## Module 1 - Research

## Theo Rode

## February 2022

For my own reference, I will write down the Pauli matrices:

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Of course,  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3$  correspond to  $\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z$ . We also have the group of matrices:

$$SU(2) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} z & -w^* \\ w & z^* \end{bmatrix} \middle| z, w \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z|^2 + |w|^2 = 1 \right\}$$

Where we have the claim that:

$$\Sigma_i = e^{it\sigma_j} \in SU(2)$$

for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ . Finally, we have one more group defined like so:

$$S = \left\{ e^{it(\sigma_j \otimes \sigma_k)} \middle| t \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } j, k \in \{1, 2, 3\} \right\}$$

We are looking at some  $\mathcal{G} \in S$ , and if there exists a  $g \in SU(2)$  such that  $\mathcal{G} = g \otimes g$ .

My initial guess is that in S, if we had  $\sigma_j \otimes \sigma_j$ , we would be able to find that the  $g \in SU(2)$  created with  $\sigma_j$  could be tensor producted with itself to find the matrix in S. I think a good way to start exploring this would be to get all the tensor products I am looking for:

$$\sigma_{1} \otimes \sigma_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\sigma_{1} \otimes \sigma_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & -i & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\sigma_{1} \otimes \sigma_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\sigma_{2} \otimes \sigma_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & -i & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\sigma_{2} \otimes \sigma_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\sigma_{2} \otimes \sigma_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & i \\ i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -i & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\sigma_3\otimes\sigma_1=\left[egin{array}{cccc} 0&1&0&0\ 1&0&0&0\ 0&0&0&-1\ 0&0&-1&0 \end{array}
ight]$$

$$\sigma_3\otimes\sigma_2=\left[egin{array}{cccc} 0 & -i & 0 & 0 \ i & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & i \ 0 & 0 & -i & 0 \end{array}
ight]$$

$$\sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_3 = \left[ \begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

Now we need to worry about exponentiating matrices. This we can do quite simply if we note that, for some matrix A, and we have its eigenvalue matrix D and eigenvector matrix V, we can write:

$$A = VDV^{-1}$$

So we can therefore say:

$$A^n = VD^nV^{-1}$$

And as D is simply a diagonal matrix, it will look like this:

$$D^n = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1^n & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \lambda_k^n \end{bmatrix}$$

This might seem weird if we are looking for  $A^n$ , but note that:

$$e^x = \sum_n \frac{x^n}{n!}$$

So, if we have:

$$e^{A} = \sum_{n} \frac{A^{n}}{n!} = \sum_{n} \frac{VD^{n}V^{-1}}{n!} = V \sum_{n} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\lambda_{1}^{n}}{n!} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \frac{\lambda_{k}^{n}}{n!} \end{bmatrix} V^{-1} = V \begin{bmatrix} e^{\lambda_{1}} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & e^{\lambda_{k}} \end{bmatrix} V^{-1}$$

Nice. To compute SU(2), we can use this, but we must start by finding eigenvectors/values for the Pauli matrices:

 $\sigma_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ 

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 - \lambda & 1 \\ 1 & 0 - \lambda \end{bmatrix}$$
$$(-\lambda)^2 - 1 = 0$$
$$\lambda^2 = 1$$
$$\lambda = \pm 1$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$$
$$y = x, \quad x = y$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -x \\ -y \end{bmatrix}$$
$$y = -x, \quad x = -y$$

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix}, \quad D = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\sigma_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 - \lambda & -i \\ i & 0 - \lambda \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(-\lambda)^2 - 1 = 0$$

$$\lambda^2 = 1$$

$$\lambda = \pm 1$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$$

$$-iy = x, \quad ix = y$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}i \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -x \\ -y \end{bmatrix}$$

$$-iy = -x, \quad ix = -y$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}i \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}i & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}i \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}i \end{bmatrix}, \quad D = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

And I got lazy:

$$\sigma_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad D = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

I just want to prove to myself that exponentiating this actually results in something in SU(2)... So:

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} V^{-1}$$

I will computationally find  $V^{-1}$  at some point, I just don't want to solve for it properly. Anyway, this means that we can say:

$$e^{it\sigma_1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e^{it(-1)} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{it(1)} \end{bmatrix} V^{-1}$$

Actually exponentiating this with a calculator:

$$e^{it\sigma_1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} & 0.5e^{it} - 0.5e^{-it} \\ 0.5e^{it} - 0.5e^{-it} & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$e^{it\sigma_2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} & -0.5i \cdot e^{it} + 0.5i \cdot e^{-it} \\ 0.5i \cdot e^{it} - 0.5i \cdot e^{-it} & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$e^{it\sigma_3} = \begin{bmatrix} e^{it} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-it} \end{bmatrix}$$

Just to prove to myself that these make sense in SU(2), for  $\sigma_3$  you can see that the complex conjugate requirements are met pretty clearly. As for the normalization, we should see:

$$\left|e^{it}\right|^2 = 1$$

Which wolfram alpha says is true. Okay I am convinced.

