MATH 4108: Abstract Algebra II

Frank Qiang
Instructor: Jennifer Hom

Georgia Institute of Technology
Spring 2024

Contents

1 Jan. 8 — Rings and Fields **2**
1.1 Lots of Definitions 2

2 Jan. 10 — Field of Fractions, Polynomials **5**
2.1 Isomorphisms 5
2.2 Field of Fractions 6
2.3 The Characteristic of a Field 7
2.4 Polynomials 8

3 Jan. 17 — Irreducible Polynomials **9**
3.1 Principal Ideal Domains and Irreducibile Polynomials 9
3.2 Irreducible Polynomials over $\mathbb{C}$, $\mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{Q}$, and $\mathbb{Z}$ 10

Lecture 1

Jan. 8 — Rings and Fields

1.1 Lots of Definitions

Recall the definitions of a ring and a field:

Definition 1.1 (Ring)**.** A *ring* $R = (R, +, \cdot)$ is a non-empty set R together with two binary operations $+$ and $\cdot$, called addition and multiplication respectively, which satisfy:

(R1) *Associative law for addition*: $(a + b) + c = a + (b + c)$ for all $a, b, c \in R$.

(R2) *Commutative law for addition*: $a + b = b + a$ for all $a, b \in R$.

(R3) *Existence of zero*: There exists $0 \in R$ such that $a + 0 = a$ for all $a \in R$.

(R4) *Existence of additive inverses*: For all $a \in R$, there exists $-a \in R$ such that $a + (-a) = 0$.[1]

(R5) *Associative law for multiplication*: $(ab)c = a(bc)$ for all $a, b, c \in R$.

(R6) *Distributive laws*: $a(b + c) = ab + ac$ and $(a + b)c = ac + bc$ for all $a, b, c \in R$.

Definition 1.2 (Commutative ring)**.** In this class, we will mostly be interested in *commutative rings*, which satisfy the following additional property for multiplication:

(R7) *Commutative law for multiplication*: $ab = ba$ for all $a, b \in R$.

Definition 1.3 (Ring with unity)**.** A ring *with unity* satisfies the additional property that

(R8) *Existence of unity*: There exists $1 \neq 0 \in R$ such that and $a1 = 1a = a$ for $a \in R$.

Note that a ring need not be commutative to have a unity.

Definition 1.4 (Domain)**.** A commutative ring with unity is called a *(integral) domain* if it has the following cancellation property:

(R9) *Cancellation*: For all $a, b \in R$ and $c \neq 0$, $ca = cb$ implies $a = b$.

(R9') *No zero divisors*: For all $a, b \in R$, $ab = 0$ implies $a = 0$ or $b = 0$.

The conditions (R9) and (R9') are equivalent.

Definition 1.5 (Field)**.** A commutative ring with unity is called a *field* if it has the following additional property for multiplicative inverses:

(R10) *Existence of multiplicative inverses*: For all $a \neq 0 \in R$, there exists $a^{-1} \in R$ such that $aa^{-1} = 1$.

[1] Note that we'll usually write $a - b$ in place of $a + (-b)$.

Example 1.5.1. Some examples of rings are $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, which also happens to be a field. The ring $\mathbb{Z}$ is a domain. The set $M_{2\times 2}(\mathbb{R})$ is a non-commutative ring with unity, and has zero divisors. The ring $\mathbb{Q}$ is a field.[2] The real polynomials in a single variable $\mathbb{R}[x]$ form a ring, which is a domain but not a field. The complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$ and the real numbers $\mathbb{R}$ both form a field. The even integers $2\mathbb{Z}$ form a commutative ring without unity. In general, $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is a commutative ring with unity, and is a field if and only if n is prime (and has zero divisors otherwise, if n is composite).

Remark. If $(R, +, \cdot)$ is a ring, then $(R, +)$ is an abelian group. If $(K, +, \cdot)$ is a field, then $(K^*, \cdot)$ is an abelian group, where $K^* = K \setminus \{0\}$.

