

# ELEC6089 High Voltage Insulation Systems Assignment 1

## HV AC 275kV Bushing Design

Thomas J. Smith, David Mahmoodi, Brendan Hickman, Patrick P. L. Fong

University of Southampton

March 13, 2014

### Abstract

*Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetur id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.*

*Hello aa*

## 1 Introduction

The design of electrical equipment always involves an aspect of insulation design. For the safe and efficient operation of electrical equipment it is necessary to have an electrical circuit and a means of isolating this circuit from the surrounding environment [1]. Power systems contain a complex structure of generators, transmission lines, transformers, switchgear and more. All of these different devices require an appropriately selected insulation material in order to isolate the mechanical casings and support structures from the high voltage components [2].

The purpose of this report is to describe the design and simulation of a high voltage bushing. Bushings are an integral part of power system insulation. IEEE standard C57.19.00 describes a bushing as “an insulating structure, including a through conductor or providing a central passage for such a conductor, with provision for mounting on a barrier, conducting or otherwise, for the purpose of insulating the conductor from the barrier and conducting current from one side of the barrier to the other.” [3]. Bushings are required for situations such as connecting the external conductor to the internal windings of a transformer through the walls of the metal oil tank. The walls of the transformer housing will be grounded, but need to be shielded from the incoming high voltage conductor, hence the use of an insulating bushing [1]. An example of this application can be seen in figure 1.1, as 400kV grid conductors enter an oil filled transformer casing. The shedding on the outer cylinder can be seen in figure 1.1 which helps increase electrical strength in wet conditions [1].




Figure 1.1: High Voltage Bushings on the 400kV Transformers at Staythorpe CCGT Power Station, Newark, UK (Taken by TJS)

## 2 Overview of Grading Methods

Electric field stress control is important in the design of many power system elements, especially cable terminations and bushings [2]. Failure of a bushing can damage the power transformer it is protecting, which can be an expensive mistake [1]. Bushings are required to withstand Electrical, Mechanical and Thermal stresses as defined in the IEEE standard C57.19.00 [3]. The design of the bushing is largely determined by the insulation material chosen and the resolution of these conflicting sources of stress. A good bushing design has insulation that can withstand the applied voltage and thermal characteristics appropriate for the current carried by the conductor [4].




Figure 2.1: The Bushing Problem

The problem grading methods attempt to resolve is laid out in figure 2.1. The grounded transformer casing is shown in light grey which is perpendicular to the the bushing insulation shown in dark grey

and the high voltage conductor in white. The top of the bushing is exposed to air, while the other side is exposed to transformer oil. Conducting a numerical analysis or simulation would show that the conductor surface within the plane of the transformer casing and at the points marked by red crosses would experience high electric field stress. The bushing insulation is designed to withstand the high electric field between the conductor and the transformer casing, however at the points marked with crosses the interface between the solid insulation and the air/transformer oil would cause surface discharge leading to relatively low flashover voltages [5]. It is therefore necessary to develop methods of reducing electric field stress to a more uniform distribution for both functional purposes and the economic use of space and materials [2].

## 2.1 Low Voltage and DC Solutions

There are several methods that can be used dependant upon the application. Low voltage solutions include internal and external screening electrodes, while resistive stress control can be used for DC applications. Sometimes these solutions are used in combine to achieve the acceptable result.

### 2.1.1 External Screening Electrode

External screening electrodes are parts outside the conductor and are not electrically connected to the conductor, they are made of metal conductors and some designs use aluminium as the material. Corona ring designs are intended to reduce the electric field strength around the bushing terminal, hence reducing the chance of corona or partial discharge and grading ring designs are intended to reduce the potential gradient of insulator, hence reducing the chance of electrical breakdown. These screening electrodes come with various shapes according to the different designs. The main types of design take the shape of sphere, toroid or ring, these are shapes which prevent regions of intense electric field strength by varying the electric potential distribution and help contain electric field as much as possible. The reduction in corona discharge not only reduces the power loss, it also suppresses the ageing speed of the insulator. Example of these can be seen on figure 1(a) and figure 1(b), where they are placed at the top of the bushings. The diameters of these design are closely related to the electric field strength around the electrode, so the diameters of these designs must be carefully considered. For spherical designs

### 2.1.2 Internal Screening Electrode

Internal screening electrodes are also used to control the electric potential distribution so the electric field strength is within acceptable level to avoid chance of breakdown. They are placed inside the insulator and usually in a pressurised gas. Some existing designs have the shape of internal electrode in a 3D cone like shape and maybe referred as a deflector. An example of the field distribution with deflector inserted is demonstrated in figure 2.2.

### 2.1.3 Resistive Stress Control

## 2.2 Capacitive Grading

Capacitive grading was first proposed by R.Nagel of Siemens in a German paper published in 1906 [4]. The value of this type of arrangement was quickly recognised, and is now industry standard practice




Figure 2.2: Field distribution with deflector

for AC bushing designs for 25kV - 1500kV applications [2]. The general concept of the design is illustrated in figure 2.3, showing the isolated foils inserted inside the solid bushing insulation. Shown in red in figure 2.3 is the potential field with no grading, and in blue with the isolated conductive foils inserted. It shows that the whole dielectric is much more evenly stressed with the capacitive grading method.




Figure 2.3: Field Distribution both without capacitive grading (shown in red) and with capacitive grading (shown in blue), modified from [2]

The insulation is stressed in both a radial and axial direction, which sum to give the tangential field. The radial component  $E_r$  can cause breakdown of the insulating material, while the axial component  $E_z$  can cause surface discharge along the boundary [6]. Attention must be paid to the design and

shape of the boundary, so that the critical value for inception voltage for surface discharge is not exceeded [1]. These can be seen in green in figure 2.3. These sum up to give the tangential field  $E_t$ .

