

# **Math 382: Homework 2**

Due on Sunday January 16, 2022 at 5:00 PM

*Prof. Ezra Getzler*

**Anthony Tam**

## Problem 1

Consider the annulus  $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} | a < |z| < b\}$ , where  $0 < a < b < \infty$ . Show that  $U$  is a domain. In showing that any two points of  $U$  may be joined by a path, you may exhibit a path that is piecewise differentiable. The original question was to show that you may choose the path to be piecewise linear; if you can do that, you may derive satisfaction for a job well done.

## Solution

*Proof.* To show that  $U$  is a domain, we need to ① show that  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  is open and ② show that  $U$  is path connected. For ①, define the sets

$$S_1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} | |z| > a\} \quad \text{and} \quad S_2 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} | |z| < b\}.$$

We claim that both  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are open. For  $S_1$ , recall that any set is open if and only if its complement is closed. Thus, consider  $S_1^C = \{z \in \mathbb{C} | |z| \leq a\}$ , a closed ball of radius  $a$ . But note that the boundary  $\partial S_1^C = \{z \in \mathbb{C} | |z| = a\} \subset S_1^C$ , i.e.,  $S_1^C$  contains its boundary so the complement is closed and  $S_1$  is open. For  $S_2$  note that the region is simply an open ball of radius  $b < \infty$ , so is open by the result shown in class. Now observe the intersection of these two sets is exactly  $S_1 \cap S_2 = U$  and the intersection of two open sets is itself open, so in particular  $U$  is open. For ②, we need to show that  $U$  is path connected. Let  $z_1, z_2 \in U$ . Then write both in polar form:

$$z_1 = r_1 e^{i\theta_1} \quad \text{and} \quad z_2 = r_2 e^{i\theta_2}.$$

Note that since  $z_1, z_2 \in U$ , we must have  $a < r_1, r_2 < b$ . Now we claim that the choice of the two following paths, namely

$$\gamma(t) = r_1 e^{i(\theta_1 + t(\theta_2 - \theta_1))} \quad \text{and} \quad \xi(t) = (r_1 + t(r_2 - r_1)) e^{i\theta_2}$$

both for  $t \in [0, 1]$ , give a piecewise differentiable path  $\gamma \cup \xi$  that connects  $z_1$  and  $z_2$ . First note that the exponential function and affine function are certainly differentiable, so respectively  $\gamma$  and  $\xi$  are differentiable functions of  $t$  and their concatenation is then also piecewise differentiable. Then note that geometrically,  $\gamma$  starts at  $z_1$  and traverses along the circle of radius  $r_1$  centered at the origin in a CCW fashion as  $t$  runs from  $0 \rightarrow 1$ . When  $t = 1$ ,  $\gamma$  ends at  $\gamma(1) = r_1 e^{i\theta_2}$ , which is radial with  $z_2$ . Then the concatenation with  $\xi$  traverses in a straight line along the radial direction until it hits  $z_2$  as  $t$  runs from  $0 \rightarrow 1$ , upon which  $\xi(1) = r_2 e^{i\theta_2} = z_2$ , as claimed. Thus,  $U$  is open and path connected, i.e., it is a domain.

For the challenge, another way to see that  $U$  is path connected but *only* using a *polygonal* path is to draw a closed ball centered at  $z_1$  of radius  $b - a$ , i.e.,  $\overline{B_1(b - a, z_1)}$ , and now draw any radial line from the center to the edge of the ball in the direction of  $z_2$ . This line, call it  $\ell_1$ , is indeed a path since the ball is convex. Then draw another ball  $\overline{B_2(b - a, w_1)}$  where  $w_1$  lies on the circle of radius  $r_1$  centered at the origin, i.e.,  $w_1$  and  $z_1$  lie on the same circle centered at the origin. Now you can draw any line  $\ell_2$  that starts from the end of  $\ell_1$  in the direction of  $z_2$  until you hit the edge of the ball, and by convexity of the ball  $\ell_2$  is still a path. Continue this process until the ball  $\overline{B_i(b - a, w_i)}$  contains the point  $z_2$ , upon which you can always draw a straight line from the edge of the ball where  $\ell_{i-1}$  stopped to the point  $z_2$  since the open ball is path connected. The union of all the paths  $\gamma = \ell_1 \cup \ell_2 \cdots \ell_i$  gives a polygonal path from  $z_1$  to  $z_2$  and is thus  $U$  is path connected.  $\square$

## Problem 2

Verify by calculating the partial derivatives with respect to  $x$  and  $y$ , the real and imaginary parts of  $z$ , that the function  $\sin(z)$  satisfies the Cauchy-Riemann equation.

### Solution

First write  $f(z) = \sin z$  in complex exponential form:

$$\sin z = \frac{e^{iz} - e^{-iz}}{2i}$$

Then writing the complex number  $z$  as  $z = x + iy$  for  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ , we can expand and simplify the sine as

$$\begin{aligned} \sin z &= \frac{e^{i(x+iy)} - e^{-i(x+iy)}}{2i} \\ &= \frac{e^{ix}e^{-y} - e^{-ix}e^y}{2i} \\ &= \frac{(\cos x + i \sin x)e^{-y}}{2i} - \frac{(\cos x - i \sin x)e^y}{2i} \\ &= \cos x \frac{e^{-y}}{2i} + \sin x \frac{e^{-y}}{2} - \cos x \frac{e^y}{2i} + \sin x \frac{e^y}{2} \\ &= \cos x \left( \frac{e^{-y} - e^y}{2i} \right) + \sin x \left( \frac{e^y + e^{-y}}{2} \right) \\ &= \sin x \left( \frac{e^y + e^{-y}}{2} \right) + i \cos x \left( \frac{e^y - e^{-y}}{2} \right) \\ &= \sin x \cosh y + i \cos x \sinh y, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the identity  $e^{ix} = \cos x + i \sin x$ . Now let  $u = \sin x \cosh y$  and  $v = \cos x \sinh y$  so that

$$f(z) = u + iv.$$

Then we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} &= \cos x \cosh y & \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} &= \sin x \sinh y \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} &= \cos x \cosh y & \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} &= -\sin x \sinh y. \end{aligned}$$

So, we have

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x},$$

so  $f(z) = \sin z$  satisfies the Cauchy-Riemann equations.

