

# EE 771 : Recent Topics in Analytical Signal Processing

## Assignment 2

Arka Sadhu - 140070011

April 5, 2018

### Q1

Results are taken from the paper : M. Vetterli, P. Marziliano, and T. Blu, "Sampling Signals with Finite Rate of Innovation", IEEE Trans. on Signal Processing, Jun 2002.

We are given a 1 dimensional periodic signal  $x(t)$  with period  $T = 1$  as:

$$x(t) = a_1 u(t - t_1) + a_2 u(t - t_2) - (a_1 + a_2) u(t - t_3)$$

with  $0 < t_1 < t_2 < t_3$

#### 1a

We want to find the number of samples of  $x(t) * \text{sinc}(Bt)$  which are sufficient for the reconstruction of  $x(t)$  and a suitable value for  $B$ . We note that  $x(t)$  is an example of a non-uniform spline and therefore we can directly use Theorem 2 from the paper.

The minimum number of samples required are  $N = 2M + 1$  where  $M = \lfloor \frac{B\tau}{2} \rfloor$ . We need  $B \geq \rho$  where  $\rho$  is the rate of innovation. In this case  $\rho = \frac{2K}{\tau}$  and  $K = 3, \tau = 1$ . Therefore  $\rho = 6$ . But we note that  $c_1 + c_2 + c_3 = 0$  which reduces one degree of freedom and hence  $\tau = 5$ . Thus, we need  $B \geq 5Hz$ . Choosing  $B = 5Hz$  gives us  $M = 2$  and  $N = 5$ , i.e. we can reconstruct the signal given 5 samples and choosing  $B = 5Hz$ .

#### 1b

We are now given a new 1d periodic signal  $x_1(t)$  with the same period  $T = 1$  as:

$$x_1(t) = x(t) + b_1 \delta(t - t_1) - b_1 \delta(t - t_3)$$

Clearly, this is an example of the stream of derivatives of dirac deltas and we can use Theorem 3 from the paper. First we find the rate of innovation. The degrees of freedom increases by only from the previous part. This gives us  $\rho = \frac{6}{1} = 6Hz$ . Choosing  $B = 6Hz$  we get  $M = 3$  and correspondingly  $N = 7$ .

### Q2

We want to prove that the Yule Walker system in the algorithm mentioned in the paper is invertible.

Denote the Yule Walker system matrix as  $A$ . We consider a  $3 \times 3$  matrix and note that the proof can be easily extended to any other  $n \times n$  matrix.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} X[0] & X[-1] & X[-2] \\ X[1] & X[0] & X[-1] \\ X[2] & X[1] & X[0] \end{bmatrix}$$

Here  $X[m] = \frac{1}{\tau} \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} c_k \exp(-i2\pi m t_k / \tau)$ . Denote  $u_k = \exp(-i2\pi t_k / \tau)$ . We can re-write  $X[m] = \frac{1}{\tau} \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} c_k u_k^m$ . In this case  $K = 3$ . Also it is clear that the value of  $\tau$  wouldn't make a difference in the invertibility of the matrix  $A$ . Thus we can write the following:

$$X[0] = c_1 + c_2 + c_3$$

$$\begin{aligned}
X[1] &= c_1 u_1 + c_2 u_2 + c_3 u_3 \\
X[2] &= c_1 u_1^2 + c_2 u_2^2 + c_3 u_3^2 \\
X[-1] &= c_1 u_1^{-1} + c_2 u_2^{-1} + c_3 u_3^{-1} \\
X[-2] &= c_1 u_1^{-2} + c_2 u_2^{-2} + c_3 u_3^{-2}
\end{aligned}$$

We further note that we can write  $A$  as  $A = [A_1 c | A_2 c | A_3 c]$ . Here:

$$A_3 = \begin{bmatrix} u_1^{-2} & u_2^{-2} & u_3^{-2} \\ u_1^{-1} & u_2^{-1} & u_3^{-1} \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A_2 = U A_3$$

$$A_1 = U^2 A_3$$

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & u_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & u_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Moreover,  $A_3$  is a permutation of a vander monde matrix. Therefore  $A_3$  is invertible and therefore is non-singular. Now denote the determinant of  $A$  by  $\det(A)$ . We have

$$\det(A) = \det(A_3 [U^2 c | U c | c]) = \det(A_3) \det([U^2 c | U c | c])$$

The first term on the rhs is non-zero since  $A_3$  is non-singular. The second term on the rhs is

$$\det([U^2 c | U c | c]) = c_1 c_2 c_3 \det(B)$$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} u_1^2 & u_1 & 1 \\ u_2^2 & u_2 & 1 \\ u_3^2 & u_3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Clearly  $B$  is also a vander monde matrix and therefore,  $B$  is also non-singular. Also,  $c_1, c_2, c_3$  are also non-zero (else there will be no diracs at those places and the dimension of the matrix will reduce). Therefore we have:

$$\det(A) \neq 0$$

Consequently, we have proved that  $A$  is invertible.

