

**MATH 221 Practice Midterm Answers — November, 2024, Duration: 80 minutes**

*This test has **5 questions** on **X pages**, for a total of 55 points.*

First Name:	Last Name:
Student Number:	Section:
Signature:	

Question:	1	2	3	4	5
Points:					
Total:	/55				

1. Give an example or say does not exist for each of the following:

2 Marks

- (a) A matrix  $A$  such that  $\text{Nul}(A) = \text{Col}(A)$

**Solution:**

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

There are more examples but this is the easiest

2 Marks

- (b) A subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that it has only one basis

**Solution:**  $\{\mathbf{0}\}$ , and this is in fact the only subspace with one basis, the basis being  $\emptyset$

2 Marks

- (c) A linear transformation  $T : V \mapsto W$  such that  $T(\mathbf{0}) \neq \mathbf{0}'$  where  $\mathbf{0} \in V$ ,  $\mathbf{0}' \in W$

**Solution:** Does not exist

2 Marks

- (d) An onto function mapping the set of  $n \times n$ -matrices with real entries to  $\mathbb{R}$

**Solution:** The determinant (almost anything works here, another answer would be mapping a matrix to its upper left entry)

2 Marks

- (e) An invertible  $2 \times 2$ -matrix with integer entries that has a non-integer determinant

**Solution:** Does not exist.

2. Determine whether  $T$  is one-to-one and/or onto for each of the following: (No need to justify)

4 Marks

- (a)  $T : \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^2$  where if  $x \neq 0$ ,  $T(x) = \langle \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{x}\right), \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{x}\right) \rangle$  and  $T(0) = 1$

**Solution:** Not one-to-one and not onto

4 Marks

- (b)  $T : \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  where  $T(x)$  is a polynomial of odd degree that has complex roots.

**Solution:** Not one-to-one and onto

4 Marks

- (c)  $T : M \mapsto M$ ,  $M$  is the set of invertible  $n \times n$ -matrices,  $A, B \in M$ ,  $T(B) = ABA^{-1}$

**Solution:** One-to-one and onto

3. Consider the following matrix  $A$ :

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 & k^2 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 & k & 12 \end{bmatrix}$$

3 Marks

- (a) Without row reducing the matrix, show that  $\text{Nul}(A) \neq \{\mathbf{0}\}$

**Solution:** Observe that this matrix is  $3 \times 4$  so by rank theorem, the number of columns is equal to the rank + nullity. Notice the rank is at most 3 since the matrix can have at most 3 pivots, so the nullity must be at least 1. Hence,  $\text{Nul}(A) \neq \{\mathbf{0}\}$ .

4 Marks

- (b) Find  $k \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $A$  is onto, or show that such  $k$  does not exist.

**Solution:** Row reducing this matrix gives

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 & k^2 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 2k^2 + k & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

so we want to guarantee that  $2k^2 + k \neq 0$  as otherwise the bottom row would be all zeroes. Now, observe that this means  $k(2k + 1) \neq 0$  so  $k \neq 0$  and  $k \neq -\frac{1}{2}$  will guarantee that  $A$  is onto.

3 Marks

- (c) Find  $k \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\text{rank} A$  is as small as possible, and state explicitly what  $\text{rank} A$  is

**Solution:** From part (b), we see that  $A$  can be row reduced into the following

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 & k^2 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 2k^2 + k & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

So  $A$  has at least 2 rows with pivots, meaning that the smallest possible rank is 2. Also from part (b), we know  $k \neq 0$  and  $k \neq \frac{-1}{2}$  will give the smallest possible rank for  $A$ .