Definition 1.6 (Group of units)**.** Let R be a commutative ring with unity. The *group of units* of R is

$$U = \{u \in R \mid \text{there exists } v \in R \text{ such that } uv = 1\}.$$

Exercise 1.1. Show that U is in fact a group under multiplication.

Definition 1.7 (Associate)**.** If $a, b \in R$ such that $a = ub$ for some $u \in U$, then a and b are called *associates*, denoted by $a \sim b$.

Exercise 1.2. Show that $\sim$ is in fact an equivalence relation.

Example 1.7.1. The group of units of $\mathbb{Z}$ is $\{1, -1\}$. The group of units of a field K is $K^* = K \setminus \{0\}$.

Exercise 1.3. Let $R = \{a + b\sqrt{2} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Check the following:

1. R is a commutative ring with unity.
2. The group of units of R is $\{a + b\sqrt{2} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, |a^2 - 2b^2| = 1\}$.

Definition 1.8 (Divisor)**.** Let D be an integral domain, $a \in D \setminus \{0\}$, $b \in D$. Then a divides b, or a is a *divisor* or *factor* of b, denoted by $a|b$, if there exists $z \in D$ such that $az = b$. We write $a \nmid b$ if a does not divide b. We say that a is a *proper divisor* or that a *properly divides* b if z is not a unit.

Remark. Equivalent, a is a proper divisor of b if and only if $a|b$ and $b \nmid a$.

Definition 1.9 (Subring)**.** A *subring* U of a ring R is a non-empty subset of R with the property that for all $a, b \in R$, $a, b \in U$ implies $a + b \in U$ and $ab \in U$, and $a \in U$ implies $-a \in U$.

Remark. Equivalently, U is a subring of R if and only if $a, b \in U$ implies $a - b \in U$ and $ab \in U$.

Remark. We automatically have $0 \in U$ since we can pick any $a \in U$, and then $0 = a - a \in U$.

Definition 1.10 (Subfield)**.** A *subfield* of a field K is a subset E containing at least two elements such that $a, b \in E$ implies $a - b \in E$ and $a \in E, b \in E \setminus \{0\}$ implies $ab^{-1} \in E$. If E is a subfield and $E \neq K$, then we say E is a *proper* subfield.

Remark. As before, we can replace the last condition with the equivalent statement that $a, b \in E$ implies $ab \in E$ and $a \in E \setminus \{0\}$ implies $a^{-1} \in E$.

Definition 1.11 (Ideal)**.** An *ideal* of R is a non-empty subset I of R with the properties that $a, b \in I$ implies $a - b \in I$ and $a \in I, r \in R$ implies $ra \in I$.

Remark. All ideals are subrings, but the converse is not true in general.

Example 1.11.1. The integers $\mathbb{Z}$ form a subring of $\mathbb{R}$ but not an ideal.

[2] In fact, $\mathbb{Q}$ is somehow the smallest field containing $\mathbb{Z}$.

Remark. We trivially have that $\{0\}$ and R are both ideals of R. An ideal I is called *proper* if $\{0\} \subsetneq I \subsetneq R$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$ be a finite subset of a commutative ring R. Then the set*

$$Ra_1 + \cdots + Ra_n = \{x_1a_1 + \cdots + x_na_n \mid x_i \in R\}$$

is the smallest ideal of R containing A.

Proof. See Howie. Check this is indeed an ideal and is contained in any other ideal containing A. □

Definition 1.12 (Ideals generated by elements of a ring)**.** The set $Ra_1 + \cdots + Ra_n$ is the *ideal generated by* $a_1, \ldots, a_n$, denoted by $\langle a_1, \ldots, a_n \rangle$. If the ideal is generated by a single element $a \in R$, then we say that $Ra = \langle a \rangle$ is a *principal ideal*.

