## EE5175: Image Signal Processing

## Non-blind deblurring using gradient regularization

In this experiment, we will perform non-blind deblurring (NBD) using  $L_2$  and  $L_1$  norm based gradient regularization schemes. Let f, h, and g be the clean image, blur kernel and the blurred image respectively and let f, h, and g denote the lexicographically arranged column vector forms of f, h, and g.

• To perform NBD using  $L_2$  norm based gradient regularization, we will solve the constrained least squares optimization problem of the following form.

$$\hat{f} = \arg\min_{f} \{ ||h * f - g||_{2}^{2} + \lambda (||q_{x} * f||_{2}^{2} + ||q_{y} * f||_{2}^{2}) \}$$
(1)

or equivalently

$$\hat{\mathbf{f}} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{f}} \{ ||H\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{g}||_2^2 + \lambda (||Q_x \mathbf{f}||_2^2 + ||Q_y \mathbf{f}||_2^2) \}$$
 (2)

where the first term is used to enforce the data constraint and the second one is the regularization term. H,  $Q_x$  and  $Q_y$  are doubly block circulant matrices formed using the blur kernel h, and the gradient operators  $q_x = [1 - 1]$  and  $q_y = q_x^T$  (H,  $Q_x$  and  $Q_y$  are used to express the underlying 2D convolution operations in the form of matrix-vector multiplication). By differentiating the cost function in Eq. 2 with respect to  $\mathbf{f}$  and by equating the differential to zero one can arrive at the following form of closed form solution to the problem in Eq. 2.

$$\hat{\mathbf{f}} = (H^T H + \lambda Q_x^T Q_x + \lambda Q_y^T Q_y)^{-1} H^T \mathbf{g}$$
(3)

By taking Fourier transform of the above expression (along with the assumptions as discussed in the class), one can arrive at the following equivalent expression in frequency domain.

$$\hat{f} = IDFT \left( \frac{\mathbf{H}^*}{\mathbf{H}^* \mathbf{H} + \lambda \mathbf{Q}_x^* \mathbf{Q}_x + \lambda \mathbf{Q}_y^* \mathbf{Q}_y} \mathbf{G} \right)$$
(4)

where  $\mathbf{H}$ ,  $\mathbf{Q}_x$ ,  $\mathbf{Q}_y$ , and  $\mathbf{G}$  are the 2D Fourier transforms (of the same size as that of g) of h,  $q_x$ ,  $q_y$ , and g. Also, in Eq. 4 all the multiplications and divisions involved are element-wise multiplications and divisions.

• NBD using  $L_1$  norm based gradient regularization is done by solving the constrained least squares optimization problem of the following form.

$$\hat{\mathbf{f}} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{f}} \{ ||H\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{g}||_2^2 + \lambda (||Q_x \mathbf{f}||_1 + ||Q_y \mathbf{f}||_1) \}$$
 (5)

where the regularization term enforces  $L_1$  norm constraint on the gradients of restored image.

Q1  $L_2$  regularized NBD: PSNR vs Visual comparison - For the given image lena.png, perform NBD using  $L_2$  gradient regularization (using Eq. 4) for the following scenarios ( $\sigma_n$  -Gaussian noise  $\sigma$ ,  $\sigma_b$  - Gaussian blur  $\sigma$ ):

• 
$$\sigma_n = 8$$
, a)  $\sigma_b = 0.5$ , b)  $\sigma_b = 1.0$ , c)  $\sigma_b = 1.5$ 

• 
$$\sigma_b = 1.0$$
, a)  $\sigma_n = 5$ , b)  $\sigma_n = 10$ , c)  $\sigma_n = 15$ 

For each case, vary  $\lambda$  from 0.01 to 2.0 in steps of 0.01 and pick the  $\lambda$  that gives minimum RMS error between the original image and the estimated image. Also, for each case, find the  $\lambda$  that gives visually most appealing restored image (for this experiment vary  $\lambda$  according to your convenience). Comment on your observations.

Q2  $L_2$  Vs  $L_1$  regularization - For the given image lena.png, perform NBD using  $L_2$  gradient regularization (using Eq. 4) and compare the results with that of  $L_1$  gradient regularization. To obtain the result for  $L_1$  gradient regularization, we need to solve Eq. 5. Use the code 'admmfft.m' to solve the optimization problem in Eq. 5. Find the outputs from  $L_2$  and  $L_1$  regularization which is visually most appealing (by varying  $\lambda$ ) for the following scenarios.

•  $\sigma_n = 1, \, \sigma_b = 1.5$ 

 $\sigma_n = 5, \, \sigma_b = 1.5$ 

•  $\sigma_n = 5$ , he mb-kernel.png (motion blur)

L1: lambda 0.00005 too noisy, .0001 best, 0.0005 is too pastel/smooth looking. lambda 0.000001 gives someweird speckled appearance. L2: lambda 0.005 best. 0.01 a bit smooth. 0.001 a bit speckled. Change much less drastic then L1 case

Note: The code 'admmfft.m' takes g,h, and  $\lambda$  as the input arguments (call the function as admmfft( $g,h,\lambda,1$ )) and return the restored image corresponding to Eq. 5. While solving Eq. 4, to compute **H** (Fourier transform of the kernel), use matlab built-in function psf2otf.

-end-

L1: 0.003 best. 0.001 visible noise. 0.005 pastel. 0.0001 has weird speckles. L2: 0.2 best. 0.3 a bit too smooth. 0.1 a bit too noisy.

L1: Prominent speckles at lambda 0.001. Best at 0.002. Higher looks pastel. L2: Best looking at 0.07. Noisy at 0.05 Fine till 0.1. Too soft after 0.2

L1 much more sensitive to lambda compared to L2