MATH 4317: Analysis I

Frank Qiang

Georgia Institute of Technology, Fall 2023

Contents

1	Aug	. 22 – The Real Numbers			
	1.1	Number Systems			
	1.2	Sets			
	1.3	Functions			
	1.4	Induction			
2	Aug	. 24 – The Axiom of Completeness			
	2.1	Suprema and Infima			
	2.2	Consequences of Completeness			
		2.2.1 1st Consequence: Nested Interval Properties			
		2.2.2 2nd Consequence: Archimedean Properties			
3	Aug	. 29 – Completeness, Countability			
	3.1	Consequences of Completeness			
	0.1	3.1.1 3rd Consequence: Density of \mathbb{Q} in \mathbb{R}			
		3.1.2 4th Consequence: Existence of $\sqrt{2}$			
	3.2	Countability			
	0.2	Countability			
4	Aug. 31 – Cantor's Theorem, Sequences				
	4.1	Cantor's Theorem			
	4.2	Sequences			
		4.2.1 Limits			
		4.2.2 Tips for Showing Limits			
5	Sept	z. 5 – Limits and Limit Theorems			
	5.1	Review of Limits			
	5.2	Limit Theorems			
		5.2.1 Algebraic Facts About Limits			
		5.2.2 Order Limit Theorem			
		5.2.3 Monotone Convergence Theorem			
6	Sept	z. 7 – Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem			
	6.1	Review of Limits			
	6.2	Subsequences and the Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem			
7	Sept. 12 – The Cauchy Criterion				
		Cauchy Sequences			
	7.2	Revisiting Completeness			
Q	Sant	· 14 – Series			