Before proceeding, it is first necessary to introduce some terms. Firstly, the radius of the foil is




Figure 2.4: Symbols for calculating capacitive grading, modified from [6]

referenced from the centre of the conductor, and termed  $r_n$ . The spacing between each foil is defined in equation 1.

$$S_n = r_n - r_{n-1} \quad (1)$$

Additionally, the length of each foil is referred to as  $L_n$  and the difference in length on the right and left side between each foil is termed  $b_{in}$  and  $b_{out}$ . Symmetric double sided capacitive grading is achieved when  $b_{in} = b_{out}$  [6]. The total number of foils in the system is  $N$ . Also note that subscript  $n$  denotes the outermost foil.

Inserting isolated conducting foils forms a set of coaxial capacitor units [5]. The equation for the capacitance of one of these capacitors depends on the radial displacement  $r_n$  and length of each foil  $L_n$ , as in equation 2.

$$C_n = \frac{2\pi\epsilon L_n}{\ln\left(\frac{r_n}{r_{n-1}}\right)} \quad (2)$$

The most widely used method to choose the dimensions and locations of the foils is double sided capacitive grading, of which there are two variants; radial grading and axial grading [6]. The aim of capacitive grading is to evenly distribute the electric field between the foils. To achieve this, an even voltage difference between foils is required as in equation 3, where  $V$  is the total voltage difference between the conductor and the casing,  $N$  is the number of foils required and  $\Delta V$  is the voltage between each foil [1].

$$\Delta V = \frac{V}{N} \quad (3)$$

For the voltage between each foil to be constant, as in equation 3, the capacitance between each consecutive pair of foils must also be constant. This is expressed as  $C_n = C_{n-1} = \dots = C_0$

### 2.2.1 Radial Grading

The radial spacing and dimension of each foil is determined in the following derivation, which has been verified and modified from [5]. In radial grading, the radial component of the electric field  $E_r$  is kept constant between all the foils. The radial electric field is related to the voltage difference and

the spacing between each foil, as in equation 4.  $\Delta V$  is already defined as a constant from equation 3, and so to have equal field the foil spacing  $S_n$  should also be constant.

$$E_r = \frac{\Delta V}{S_n} = \text{Constant} \quad (4)$$

Given this condition and equation 2 for coaxial capacitance, the length of each foil is required to change from foil to foil. The lengths and radii of consecutive foils can be calculated from the relationship in equation 5.

$$C_n = \frac{2\pi\epsilon L_n}{\ln(\frac{r_n}{r_{n-1}})} = C_{n-1} = \frac{2\pi\epsilon L_{n-1}}{\ln(\frac{r_{n-1}}{r_{n-2}})} = \dots = C_1 = \frac{2\pi\epsilon L_1}{\ln(\frac{r_1}{r_0})} \quad (5)$$

The common factor of  $2\pi\epsilon$  cancels from equation 5 giving a simple equation linking the lengths and radial displacements of consecutive foils, as in equation 6.

$$\frac{L_n}{\ln(\frac{r_n}{r_{n-1}})} = \frac{L_{n-1}}{\ln(\frac{r_{n-1}}{r_{n-2}})} = \dots = \frac{L_1}{\ln(\frac{r_1}{r_0})} \quad (6)$$

An approximate solution for thin foils can then be found. Under the thin foil assumption,  $r_n = r_{n-1} + S_n$  and  $\frac{S_n}{r_n} \ll 1$  even for the smallest radii of the inner foil. This is shown in equation 8.

$$\ln(\frac{r_n}{r_{n-1}}) = \ln \frac{1}{1 - (\frac{S_n}{r_n})} \approx \frac{S_n}{r_n} \quad (7)$$

$$L_n r_n \approx L_{n-1} r_{n-1} \approx \dots \approx L_1 r_1 \quad (8)$$

Equation 6 can then be used to determine an exact solution while equation 8 can be used to find an approximate solution in conjunction with initial data regarding the length and radial displacement of the first foil and the spacing of the foils to calculate the parameters of all the other foils in the bushing. Nevertheless, it should be noted, at this stage, that  $r_0$  refers to the surface of the conductor.

### 2.2.2 Axial Grading

In axial grading, the axial component of the electric field  $E_z$  is kept constant between all of the foils. The following equations prove that the length of each foil must decay by a constant value for each consecutive foil, and the radius at which it is placed is determined by a simple iterative formula.

The axial electric field is related to the voltage difference and the length change between each consecutive foil as in equation 9. Under symmetric capacitive grading,  $b_n = b_{ln} = b_{rn}$  with reference to figure 2.4.  $\Delta V$  is already defined as a constant from equation 3, and so to have equal field, the change in foil length  $b_n$  should also be constant.

$$E_z = \frac{\Delta V}{b_n} = \text{Constant} \quad (9)$$

The relationship between  $L_n$  and  $b_n$  is defined in figure 2.4, as explained in equation 10.

$$L_n = L_{n-1} - 2b_n \quad (10)$$

Since equation 9 requires the change in foil length  $b_n$  to be constant, equation 2 for coaxial capacitance requires the radius of each foil to change from foil to foil. This can be simplified to a similar form as equation 6, except that the initial information required, in this case, are different. The necessary parameters are: the length of the first foil ( $L_1$ ), the radius of conductor and first foil ( $r_0, r_1$ ). However, for initial calculation of  $L_n (n = 1, 2, \dots, N)$  the size of the constant difference in length between each of the foils should be known. All other lengths and radii can then be calculated.

