# Solutions to Fourier Analysis by Stein and Shakarchi

### Frank Qiang

### Fall 2023

### Contents

Chapter	: 1: The Genesis of Fourier Analysis
1.1 Exe	rcises
1.1.	1 Exercise 1.1
1.1.5	2 Exercise 1.2
Chapter	2: Basic Properties of Fourier Series
2.1 Exe	rcises
2.1.	1 Exercise 2.1
2.1.3	2 Exercise 2.2
2.1.3	3 Exercise 2.10
Chapter	: 3: Convergence of Fourier Series
3.1 Exe	rcises
	1.1 Exe 1.1. 1.1. Chapter 2.1 Exe 2.1. 2.1. 2.1. Chapter 3.1 Exe

## 1 Chapter 1: The Genesis of Fourier Analysis

### 1.1 Exercises

### 1.1.1 Exercise 1.1

If z = x + iy is a complex number with  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ , we define

$$|z| = (x^2 + y^2)^{1/2}$$

and call this quantity the **modulus** or **absolute value** of z.

- (a) What is the geometric interpretation of |z|?
- (b) Show that if |z| = 0, then z = 0.
- (c) Show that if  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $|\lambda z| = |\lambda||z|$ , where  $|\lambda|$  denotes the standard absolute value of a real number.
- (d) If  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  are two complex numbers, prove that

$$|z_1 z_2| = |z_1||z_2|$$
 and  $|z_1 + z_2| \le |z_1| + |z_2|$ .

(e) Show that if  $z \neq 0$ , then |1/z| = 1/|z|.

*Proof.* (a) The geometric interpretation of |z| is the distance from z as a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  to the origin.

- (b) If |z| = 0, then  $|z|^2 = x^2 + y^2 = 0$ . But  $x^2, y^2 \ge 0$  since  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ , so  $x^2 = y^2 = 0$ . Thus x = y = 0.
- (c) If  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $\sqrt{\lambda^2} = |\lambda|$  and thus

$$|\lambda z| = |\lambda x + i\lambda y| = \sqrt{(\lambda x)^2 + (\lambda y)^2} = \sqrt{\lambda^2 (x^2 + y^2)} = |\lambda| \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} = |\lambda| |z|.$$

(d) Let  $z_1 = x_1 + iy_1$  and  $z_2 = x_2 + iy_2$ . Then  $z_1z_2 = (x_1x_2 - y_1y_2) + i(x_1y_2 + x_2y_1)$  and

$$|z_1 z_2| = \sqrt{(x_1 x_2 - y_1 y_2)^2 + (x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1)^2} = \sqrt{x_1^2 x_2^2 + y_1^2 y_2^2 + x_1^2 y_2^2 + x_2^2 y_1^2} = \sqrt{(x_1^2 + y_1^2)(x_2^2 + y_2^2)} = |z_1||z_2|,$$

where the mixed  $x_1x_2y_1y_2$  terms cancel out. For the triangle inequality, we have

$$|z_1 + z_2|^2 = (x_1 + x_2)^2 + (y_1 + y_2)^2 = x_1^2 + y_1^2 + x_2^2 + y_2^2 + 2(x_1x_2 + y_1y_2) = |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 + 2(x_1x_2 + y_1y_2)$$

Now note that  $x_1x_2 + y_1y_2 \leq \sqrt{x_1^2 + y_1^2}\sqrt{x_2^2 + y_2^2} = |z_1||z_2|$  by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , so

$$|z_1 + z_2|^2 \le |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 + 2|z_1||z_2| = (|z_1| + |z_2|)^2.$$

Taking square roots gives the desired result since the square root is monotonically increasing.

(e) If  $z \neq 0$ , then 1 = (1/z)z and thus

$$1 = \left| \frac{1}{z} z \right| = \left| \frac{1}{z} \right| |z| \implies \left| \frac{1}{z} \right| = \frac{1}{|z|}$$

by (c). This is valid since  $|z| \neq 0$  by (b).

#### 1.1.2 Exercise 1.2

If z = x + iy is a complex number with  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ , we define the **complex conjugate** of z by

$$\overline{z} = x - iy$$
.

- (a) What is the geometric interpretation of  $\overline{z}$ ?
- (b) Show that  $|z|^2 = z\overline{z}$ .
- (c) Prove that if z belongs to the unit circle, then  $1/z = \overline{z}$ .

*Proof.* (a) The geometric interpretation of  $\overline{z}$  is a reflection of z across the real axis.