### Q3

Paper followed : M. Vetterli, P. Marziliano, and T. Blu, "Sampling Signals with Finite Rate of Innovation", IEEE Trans. on Signal Processing, Jun 2002. We are given  $u_1, u_2$  as the roots of unity. We want to construct the annihilation filter for the Fourier Series coefficients

$$X[m] = \sum_{r=0}^3 c_r m^r u_1^m + \sum_{r=0}^1 d_r m^r u_2^m$$

This can be re-written as:

$$X[m] = u_1^m (c_0 + c_1 m + c_2 m^2 + c_3 m^3) + u_2^m (d_0 + d_1 m)$$

$$X[m] = u_1^m * \text{poly}(m, 3) + u_2^m * \text{poly}(m, 1)$$

Here  $\text{poly}(m, r)$  denotes a polynomial in  $m$  of degree  $r$ . The annihilation filter  $A(z)$  can be constructed in the following way (as noted in the paper):

- For  $u_1^m \text{poly}(m, 3)$  we need the annihilation filter  $A_1(z) = (1 - u_1 z^{-4})$  (as stated in the paper). This is because, say  $A_1(z) = \sum_{l=0}^4 A_1[l] z^{-l}$ , then  $A_1^{(n)}(u_1) = 0 = \sum_{l=0}^4 A_1[l] * l * (l-1) * \dots * (l-n+1) * z^{-l}$  and this would be true for all  $n \leq 3$ . Thus  $\sum_{l=0}^4 A_1[l] P[l] u_1^{-l} = 0$ . Here  $P[l]$  is any polynomial of degree less than 3. Moreover, this is smallest possible annihilation filter as we need to annihilate polynomials of degree 3.

- Similarly, to annihilate  $u_2^m poly(m, 1)$  we require  $A_2(z) = (1 - u_2 z^{-1})^2$ . This is the smallest filter to annihilate the components of  $u_2$ .
- To annihilate the sum we simply take the product of the two filters.  $A(z) = A_1(z)A_2(z)$ .

$$A(z) = (1 - u_1 z^{-1})^4 (1 - u_2 z^{-1})^2$$

## Q4

Paper followed : A Generalized Sampling Method for Finite-Rate-of-Innovation-Signal Reconstruction by Chandra Sekhar Seelamantula, Member, IEEE, and Michael Unser, Fellow, IEEE.

We are given

$$x(t) = \sum_{k=1}^K b_k \delta(t - t_k)$$

Here all  $t_k$  are in  $(0, 1)$ . Two RC filters with values  $(R_1, C_1)$  and  $(R_2, C_2)$  are used in parallel to filter out  $x(t)$ . We are also given the impulse response of the RC filters as:

$$h_i = \exp(-R_i C_i) u(t)$$

where  $i = 1, 2$  and  $u(t)$  is the unit time step signal. We need to find the conditions on sampling interval  $T$  so that the sampled signal  $x(t) * h_i(t)|_{t=nT}$  are sufficient to reconstruct the parameters of  $x(t)$ .

Denote  $\alpha_i = R_i C_i$

$$y_i(t) = x * h_i(t) = \sum_{k=1}^K b_k \exp(-\alpha_i(t - t_k)) u(t - t_k)$$

Let the sampling period be  $T$ . Then we get:

$$y_i(nT) = \sum_{k=1}^K b_k \exp(-\alpha_i(nT - t_k)) u(nT - t_k), n \in \mathcal{Z}$$

Now we consider a discrete time finite impulse response filter specified by the Z-Transform:

$$G_i(z) = (1 - \exp(-\alpha_i T) z^{-T})$$

It is noted that  $G_i(z)$  is the convolutional inverse of the discrete time exponential  $\exp(-\alpha_i nT) u(nT)$ .

Therefore, when the sequence  $y_i[n] = y_i(nT)$  is processed by  $G_i(z)$  it gives rise to a stream of kronecker impulses.

$$p_i(nT) = \sum_{k=1}^K b_k \exp(-\alpha_i nT + \alpha_i t_k) (u(nT - t_k) - u((n-1)T - t_k))$$

$$p_i(nT) = \sum_{k=1}^K b_k \exp(-\alpha_i r(t_k)T + \alpha_i t_k) \delta[n - r(t_k)]$$

Here  $r(t_l) = \lceil t_l/T \rceil$  which indicates the ceiling operation.

If we assume that there is at most one dirac impulse in a sampling interval then the amplitude of the kronecker delta signal carries information about the position as well as the amplitude of the corresponding dirac impulse in a separable fashion. The condition boils down to

$$\min_{2 \leq k \leq K} \{t_k - t_{k-1}\} > T$$

Now we note that the  $k^{th}$  non zero value in  $p_i(nT)$  ( $i=1, 2$ ) occur at the time instant  $r(t_k)T$  just after  $k^{th}$  dirac impulse has excited the respective analog system. We compute for  $i=1, 2$ :

$$q_i[k] = p_i(r(t_k)T) \exp(\alpha_i r(t_k)T) = b_k \exp(\alpha_i t_k)$$

We solve the above (two equations for  $i=1,2$ ) and get:

$$t_k = \frac{1}{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2} \ln\left(\frac{q_1[k]}{q_2[k]}\right)$$

$$a_k = \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2} \ln\left(\frac{q_1[k]}{q_2[k]}\right)\right)$$