In case of axial grading where different material is used on each side of the bushing ( $b_{ln} \neq b_{rn}$ ) similar calculation is carried out for each side. The total length of each foil is found by adding  $L_{ln}$  and  $L_{rn}$ . Furthermore, position of each foil could be calculated using the recursive formulae at equation 11

$$r_n = r_{(n-1)} \exp\left(\frac{L_n}{L_1} \ln\left(\frac{r_1}{r_0}\right)\right) \quad (11)$$

### 3 Design Details

The reference model for this project is shown in figure 3.1. The reference design is a paper impregnated with oil bushing with 21 aluminium foils of  $100\mu\text{m}$ . One side of the bushing is exposed to air, the other to oil, similar to a transformer bushing. The diameter of the conductor is 100mm, the bushing diameter is 300mm. The length of the first foil is 5000mm long, and fixed 2mm into the bushing at the conductor voltage. The outer foil is also set 2mm inside the bushing and is directly connected to the earthed flange. The conductor is used at 275kV AC voltage, and the design was taken from a bushing that was in operation for around 30 years.




Figure 3.1: The reference problem taken from [7]

### 3.1 Design Issues

In section 2.2 the initial information required for both radial and axial grading includes the length and radial displacement of the innermost foil. In the reference design the following initial information is given.

Table 3.1: Initial Information for Reference Design

Initial Information	Value)
Conductor Diameter (ID)	100mm
First Foil Length $L_1$	5000mm
First Foil Radius $r_1$	52mm
Outer Bushing Diameter (OD)	300mm
Outer Foil Radius $r_{21}$	148mm

This information intuitively fits radial grading best, since there is no requirement to assume the length of the outermost foil. However, there is a discrepancy between the standard literature problem and the reference design. The first foil is connected to the high voltage conductor, and the last foil is connected to the earthed flange. It is understood that this is to eliminate the electric field on the boundary interface as far as possible on both sides of the bushing, so that the voltage drop occurs exclusively inside the bushing insulation.

This has an impact on the calculations described in section 2.2. Since the innermost foil is at the same voltage as the conductor, there is no capacitance between them, as shown in figure 3.2, hence the first foil shown on the diagram is not the first index for the iterative calculation. The derivation of the iterative equations assumes a capacitance between each foil and previous foil (or conductor) ( $r_n, r_{n-1}$ ). The first foil in figure 3.1 is therefore indexed as 0 and not 1.




Figure 3.2: Diagram to explain the assumptions required

This means that there is not sufficient initial information to proceed with either radial or axial grading, since the first non-connected foil length  $L_1$  is not given. The iterative equations require the first non-connected foil length  $L_1$  for radial ( $L_1$  &  $r_1$  for axial) grading to be known as shown in equation 12 and 13. In axial grading all radii variables are known due to the even spacings under radial grading. Also in axial grading the length of foils are known due to known parameters  $b_{ln}$  and  $b_{rn}$ .



$$L_2 = L_1 \frac{\ln(\frac{r_2}{r_1})}{\ln(\frac{r_1}{r_0})} \quad (\text{Radial grading}) \quad (12)$$

$$r_2 = r_1 \exp\left(\frac{L_2}{L_1} \ln\left(\frac{r_1}{r_0}\right)\right) \quad (\text{Axial grading}) \quad (13)$$

If this is not taken into account then a flawed design will be produced in both cases. Equations 14 and 15 show a wrongly described first iteration of the radial and axial grading formula. For radial grading the resulted design is shown in figure 3.3. This shows that the length of second foil is much bigger than the first foil. This is clearly wrong, and does not give the hyperbolic shape from the beginning of the foils.

$$L_1 = 5000 \frac{\ln(\frac{56.8}{52})}{\ln(\frac{52}{50})} = 11256 \text{ mm} \quad (\text{Radial grading}) \quad (14)$$

$$r_2 = 52 \exp\left(\frac{49418}{5000} \ln\left(\frac{52}{50}\right)\right) = 54.05 \text{ mm} \Rightarrow r_{21} = 103.52 \text{ mm} \quad (\text{Axial grading}) \quad (15)$$




Figure 3.3: Flawed Radial Grading Design

In order to proceed with the calculations there must be an assumption of the length of the first unconnected foil. A reasonable assumption is that this follows the hyperbolic shape of the other foils in radial grading and also in axial grading it is assumed to be the first unconnected foil. These assumptions in both cases help to evenly distribute the electric field radially or axially accordingly. To achieve this design mathematically, two assumptions are made.

1. Foil 0 is not connected to the HV conductor for both cases.
2. The conductor surface is spaced a distance of  $S_n$  from foil 0 in **radial grading**.
3. The conductor surface is spaced an adjustable distance from foil 0 in **axial grading**.

The reason of each assumption according to the design constrains are explained as following:

- Assumption 1 is required to be able to use the capacitor derived iterative formula on foil 0.
- Assumption 2 is required so that the radial spacing is kept constant.

- Assumption 3 is required so that axial grading could be calculated with a varying parameter value for  $r_0$ . This makes it possible to adjust the initial gap so that the last foil will be placed exactly at 148mm.

The first iteration has been calculated under these assumptions, giving the result in equations 16 and 17 which are expected values. The remainder of foil parameters can then be calculated using the iterative formulas in each grading.

$$L_1 = 5000 \frac{\ln(\frac{56.8}{52})}{\ln(\frac{52}{47.2})} = 4558mm \quad (Radial\ grading) \quad (16)$$

$$r_2 = 52 \exp\left(\frac{49418}{5000} \ln\left(\frac{52}{50 - 1.007}\right)\right) = 55.15mm \implies r_{21} \simeq 148mm \quad (Axial\ grading) \quad (17)$$

## 4 Matlab Calculations

Two Matlab scripts were developed for computation of radial and axial grading. In each case the required parameters could be entered to the program by user. The final results are displayed on both 2 and 3D figures to the user. These scripts were built to be easily customisable for any number of foils and any initial values, to cater for the calculation of improved designs. They also automatically outputs data in a form for direct input into the COMSOL model, and auto-updates a  $\text{\LaTeX}$  file containing the data to form results table.