- (b) We have  $z\overline{z} = (x + iy)(x iy) = x^2 i^2y^2 = x^2 + y^2 = |z|^2$  since  $i^2 = -1$ .
- (c) If z belongs to the unit circle, then |z|=1. Then by (b),  $1=|z|^2=z\overline{z}$ , so  $1/z=\overline{z}$  since  $z\neq 0$ .

## 2 Chapter 2: Basic Properties of Fourier Series

### 2.1 Exercises

### 2.1.1 Exercise 2.1

Suppose f is  $2\pi$ -periodic and integrable on any finite interval. Prove that if  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx = \int_{a+2\pi}^{b+2\pi} f(x) dx = \int_{a-2\pi}^{b-2\pi} f(x) dx.$$

Also prove that

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x+a) \, dx = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) \, dx = \int_{-\pi+a}^{\pi+a} f(x) \, dx.$$

*Proof.* For the first chain of equalities, make the change of variables  $u = x + 2\pi$  with du = dx to get

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx = \int_{a+2\pi}^{b+2\pi} f(x - 2\pi) dx = \int_{a+2\pi}^{b+2\pi} f(x) dx$$

since  $f(x-2\pi) = f(x)$  by periodicity (taking  $y = x - 2\pi$  in  $f(y+2\pi) = f(y)$  yields this version). The second equality in the chain follows from using  $u = x - 2\pi$ . For the latter chain of equalities, first observe that the first and last integrals are equal by the change of variables u = x + a with du = dx:

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x+a) \, dx = \int_{-\pi+a}^{\pi+a} f(u) \, du.$$

Now we show that the middle integral is equal to the last. Assume without loss of generality that  $0 \le a \le 2\pi$  since f is  $2\pi$ -periodic. Then we can write

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) \, dx = \int_{-\pi}^{-\pi+a} f(x) \, dx + \int_{-\pi+a}^{\pi} f(x) \, dx$$

since  $-\pi \le -\pi + a \le \pi$ . Then apply the first inequality in the first chain to the first integral to get

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) \, dx = \int_{\pi}^{\pi+a} f(x) \, dx + \int_{-\pi+a}^{\pi} f(x) \, dx = \int_{-\pi+a}^{\pi+a} f(x) \, dx,$$

as desired.  $\Box$ 

#### 2.1.2 Exercise 2.2

In this exercise we show how the symmetries of a function imply certain properties of its Fourier coefficients. Let f be a  $2\pi$ -periodic Riemann integrable function defined on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

(a) Show that the Fourier series of the function f can be written as

$$f(\theta) \sim \hat{f}(0) + \sum_{n \ge 1} \left( [\hat{f}(n) + \hat{f}(-n)] \cos n\theta + i[\hat{f}(n) - \hat{f}(-n)] \sin n\theta \right).$$

(b) Prove that if f is even, then  $\hat{f}(n) = \hat{f}(-n)$ , and we get a cosine series.

- (c) Prove that if f is odd, then  $\hat{f}(n) = -\hat{f}(-n)$ , and we get a sine series.
- (d) Suppose that  $f(\theta + \pi) = f(\theta)$  for all  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Show that  $\hat{f}(n) = 0$  for all odd n.
- (e) Show that f is real-valued if and only if  $\overline{\hat{f}(n)} = \hat{f}(-n)$  for all n.

*Proof.* (a) From the usual representation of the Fourier series of f, we have

$$f(\theta) \sim \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(n)e^{in\theta} = \hat{f}(0) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{f}(n)e^{in\theta} + \sum_{n=-\infty}^{1} \hat{f}(n)e^{in\theta} = \hat{f}(0) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\hat{f}(n)e^{in\theta} + \hat{f}(-n)e^{-in\theta}\right).$$

Writing  $e^{in\theta} = \cos n\theta + i \sin n\theta$  and  $e^{-in\theta} = \cos n\theta - i \sin n\theta$ , we obtain

$$f(\theta) \sim \hat{f}(0) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( [\hat{f}(n) + \hat{f}(-n)] \cos n\theta + i[\hat{f}(n) - \hat{f}(-n)] \sin n\theta \right)$$

by grouping the real and imaginary parts.

