

MA2101: Analysis I Lecture Notes

Instructor: Rajib Dutta

Debayan Sarkar ^{*}
22MS002

Sabarno Saha
22MS037

Piyush Kumar Singh [†]
22MS027

August 13, 2023

Contents

1	Algebra of the Real Number System	1
1.1	Properties of Addition	1
1.2	Properties of Multiplication	1
1.3	Distributive Property	2
1.4	Order in Reals	2
1.4.1	Law of Trichotomy	2
1.4.2	Properties of $<$	2
2	Upper and Lower Bounds	3

§1 Algebra of the Real Number System

§1.1 Properties of Addition

The properties of addition(+) in the real number system are:

- (A1) $x + y = y + x \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}$
- (A2) $(x + y) + z = x + (y + z) \forall x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$
- (A3) $\exists! 0 \in \mathbb{R} \text{ s.t. } x + 0 = 0 + x = x \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$
- (A4) $\forall x \in \mathbb{R} \exists! y \in \mathbb{R} \text{ s.t. } x + y = y + x = 0$

§1.2 Properties of Multiplication

The properties of multiplication(\cdot) in the real number system are:

- (M1) $x \cdot y = y \cdot x \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}$
- (M2) $(x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z) \forall x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$
- (M3) $\exists! 1 \in \mathbb{R} \text{ s.t. } x \cdot 1 = x \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$
- (M4) $\forall x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \exists! y \in \mathbb{R} \text{ s.t. } x \cdot y = y \cdot x = 0$

^{*}TheSillyCoder.github.io

[†]iamPiyushKrSingh.github.io

§1.3 Distributive Property

The multiplication operator distributes over addition in real numbers.

$$x \cdot (y + z) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z$$

Since addition and multiplication have these properties in real numbers, $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$ is a Field.

§1.4 Order in Reals

§1.4.1 Law of Trichotomy

Given two $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, exactly one of the following statements is true :

- (i) $x = y$
- (ii) $x > y$
- (iii) $x < y$

§1.4.2 Properties of $<$

- (i) If $x < y$ and $y < z$ then $x < z$
- (ii) If $x > 0, y > 0$ then, $xy > 0$
- (iii) If $x < y$ then, $x + z < y + z \forall z \in \mathbb{R}$
- (iv) $x < y \Rightarrow -x > -y$
- (v) If $x < y$ and $z > 0$ then $xz < yz$
- (vi) If $0 < x < y$, then $0 < \frac{1}{y} < \frac{1}{x}$
- (vii) $x^2 \geq 0 \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$

Remark 1.1

Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ such that, $x \leq y$ and $y \leq x$. Then, $x = y$.

Proof:

Let's assume to the contrary that $x \neq y$. Then, by the law of trichotomy, either $x < y$ or $y < x$. Let $y < x$. From $x \leq y$ we have either $x < y$ or $x = y$. By the law of trichotomy, neither of them can be true. Hence, $y \not< x$. Now, let $x < y$. From $y \leq x$ we have either $y < x$ or $y = x$. Again, by the law of trichotomy, neither of them can be true. Hence, $x \not< y$. This is a contradiction. Hence, $x = y$ Q.E.D.

Example 1:

For $x < y$, we have, $x < \frac{x+y}{2} < y$. The point $\frac{x+y}{2}$ is called the midpoint between x and y .

Proof:

Since $x < y$, we have $\frac{x}{2} < \frac{y}{2}$. Then, we have $\frac{x}{2} + \frac{x}{2} < \frac{y}{2} + \frac{x}{2} \Rightarrow x < \frac{x+y}{2}$. Similarly, we have $\frac{x}{2} + \frac{y}{2} < \frac{y}{2} + \frac{y}{2} \Rightarrow \frac{x+y}{2} < y$. Hence, $x < \frac{x+y}{2} < y$ Q.E.D.

Example 2:

If $x \leq y + z$ for all $z > 0$, then $x \leq y$.

Proof:

Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $x \leq y + z$ for all $z > 0$. We claim that, $x \leq y$. Let us assume to the contrary that, $x > y$. Then, we have $x - y > 0$. Let $\epsilon := x - y$. Also observe that, $x - y \leq z$ for all $z > 0$. Let us set $z = \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Then, $x - y \leq z \Rightarrow \epsilon \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \Rightarrow 1 \leq \frac{1}{2}$. This is a contradiction. Hence, $x \leq y$. This proves our claim. Q.E.D.

Example 3:

For $0 < x < y$, we have $0 < x^2 < y^2$ and $0 < \sqrt{2} < \sqrt{y}$, assuming the existence of \sqrt{x} and \sqrt{y} . More generally, if x and y are positive, then $x < y$ iff $x^n < y^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof:

will type up later Q.E.D.

Example 4:

For $0 < x < y$, we have $\sqrt{xy} < \frac{x + y}{2}$.

Proof:

We claim that the statement is true. Let us assume to the contrary that, $\frac{x + y}{2} < \sqrt{xy}$. Then, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{x + y}{2} &< \sqrt{xy} \\ \Rightarrow \left(\frac{x + y}{2}\right)^2 &< xy && \text{(Example 1)} \\ \Rightarrow \left(\frac{x + y}{2}\right)^2 - xy &< 0 \\ \Rightarrow \left(\frac{x - y}{2}\right)^2 &< 0 \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction since we know that $\alpha^2 \geq 0 \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. This proves our claim. Q.E.D.