CONTENTS 2

9.1 Absolute Convergence 9.2 Rearrangements 10 Sept. 21 – Iterated Sums and Topology 10.1 Double Sums 10.1.1 Convergence of Double Sums 10.2 Basic Topology in ℝ 10.2.1 Open Sets 10.2.2 Limit Points 11 Sept. 26 – Closed Sets 11.1 Closed Sets 11.2 The Closure of a Set 12 Sept. 28 – Compact Sets 12.1 Another Characterization of Closed Sets 12.2 Compactness 12.3 Another Definition of Compactness 13 Oct. 3 – Perfect Sets 13.1 The Cantor Set 13.2 Perfect Sets and Countability 14 Oct. 12 – Connected Sets 14.1 Review of Exam 1 14.2 Connected Sets 15.1 The Limit of a Function 15.2 Examples of Showing Limits 15.1 The Limit of a Function 15.2 Examples of Showing Limits 16.1 Oct. 19 – Continuity 16.1 Algebraic Properties of Functional Limits 16.2 Continuous Functions 16.3 Examples of Continuous Functions 17 Oct. 24 – Continuity and Compactness		8.1 8.2	Convergence of Series				
9.1 Absolute Convergence 9.2 Rearrangements 10 Sept. 21 – Iterated Sums and Topology 10.1 Double Sums 10.1.1 Convergence of Double Sums 10.2 Basic Topology in R 10.2.1 Open Sets 10.2.2 Limit Points 11 Sept. 26 – Closed Sets 11.1 Closed Sets 11.2 The Closure of a Set 12 Sept. 28 – Compact Sets 12.1 Another Characterization of Closed Sets 12.2 Compactness 12.3 Another Definition of Compactness 13 Oct. 3 – Perfect Sets 13.1 The Cantor Set 13.2 Perfect Sets and Countability 14 Oct. 12 – Connected Sets 14.1 Review of Exam 1 14.2 Connected Sets 14.3 Density in a Set 15 Oct. 17 – Functional Limits 15.1 The Limit of a Function 15.2 Examples of Showing Limits 16.0 Cot. 19 – Continuity 16.1 Algebraic Properties of Functional Limits 16.2 Continuous Functions 16.3 Examples of Continuous Functions 16.3 Examples of Continuous Functions	9	Sept. 19 – Absolute Convergence 29					
9.2 Rearrangements 10 Sept. 21 – Iterated Sums and Topology 10.1 Double Sums 10.1.1 Convergence of Double Sums 10.2 Basic Topology in ℝ 10.2.1 Open Sets 10.2.2 Limit Points 11 Sept. 26 – Closed Sets 11.1 Closed Sets 11.2 The Closure of a Set 12 Sept. 28 – Compact Sets 12.1 Another Characterization of Closed Sets 12.2 Compactness 12.3 Another Definition of Compactness 13 Oct. 3 – Perfect Sets 13.1 The Cantor Set 13.2 Perfect Sets and Countability 14 Oct. 12 – Connected Sets 14.1 Review of Exam 1 14.2 Connected Sets 14.3 Density in a Set 15 Oct. 17 – Functional Limits 15.1 The Limit of a Function 15.2 Examples of Showing Limits 16.0 Ct. 19 – Continuity 16.1 Algebraic Properties of Functional Limits 16.2 Continuous Functions 16.3 Examples of Continuous Functions				9			
10.1 Double Sums 10.1.1 Convergence of Double Sums 10.2 Basic Topology in R 10.2.1 Open Sets 10.2.2 Limit Points 11 Sept. 26 – Closed Sets 11.1 Closed Sets 11.2 The Closure of a Set 12 Sept. 28 – Compact Sets 12.1 Another Characterization of Closed Sets 12.2 Compactness 12.3 Another Definition of Compactness 13 Oct. 3 – Perfect Sets 13.1 The Cantor Set 13.2 Perfect Sets and Countability 14 Oct. 12 – Connected Sets 14.1 Review of Exam 1 14.2 Connected Sets 14.3 Density in a Set 15 Oct. 17 – Functional Limits 15.1 The Limit of a Function 15.2 Examples of Showing Limits 16.1 Algebraic Properties of Functional Limits 16.2 Continuous Functions 16.3 Examples of Continuous Functions 16.3 Examples of Continuous Functions				0			
10.1.1 Convergence of Double Sums 10.2 Basic Topology in ℝ 10.2.1 Open Sets 10.2.2 Limit Points 11 Sept. 26 − Closed Sets 11.1 Closed Sets 11.2 The Closure of a Set 12 Sept. 28 − Compact Sets 12.1 Another Characterization of Closed Sets 12.2 Compactness 12.3 Another Definition of Compactness 13 Oct. 3 − Perfect Sets 13.1 The Cantor Set 13.2 Perfect Sets and Countability 14 Oct. 12 − Connected Sets 14.1 Review of Exam 1 14.2 Connected Sets 14.3 Density in a Set 15 Oct. 17 − Functional Limits 15.1 The Limit of a Function 15.2 Examples of Showing Limits 16.1 Algebraic Properties of Functional Limits 16.2 Continuous Functions 16.3 Examples of Continuous Functions 17 Oct. 24 − Continuity and Compactness	10	Sept	. 21 – Iterated Sums and Topology 3	2			