### 4.1 Matlab Radial Grading

In case of radial grading the code takes a required number of foils, and the inner and outer dimensions of the bushing, to calculate the radial location and length of each foil using the radial grading method as described in section 2.2 and also using the assumptions made for radial grading design on 3.1.

For current design with specified parameters, the script plots the calculated foil positions in a 3D graph shown in figure 4.1. Also the 2D plot of this design is shown in figure 4.2. This figure illustrates the hyperbolic shape which was expected for radial grading. These figures allows a quick verification of the scripts accuracy before proceeding to simulation.

Table 4.1 shows the values obtained for radial grading. The final information required to be able to proceed to the axial simulation phase is the relative permittivity of each material. This was gathered from [6] and is shown in table 4.2.

### 4.2 Matlab Axial Grading

In this case the code also takes same parameters as input. However, as it is explain in reference [8] the constant difference of foil length in oil and air side of bushing ( $b_{air}$ ,  $b_{oil}$ ) is calculated by considering the value of flash over distance  $L_{air}$  and  $L_{oil}$ . These values are calculated by using the fact that average electric field strength along the boundary surface in oil side should be 3 to 4 Kv/cm and nearly 9 to 12 Kv/cm in air side of the bushing. These values are calculated using equation 18.




Figure 4.1: 3D Representation of foil radial position and length




Figure 4.2: 2D Representation of foil radial position and length

$$b_{air} = \frac{\Delta V}{900 \text{ v/mm}} \quad , \quad b_{oil} = \frac{\Delta V}{300 \text{ v/mm}} \quad (18)$$

Additionally, as it is shown in equation 19, in calculation of  $r_2$  a parameter called  $R_{parameter}$  is subtracted from  $r_0$  to change the position of assumed-conductor-surface. The radius of the last foil ( $r_{21}$ ) could be correctly adjusted by making changes in this parameter and executing the script.  $R_{parameter} = 1.007mm$  was the best value found for this design.

$$r_2 = r_1 \exp\left(\frac{L_2}{L_1} \ln\left(\frac{r_1}{r_0 - R_{parameter}}\right)\right) \quad (19)$$

This script plots 2 and 3D plots of axial grading to the user. Figure 4.3 illustrates the 3D configuration of design's axial bushing. The 2D plot of this design, which is shown in figure 4.4, shows linear reduction on foil length on both side. It also illustrates that  $b_{air} > b_{oil}$  as it was expected. Finally the calculated values for axial grading, using this design method, is shown in 4.3. These values along the given parameters on table 4.2 was used for COMSOL seulation of the axial grading.

Table 4.1: Radial Grading Calculations Results

Radius(mm)	Length(mm)
52.00	5000.00
56.80	4558.22
61.60	4188.21
66.40	3873.79
71.20	3603.30
76.00	3368.13
80.80	3161.78
85.60	2979.27
90.40	2816.68
95.20	2670.92
100.00	2539.51
104.80	2420.43
109.60	2312.01
114.40	2212.90
119.20	2121.93
124.00	2038.15
128.80	1960.73
133.60	1888.98
138.40	1822.29
143.20	1760.16
148.00	1702.12
150.00	851.06

Table 4.2: Relative Permittivity of Materials

Material	Relative Permittivity ( $\epsilon_r$ )
Air	1
Oil	2.2
Paper Impregnated with Oil	4
Aluminium	$10^8$

## 5 Modelling Results

The following simulations were completed using the COMSOL multiphysics software package. COMSOL uses finite element methods to solve the the laplacian electric field partial differential equation.

### 5.1 No Grading

As a baseline for comparison, a bushing with no foils has been constructed and simulated. The geometry of the model was built as in figure 5.1. The system is an axialsymmetric 2D model, which takes the central vertical point  $r = 0$  as the centre of a cylinder.




Figure 4.3: 2D Representation of foil radial position and length




Figure 4.4: 2D Representation of foil radial position and length

Once the geometry of the model is defined, a finite element mesh can be created as shown in figure 5.2. This model is fairly simple, hence a very fine graded mesh was used improving the accuracy of results.

The next stage is to define the relative permittivity of each of the materials used for each sub section of the geometry. The initial conditions must then be set, with the conductor set to 275kV, and the transformer wall and all outer boundaries earthed. All other boundaries are assumed to be continuity boundaries.

The model can then be solved to give the electric field distribution

TS - Report done up to here 03/03/2014

Table 4.3: Axial Grading Calculations Results

Radius(mm)	Length(mm)
52.00	5000.00
55.15	4941.80
58.46	4883.61
61.92	4825.41
65.53	4767.21
69.32	4709.02
73.26	4650.82
77.39	4592.62
81.68	4534.43
86.15	4476.23
90.81	4418.03
95.65	4359.84
100.68	4301.64
105.90	4243.44
111.32	4185.25
116.93	4127.05
122.73	4068.85
128.74	4010.66
134.95	3952.46
141.35	3894.26
147.96	3836.07
149.96	1918.03

## 6 Discussion of Results

Comparison and discussion (Suggestions on improvement).

## 7 Conclusions

Conclusions.

## References

- [1] D.F. Warne. *Newnes Electrical Power Engineer's Handbook*. Elsevier Science, 2005.
- [2] R.E. James, Q. Su, and Institution of Engineering and Technology. *Condition Assessment of High Voltage Insulation in Power System Equipment*. IET power and energy series. Institution of Engineering and Technology, 2008.
- [3] Ieee standard general requirements and test procedure for power apparatus bushings. *IEEE Std C57.19.00-2004 (Revision of IEEE Std C57.19.00-1991)*, pages 1–17, 2005.