Example 1.12.1. In $\mathbb{Z}$, the ideal $\langle 2 \rangle = 2\mathbb{Z}$ are the even numbers. We have $\langle 2, 3 \rangle = \mathbb{Z}$, but $\langle 6, 8 \rangle = \langle 2 \rangle$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let D be an integral domain with group of units U and let $a, b \in D \setminus \{0\}$. Then*

1. *$\langle a \rangle \subseteq \langle b \rangle$ if and only if $b|a$,*
2. *$\langle a \rangle = \langle b \rangle$ if and only if $a \sim b$,*
3. *$\langle a \rangle = D$ if and only if $a \in U$.*

Proof. See Howie. □

Definition 1.13 (Homomorphism of rings)**.** A *homomorphism* from a ring R to a ring S is a mapping $\varphi : R \to S$ such that $\varphi(a +_R b) = \varphi(a) +_S \varphi(b)$ and $\varphi(ab) = \varphi(a)\varphi(b)$ for all $a, b \in R$.

Example 1.13.1. The zero mapping $\varphi(a) = 0$ is always a homomorphism. The inclusion map $\iota : 2\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ or $\iota : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Q}$ is a homomorphism.

Theorem 1.3. *Let R, S be rings and $\varphi : R \to S$ a homomorphism. Then*

1. *$\varphi(0_R) = 0_S$,*
2. *$\varphi(-r) = -\varphi(r)$ for all $r \in R$,*
3. *the image $\varphi(R)$ is a subring of S.*

Proof. See Howie. □

Definition 1.14 (Monomorphism)**.** Let $\varphi : R \to S$ be a homomorphism. If φ is injective, we say that φ is a *monomorphism* or an *embedding*.

Example 1.14.1. The inclusion map $\varphi : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$ given by $\varphi(n) = n$ is an embedding.

Lecture 2

Jan. 10 — Field of Fractions, Polynomials

2.1 Isomorphisms

Definition 2.1 (Isomorphism)**.** If a homomorphism $\varphi : R \to S$ is both one-to-one and onto, then φ is an *isomorphism* and we say R and S are *isomorphic*, denoted $R \cong S$.

Definition 2.2 (Automorphism)**.** An isomorphism $\varphi : R \to R$ is called an *automorphism.*

Example 2.2.1. For any ring R, the identity map $\varphi : R \to R$ with $\varphi = \mathrm{id}$ is an automorphism.

Exercise 2.1. The complex conjugation $\varphi : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ with $\varphi(z) = \overline{z}$ is an automorphism.

Definition 2.3 (Kernel)**.** Let $\varphi : R \to S$ be a homomorphism. The *kernel* of φ is

$$\ker \varphi = \phi^{-1}(0_S) = \{a \in R : \varphi(a) = 0_S\}.$$

Exercise 2.2. For any homomorphism φ, $\ker \varphi$ is an ideal.

Definition 2.4 (Residue class)**.** Let I be an ideal of a ring R and $a \in R$. The set

$$a + I = \{a + x \mid x \in I\}$$

is the *residue class* of a modulo I.

Exercise 2.3. The set R/I of residue classes modulo I forms a ring with respect to the operations

$$(a + I) + (b + I) = (a + b) + I \quad \text{and} \quad (a + I)(b + I) = ab + I.$$

Exercise 2.4. The map $\theta_I : R \to R/I$ with $\theta_I(a) = a + I$ is a surjective homomorphism onto R/I with kernel I. This map θ_I is called the *natural homomorphism* from R to R/I.

Example 2.4.1. Consider $\mathbb{Z}$ and $I = \langle n \rangle = n\mathbb{Z}$. Then $\theta_I : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ with $\theta_I(a) = a + \langle n \rangle$ is the natural homomorphism. There are n residue classes, which are

$$\langle n \rangle, \quad 1 + \langle n \rangle, \quad \ldots, \quad (n-1) + \langle n \rangle.$$

Theorem 2.1. *Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Then $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is a field if and only if n is prime.*

Proof. See Howie. □

Remark. If $n = 0$, then $\mathbb{Z}/0\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\varphi : R \to S$ be a surjective homomorphism with kernel K. Then there is an isomorphism $\alpha : R/K \to S$ such that the following diagram commutes (i.e. $\varphi = \alpha \circ \theta_K$):*