10.1.1 Convergence of Double Sums 10.2 Basic Topology in ℝ 10.2.1 Open Sets 10.2.2 Limit Points 11 Sept. 26 – Closed Sets 11.1 Closed Sets 11.2 The Closure of a Set 12 Sept. 28 – Compact Sets 12.1 Another Characterization of Closed Sets 12.2 Compactness 12.3 Another Definition of Compactness 13 Oct. 3 – Perfect Sets 13.1 The Cantor Set 13.2 Perfect Sets and Countability 14 Oct. 12 – Connected Sets 14.1 Review of Exam 1 14.2 Connected Sets 14.3 Density in a Set 15 Oct. 17 – Functional Limits 15.1 The Limit of a Function 15.2 Examples of Showing Limits 16.1 Algebraic Properties of Functional Limits 16.2 Continuous Functions 16.3 Examples of Continuous Functions 17 Oct. 24 – Continuity and Compactness		10.1	Double Sums	2			
10.2 Basic Topology in ℝ 10.2.1 Open Sets 10.2.2 Limit Points 11 Sept. 26 – Closed Sets 11.1 Closed Sets 11.2 The Closure of a Set 12 Sept. 28 – Compact Sets 12.1 Another Characterization of Closed Sets 12.2 Compactness 12.3 Another Definition of Compactness 13 Oct. 3 – Perfect Sets 13.1 The Cantor Set 13.2 Perfect Sets and Countability 14 Oct. 12 – Connected Sets 14.1 Review of Exam 1 14.2 Connected Sets 14.3 Density in a Set 15 Oct. 17 – Functional Limits 15.1 The Limit of a Function 15.2 Examples of Showing Limits 16.1 Algebraic Properties of Functional Limits 16.2 Continuous Functions 16.3 Examples of Continuous Functions 17 Oct. 24 – Continuity and Compactness				3			
10.2.1 Open Sets 10.2.2 Limit Points 11 Sept. 26 – Closed Sets 11.1 Closed Sets 11.2 The Closure of a Set 12 Sept. 28 – Compact Sets 12.1 Another Characterization of Closed Sets 12.2 Compactness 12.3 Another Definition of Compactness 13 Oct. 3 – Perfect Sets 13.1 The Cantor Set 13.2 Perfect Sets and Countability 14 Oct. 12 – Connected Sets 14.1 Review of Exam 1 14.2 Connected Sets 14.3 Density in a Set 15 Oct. 17 – Functional Limits 15.1 The Limit of a Function 15.2 Examples of Showing Limits 16.1 Algebraic Properties of Functional Limits 16.2 Continuous Functions 16.3 Examples of Continuous Functions 17 Oct. 24 – Continuity and Compactness		10.2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3			
10.2.2 Limit Points 11 Sept. 26 – Closed Sets 11.1 Closed Sets 11.2 The Closure of a Set 12 Sept. 28 – Compact Sets 12.1 Another Characterization of Closed Sets 12.2 Compactness 12.3 Another Definition of Compactness 13 Oct. 3 – Perfect Sets 13.1 The Cantor Set 13.2 Perfect Sets and Countability 14 Oct. 12 – Connected Sets 14.1 Review of Exam 1 14.2 Connected Sets 14.3 Density in a Set 15 Oct. 17 – Functional Limits 15.1 The Limit of a Function 15.2 Examples of Showing Limits 16. Oct. 19 – Continuity 16.1 Algebraic Properties of Functional Limits 16.2 Continuous Functions 16.3 Examples of Continuous Functions				3			
11.1 Closed Sets 11.2 The Closure of a Set 12 Sept. 28 – Compact Sets 12.1 Another Characterization of Closed Sets 12.2 Compactness 12.3 Another Definition of Compactness 13 Oct. 3 – Perfect Sets 13.1 The Cantor Set 13.2 Perfect Sets and Countability 14 Oct. 12 – Connected Sets 14.1 Review of Exam 1 14.2 Connected Sets 14.3 Density in a Set 15 Oct. 17 – Functional Limits 15.1 The Limit of a Function 15.2 Examples of Showing Limits 16.0 Cct. 19 – Continuity 16.1 Algebraic Properties of Functional Limits 16.2 Continuous Functions 16.3 Examples of Continuous Functions 16.4 Continuity and Compactness				4			
11.1 Closed Sets 11.2 The Closure of a Set 12 Sept. 28 – Compact Sets 12.1 Another Characterization of Closed Sets 12.2 Compactness 12.3 Another Definition of Compactness 13 Oct. 3 – Perfect Sets 13.1 The Cantor Set 13.2 Perfect Sets and Countability 14 Oct. 12 – Connected Sets 14.1 Review of Exam 1 14.2 Connected Sets 14.3 Density in a Set 15 Oct. 17 – Functional Limits 15.1 The Limit of a Function 15.2 Examples of Showing Limits 16.1 Algebraic Properties of Functional Limits 16.2 Continuous Functions 16.3 Examples of Continuous Functions 16.3 Examples of Continuous Functions 17 Oct. 24 – Continuity and Compactness	11	Sept	. 26 – Closed Sets	5			
11.2 The Closure of a Set 12 Sept. 28 – Compact Sets 12.1 Another Characterization of Closed Sets 12.2 Compactness 12.3 Another Definition of Compactness 13 Oct. 3 – Perfect Sets 13.1 The Cantor Set 13.2 Perfect Sets and Countability 14 Oct. 12 – Connected Sets 14.1 Review of Exam 1 14.2 Connected Sets 14.3 Density in a Set 15 Oct. 17 – Functional Limits 15.1 The Limit of a Function 15.2 Examples of Showing Limits 16.1 Algebraic Properties of Functional Limits 16.2 Continuous Functions 16.3 Examples of Continuous Functions 16.4 Continuous Functions 16.5 Examples of Continuous Functions				5			