Figure 5.1: COMSOL Geometry Annotated with Materials - No Grading




Figure 5.2: COMSOL Mesh - No Grading

- [4] J.H. Harlow. *Electric Power Transformer Engineering*. The Electric Power Engineering Hbk, Second Edition. Taylor & Francis, 2004.
- [5] J. Kuffel, E. Kuffel, and W.S. Zaengl. *High Voltage Engineering Fundamentals*. Elsevier Science, 2000.

- 
- [6] Zeeshan Ahmed. Analysis of partial discharge in OIP bushing models. Master's thesis, Royal Institute of Technology (KTH), September 2011.
  - [7] George Chen. ELEC6089 High Voltage Insulation Systems Assignment 1 HV AC 275kV Bushing Design Briefing Notes, February 2014. The University of Southampton.
  - [8] Fachgebiet Hochspannungstechnik Technische Universitt Darmstadt. Measures for field and potential control. [Online] Available: [http://www.hst.tu-darmstadt.de/uploads/media/hvt2\\_v\\_02.pdf](http://www.hst.tu-darmstadt.de/uploads/media/hvt2_v_02.pdf), last viewed on 23.12.2014.



## A Individual Contributions

Team Member	Contribution
Thomas J. Smith 23914254	-
David Mahmoodi 99999999	-
Brendan Hickman 99999999	-
Patrick P. L. Fong 99999999	-

## B Meeting Minutes

### B.1 Meeting 1 - Kick-off Meeting

<b>Purpose</b>	ELEC6089 Bushing Design Kick Off Meeting
<b>Date and Time</b>	Thursday 20th February 13:30
<b>Venue</b>	GDP Lab Zepler Building, Highfield Campus
<b>Participants</b>	TS (Thomas Smith), DM (David Mahmoodi), BH (Brendan Hickman), PF (Patrick Fong)
<b>Apologies</b>	None
<b>Agenda</b>	Review what we understand of the project so far. Understand the tasks required. Agree expectations of work and schedule. Agree date and agenda of next meeting.

#### B.1.1 Minutes of the Meeting

ID	Subject	Notes and Discussion	Action
1.0	Research prior to the meeting	BH uploaded the course text to the Facebook working group which has a section on stress control by floating screens. TS uploaded a project from KTH university that had similar guidelines and had a useful description to compound the lecture notes for the module. All agreed to research the topic further and read these sections by the next meeting	<b>ALL A1.0</b>
2.0	Current understanding of task	The group discussed the task at hand. We need to design the bushing using the iterative formulas from the lectures and then build a COMSOL model. The design must be either radial or axial in grading method.	-
3.0	Work Breakdown	The group tried to identify the work to complete. This includes research into field design and grading methods, calculating the bushing design, simulating and report writing. None of these tasks can be completed in parallel, and all need the previous in order to complete the task. Hence each member needs to research, and have knowledge of the design and simulation process. It will become clearer who will be assigned responsibility for what shortly. Currently, remain with all needing to complete research	-
4.0	Next Meeting	First meeting with G. Chen in 2 weeks, Tuesday 4th March. Before then have a first model and have begun verification. Have group Latex template for collaboration, good layout and presentation marks. Use Github. Next meeting on Wednesday 26th.	-

### B.1.2 Action List

ID	Action	Comments	Status
A1.0	Research	All to start research. Make notes of all sources. At least reviewed the lecture notes and Kuffel.	Open 20th Feb

*Next Meeting: 26th Feb 2014, Location & Time TBA*

## B.2 Meeting 2 - Progress Meeting

<b>Purpose</b>	ELEC6089 Bushing Design Progress Meeting
<b>Date and Time</b>	Wednesday 26th February 11:30
<b>Venue</b>	GDP Lab Zepler Building, Highfield Campus
<b>Participants</b>	TS (Thomas Smith), DM (David Mahmoodi), BH (Brendan Hickman)
<b>Apologies</b>	PF (Patrick Fong)
<b>Agenda</b>	Review research progress. Clarify project understanding. Start design task. Identify further work.

### B.2.1 Minutes of the Meeting

ID	Subject	Notes and Discussion	Action
1.0	Research update	The present team members discussed the task in the context of Kuffel and KTH research. Agreed on bushing definitions and the theory behind capacitive grading. Also took time to verify that the lecture notes matched the explanation in Kuffel. Kuffel pages are 235-241. Also discussed why the capacitors were added, and established the iterative formula to use. All should continue to gain a firmer grounding of the required theory	<b>ALL A1.0</b>
2.0	Github and $\text{\LaTeX}$	TS ran the present through the report template, what was required and how to use the distributed revision control system Git as hosted on GitHub. This should make collaboration much easier than using just our facebook group page.	-

ID	Subject	Notes and Discussion	Action
3.0	Grading Methods	DM left the meeting at this point to read the lecture notes. DA will also perform the grading and we can then use this to independently verify the design. TS and BH started on axial grading method. Both wrote matlab code to calculate spacings. The results were the same, hence reasonable level of confidence of validity.	<b>PF &amp; DM A2.0</b>
4.0	Remaining work	BH and TS identified the remaining work for actioning. The report has an introduction which requires review. Sections on Grading methods (why grade? LV solutions using electrodes, DC solution using resistivity, AC capacitive grading), AC grading types (discussion of axial and radial components of tangential fields, radial and axial derivation) and section on the design details (iterative formula, Matlab calculations, visio diagrams). The design must be built in COMSOL which represents significant work to understand COMSOL. Probably want to simulate a non-graded bushing as a baseline for discussion. Aiming to do both radial and axial grading simulations. Then discuss.	-
5.0	Assignment of work	BH and PF have a key deadline on tuesday 4th March hence largely unavailable until then. TS and DM to get started on tasks. Try and get simulations done before meeting with GC.	<b>TS &amp; DA A3.0 A4.0</b>
6.0	Next Meeting	First meeting with G. Chen Tuesday 4th March. Before then have a first model and have begun verification. Next meeting on Prior to this meeting.	-