Now I wanted to look at what happens when we calculate the exponentiations of S. We get the following:

$$e^{it(\sigma_1\otimes\sigma_1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} & 0 & 0 & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} & 0.5e^{it} & 0.5e^{-it} & 0 \\ 0 & 0.5e^{it} - 0.5e^{-it} & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} & 0 \\ 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} & 0 & 0 & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} \end{bmatrix}$$
 
$$e^{it(\sigma_1\otimes\sigma_2)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} & 0 & 0 & 0 & -0.5ie^{it} + 0.5ie^{-it} \\ 0 & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} & 0.5ie^{it} - 0.5ie^{-it} & 0 \\ 0.5ie^{it} - 0.5ie^{-it} & 0 & 0 & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} \\ 0 & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} & 0 \\ 0.5e^{it} - 0.5ie^{-it} & 0 & 0 & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} \\ 0 & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} & 0 & 0 & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} \\ 0 & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} & 0 & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} \\ 0 & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} & 0 & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} \\ 0 & 0 & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} & 0 & -0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} \\ 0 & 0 & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} & 0 & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} \\ 0 & 0 & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} & 0 & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} \\ 0 & 0 & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} & 0 & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} \\ 0 & 0 & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} & 0 & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} \\ 0 & 0 & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} & 0 \\ 0.5e^{it} - 0.5ie^{-it} & 0 & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} \\ 0 & 0 & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} \\ 0 & 0 & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} \\ 0 & 0 & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} \\ 0 & 0 & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} & 0 \\ 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} & 0 & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} \\ 0 & 0 & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} & 0 \\ 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} & 0 & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} \\ 0 & 0 & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} & 0 \\ 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} & 0 & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} \\ 0 & 0 & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} \\ 0 & 0 & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} \\ 0 & 0 & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} \\ 0 & 0 & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} \\ 0 & 0 & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} \\ 0 & 0 & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} \\ 0 & 0 & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} \\ 0 & 0 & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} & 0.5e^$$

Now what happens when instead of taking the tensor product and then exponentiating it, if we do the opposite (what one would expect to yield the same result):

$$e^{it\sigma_1} \otimes e^{it\sigma_1} = \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0.25(e^{it} + e^{-it})^2 & (0.5e^{it} - 0.5e^{-it})(0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it}) & (0.5e^{it} - 0.5e^{-it})(0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it}) & 0.25(e^{it} - e^{-it})^2 \\ (0.5e^{it} - 0.5e^{-it})(0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it}) & 0.25(e^{it} + e^{-it})^2 & 0.25(e^{it} - e^{-it})^2 & (0.5e^{it} - 0.5e^{-it})(0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it}) \\ (0.5e^{it} - 0.5e^{-it})(0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it}) & 0.25(e^{it} - e^{-it})^2 & 0.25(e^{it} + e^{-it})^2 & (0.5e^{it} - 0.5e^{-it})(0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it}) \\ 0.25(e^{it} - e^{-it})^2 & (0.5e^{it} - 0.5e^{-it})(0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it}) & (0.5e^{it} - 0.5e^{-it})(0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it}) & 0.25(e^{it} + e^{-it})^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$e^{it\sigma_1} \otimes e^{it\sigma_2} = \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0.25(e^{it} + e^{-it})^2 & (-0.5ie^{it} + 0.5ie^{-it})(0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it}) & (0.5e^{it} - 0.5e^{-it})(0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it}) & (0.5e^{it} - 0.5e^{-it})(0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it}) & (0.5e^{it} - 0.5e^{-it})(0.5e^{it} - 0.5e^{-it}) & (0.5e^{it} - 0.5e^{-it})(0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it}) & (0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it}) & (0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it})(0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it}) & (0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it}) & (0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it})(0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it}) & (0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^$$

$$e^{it \cdot t_1} \otimes e^{it \cdot t_2} = \left[ \begin{array}{c} (0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it})e^{it} & 0 & (0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it})e^{-it} & 0 \\ (0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it})e^{it} & 0 & (0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it})e^{-it} & 0 \\ (0.5e^{it} - 0.5e^{-it})e^{-it} & 0 & (0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it})e^{-it} & 0 \\ (0.5e^{it} - 0.5e^{-it})(0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it})e^{-it} & 0 & (0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it})e^{-it} \\ 0 & 0 & 0.25(e^{it} + e^{-it})^2 & 0.5e^{-it})(0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it})(0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it}) & 0 & (0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it})e^{-it} \\ 0.5e^{it} - 0.5e^{-it})(0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it}) & 0.5e^{it} - 0.5e^{-it})(0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it}) & 0.5e^{it} - 0.5e^{-it})(0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it}) & 0.5e^{it} - 0.5e^{-it})(0.5e^{it} - 0.5e^{-it})(0.5e^{it} - 0.5e^{-it}) & 0.5e^{it} - 0.5e^{-it}) & 0.5e^{it} - 0.5e^{-it})(0.5e^{it} - 0.5e^{-it}) & 0.5e^{it} - 0.5e^{-it}) & 0$$

