

Master of Science in Informatics at Grenoble  
Master Informatique  
Specialization Graphics, Vision and Robotics

# **Procedural Stylization**

**Isnel Maxime**

June 2019

Research project performed at YOUR LAB

Under the supervision of:

Your Supervisor

Defended before a jury composed of:

Head of the jury

Jury member 1

Jury member 2



### **Abstract**

Your abstract goes here...

### **Acknowledgement**

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to .. for his invaluable assistance and comments in reviewing this report... Good luck :)

### **Résumé**

Your abstract in French goes here...



# Contents

<b>Abstract</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>Acknowledgement</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>Résumé</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background . . . . .	1
1.2 Problem Statement . . . . .	1
1.2.1 Flatness . . . . .	1
<b>2 Previous Work</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1 Image Space . . . . .	3
2.2 Object Space . . . . .	3
2.3 Texture Mapping . . . . .	4
2.4 Stroke Based . . . . .	4
<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>5</b>



# Introduction

## 1.1 Background

## 1.2 Problem Statement

The main problem of stylizing a 3D object in an animation is the *temporal coherence*. The effect given by the stylization has to be kept if the object is moving, rotating and scaling. Many research have been done to solve this problem of *temporal coherence* [reference to Implicit Brushes, Dynamic solid textures, Motion-coherent stylization, ...]. This problem is three sections:

### 1.2.1 Flatness

The impression of drawing on a flat surface gives the *flatness*. The stylization has a good *flatness* is the image rendered has a good 2D appearance. In order to keep this effect the size and the distribution of the marks of your stylization has to be independant to the distance between the stylized object and the camera.





## Previous Work

The problem of stylizing a 3D object has received many attentions in previous work. There are many methods to stylize. Each of these methods has its advantages and disadvantages about the temporal coherence. We separated these ways to stylized in four different sections : image space, object space, texture mapping and stroke based rendering.

### 2.1 Image Space

This simplest way to stylize a 3D model is to do in image space. The scene is rendered as an image in texture and from this image, the stylization can proceed. The idea is from this image succeed to compute at each pixel the right colour of the splat if this is stroke-based rendering or which colour of an external texture has to be put on this pixel. To do a brush painting with strokes Hertzmann's [Image and Video-Based Artistic Stylisation, 2013] add strokes coloured depending on the image in the image and decide to delete or replace it to fit at best curves to edges of the image. But this method suffer to motion coherence between frames when the camera is moving some brush strokes will disapear and some will appear because every frames are computed independantly of the previous frame and from the 3D model. The method of Vergne et al [Implicit Brushes, 2011] use convolution of points to have a hand drawing effect. These points are placed depending on the *feature profile* which is extracted from the image using the maximum of the luminance gradient and the DeCarlo algorithm[ DeCarlo, 1985].

The advantage of being in image space is that this is in a 2D space so it is easier to make 2D effects like brush paintings, pencil hand drawing. But the disadvantage is there is no information about the geometry (the depth, the normals) and because of that it is hard to ensure *motion coherence* [1].

### 2.2 Object Space

The most common way to display texture on an object is to do it in object space (also called 3D space) this permit to anchor the texture to each vertex of the models. In 3D space, we treat each vertex from the mesh independantly. Each vertex can have many informations about itself: position in 3D, normal of the surface, distance from the camera, ...

## **2.3 Texture Mapping**

## **2.4 Stroke Based**

# Bibliography

- [1] Ken Perlin. Improving Noise. In *Proceedings of the 29th Annual Conference on Computer Graphics and Interactive Techniques*, SIGGRAPH '02, pages 681–682, New York, NY, USA, 2002. ACM. event-place: San Antonio, Texas.