### B.2.2 Action List

ID	Action	Comments	Status
A1.0	Research	All to start research. Make notes of all sources. At least reviewed the lecture notes and Kuffel.	Open 20th Feb
A2.0	Grading	Other members to perform axial grading calculations seperately so that the results can be verified independently	Open 26th Feb
A3.0	COMSOL	Gain an understanding of COMSOL and attempt some simulations.	Open 26th Feb
A4.0	Reporting	Continue to document progress in the report.	Open 26th Feb

*Next Meeting: 4th March 2014, Preceeding meeting with GC*

### B.3 Meeting 3 - Progress Meeting

<b>Purpose</b>	ELEC6089 Bushing Design Progress Meeting
<b>Date and Time</b>	Tuesday 4th March Before Meeting with GC
<b>Venue</b>	Building 58, Highfield Campus
<b>Participants</b>	TS (Thomas Smith), DM (David Mahmoodi), BH (Brendan Hickman), PF (Patrick Fong)
<b>Apologies</b>	-
<b>Agenda</b>	Review progress. Prepare Questions for Meeting. Identify further work required. Allocate work.

#### B.3.1 Minutes of the Meeting

ID	Subject	Notes and Discussion	Action
1.0	Project Update	DM updated the group with his work in Matlab using the natural log derivation of the grading formula. TS updated the group with report progress and progress using COMSOL. Significant work completed by TS, including Introduction, an overview of grading methods, an attempt to derive the equations and initial COMSOL models. BH and PF have nothing to update due to another deadline just prior to the meeting.	-
2.0	Questions to ask in GC meeting	Meaning of dimensions for 'failed' 275kV bushing? Will it work, must we improve it? Will it fail without foils but work with?	-
2.1		Can we change the length or width of the bushing? The material/insulation of the bushing or the number of foils?	-
2.2		Check that TS output data/graphs are correct. Check conductor, meshing, and length of grounded aluminium transformer.	-
2.3		Should we have radial and axial grading to compare?	-
2.4		Can we design shedding in comsol for the air side as extra to bushing?	-
2.5		Should we count last boundary of oil-end interface as a capacitor, even take it into account?	-
2.6		Check formatting of paper	-
3.0	Meeting with GC	The Group attended a meeting with GC to gain some feedback on progress so far.	-
3.1		Answer to 2.1, 2.3, 2.4 - Later on, you can change dimensions but there are also other (better?) improvements	-

ID	Subject	Notes and Discussion	Action
3.2		Find/mesh tightly the areas of interest, the bushing and not the air to make the meshing more efficient. Look at the interfaces, at end of Al foil there is more chance of discharge. In the middle the field is symmetrical.	-
3.3		Graphs should be a clear demonstration of info, potential distribution and field strength 2/3. Check where the ground/transformer is, is it half in or more or less? Different flashover lengths, partial discharges etc.	-
3.4		The first and last foils are connected to the conductor and ground respectively. Flashover can go through interface of paper&oil	-
3.5		45 page maximum including appendices	-
4.0	Work Allocation	low voltage, DC solutions, electrode grading and external shaping investigation for the report	<b>PF A5.0</b>
4.1		Partial discharge, flashover (& voltages) and related criteria so we can judge how good a solution is	<b>BH A6.0</b>
4.2		Comsol, further learning + shaping of field	<b>TS and DM A7.0</b>
5.0	Next Meeting	Meet on 11th March - 1 week time	-

### B.3.2 Action List

ID	Action	Comments	Status
A1.0	Research	All to start research. Make notes of all sources. At least reviewed the lecture notes and Kuffel.	Open 20th Feb Closed 4th March
A2.0	Grading	Other members to perform axial grading calculations separately so that the results can be verified independently	Open 26th Feb Closed 4th March
A3.0	COMSOL	Gain an understanding of COMSOL and attempt some simulations.	Open 26th Feb Closed 4th March
A4.0	Reporting	Continue to document progress in the report.	Open 26th Feb
A5.0	LV and DC	Research and document LV solutions and DC alternatives.	Open 4th March
A6.0	Criteria	Define a set of assessment criteria.	Open 4th March
A7.0	Simulations	Continue to refine Matlab script and develop COMSOL simulations accurately portraying what is happening so that the design can be assessed critically.	Open 4th March

---

*Next Meeting: 11th March 2014*

## C Code Listings

### Radial Grading Matlab Code

---

```

clc
close all
clear all

%%
% Declareing Given Variables
Voltage = 275000;
Inner_diameter = 100;
Outer_diameter = 300;
First_foil_length = 5000;
N = 21;
Foil_Thickness = 0.1;
First_Gap = 2;
Last_Gap = 2;

%%
% Defining new variables
Del_Voltage = Voltage/N-1; %Voltage between each foil
Del_Radius = ((Outer_diameter- Inner_diameter)-2*(First_Gap+Last_Gap))/(2*(N-1)); % Spacing between each f
%Initialise vectors (22 for 3D plotting)
L = zeros(1,N+1);
Radius = zeros(1,N+1);

%%
% Calculation
L(1)=First_foil_length; %The first foil is 5000mm and connected to the conductor, no capacitance between c
r0 = Inner_diameter/2; %Radius of the conductor
Radius(1)= Inner_diameter/2 + First_Gap; % Radial position of first foil = 52mm

%Calculate the radial positions of all foils
for i=2:N
    Radius(i)=Radius(i-1) + Del_Radius;
end

% Refer to Section 2.2 for an explanation of this assumption
L(2)= log(Radius(2)/Radius(1))* L(1) / log(Radius(1)/(Radius(1)-Del_Radius)) ;

%Follow the iterative formula
for i=3:N
    L(i)= log(Radius(i-1)/Radius(i))* L(i-1) / log(Radius(i-2)/Radius(i-1));
end

%For plotting - add the outer shell
L(N+1)=L(N)-.5*L(N);
Radius(N+1)=Radius(N)+ Last_Gap;

%%
% Ploting
x=zeros(1,2*(N+1));
y=x;
j=1;
for i=1:2:2*(N+1)
    x(i)=L(j)/2;
    x(i+1)=-L(j)/2;
    y(i)=Radius(j);
    y(i+1)=Radius(j);
    j=j+1;
end
y2=-y;

% 2D Plot
figure
axes('FontSize',16,'fontWeight','bold')
rect_H = rectangle('Position', [-1.1.*x(1),- r0, 2.2*x(1), 2*r0]);
set(rect_H, 'FaceColor', 'r')

for i=1:2:2*(N)

