CS 2051: Project Title

Anthony Hong Yongyu Qiang Georgia Institute of Technology Georgia Institute of Technology

> Aravinth Venkatesh Natarajan Georgia Institute of Technology

1 Background

- Definition of $\pi(x)$, the number of primes $\leq x$.
- Euclid's proof that $\lim_{x\to\infty} \pi(x) = \infty$
- Introduce the Riemann zeta function, $\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}$
- Notation for prime gaps: $g_n = p_{n+1} p_n$
- A prime gap can be arbitrarily large: Consider $n! + 2, n! + 3, \dots, n! + n$
- Are there infinite small prime gaps? Introduce twin prime conjecture
- Move on to some other main topic?

(hook to be added here)

A common result many students learn early on in number theory is about the infinitude of prime numbers. This fact is also known as Euclid's theorem, and we include a short summary of Euclid's original proof.

Euclid's Theorem. The set of all prime numbers is larger in cardinality than any finite collection of prime numbers.

Proof. Consider p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n , some arbitrary finite collection of prime numbers. Let $N = p_1 p_2 \ldots p_n$, and consider P = N + 1. P is either prime or not prime.

First, let P be prime. Then, we have constructed a new prime number and we are done. Now, let P not be prime. Let g be a prime factor of P. We propose that $g \notin \{p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n\}$. To show this, suppose that $g \in \{p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n\}$. Then, since p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n are all factors of N, we have g|N. g|P and g|N, so we must also have g|(P-N), i.e. g|1. But g > 1 (g is prime), so g cannot possibly divide 1. Therefore, $g \notin \{p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n\}$, and we have found a new prime, as required.

2 Main result

A interesting problem is the rate of growth of prime gaps. $\pi(n)$ denotes the prime counting function, which counts the number of primes less than or equal to n. The Prime Number Theorem (PMT) states that $x/\ln(x)$ approximates $\pi(n)$. To be more precise, the PMT states:

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{\pi(x)}{\left| \frac{x}{\ln(x)} \right|} = 1$$

This means, as x gets larger, $x/\ln(x)$ will get better as an approximation for $\pi(x)$. This also implies that the average size of the gaps between consecutive primes up until x asymptotically approaches $\ln(x)$. So for a random number n in the interval [x, x + kx] for large x and fixed k, the probability that n is prime is approximately $1/x \approx 1/n$.

Cramér's random model uses this idea as a naive approach to emulate the distribution of prime numbers. Consider a random subset of the natural numbers, where the independent probability that a number n is chosen is $1/\ln(n)$. Let's call this random set P', where P is the set of actual prime numbers. Cramér conjectured that P', which consists of our "fake primes", accurately models the distribution of P.

According to this heuristic, we have the resulting claim, which is known as Cramér's conjecture:

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{p_{n+1} - p_n}{(\ln p_n)^2} = 1$$

where p_n denotes the n-th prime.

(Some directions to take these ideas):

- Problems with Cramér's naive model and ways we can improve it (with modern results)
- How Cramér's model fares depending on the size and location of the interval, calculating asymptomatic statistics

3 Extension/application/generalisation

- Connections from Cramér's conjecture to the Riemann hypothesis
- Other ways to use Cramér's technique of random modeling

4 Preliminary Code and Illustrations

5 Reflection/Conclusion

6 References

Terence Tao's Blog