12.1 Another Characterization of Closed Sets 12.2 Compactness 12.3 Another Definition of Compactness 13 Oct. 3 – Perfect Sets 13.1 The Cantor Set 13.2 Perfect Sets and Countability 14 Oct. 12 – Connected Sets 14.1 Review of Exam 1 14.2 Connected Sets 14.3 Density in a Set 15 Oct. 17 – Functional Limits 15.1 The Limit of a Function 15.2 Examples of Showing Limits 16 Oct. 19 – Continuity 16.1 Algebraic Properties of Functional Limits 16.2 Continuous Functions 16.3 Examples of Continuous Functions							
12.1 Another Characterization of Closed Sets 12.2 Compactness 12.3 Another Definition of Compactness 13 Oct. 3 – Perfect Sets 13.1 The Cantor Set 13.2 Perfect Sets and Countability 14 Oct. 12 – Connected Sets 14.1 Review of Exam 1 14.2 Connected Sets 14.3 Density in a Set 15 Oct. 17 – Functional Limits 15.1 The Limit of a Function 15.2 Examples of Showing Limits 16 Oct. 19 – Continuity 16.1 Algebraic Properties of Functional Limits 16.2 Continuous Functions 16.3 Examples of Continuous Functions	12	Sont	. 28 – Compact Sets	Q			
12.2 Compactness 12.3 Another Definition of Compactness 13 Oct. 3 – Perfect Sets 4 13.1 The Cantor Set 4 13.2 Perfect Sets and Countability 4 14 Oct. 12 – Connected Sets 4 14.1 Review of Exam 1 4 14.2 Connected Sets 4 14.3 Density in a Set 4 15 Oct. 17 – Functional Limits 5 15.1 The Limit of a Function 5 15.2 Examples of Showing Limits 5 16 Oct. 19 – Continuity 5 16.1 Algebraic Properties of Functional Limits 5 16.2 Continuous Functions 5 16.3 Examples of Continuous Functions 5 17 Oct. 24 – Continuity and Compactness 5	12		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-			
12.3 Another Definition of Compactness 13 Oct. 3 – Perfect Sets 13.1 The Cantor Set 13.2 Perfect Sets and Countability 14 Oct. 12 – Connected Sets 14.1 Review of Exam 1 14.2 Connected Sets 14.3 Density in a Set 15 Oct. 17 – Functional Limits 15.1 The Limit of a Function 15.2 Examples of Showing Limits 16 Oct. 19 – Continuity 16.1 Algebraic Properties of Functional Limits 16.2 Continuous Functions 16.3 Examples of Continuous Functions							
13.1 The Cantor Set							
13.1 The Cantor Set	12	Oct	3 – Perfect Sets	2			
13.2 Perfect Sets and Countability	13						
14 Oct. 12 – Connected Sets 14.1 Review of Exam 1 14.2 Connected Sets 14.3 Density in a Set 15 Oct. 17 – Functional Limits 15.1 The Limit of a Function 15.2 Examples of Showing Limits 16 Oct. 19 – Continuity 16.1 Algebraic Properties of Functional Limits 16.2 Continuous Functions 16.3 Examples of Continuous Functions 17 Oct. 24 – Continuity and Compactness							
14.1 Review of Exam 1 14.2 Connected Sets 14.3 Density in a Set 15 Oct. 17 – Functional Limits 15.1 The Limit of a Function 15.2 Examples of Showing Limits 16 Oct. 19 – Continuity 16.1 Algebraic Properties of Functional Limits 16.2 Continuous Functions 16.3 Examples of Continuous Functions 17 Oct. 24 – Continuity and Compactness	1 1			1			
14.2 Connected Sets	14			_			
14.3 Density in a Set 15 Oct. 17 – Functional Limits 15.1 The Limit of a Function							
15 Oct. 17 – Functional Limits 15.1 The Limit of a Function 15.2 Examples of Showing Limits 16 Oct. 19 – Continuity 16.1 Algebraic Properties of Functional Limits 16.2 Continuous Functions 16.3 Examples of Continuous Functions 17 Oct. 24 – Continuity and Compactness							
15.1 The Limit of a Function		14.3	Density in a Set	5			
15.2 Examples of Showing Limits	15	Oct.	17 – Functional Limits 4	7			
16 Oct. 19 – Continuity 16.1 Algebraic Properties of Functional Limits 16.2 Continuous Functions 16.3 Examples of Continuous Functions 17 Oct. 24 – Continuity and Compactness		15.1	The Limit of a Function	7			
16.1 Algebraic Properties of Functional Limits		15.2	Examples of Showing Limits	7			
16.1 Algebraic Properties of Functional Limits	16	Oct.	19 – Continuity 4	9			
16.2 Continuous Functions				9			
16.3 Examples of Continuous Functions				0			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				1			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	17	Oct.	24 – Continuity and Compactness 5	2			
			, 1				
				3			