Proof. See Howie. But the general idea is to define $\alpha : R/K \to S$ by $\alpha(a + K) = \varphi(a)$. Then need to check that α is well-defined and an isomorphism. □

2.2 Field of Fractions

The motivating question is: How do we get from $\mathbb{Z}$ to $\mathbb{Q}$? Recall that

$$\mathbb{Q} = \{a/b \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, b \neq 0\},$$

where $a/c = b/d$ if $ad = bc$. We add and multiply fractions by

$$\frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d} = \frac{ad + bc}{bd} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{a}{b} \cdot \frac{c}{d} = \frac{ac}{bd}.$$

How do we do this more generally (construct a field out of an arbitrary integral domain)?

Definition 2.5 (Field of fractions of a domain)**.** Let D be an integral domain and

$$P = D \times (D \setminus \{0\}) = \{(a, b) \mid a, b \in D, b \neq 0.\}$$

Define an equivalence relation $\equiv$ on P by $(a, b) \equiv (a', b')$ if $ab' = a'b$. Then the *field of fractions* of D is

$$Q(D) = P/\equiv.$$

We denote the equivalence class $[a, b]$ by a/b, i.e. $a/b = c/d$ if $ad = bc$. We define addition and multiplication on $Q(D)$ by

$$\frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d} = \frac{ad + bc}{bd} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{a}{b} \cdot \frac{c}{d} = \frac{ac}{bd}.$$

Exercise 2.5. Do the following:

1. Check that $\equiv$ is an equivalence relation.
2. Check that these operations are well-defined.
3. Check that $Q(D)$ is a commutative ring with unity.
   - The zero element is $0/b$ for $b \neq 0$.
   - The unity element is a/a for $a \neq 0$.
   - The negative of a/b is $(-a)/b$ or equivalently $a/(-b)$.
   - The multiplicative inverse of a/b is b/a for $a, b \neq 0$.
4. Complete the previous exercise and check that $Q(D)$ is a field.

Exercise 2.6. The map $\varphi : D \to Q(D)$ defined by $\varphi(a) = a/1$ is a monomorphism. In particular, the field of fractions $Q(D)$ contains D as a subring and $Q(D)$ is the smallest field containing D, in the sense that if K is a field with the property that there exists a monomorphism $\theta : D \to K$, then there exists a monomorphism $\psi : Q(D) \to K$ such that the following diagram commutes:



2.3 The Characteristic of a Field

Note that for $a \in R$, we might write $a + a$ as $2a$ and $a + a + \cdots + a$ (n times) as na. Furthermore, $0a = 0_R$ and $(-n)a = n(-a)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Thus na has meaning for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.[1]

Exercise 2.7. For $a, b \in R$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $(ma)(nb) = (mn)(ab)$.

Definition 2.6 (Characteristic of a ring)**.** For an arbitrary ring R, there are two possibilities:

1. $m1_R$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ are all distinct. In this case, we say that R has *characteristic* 0.
2. There exists $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m1_R = (m + n)1_R$. In this case, we say that R has *characteristic* n, where n is the least positive n for which this property holds.

We denote the characteristic of R by $\operatorname{char} R$. If $\operatorname{char} R = n$, then $na = 0_R$ for all $a \in R$ since

$$na = (n1_R)a = 0a = 0.$$

Example 2.6.1. We have $\operatorname{char} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} = n$.

Theorem 2.3. *The characteristic of a field is either* 0 *or a prime.*

Proof. Let K be a field and suppose $\operatorname{char} K = n \neq 0$ and n is not prime. Then we can write $n = rs$ where $1 < r, s < n$. The minimal property of n implies that $r1_K \neq 0$ and $s1_K \neq 0$. But then

$$r1_K \cdot s1_K = rs1_K = n1_K = 0,$$

which is impossible since K is a field and thus has no zero divisors. □

Remark. Note the following:

1. If K is a field with $\operatorname{char} K = 0$, then K has a subring isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$, i.e. elements of the form $n1_K$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and K has a subfield isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}$, i.e.

   $$P(K) = \{m1_K/n1_K \mid m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \neq 0\}.$$

   This is the *prime subfield* of K, and any subfield of K must contain $P(K)$.

