

# CMU Airlab Problem Statement

Arka Sadhu - 140070011  
3rd Year Btech. Electrical Engineering

December 2016

## Problem Statement

**Q1.** Name one advantage and one disadvantage of using Euler angles (e.g. Roll-Pitch-Yaw), unit quaternions, rotation matrices and axis/angle representations of rotations.

**A1.**

- Euler Angles:
  - Advantages:
    - \* Only 3 parameters need to be stored.
    - \* It is more human understandable and good for decomposing rotations into individual degrees of freedom.
  - Disadvantages:
    - \* Interpolation is difficult.
    - \* There are ambiguities in the order of Matrix Rotations.
    - \* If the middle rotation is by  $90^\circ$ , it results in a Gimbal Lock.
- Unit Quaternions:
  - Advantages:
    - \* There is no ambiguity, no gimbal lock, and interpolation can be done using slerp, producing smooth rotations.
    - \* It requires only 4 parameters to be stored.
    - \* Even translations can be accommodated using Dual Quaternions.
    - \* Computation is efficient for computers.
    - \* Consecutive rotation is simply multiplication of two quaternions.
  - Disadvantages:
    - \* In general it is not easy to visualize Quaternions, and need to be converted to Axis Angle Representation.
    - \* The Quaternion-Algebra is quite involved.
    - \* There exists redundancy, ie two quaternions may imply the same rotation.
- Rotation Matrices
  - Advantages:
    - \* Rotations can be easily concatenated.
    - \* They directly give the new axis of rotations, which may be required in some applications.

- \* With homogenous coordinates it is very easy to incorporate Translation too.
- Disadvantages:
  - \* Rotation Matrices need 9 parameters to be stored.
  - \* The matrices must be orthogonal, and often due to floating point inaccuracy, they do not remain orthogonal, and the elements of the matrix may need to be recomputed.
- Axis Angle Representation
  - Advantages:
    - \* Very easy to visualize.
    - \* Can be very easily converted to quaternions.
  - Disadvantages:
    - \* If  $\theta = 0$  then axis is arbitrary.
    - \* For  $\theta$  and  $\theta + 2 * k * \pi$  produce the same result.

**Q2.** Let  $R^a = (\theta_{roll}^a, \theta_{pitch}^a, \theta_{yaw}^a)$  and  $R^b = (\theta_{roll}^b, \theta_{pitch}^b, \theta_{yaw}^b)$  be a rotation corresponding to the following Roll-Pitch-Yaw angles (ZYX conventions):

$$\begin{array}{ll} \theta_{roll}^a = \pi/4 & \theta_{roll}^b = -\pi/3 \\ \theta_{pitch}^a = 0 & \theta_{pitch}^b = 0 \\ \theta_{yaw}^a = \pi/3 & \theta_{yaw}^b = 0 \end{array}$$

Compute 3x3 rotation matrices corresponding to  $R^a$  and  $R^b$ . Then compare  $R^a R^b$  and  $R^b R^a$  and give physical meaning to these two.

**A2.**

$$R^a = \begin{bmatrix} 0.707107 & -0.353553 & 0.612372 \\ 0.707107 & 0.353553 & -0.612372 \\ 0 & 0.866025 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$R^b = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 & 0.866025 & 0 \\ -0.866025 & 0.5 & -0 \\ -0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$R^a R^b = \begin{bmatrix} 0.65974 & 0.435596 & 0.612372 \\ 0.0473672 & 0.789149 & -0.612372 \\ -0.75 & 0.433013 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$R^b R^a = \begin{bmatrix} 0.965926 & 0.12941 & -0.224144 \\ -0.258819 & 0.482963 & -0.836516 \\ 0 & 0.866025 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix}$$

We note that even though matrices are not commutative in general, they are associative. Let  $R^{ab} = R^a R^b$ . Clearly  $R^{ab}$  is inturn a Rotation matrix. When  $R^{ab}$  is applied to some other matrix, i.e. pre-multiplied to a matrix (say  $R^{ab} * M$ ) the multiplication can be viewed in two steps, first multiplication with  $R^b$  and then with  $R^a$ . Similarly with  $R^{ba}$ , the transformation can be viewed as successive rotations.

Since rotations in 3D are in general not commutative, here too,  $R^{ab} \neq R^{ba}$ .

**Q3.** Compute the quaternions  $q^a$  and  $q^b$  equivalent to the matrices  $R^a$  and  $R^b$ . Are these

quaternions a unique representation of these rotations?

**A3.**

Representing Quaternions in the form of [w x y z]

$$q^a = [0.800103 \quad 0.46194 \quad 0.191342 \quad 0.331414]$$

$$q^b = [0.866025 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad -0.5]$$

For Quaternions we note that  $q$  and  $-q$  both give the same rotation. Hence these Quaternions are not a unique representation of these rotations. Other than this the relationship is unique.

**Q4.** Compute two compositions of the rotations using quaternions,  $q^c = q^a q^b$  and  $q^d = q^b q^a$ . Are  $q^c$  and  $q^d$  the same? Compute the relative rotation between  $q^a$  and  $q^b$  as  $q^e = q^a (q^b)^{-1}$ . Then compute the composition  $q^f = q^e q^b$ . Verify that  $q^f$  and  $q^a$  are the same.

**A4.**

$$q^c = [0.858616 \quad 0.304381 \quad 0.396677 \quad -0.113039]$$

$$q^d = [0.858616 \quad 0.495722 \quad -0.0652631 \quad -0.113039]$$

As expected  $q^c$  and  $q^d$  are not the same, since rotations in 3d are in general not commutative.

$$q^e = [0.527203 \quad 0.495722 \quad -0.0652631 \quad 0.687064]$$

$$q^f = [0.800103 \quad 0.46194 \quad 0.191342 \quad 0.331414]$$

As expected  $q^f = q^a$ .

**Q5.**