### Problem 3

Consider the square with vertices  $\{0, 1, 1+i, i\}$ . Let  $\gamma$  be a parametrized path that follows the four sides of this square in a counterclockwise direction.

a) If  $g(x, y)dx + h(x, y)dy$  is a differential defined on an open set containing the square, calculate the line integral

$$\int_{\Gamma} g(x, y)dx + h(x, y)dy$$

in terms of explicit definite integrals.

b) Calculate this line integral for the differentials  $dz$ ,  $zdz$  and  $z^2dz$ . Do you see a pattern?

c) Calculate the line integral for the differential  $\bar{z}dz$ .

### Solution

#### Part A

We first parametrize the unit square with vertices  $\{0, 1, 1+i, i\}$  in a CCW fashion: let  $\Gamma$  be the concatenation of piecewise line segments  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$ ,  $C_3$ , and  $C_4$ , each parametrized respectively by

$$\begin{cases} \gamma_1(t) = t + 0i, & t \in [0, 1] \\ \gamma_2(t) = 1 + ti, & t \in [0, 1] \\ \gamma_3(t) = (1-t) + i, & t \in [0, 1] \\ \gamma_4(t) = 0 + (1-t)i, & t \in [0, 1], \end{cases}$$

such that  $\Gamma = C_1 \cup C_2 \cup C_3 \cup C_4$  gives the desired piecewise differentiable parametrization. Then the line integral of the 1-form  $g(x, y)dx + h(x, y)dy$  over  $\Gamma$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Gamma} g(x, y)dx + h(x, y)dy &= \int_{C_1} g(x, y)dx + h(x, y)dy + \cdots + \int_{C_4} g(x, y)dx + h(x, y)dy \\ &= \int_0^1 [g(\gamma_1(t))\gamma_1'(t) + h(\gamma_1(t))\gamma_1'(t)] dt + \cdots + \int_0^1 [g(\gamma_4(t))\gamma_4'(t) + h(\gamma_4(t))\gamma_4'(t)] dt. \end{aligned}$$

Then computing

$$\gamma_1'(t) = 1, \gamma_2'(t) = i, \gamma_3'(t) = -1, \text{ and } \gamma_4'(t) = -i$$

gives us that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Gamma} g(x, y)dx + h(x, y)dy &= \int_0^1 [g(\gamma_1(t)) + h(\gamma_1(t))] dt + i \int_0^1 [g(\gamma_2(t)) + h(\gamma_2(t))] dt \\ &\quad - \int_0^1 [g(\gamma_3(t)) + h(\gamma_3(t))] dt - i \int_0^1 [g(\gamma_4(t)) + h(\gamma_4(t))] dt. \end{aligned}$$

#### Part B

Using the result of **Part A**, for the differential form  $dz$  we have

$$\int_{\Gamma} g(x, y)dx + h(x, y)dy = \int_0^1 dt + i \int_0^1 dt - \int_0^1 dt - i \int_0^1 dt = 0.$$

Similarly, for  $zdz$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\Gamma} g(x, y)dx + h(x, y)dy &= \int_0^1 t \, dt + i \int_0^1 (1 + ti) \, dt - \int_0^1 (1 - t + i) \, dt - i \int_0^1 (1 - t)i \, dt \\ &= 1 + i - 1 - 1 + 1 - i + 1 - 1 \\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Finally, for  $z^2 dz$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\Gamma} g(x, y)dx + h(x, y)dy &= \int_0^1 t^2 \, dt + i \int_0^1 (1 + ti)^2 \, dt - \int_0^1 (1 - t + i)^2 \, dt - i \int_0^1 ((1 - t)i)^2 \, dt \\ &= \frac{1}{3} - 1 + \frac{2}{3}i + \frac{2}{3} - i + \frac{1}{3}i \\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

It seems like the line integral along  $\Gamma$  for all of these differential forms is zero. This seems to be reflective of the classic multivariable result that if  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is open and  $\mathbf{F} : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is a continuous, conservative vector field on  $U$ , then the closed line integral vanishes, that is  $\oint_C \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{s} = 0$ , for every piecewise oriented closed curve  $C$  in  $U$ . This follows from the existence of a potential function for  $\mathbf{F}$  since it is conservative and the fundamental theorem of line integrals.

## Part C

Using the result of **Part A**, for  $\bar{z}dz$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\Gamma} g(x, y)dx + h(x, y)dy &= \int_0^1 t \, dt + i \int_0^1 (1 - ti) \, dt - \int_0^1 (1 - t - i) \, dt - i \int_0^1 -(1 - t)i \, dt \\ &= 2i.\end{aligned}$$

If the observation made in **Part B** is correct, then this follows from the fact that  $\bar{z}$  does not have an antiderivative, whatever that means.