2. If K is a field with $\operatorname{char} K = p$, then the prime subfield of K is

   $$P(K) = \{1_K, 2 \cdot 1_K, \ldots, (p-1) \cdot 1_K\},$$

   which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$.

[1] This is saying that any abelian group is naturally a *module* over the integers $\mathbb{Z}$.

Remark. In other words, every field of characteristic 0 is an *extension* of $\mathbb{Q}$ (contains $\mathbb{Q}$ as a subfield), and every field of characteristic p is an *extension* of $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ (contains $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ as a subfield).

Remark. If $\operatorname{char} K = 0$, then writing $a/n1_K$ as a/n is fine. But if $\operatorname{char} K = p$, then a/n does not make sense when $p|n$ (since $p \cdot 1_K = 0$).

Theorem 2.4. *If K is a field with $\operatorname{char} K = p$, then for all $x, y \in K$, $(x+y)^p = x^p + y^p$.*

Proof. See Howie. Uses the binomial theorem. □

2.4 Polynomials

Let R be a ring, then we have the polynomial ring over R

$$R[X] = \{a_0 + a_1X + \cdots + a_nX^n \mid a_i \in R, n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

If $f \in R[X]$, then it has *degree* n if the last nonzero element in the sequence $\{a_0, a_1, \dots\}$ is a_n, denoted $\partial f = n$. By convention, the zero polynomial has degree $-\infty$. The coefficient a_n is called the *leading coefficient*, and if $a_n = 1$, then f is *monic*. Addition and multiplication work as expected:

$$(a_0 + a_1X + \cdots + a_mX^m) + (b_0 + b_1X + \cdots + b_nX^n) = (a_0 + b_0) + (a_1 + b_1)X + \dots$$

and

$$(a_0 + a_1X + \cdots + a_mX^m)(b_0 + b_1X + \cdots + b_nX^n) = c_0 + c_1X + \dots$$

where

$$c_k = \sum_{i+j=k}^{k} a_ib_j.$$

The ground ring R sits inside of the polynomial ring $R[X]$. Take the monomorphism $\theta : R \to R[X]$ by $\theta(a) = a$, i.e. an element a maps to the constant polynomial a.

Theorem 2.5. *Let D be an integral domain. Then*

1. *$D[X]$ is an integral domain.*
2. *If $p, q \in D[X]$, then $\partial(p+q) \leq \max(\partial p, \partial q)$.*
3. *If $p, q \in D[X]$, then $\partial(pq) = \partial p + \partial q$.*
4. *The group of units of $D[X]$ coincides with the group of units of D.*

Proof. Statements (2) and (3) are left as exercises.

(1) We need to show that $D[X]$ has no zero divisors. For this, suppose that p, q are nonzero polynomials with leading coefficients a_m and b_n respectively. Then the leading coefficient of pq is a_mb_n, which is nonzero since D is an integral domain and thus has no zero divisors. So pq is nonzero.

(4) Let $p, q \in D[X]$ and suppose $pq = 1$. Since $\partial(pq) = \partial(1) = 0$, we must have $\partial p = \partial q = 0$. Thus $p, q \in D$ and $pq = 1$ if and only if p and q are in the group of units of D. □

Since $D[X]$ is a domain, we can consider polynomials in the variable Y with coefficients in $D[X]$:

$$D[X, Y] = (D[X])[Y].$$

We can repeat this to get polynomials in n variables: $D[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n]$, which is an integral domain.

Lecture 3

Jan. 17 — Irreducible Polynomials

3.1 Principal Ideal Domains and Irreducibile Polynomials

Definition 3.1. The field of fractions of $D[X]$ consists of *rational forms*

$$\frac{a_0 + a_1X + \cdots + a_mX^m}{b_0 + b_1X + \cdots + b_nX^n}$$

where $b_0 + b_1X + \cdots + b_nX^n \neq 0$, denoted by $D(X)$.