```



```

    hold on
    line(x(i:i+1), y(i:i+1), 'LineWidth',2)
    line(x(i:i+1), y2(i:i+1), 'LineWidth',2)
    %axis equal
end
rect_H = rectangle('Position', [-L(N+1)/2,Outer_diameter/2, L(N+1), 10]);
set(rect_H, 'FaceColor',[0, 0, 0])
rect_H = rectangle('Position', [-L(N+1)/2,-10-Outer_diameter/2, L(N+1), 10]);
set(rect_H, 'FaceColor',[0, 0, 0]);
title('Radial Graing','FontName','Times New Roman','FontSize',34,'fontWeight','bold');
xlabel('Length (mm)','FontName','Times New Roman','FontSize',24,'fontWeight','bold')
ylabel('Radius (mm)','FontName','Times New Roman','FontSize',24,'fontWeight','bold')
xlim([x(2) x(1)]);ylim([y2(end)-10 y(end)+10])

% 3D Plot
K=50; scl=.1; % Z direction scalling value for plotting
p= 6*N/3+1; %adjusting the Cut in the 3D shap
figure
axes('FontSize',16,'fontWeight','bold');
R=[r0 r0];
[X,Y,Z] = cylinder(R,5*K);
Z(2, :) = ( L(1)+ .1*L(1))*scl;
Z(1, :) = - Z(2, :);
surf(X,Y,Z, 'FaceColor',[1,0,0],'EdgeColor',[1,0,0]);
for i=1:N
    hold on
    R=[Radius(i) Radius(i)];
    [X,Y,Z] = cylinder(R,K);
    Z(2,:)= L(i);
    Z(1,:)= -L(i);
    X = X(:,1:p);
    Y = Y(:,1:p);
    Z = Z(:,1:p)*scl;
    testsubject = surf(X,Y,Z);
    set(testsubject, 'FaceAlpha',0.8, 'EdgeColor','b')
    axis equal
end
Ground=Radius(N+1)-1;
for i=1:30
    R=[Ground+i Ground+i];
    [X,Y,Z] = cylinder(R,K);
    Z(2, :) = L(N+1)*scl;
    Z(1, :) = - Z(2, :);
    X = X(:,1:p);
    Y = Y(:,1:p);
    Z = Z(:,1:p)*scl;
    surf(X,Y,Z, 'FaceColor',[0,0,0],'EdgeColor',[0, 0, 0]);
end
camlight
lighting gouraud
title('Radial Graing','FontName','Times New Roman','FontSize',24,'fontWeight','bold');
xlabel('R(mm)','FontName','Times New Roman','FontSize',16,'fontWeight','bold','Rotation',90,'HorizontalAlign', 'center');
ylabel('L(cm)','FontName','Times New Roman','FontSize',16,'fontWeight','bold','HorizontalAlign', 'right');

% Saving results to file
FID = fopen('RadialVals21.tex', 'w');
fprintf(FID, '\\begin{table}[!htb]\n');
fprintf(FID, '\\caption{Radial Grading Calculations Results}\n');
fprintf(FID, '\\label{table:radialvals}\n');
fprintf(FID, '\\begin{center}\n');
fprintf(FID, '\\begin{tabular}{cc}\n');
fprintf(FID, '\\toprule\n');
fprintf(FID, '\\textbf{Radius(mm)} & \\textbf{Length(mm)} \\\\ \\toprule\n');
for i=1:N+1
    fprintf(FID, '%4.2f & %4.2f \\\\\\n', Radius(i), L(i));
end
fprintf(FID, '\\bottomrule\n');
fprintf(FID, '\\end{tabular}\n');
fprintf(FID, '\\end{center}\n');
fprintf(FID, '\\end{table}\n');