(b) If f is even, then  $f(\theta) = f(-\theta)$  and thus

$$\hat{f}(-n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(\theta) e^{in\theta} d\theta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(-\theta) e^{-in\theta} d\theta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(\theta) e^{-in\theta} d\theta = \hat{f}(n)$$

after making the change of variables  $\theta \mapsto -\theta$  (note that we pick up a minus sign from the Jacobian but the bounds get reversed, so the two effects are canceled out). As a result, we have  $\hat{f}(n) - \hat{f}(-n) = 0$  and the sine terms in the series vanish, so we are left with a cosine series.

- (c) If f is odd, then  $f(\theta) = -f(-\theta)$  and everything is the same as in (b) except we pick up an extra minus sign in the third equality, so we end up with  $\hat{f}(-n) = -\hat{f}(n)$ . As a result, we have  $\hat{f}(n) + \hat{f}(-n) = 0$  and the cosine terms vanish instead, so we are left with a sine series.
- (d) Let n be odd and look at

$$\hat{f}(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(\theta) e^{-in\theta} d\theta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{0} f(\theta) e^{-in\theta} d\theta + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} f(\theta) e^{-in\theta} d\theta.$$

In the first integral, make the change of variables  $\theta \mapsto \theta - \pi$  to get

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{0} f(\theta) e^{-in\theta} d\theta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} f(\theta - \pi) e^{-in(\theta - \pi)} d\theta = \frac{e^{\pi in}}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} f(\theta) e^{-in\theta} d\theta = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} f(\theta) e^{-in\theta} d\theta$$

since  $f(\theta - \pi) = f(\theta)$  by periodicity and  $e^{\pi i n} = (-1)^n = -1$  for odd n. Substituting this back in yields

$$\hat{f}(n) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} f(\theta) e^{-in\theta} d\theta. + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} f(\theta) e^{-in\theta} d\theta = 0,$$

as desired.

(e)  $(\Longrightarrow)$  If f is real-valued, then (we integrate over  $[-\pi,\pi]\subseteq\mathbb{R}$ , so the complex conjugate passes through)

$$\hat{f}(-n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(\theta) e^{in\theta} d\theta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \overline{f(\theta)} e^{in\theta} d\theta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \overline{f(\theta)} e^{-in\theta} d\theta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(\theta) e^{-in\theta} d\theta = \frac$$

since  $f(\theta) = \overline{f(\theta)}$  if and only if  $f(\theta) \in \mathbb{R}$ .

(e) ( $\iff$ ) Now assume that  $\overline{\hat{f}(n)} = \hat{f}(-n)$  for all n. By the second equality of the previous calculation this implies that

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(\theta) e^{in\theta} d\theta = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \overline{f(\theta)} e^{in\theta} d\theta \implies \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (f(\theta) - \overline{f(\theta)}) e^{in\theta} d\theta = 0$$

for every n. Letting  $g(\theta) = f(\theta) - \overline{f(\theta)}$ , we can rewrite this as  $\hat{g}(n) = 0$  for all n. From here we can conclude

$$0 = g(\xi) = f(\xi) - \overline{f(\xi)}$$

whenever g (and thus f) is continuous at  $\xi$ . Since f is Riemann integrable, it is continuous almost everywhere, so  $f \equiv \overline{f}$  almost everywhere and thus f is real-valued almost everywhere.

### 2.1.3 Exercise 2.10

Suppose f is a periodic function of period  $2\pi$  which belongs to the class  $C^k$ . Show that

$$\hat{f}(n) = O(1/|n|^k)$$
 as  $|n| \to \infty$ .

This notation means that there exists a constant C such that  $|\hat{f}(n)| \leq C/|n|^k$ . We could also write this as  $|n|^k \hat{f}(n) = O(1)$ , where O(1) means bounded.

[Hint: Integrate by parts.]

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on k. When k = 0, note that f is continuous on a compact set and thus uniformly continuous, so f is Riemann integrable. Then (noting that  $|e^{in\theta}| = 1$ )

$$|\hat{f}(n)| = \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(\theta) e^{-in\theta} d\theta \right| \le \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(\theta)| d\theta \le \frac{1}{2\pi} 2\pi \max_{-\pi \le \theta \le \pi} |f(\theta)| = \max_{-\pi \le \theta \le \pi} |f(\theta)|,$$

where we know that the maximum exists since f is continuous on a compact set. Thus  $\hat{f}$  is bounded, i.e.  $\hat{f}(n) = O(1)$ . Now assume for induction that the result holds for some fixed  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , i.e. that  $\hat{g}(n) = O(1/|n|^k)$  for any  $2\pi$ -periodic function  $g \in C^k$ , and we show that it remains true for any  $2\pi$ -periodic function  $f \in C^{k+1}$ . Note that  $k+1 \ge 1$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , so that f is at least once continuously differentiable. Thus we can integrate by parts (differentiating f and integrating  $e^{-in\theta}$ ) to see that