§2 Upper and Lower Bounds

Definition 1 (Upper Bound)

Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ be nonempty. A number $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ is said to be the upper bound of A if $\forall x \in A$, we have $x \leq \alpha$

Geometrically, this means that on the real number line, all the elements of A are to the left of α . If $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ is not an upper bound of A , then $\exists x \in A$ s.t. $x > \alpha$

Definition 2 (Lower Bound)

Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ be nonempty. A number $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ is said to be the lower bound of A if $\forall x \in A$, we have $x \geq \alpha$

Geometrically, this means that on the real number line, all the elements of A are to the right of α . If $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ is not an lower bound of A , then $\exists x \in A$ s.t. $x < \alpha$

Example 5:

Consider the set $A := \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ Then the upper bounds of this A are $5, 6, 1729 \dots$ etc. And the lower bound of A are $1, 0, -1 \dots$ etc. Hence, lower and upper bounds of a set are not unique.

Definition 3 (Bounded above set)

Let $\phi \neq A \subset \mathbb{R}$. Then, A is said to be bounded above, if $\exists \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that α is an upper bound of A .

Definition 4 (Bounded below set)

Let $\phi \neq A \subset \mathbb{R}$. Then, A is said to be bounded below, if $\exists \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that α is an lower bound of A .

Theorem 2.1

Let A be a bounded above set. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ be an upper bound. Let $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\beta \geq \alpha$. Then β is an upperbound of A .

Proof:

Since α is an upper bound, we have $x \leq \alpha \forall x \in A$ But, $\alpha \leq \beta$. Then we have, $x \leq \beta \forall x \in A$. Hence β is an upper bound of A . Q.E.D.

A similar theorem can be stated and proved analogously for bounded below sets and lower bounds.

Definition 5 (Maximum)

Let $\phi \neq A \subset \mathbb{R}$. $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ is said to be the maximum of A if

- (i) $\alpha \in A$
- (ii) α is an upper bound of A

The maximum of a set A is denoted by $\max\{A\}$

Theorem 2.2

The maximum of a set is unique.

Proof:

Let α and β be two maxima of A s.t. $\alpha \neq \beta$. Then, by the law of trichotomy, either $\alpha > \beta$ or $\beta > \alpha$. If $\alpha > \beta$, then α cannot be an upper bound since, $\beta \in A$. And, if $\beta > \alpha$, then β cannot be an upper bound since, $\alpha \in A$. This is a contradiction. Hence, $\alpha = \beta$. Q.E.D.

Remark 2.1

A bounded above set need not have a maximum. Consider set $S := (0, 1)$. S clearly has upper bounds for instance 1, 2, etc. However it does not have a maximum, since none of the upper bounds are in the set S itself. Some more of such remarks could be

1. $\{1 - \frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$
2. $\{1 - \frac{1}{2^n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$

Definition 6 (Least Upper Bound)

Let A be a non-empty subset of \mathbb{R} . An upper bound α is said to be the least upper bound of A if $\beta < \alpha \Rightarrow \beta$ is not an upper bound of A .

We denote the least upper bound of A as $\text{lub}A$

Definition 7 (Greatest Lower Bound)

Given a non-empty bounded below set A , a real number β is said to be the greatest lower bound of A if

- (i) β is a lower bound of A
- (ii) if $\beta' > \beta$ then, $\exists x \in A$ such that $\beta \leq x \leq \beta'$

We denote the Greatest lower bound of A as $\text{glb}A$

Theorem 2.3

The least upper bound(or greatest lower bound) of a bounded above(or below) set is unique.

Definition 8 (Completeness Axiom)

Every bounded above(or below) subset of \mathbb{R} has a least upper bound(or greatest lower bound).

Remark 2.2

This is an important property in \mathbb{R} . \mathbb{Q} does not have this property. For instance, consider the set $A = \{x \in \mathbb{Q} : 0 < x^2 < 2\}$. Clearly, $\text{lub}A = \sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Q}$

Theorem 2.4 (Archimedean Property)(AP1) \mathbb{N} is not bounded above in \mathbb{R} (AP2) Let $x > 0, y \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $nx > y$ **Proof:**

First, we prove AP1 by contradiction. Let us assume to the contrary that \mathbb{N} is bounded above in \mathbb{R} . Then, by the Completeness Axiom, $\exists \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\alpha = \text{lub}\mathbb{N}$. Then, by definition of lub, $\alpha - 1$ is not an upper bound of \mathbb{N} . Hence, $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\alpha - 1 < n < \alpha$. Then we have $n + 1 > \alpha$. This is a contradiction since $n + 1 \in \mathbb{N}$ and α is an upper bound of \mathbb{N} . Hence, \mathbb{N} is not bounded above in \mathbb{R} .

Now, we show that $\text{AP1} \Rightarrow \text{AP2}$. However, that trivially follows, since if we have $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ with $x > 0$, then from AP1 we have that $\frac{y}{x}$ cannot be an upper bound of \mathbb{N} . Hence, $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $n > \frac{y}{x}$, i.e. $nx > y$.

The proof for $\text{AP2} \Rightarrow \text{AP1}$ is left as an exercise.

Q.E.D.

Definition 9 (Bounded Sets)

Let A be a non-empty subset of \mathbb{R} . Then, A is said to be bounded if A is bounded above and bounded below.

Theorem 2.5 (Greatest Integer Function)

Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, \exists a unique $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $m \leq x < m + 1$. This m is denoted as $\lfloor x \rfloor$. We define the **greatest integer function** $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ as, $f(x) = \lfloor x \rfloor$