Definition 3.2. A domain D is a *principal ideal domain* (PID) if all of its ideals are principal.[1]

Example 3.2.1. The integers $\mathbb{Z}$ is a PID, since every ideal is of the form $\langle n \rangle$.

Definition 3.3. A non-zero, non-unit element p in a domain D is *irreducible* if it has no proper factors.

Definition 3.4. A domain D is a *unique factorization domain* (UFD) if every non-unit $a \neq 0$ in D has an essentially unique[2] factorization into irreducible elements.

Example 3.4.1. Again $\mathbb{Z}$ is a UFD, e.g. $12 = 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 = (-2) \cdot 2 \cdot (-3)$.

Theorem 3.1. *Every PID is a UFD.*

Proof. See Howie. □

Theorem 3.2. *If K is a field, then $K[X]$ is a PID.*

Proof. See Howie. □

Theorem 3.3. *Let p be an element in a PID D. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. *p is irreducible.*
2. *$\langle p \rangle$ is maximal.*
3. *$D/\langle p \rangle$ is a field.*

In particular if $f \in K[X]$, then $K[X]/\langle f \rangle$ is a field if and only if f is irreducible.

Proof. See Howie. □

[1] Recall that a principal ideal is one generated by a single element.
[2] As in, unique up to use of associates or adding in units.

Definition 3.5. Let D be a domain and $\alpha \in D$. Let $\sigma_\alpha : D[X] \to D$ defined by

$$\sigma_\alpha(a_0 + a_1X + \cdots + a_nX^n) = a_0 + a_1\alpha + \cdots + a_n\alpha^n.$$

Note that we often write $\sigma_\alpha(f)$ as $f(\alpha)$. If $f(\alpha) = 0$, we say α is a *root* of f, or a *zero*.

Exercise 3.1. Check that σ_α is a homomorphism.

Theorem 3.4. *Let K be a field, $\beta \in K$ and f a non-zero polynomial in $K[X]$. Then β is a root of f if and only if $X - \beta | f$.*

Proof. See Howie. □

Example 3.5.1. We have $X^2 + 1$ in $\mathbb{R}[X]$ is irreducible, so $\mathbb{R}[X]/\langle X^2 + 1\rangle$ is a field. In fact this field is isomorphic to the complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$.

Exercise 3.2. Do the following:

1. Show that $\varphi : \mathbb{R}[X] \to \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$\varphi(a_0 + a_1X + \cdots + a_nX^n) = a_0 + a_1i + \cdots + a_ni^n$$

   is a surjective homomorphism.[3]

2. Show that $\ker \varphi = \langle X^2 + 1\rangle$.

So by the first isomorphism theorem we can conclude that $\mathbb{R}[X]/\langle X^2 + 1\rangle = \mathbb{R}/\ker\varphi \cong \varphi(\mathbb{R}[X]) = \mathbb{C}$.

Theorem 3.5. *Let K be a field and $g \in K[X]$ an irreducible polynomial. Then $K[X]/\langle g\rangle$ is a field containing K up to isomorphism.*

Proof. Since g is irreducible, $K[X]/\langle g\rangle$ is a field. Now define $\varphi : K \to K[X]/\langle g\rangle$ by

$$\varphi(a) = a + \langle g\rangle.$$

(Left as an exercise to check that φ is a homomorphism.) We need to show that φ is injective. For this, take $a, b \in K$. If $a + \langle g\rangle = b + \langle g\rangle$, then $a - b \in \langle g\rangle$. But K is a field, so this happens precisely when $a = b$. Thus φ embeds K into $K[X]/\langle g\rangle$, as desired. □

3.2 Irreducible Polynomials over $\mathbb{C}$, $\mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{Q}$, and $\mathbb{Z}$

