizeof}(\text{int}) + \text{sizeof}(\text{pointer}))$

Example: Stack with 100 elements = $100 \times (4 + 8) = 1200 \text{ bytes}$

Note: Extra 800 bytes for pointers, but no wasted space if stack is small

5.4 Empty State Representation

Array Version:

- Empty condition: `top == -1`
- Simple integer comparison

Linked List Version:

- Empty condition: `top == nullptr` (or `NULL`)
- Pointer null check

5.5 Deletion and Cleanup

Array Version:

- Single `delete[]` for the entire array
- Destructors called for array elements automatically

Linked List Version:

- Must delete each node individually in the destructor
 - Requires traversal from top to bottom
 - Risk of memory leaks if not handled carefully
-

6. Real-World Applications

1. **Function Call Stack:** Manages recursive function calls and local variables[1]
 2. **Expression Evaluation:** Evaluates postfix expressions and checks balanced parentheses
 3. **Browser History:** Back button in web browsers stores visited pages
 4. **Undo/Redo Operations:** Text editors maintain stacks of changes
 5. **Depth-First Search (DFS):** Graph traversal algorithms use stacks
 6. **Tower of Hanoi:** Classic recursive algorithm that uses stacks
-

7. When to Use Which Implementation

Use **Array Implementation** when:

- Maximum stack size is known and fixed[1]
- Memory is limited and you want minimal overhead
- Cache performance is critical
- Implementation simplicity is important
- The application is performance-sensitive (e.g., embedded systems)

Use **Linked List Implementation** when:

- Stack size is unknown or highly variable[1]
 - Memory allocation must be dynamic
 - Frequent resizing of array would be costly
 - Preventing overflow is critical
 - Flexibility is more important than raw performance
-

8. Conclusion

Both array-based and linked list-based stack implementations provide $O(1)$ push, pop, and peek operations[1]. The choice between them depends on:

- **Known vs. unknown capacity**
- **Fixed vs. variable size requirements**
- **Memory constraints vs. performance needs**
- **Implementation complexity tolerance**

For **fixed, predictable sizes**, arrays offer better cache performance and memory efficiency. For **dynamic, unpredictable sizes**, linked lists provide unlimited growth without overflow risk. In modern applications, both are widely used depending on the specific use case[1].

References

[1] GeeksforGeeks & Multiple Sources. (2024). Stack Implementation using Arrays and Linked Lists. <https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/dsa/>

[2] FullStackPrep. (2025, June 21). Array Stack vs Linked List Stack in .NET: Real-World Comparison. <https://www.fullstackprep.dev/articles/dsa/array/array-vs-linkedlist-stack>

[3] Fiveable. (2025, August 21). Implementation of Stacks and Queues using Arrays and Linked Lists. <https://fiveable.me/data-structures/unit-3/implementation-stacks-queues-arrays-linked-lists/>

[4] Stack Overflow. (2023, October 30). Which is more efficient: stacks using array or stacks using LinkedList? <https://stackoverflow.com/questions/77393453/>

[5] Purdue University. (n.d.). Stacks, Queues, and Linked Lists. <https://www.cs.purdue.edu/homes/ayg/CS251/slides/chap3.pdf>