4. Consider the following matrix  $A$  :

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & -2 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

3 Marks

(a) Compute  $A^{-1}$

**Solution:**

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{5} & \frac{1}{5} & \frac{2}{5} \\ \frac{3}{5} & \frac{-2}{5} & \frac{-4}{5} \\ \frac{-1}{5} & \frac{-1}{5} & \frac{3}{5} \end{bmatrix}$$

4 Marks

- (b) Let  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3$  denote the column vectors of  $A^{-1}$ . Rewrite the basis for  $\text{Col}(A^{-1})$  as  $\{T(\mathbf{v}_1), T(\mathbf{v}_2), T(\mathbf{v}_3)\}$  where  $T$  is a linear transformation such that  $T(\mathbf{e}_2) = \mathbf{e}_2, T(\mathbf{e}_3) = \mathbf{e}_3$ , and

$$T(\mathbf{v}_1) = \begin{bmatrix} * \\ * \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad T(\mathbf{v}_2) = \begin{bmatrix} * \\ 2 \\ * \end{bmatrix} \quad T(\mathbf{v}_3) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ * \\ * \end{bmatrix}$$

**Solution:**

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{5} & \frac{1}{5} & \frac{2}{5} \\ \frac{3}{5} & \frac{-2}{5} & \frac{-4}{5} \\ \frac{-1}{5} & \frac{-1}{5} & \frac{3}{5} \end{bmatrix} \\ T(\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_2) &= T \left( \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{5} \\ \frac{3}{5} \\ \frac{-1}{5} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{5} \\ \frac{-2}{5} \\ \frac{-1}{5} \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} * \\ * \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} * \\ 2 \\ * \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ & \Rightarrow T(\mathbf{v}_1) = \begin{bmatrix} * \\ 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, T(\mathbf{v}_2) = \begin{bmatrix} * \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ T(2\mathbf{v}_2 - \mathbf{v}_3) &= T \left( \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{5} \\ \frac{-4}{5} \\ \frac{-2}{5} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{5} \\ \frac{-4}{5} \\ \frac{3}{5} \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} * \\ 4 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ * \\ * \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \\ & \Rightarrow T(\mathbf{v}_2) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, T(\mathbf{v}_3) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 4 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow T(\mathbf{v}_1) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

So the final answer is  $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 4 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$



4 Marks

- (c) Suppose the following are vectors written relative to the bases of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and  $\text{Col}(A)$  respectively.

$$\mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, [\mathbf{w}]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Does  $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}\}$  form a linearly independent set? What about  $\{[\mathbf{e}_1]_{\mathcal{B}}, [\mathbf{v}]_{\mathcal{B}}, [\mathbf{w}]_{\mathcal{B}}\}$ ? Justify your answer.

**Solution:** It suffices to check that one of these sets are linearly independent/dependent as you can get from one set to another via  $A$  or  $A^{-1}$ , which are invertible linear transformations and hence preserve the number of pivots in the matrix formed with these sets as column vectors (and thus linear independence/dependence of the set). We check  $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}\}$  so we convert  $[\mathbf{w}]_{\mathcal{B}}$  back into standard coordinates. We know  $A^{-1}\mathbf{w}$  will give us  $[\mathbf{w}]_{\mathcal{B}}$  so

$$\begin{aligned} A([\mathbf{w}]_{\mathcal{B}}) &= A \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & -2 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -3 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{w} \end{aligned}$$

But then this means the matrix whose column vectors are  $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}$  would already be in REF and have 3 pivots, so the matrix is invertible and hence both  $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}\}$  and  $\{[\mathbf{e}_1]_{\mathcal{B}}, [\mathbf{v}]_{\mathcal{B}}, [\mathbf{w}]_{\mathcal{B}}\}$  are linearly independent.

5. Let  $D_4$  be the possible matrices obtained by multiplying different powers of  $R$  and  $S$  together in different orders,

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) & -\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \\ \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) & \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \end{bmatrix} \quad S = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

4 Marks

- (a) Show that  $SR^k = R^{-k}S$

**Solution:**  $R$  is a rotation matrix so  $R^k$  is just rotating counterclockwise by  $\frac{\pi}{2}$   $k$ -times and similarly for  $R^{-k}$ , it is just rotating clockwise by  $\frac{\pi}{2}$   $k$ -times. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} SR^k S^{-1} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos\left(\frac{k\pi}{2}\right) & -\sin\left(\frac{k\pi}{2}\right) \\ \sin\left(\frac{k\pi}{2}\right) & \cos\left(\frac{k\pi}{2}\right) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos\left(\frac{k\pi}{2}\right) & -\sin\left(\frac{k\pi}{2}\right) \\ \sin\left(\frac{k\pi}{2}\right) & \cos\left(\frac{k\pi}{2}\right) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos\left(\frac{k\pi}{2}\right) & \sin\left(\frac{k\pi}{2}\right) \\ \sin\left(\frac{k\pi}{2}\right) & -\cos\left(\frac{k\pi}{2}\right) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \cos\left(\frac{k\pi}{2}\right) & \sin\left(\frac{k\pi}{2}\right) \\ -\sin\left(\frac{k\pi}{2}\right) & \cos\left(\frac{k\pi}{2}\right) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \cos\left(\frac{-k\pi}{2}\right) & -\sin\left(\frac{-k\pi}{2}\right) \\ \sin\left(\frac{-k\pi}{2}\right) & \cos\left(\frac{-k\pi}{2}\right) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= R^{-k} \end{aligned}$$