Our goal now is to study irreducible polynomials. Note that linear polynomials are irreducible, and recall that every polynomial in $\mathbb{C}$ factorizes, essentially uniquely, into linear factors. Furthermore, complex roots of real polynomials come in conjugate pairs, hence

$$g = a_0 + a_1X + \cdots + a_nX^n \in \mathbb{R}[X]$$

factors as

$$g = a_n(X - \beta_1)\ldots(X - \beta_r)(X - \gamma_1)(X - \overline{\gamma}_1)\ldots(X - \gamma_3)(X - \overline{\gamma}_s)$$

[3]Note that there's some technicality about this φ not being a σ_α since we defined σ_α for α in the base domain, and i is kind of somewhere else.

in $\mathbb{C}[X]$, where $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_r \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_s \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ and $r + 2s = n$. Thus over $\mathbb{R}[X]$, g factors as

$$g = a_n(X - \beta_1) \ldots (X - \beta_r)(X^2 - (\gamma_1 + \overline{\gamma}_1)X + \gamma_1\overline{\gamma}_1) \ldots (X^2 - (\gamma_s + \overline{\gamma}_s)X + \gamma_s\overline{\gamma}_s)$$

in $\mathbb{R}[X]$, where the quadratic factors are irreducible in $\mathbb{R}[X]$.

Exercise 3.3. A quadratic $aX^2 + bX + c \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ is irreducible if and only if its discriminant $b^2 - 4ac < 0$.

Now we have pretty much characterized irreducible polynomials in $\mathbb{R}[X]$. But what about $\mathbb{Q}[X]$?

Theorem 3.6. *Let $g = a_0 + a_1X + a_2X^2 \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$. Then*

1. *If g is irreducible over $\mathbb{R}$, then it is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$.*
2. *If $g = a_2(X - \beta_1)(X - \beta)$ with $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, then g is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[X]$ if and only if β_1 and β_2 are irrational.*

Proof. (1) We show the contrapositive. If g factors as

$$g = a_2(X - q_1)(X - q_2) \in \mathbb{Q}[X],$$

then g also factors in $\mathbb{R}[X]$.

(2) If β_1 and β_2 are rational, then g factors in $\mathbb{Q}[X]$ and is thus not irreducible. For the other direction, if β_1 and β_2 are irrational, then $g = a_2(X - \beta_1)(X - \beta_2)$ is the only factorization in $\mathbb{R}[X]$ since $\mathbb{R}[X]$ is a UFD, so there is no factorization in $\mathbb{Q}[X]$ into linear factors. □

Example 3.5.2. Are the following polynomials irreducible in $\mathbb{R}[X]$? In $\mathbb{Q}[X]$?

1. $X^2 + X + 1$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{Q}$ since $b^2 - 4ac = -3$.
2. $X^2 - X - 1$ has roots $(-1 \pm \sqrt{5})/2$, so it factors over $\mathbb{R}$ but is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$.
3. $X^2 + X - 2$ factors as $(X + 2)(X - 1)$ over $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{Q}$.

Now that we have studied irreducible polynomials in $\mathbb{R}[X]$ and $\mathbb{Q}[X]$, can a polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ be irreducible over $\mathbb{Z}$ but not $\mathbb{Q}$? The answer is no!

Theorem 3.7 (Gauss's lemma)**.** *Let f be a polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[X]$, irreducible over $\mathbb{Z}$. Then f is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$.*

Proof. For sake of contradiction, suppose $f = gh$ with $g, h \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ and $\partial g, \partial h < \partial f$. Then there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $nf = g'h'$ where $g', h' \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$. Let n be the smallest positive integer with this property. Let

$$g' = a_0 + a_1X + \cdots + a_kX^k$$
$$h' = b_0 + b_1X + \cdots + b_lX^l.$$

If $n = 1$, then $g' = g$ and $h' = h$, a contradiction. Now $n \geq 1$, so let p be a prime factor of n.[4] Without loss of generality, assume p divides g', i.e. $g' = pg''$ where $g'' \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$. Then

$$\frac{n}{p}f = g''h',$$

contradicting the minimality of n. Hence f cannot be factored over $\mathbb{Q}$. □

[4]Lemma: Either p divides all the coefficients of g' or p divides all the coefficients of h'. Proof left as an exercise.