$$\hat{f}(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(\theta) e^{-in\theta} d\theta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[ f(\theta) \frac{e^{-in\theta}}{-in} \right]_{-\pi}^{\pi} + \frac{1}{2\pi in} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f'(\theta) e^{-in\theta} d\theta = \frac{1}{in} \hat{f}'(n),$$

where the first term vanishes since f and  $e^{-in\theta}$  are both  $2\pi$ -periodic. Now since f is  $2\pi$ -periodic and (k+1)-times continuously differentiable, f' is also  $2\pi$ -periodic but only k-times continuously differentiable. So we can apply the induction hypothesis to conclude that  $\widehat{f'}(n) = O(1/|n|^k)$ . From here it follows that

$$|\hat{f}(n)| = \left| \frac{1}{in} \hat{f}'(n) \right| = \frac{1}{|n|} \left| \hat{f}'(n) \right| \le \frac{1}{|n|} \frac{C}{|n|^k} = \frac{C}{|n|^{k+1}},$$

for some constant C since  $\hat{f}'(n) = O(1/|n|^k)$ . Thus  $\hat{f}(n) = O(1/|n|^{k+1})$ , and we finish by induction.

## 3 Chapter 3: Convergence of Fourier Series

### 3.1 Exercises

#### 3.1.1 Exercise 3.1

Show that the first two examples of inner product spaces, namely  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\mathbb{C}^d$ , are complete.

[Hint: Every Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$  has a limit.]

*Proof.* Recall that any norm  $\|\cdot\|$  on a vector space V induces a metric d on V by  $d(x,y) = \|x-y\|$ . So it suffices to show the result for  $\mathbb{R}^m$  and  $\mathbb{C}^m$  as metric spaces with the induced metrics. We first show that for any complete metric space (X,d), the set  $X^m$  is also complete with respect to the metric

$$d_m(y,z) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^m d(y^{(i)}, z^{(i)})^2\right)^{1/2},$$

where  $y^{(i)}$  denotes the *i*th component of x. To see this, take a Cauchy sequence  $\{x_n\} \subseteq X^m$  and we show that  $x_n \to x$  for some  $x \in X^m$ . Since  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy, for any  $\epsilon > 0$  we can find  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $d_m(x_n, x_k) < \epsilon$  for all  $n, k \geq N$ . From here first note that the sequence  $\{x_n^{(i)}\} \subseteq X$  at a fixed position i is also Cauchy since

$$d(x_n^{(i)} - x_k^{(i)}) \le d_m(x_n - x_k) < \epsilon$$

for any  $n, k \geq N$ . This observation follows from noting that

$$d_m(y,z) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^m d(y^{(i)}, z^{(i)})^2\right)^{1/2} \ge \left(\left(d(y^{(i)}, z^{(i)})\right)^2\right)^{1/2} = d(y^{(j)}, z^{(j)})$$

for any fixed j since each  $d(y^{(i)}, z^{(i)}) \ge 0$ . Then since X is complete, at each position i we have  $x_n^{(i)} \to x^{(i)}$  for some  $x^{(i)} \in X$ . So we can find  $N_i \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for any  $n \ge N_i$ , we have  $d(x_n^{(i)}, x^{(i)}) < \epsilon/\sqrt{m}$ . Now we define  $x = (x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(m)}) \in X^m$  as the limit for the given  $\{x_n\}$ , and for any  $n \ge \max\{N_1, \dots, N_m\}$  we have

$$d_m(x_n, x) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^m d(x_n^{(i)}, x^{(i)})^2\right)^{1/2} < \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{m}}\right)^2\right)^{1/2} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\epsilon^2}{m}\right)^{1/2} = (\epsilon^2)^{1/2} = \epsilon,$$

so  $x_n \to x$  as desired. Thus  $X^m$  is complete with respect to the metric  $d_m$ .

Now to finish, first note that  $\mathbb{R}$  is complete since every Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$  converges, and thus  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is complete for any fixed d by the above. As for  $\mathbb{C}^d$ , we can identify  $\mathbb{C}$  with  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , which is complete by the previous statement. So  $\mathbb{C}$  is complete and thus  $\mathbb{C}^d$  is complete by the same argument using the above result.