So that is a mess, but I think we can actually simplify this:

$$(0.5e^{it} - 0.5e^{-it})(0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it})$$

Which this is a difference of squares:

$$\frac{1}{4}e^{2it} - \frac{1}{4}e^{-2it}$$

$$\frac{1}{4}(\cos 2t + i\sin 2t - \cos(-2t) - i\sin(-2t))$$

$$\frac{1}{4}(2i\sin 2t)$$

Double angle identity:

 $i \sin t \cos t$ 

We should also simplify:

$$(-0.5ie^{it} + 0.5ie^{-it})(0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it})$$
$$-\frac{1}{4}ie^{2it} - \frac{1}{4}i + \frac{1}{4}i + \frac{1}{4}ie^{-2it}$$
$$\frac{1}{4}i\left(e^{2it} - e^{-2it}\right)$$
$$i\left(i\sin t\cos t\right)$$

And...

$$(-0.5ie^{it} + 0.5ie^{-it})(0.5e^{it} - 0.5e^{-it})$$

$$-\frac{1}{4}ie^{2it} + \frac{1}{4}i + \frac{1}{4}i - \frac{1}{4}ie^{-2it}$$

$$\frac{1}{4}i\left(e^{2it} + 2 - e^{-2it}\right)$$

$$\frac{1}{4}i\left(e^{2it} - e^{-2it}\right) + \frac{1}{2}i$$

$$-\sin t \cos t + \frac{1}{2}i$$

And And...

$$(0.5ie^{it} - 0.5ie^{-it})(0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it})$$

$$\frac{1}{4}ie^{2it} + \frac{1}{4}i - \frac{1}{4}i - \frac{1}{4}ie^{-2it}$$

$$\frac{1}{4}i\left(e^{2it} - e^{-2it}\right)$$

$$-\sin t \cos t$$

 $\mathrm{And}^3$ ...

$$(0.5ie^{it} - 0.5ie^{-it})(0.5e^{it} - 0.5e^{-it})$$

$$\frac{1}{4}ie^{2it} - \frac{1}{4}i - \frac{1}{4}i + \frac{1}{4}ie^{-2it}$$

$$\frac{1}{4}i\left(e^{2it} + e^{-2it}\right) - \frac{1}{2}i$$

$$\frac{1}{2}i\cos 2t - \frac{1}{2}i$$

 $\mathrm{And}^4...$ 

$$(-0.5ie^{it} + 0.5ie^{-it})(0.5ie^{it} - 0.5ie^{-it})$$

$$\frac{1}{4}e^{2it} - \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4}e^{-2it}$$

$$\frac{1}{4}\left(e^{2it} + e^{-2it}\right) - \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\cos 2t - \frac{1}{2}$$

It would also be nice to simplify:

$$(0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it})e^{it}$$
$$\frac{1}{2}e^{2it} + \frac{1}{2}$$

And this thing:

$$(0.5e^{it} - 0.5e^{-it})e^{it}$$
$$\frac{1}{2}e^{2it} - \frac{1}{2}$$

And this other thing:

$$(0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it})e^{-it}$$
$$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}e^{-2it}$$

Another thing:

$$(0.5ie^{it} - 0.5ie^{-it})e^{-it}$$
$$\frac{1}{2}i - \frac{1}{2}ie^{-2it}$$

Another another thing:

$$(-0.5ie^{it} + 0.5ie^{-it})e^{it}$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}ie^{2it} + \frac{1}{2}i$$

Another<sup>3</sup> thing:

$$(-0.5ie^{it} + 0.5ie^{-it})e^{-it}$$
$$-\frac{1}{2}i + \frac{1}{2}ie^{-2it}$$

Finally (hopefully):

$$(0.5e^{it} - 0.5e^{-it})e^{-it}$$
$$\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}e^{-2it}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}e^{-2it}$$

$$e^{it\sigma_1} \otimes e^{it\sigma_1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.25(e^{it} + e^{-it})^2 & i\sin t \cos t & i\sin t \cos t & 0.25(e^{it} - e^{-it})^2 & i\sin t \cos t & 0.25(e^{it} - e^{-it})^2 & 0.25(e^{it} - e^{-it})^2 & i\sin t \cos t & 0.25(e^{it} - e^{-it})^2 & i\sin t \cos t & 0.25(e^{it} + e^{-it})^2 & 0.25(e^{it} + e^{-it})^2 & i\sin t \cos t & 0.25(e^{it} + e^{-it})^2 & 0.25(e^$$