Example 3.5.3. Show that $g = X^3 + 2X^2 + 4X - 6$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Proof. If g factors over $\mathbb{Q}$, it factors over $\mathbb{Z}$ and at least one factor must be linear, i.e.

$$g = X^3 = 2X^2 + 4X - 6 = (X - a)(X^2 + bX + c)$$

where $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$. We must have $ac = 6$, so $a \in \{\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \pm 6\}$ and $g(a) = 0$. We can check this:

a	1	-1	2	-2	3	-3	-6	6
$g(a)$	1	-9	1	-10	51	-27	306	-174

Hence g is irreducible over $\mathbb{Z}$ and thus also irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$. □

We could do this trick since the degree was 3, forcing a linear factor. What about degrees higher than 3?

Theorem 3.8 (Eisenstein's criterion)**.** *Let $f = a_0 + a_1X + \cdots + a_nX^n \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$. Suppose there exists a prime p such that*

1. *$p \nmid a_n$,*
2. *$p | a_i$ for $i = 0, \ldots, n-1$,*
3. *$p^2 \nmid a_0$.*

Then f is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Proof. By Gauss's lemma, it suffices to show that f is irreducible over $\mathbb{Z}$. Suppose for sake of contradiction that $f = gh$ for

$$g = b_0 + b_1X + \cdots + b_rX^r \quad \text{and} \quad h = c_0 + c_1X + \cdots + c_sX^s,$$

$r, s < n$, and $r + s = n$. Note that $a_0 = b_0c_0$, so $p | a_0$ from (2) implies that $p | b_0$ or $p | c_0$. Since $p^2 \nmid a_0$, it cannot be both. Without loss of generality, assume $p | b_0$ and $p \nmid c_0$. Now suppose inductively that p divides $b_0, \ldots, b_{k-1}$ where $1 \leq k \leq r$. Then

$$a_k = b_0c_k + b_1c_{k-1} + \cdots + b_{k-1}c_1 + b_kc_0$$

and since p divides a_k, b_0c_k, b_1c_{k-1}, …, $b_{k-1}c_1$, it follows that $p | b_kc_0$. Since $p \nmid c_0$ by assumption, we must have $p | b_k$. Thus $p | b_r$ and since $a_n = b_rc_s$, we have $p | a_n$, contradicting (1). Hence is f is irreducible. □

Example 3.5.4. The polynomial

$$X^5 + 2X^3 + \frac{8}{7}X^2 - \frac{4}{7}X + \frac{2}{7}$$

is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Proof. Multiply by 7 and take the integer polynomial $7X^5 + 14X^3 + 8X^2 - 4X + 2$. Taking $p = 2$ satisfies Eisenstein's criterion, so this polynomial is irreducible over $\mathbb{Z}$ and thus also irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$. □

Example 3.5.5. If $p > 2$ is prime, then show that

$$f = 1 + X + X^2 + \cdots + X^{p-1}$$

is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Proof. First observe that

$$f = \frac{X^p - 1}{X - 1}.$$

Let $g(X) = f(X + 1)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} g(X) &= \frac{(X+1)^p - 1}{(X+1) - 1} = \frac{1}{X}((X+1)^p - 1) = \frac{1}{X}\sum_{i=0}^{p} \binom{p}{i} X^{p-i} - 1 \\ &= \frac{1}{X}\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \binom{p}{i} X^{p-i} = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \binom{p}{i} X^{p-i-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\binom{p}{1}, \binom{p}{2}, \ldots \binom{p}{p-1}$ are all divisible by p, so g is irreducible by Eisenstein's criterion. Now if f factors as $f = uv$, then $g(X) = u(X + 1)v(X + 1)$, which is a contradiction since g is irreducible. □