so  $SR^k S^{-1} = R^{-k}$  and hence  $SR^k = R^{-k}S$  as required.

4 Marks

- (b) Suppose  $B \in D_4$  is a matrix representing  $T : \mathbb{R}^2 \mapsto V$  where the basis of  $V$  is as follows:

$$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} * \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} * \\ * \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

Find all possible  $B \in D_4$  (There are more than one different matrices depending on how you order the basis of  $V$  and depending on how you combine powers of  $S$  and  $R$ )

**Solution:** We want matrices of the following forms

$$\begin{bmatrix} * & * \\ -1 & * \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} * & * \\ * & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

I claim that every matrix in  $D_4$  is either  $R^k$  or  $SR^k$  for  $0 \leq k \leq 3$ . Now  $R$  is a matrix representing rotation by  $\frac{\pi}{2}$  so  $R^k$  for  $0 \leq k \leq 3$  will give distinct matrices in  $D_4$ . From part (a), we can rewrite every product of powers of  $S$  and  $R$  in the form  $S^i R^j$  and notice  $0 \leq i \leq 1$  since  $S^2 = I$ . This gives  $R^k, SR^k$  as the only possible matrices in  $D_4$ . Trivially,  $S$  is a possible  $B$ , but  $I$  is not a possible  $B$ .  $R$  does not have 1 in the bottom row so  $R$  is not a possible  $B$ . Notice

$$SR = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

so  $SR$  has -1 and 0 in the bottom row,  $SR$  is a possible  $B$ .  $R^2 = -I$  so  $-I$  is a possible  $B$ , and  $-S$  does not have -1 in the bottom row, so  $-S$  is not a possible  $B$ .  $R^3 = -R$  which has -1 in the bottom row, so  $-R$  is a possible  $B$ , and from before we know  $SR$  has -1 and 0 in the bottom row, so  $-SR$  does not. Hence, the only possible matrices for  $B$  are

$$S, SR, -I, -R$$

4 Marks

- (c) Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Show that  $S \neq R^n$  and hence show that there cannot exist  $2 \times 2$ -matrices  $A, B$  that satisfy  $SAB = R^k - S(A + I)$ ,  $I - B = S$ ,  $AS - I = R^\ell$  all at the same time by assuming that they can exist and deduce that  $S = R^n$  for some  $n$  (which is a contradiction).

**Solution:** For showing  $S \neq R^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , notice  $\det(S) = -1$  and  $\det(R^k) = 1$ . The determinants are different so  $S$  and  $R^k$  must be different. Now assume there exist  $2 \times 2$ -matrices  $A, B$  that satisfy  $SAB = R^k - S(A + I)$ ,  $I - B = S$ ,  $AS - I = R^\ell$ . Observe that  $-R^k = R^{-k}$  since  $-I$  is rotation by  $\pi$  and  $-I \in D_4$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 SAB &= R^k - S(A + I) \\
 SA(B - I + I) &= R^k - S(A + I) \\
 SA(-S + I) &= R^k - S(A + I) \\
 -SAS - SA &= R^k - SA + S \\
 -SAS &= R^k + S \\
 -SAS - S &= R^k \\
 -S(AS - I) &= R^k \\
 -SR^\ell &= R^k \\
 -S &= R^{k-\ell} \\
 S &= -R^{k-\ell} \\
 S &= R^{\ell-k}
 \end{aligned}$$

so  $S = R^n$ , but this contradicts  $S \neq R^n$  so there cannot exist such  $A, B$  as required.