Cool! That gives us a bunch of matrices that we can compare. The first comparison that jumps out to me is the matrix from S:

$$e^{it(\sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_3)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.0e^{it} & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1.0e^{-it} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1.0e^{-it} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1.0e^{it} \end{bmatrix}$$

And the other double  $\sigma_3$  matrix from SU(2):

$$e^{it\sigma_3} \otimes e^{it\sigma_3} = \begin{bmatrix} e^{2.0it} & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{-2.0it} \end{bmatrix}$$

We can pretty clearly see that at t=0, these matrices are equal. Suggesting possibly that for no "time" passing, we can find that:

$$e^{it(\sigma_3\otimes\sigma_3)}=e^{it\sigma_3}\otimes e^{it\sigma_3}$$

Looking at the just  $\sigma_1$  matrices:

$$e^{it\sigma_1} \otimes e^{it\sigma_1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.25(e^{it} + e^{-it})^2 & i\sin t\cos t & i\sin t\cos t & 0.25(e^{it} - e^{-it})^2 \\ i\sin t\cos t & 0.25(e^{it} + e^{-it})^2 & 0.25(e^{it} - e^{-it})^2 & i\sin t\cos t \\ i\sin t\cos t & 0.25(e^{it} - e^{-it})^2 & 0.25(e^{it} + e^{-it})^2 & i\sin t\cos t \\ 0.25(e^{it} - e^{-it})^2 & i\sin t\cos t & i\sin t\cos t & 0.25(e^{it} + e^{-it})^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$e^{it(\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} & 0 & 0 & 0.5e^{it} - 0.5e^{-it} \\ 0 & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} & 0.5e^{it} - 0.5e^{-it} & 0 \\ 0 & 0.5e^{it} - 0.5e^{-it} & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} & 0 \\ 0.5e^{it} - 0.5e^{-it} & 0 & 0 & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} \end{bmatrix}$$

Again, for t=0 we find that these matrices are equivale Comparing all other pairs:

$$e^{it\sigma_1} \otimes e^{it\sigma_2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.25(e^{it} + e^{-it})^2 & -\sin t \cos t & i \sin t \cos t & -\sin t \cos t + \frac{1}{2}i \\ -\sin t \cos t & 0.25(e^{it} + e^{-it})^2 & \frac{1}{2}i \cos 2t - \frac{1}{2}i & i \sin t \cos t \\ i \sin t \cos t & -\sin t \cos t + \frac{1}{2}i & 0.25(e^{it} + e^{-it})^2 & -\sin t \cos t \\ \frac{1}{2}i \cos 2t - \frac{1}{2}i & i \sin t \cos t & -\sin t \cos t & 0.25(e^{it} + e^{-it})^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$e^{it(\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} & 0 & 0 & -0.5ie^{it} + 0.5ie^{-it} \\ 0 & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} & 0.5ie^{it} - 0.5ie^{-it} & 0 \\ 0 & -0.5ie^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} & 0 \\ 0.5ie^{it} - 0.5ie^{-it} & 0 & 0 & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$e^{it(\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} & 0 & 0 & -0.5ie^{it} + 0.5ie^{-it} \\ 0 & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} & 0.5ie^{it} - 0.5ie^{-it} & 0 \\ 0 & -0.5ie^{it} + 0.5ie^{-it} & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} & 0 \\ 0.5ie^{it} - 0.5ie^{-it} & 0 & 0 & 0.5e^{it} + 0.5e^{-it} \end{bmatrix}$$

Now I used a calculator (symbolic engine) for the rest of them and for every single one works in the same way (t=0) makes them equal). Another very interesting thing, though, is that they also all simplify to:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

It appears we get the same result, the matrices being equal, with a  $t=2\pi$ . This also results in the same 4x4 identity. This would lead one to suspect that this pattern should exist with the period of trig functions  $(2\pi)$  which seems to be the case when testing other numbers  $(k \cdot 2\pi)$ .

Another interesting find is when  $t = \pi$ . With this, we don't get equivalent results, however we do get the consistent result of:

$$e^{it\sigma_j} \otimes e^{it\sigma_k} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$e^{it(\sigma_j \otimes \sigma_k)} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Which seems to suggest that these two results are "out of phase" by half a period. Which is interesting. More exploration is probably necessary.