```

---

```
fclose(FID);
```

---

### Axial Grading Matlab Code

---

```
clc
close all
clear all
%%
% Declareing Given Variables
Voltage = 275000;           %Applied voltage (volt)
Inner_diameter = 100;       %mm
Outer_diameter = 300;       %mm
First_foil_length = 5000;   %mm
N = 21;
Foil_Thickness = 0.1;       %mm
First_Gap = 2;              %mm
Last_Gap = 2;               %mm

E_boundary_surface_Air = 300; %volt/mm
E_boundary_surface_Oil = 3*300; %volt/mm

%%
% Defining new variables
Del_Voltage = Voltage/N-1; %Voltage between each foil
b_Air = Del_Voltage/E_boundary_surface_Air;
b_Oil = Del_Voltage/E_boundary_surface_Oil;
L_Air = b_Air * (N-1);
L_Oil = b_Oil * (N-1);

% Del_Radius = ((Outer_diameter- Inner_diameter)-2*(First_Gap+Last_Gap))/(2*(N-1)); % Spacing between each
%Initialise vectors (22 for 3D plotting)
L = zeros(1,N+1);
L_Air = zeros(1,N+1);
L_Oil = zeros(1,N+1);
Radius = zeros(1,N+1);
R_parameter=1.007; % Parameter for adjesting assumption value of r0

%%
% Calculation
L(1)=First_foil_length; %The first foil is 5000mm and connected to the conductor, no capacitance between c
r0 = Inner_diameter/2 ; %Radius of the conductor
Radius(1)= Inner_diameter/2 + First_Gap; % Radial position of first foil = 52mm
L_Air(1)= L(1)/2;
L_Oil(1)= L(1)/2;
%Calculate the radial positions of all foils
for i=2:N
    L_Air(i)=L_Air(i-1)- b_Air;
    L_Oil(i)=L_Oil(i-1)- b_Oil;
    L(i)= L_Air(i)+L_Oil(i);
end

% Refer to Section 2.2 for an explanation of this assumption
Radius(2)= Radius(1)* exp( (L(2)/L(1)) * log(Radius(1)/(r0-R_parameter)));

%Follow the iterative formula
for i=3:N
    Radius(i)= Radius(i-1)* exp( (L(i)/L(i-1)) * log(Radius(i-1)/Radius(i-2)));
end

%For plotting - add the outer shell
L(N+1)=L(N)- .5*L(N);
Radius(N+1)=Radius(N)+ Last_Gap;

%%
% Ploting
x=zeros(1,2*(N+1));
y=x;
j=1;
for i=1:2:2*(N+1)
    x(i)=L_Air(j);
    x(i+1)=-L_Oil(j);
```

```

        y(i)=Radius(j);
        y(i+1)=Radius(j);
        j=j+1;
    end
    y2=-y;

% 2D Plot
figure
axes('FontSize',16,'fontWeight','bold')
rect_H = rectangle('Position', [-1.1.*x(1),- r0, 2.2*x(1), 2*r0]);
set(rect_H, 'FaceColor', 'r')

for i=1:2:2*(N)
    hold on
    line(-x(i:i+1), -y(i:i+1), 'LineWidth',2)
    line(-x(i:i+1), -y2(i:i+1), 'LineWidth',2)
    %axis equal
end
rect_H = rectangle('Position', [L(N+1)/3,Outer_diameter/2, L(N+1)/2, 1000]);
set(rect_H, 'FaceColor',[0, 0, 0])
rect_H = rectangle('Position', [L(N+1)/3,-10-Outer_diameter/2, L(N+1)/2, 10]);
set(rect_H, 'FaceColor',[0, 0, 0])
title('Axial Graing','FontName', 'Times New Roman','FontSize',34,'fontWeight','bold');
xlabel('Length (mm)','FontName', 'Times New Roman','FontSize',24,'fontWeight','bold')
ylabel('Radius (mm)','FontName', 'Times New Roman','FontSize',24,'fontWeight','bold')
xlim([x(2) x(1)]);ylim([y2(end)-10 y(end)+10])

% 3D Plot
K=50; scl=.1; % Z direction scalling value for plotting
p= 6*N/3+1; %adjusting the Cut in the 3D shap
figure
axes('FontSize',16,'fontWeight','bold')
R=[r0 r0];
[X,Y,Z] = cylinder(R,5*K);
Z(2, :) = (L_Air(1)+ .1*L_Air(1))*scl;
Z(1, :) = - Z(2, :);
surf(X,Y,Z, 'FaceColor',[1,0,0],'EdgeColor',[1,0,0]);
for i=1:N
    hold on
    R=[Radius(i) Radius(i)];
    [X,Y,Z] = cylinder(R,K);
    Z(2,:)= L_Air(i);
    Z(1,:)= -L_Oil(i);
    X = X(:,1:p);
    Y = Y(:,1:p);
    Z = Z(:,1:p)*scl;
    testsubject = surf(X,Y,Z);
    set(testsubject,'FaceAlpha',0.8,'EdgeColor','b')
    axis equal
end
Ground=Radius(N+1)-1;
for i=1:30
    R=[Ground+i Ground+i];
    [X,Y,Z] = cylinder(R,K);
    Z(2, :) = L(N+1) *scl;
    Z(1, :) = - Z(2, :);
    X = X(:,1:p);
    Y = Y(:,1:p);
    Z = Z(:,1:p)*scl-100;
    surf(X,Y,Z, 'FaceColor',[0,0,0],'EdgeColor',[0, 0, 0]);
end
camlight
lighting gouraud
title('Axial Graing','FontName','Times New Roman','FontSize',24,'fontWeight','bold');
xlabel('R(mm)','FontName','Times New Roman','FontSize',16,'fontWeight','bold','Rotation',90,'HorizontalAlignm
zlabel('L(cm)','FontName','Times New Roman','FontSize',16,'fontWeight','bold','HorizontalAlignment','right

% Saving results to file
FID = fopen('AxialVals21.tex','w');
fprintf(FID, '\\begin{table}[!htb]\n');
fprintf(FID, '\\caption{Axial Grading Calculations Results}\n');

```

---

```
fprintf(FID, '\\label{table:axiallvals}\\n');
fprintf(FID, '\\begin{center}\\n');
fprintf(FID, '\\begin{tabular}{cc}\\n');
fprintf(FID, '\\toprule\\n');
fprintf(FID, '\\textbf{Radius(mm)} & \\textbf{Length(mm)} \\ \\toprule\\n');
for i=1:N+1
    fprintf(FID, '%4.2f & %4.2f \\ \\ \\n', Radius(i), L(i));
end
fprintf(FID, '\\bottomrule\\n');
fprintf(FID, '\\end{tabular}\\n');
fprintf(FID, '\\end{center}\\n');
fprintf(FID, '\\end{table}\\n');
fclose(FID);
```

---