Aug. 22 – The Real Numbers

1.1 Number Systems

We start with the natural numbers ¹

$$\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}.$$

These are perhaps the most natural in a way, since they are what we use to count things. They are closed under addition, but fail when it comes to subtraction. For example, $1-2=-1 \notin \mathbb{N}$. So we must expand our number system to the *integers*

$$\mathbb{Z} = \{\ldots, -3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots\}.$$

We can now add, subtract, and multiply. But we run into problems when we start to consider quotients. For example, $1 \div 2 = \frac{1}{2} \notin \mathbb{Z}$. So we continue to the *rational numbers*

$$\mathbb{Q} = \left\{ \frac{p}{q} : p, q \in \mathbb{Z}, q \neq 0 \right\}.$$

We now have summation, subtraction, multiplication, and quotients. But there is still a problem. For example, consider the diagonal of a square with side length 1.

Theorem 1.1. $\sqrt{2}$ is not a rational number. ²

Proof. Argue by contradiction. Suppose $\sqrt{2}$ is rational. Then we can write

$$\sqrt{2} = \frac{p}{q}$$

for some integers p,q. Further assume p and q have no common factors. Then

$$2 = \frac{p^2}{q^2} \implies p^2 = 2q^2.$$

So *p* is even and we can write p = 2r for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$4r^2 = 2q^2 \implies 2r^2 = q^2$$
.

So q is also even, and p, q share a common factor of 2. Contradiction.

 $^{^{1}}$ 0 ∉ \mathbb{N} for this class.

²In some sense, this shows that the notion of "rationals" is strictly weaker than the notion of "length."

Another weakness of \mathbb{Q} is that we cannot take limits (\mathbb{Q} is not complete). For example, note that

$$(\sqrt{2} - 1)(\sqrt{2} + 1) = 2 - 1 = 1,$$

$$\sqrt{2} = 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} + 1} = 1 + \frac{1}{1 + 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} + 1}} = \dots$$

So if we define the rational sequence

$$a_1 = 1$$
, $a_2 = 1 + \frac{1}{2}$, $a_3 = 1 + \frac{1}{2 + \frac{1}{2}}$, $a_4 = 1 + \frac{1}{2 + \frac{1}{2 + \frac{1}{2}}}$, ...,

then as $n \to \infty$, $a_n \to \sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Q}$. Similarly, $\sqrt[3]{2}$, $\sqrt{3}$, $\sqrt[5]{3}$,... are all irrational.

1.2 Sets

Sets are any collections of objects. Given a set A, we write $x \in A$ if x is an element of A. We write $x \notin A$ otherwise. The **union** of two sets is

$$A \cup B = \{x : x \in A \text{ or } x \in B\},$$

and the intersection of two sets is

$$A \cap B = \{x : x \in A \text{ and } x \in B\}.$$

We use the notation

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k$$

to denote the countable union of a family of sets indexed by *k*.

1.3 Functions

Definition 1.1. Given two sets A and B, a **function** from A to B is a rule, relation, or mapping that takes each element $x \in A$ and associates with it a single element in B. In this case, we write $f : A \to B$.

We call *A* the **domain** of *f* and *B* the **codomain** of *f*. The element in *B* associated with $x \in A$ is f(x), called the **image** of *x*. The **range** of *f* is

$$\operatorname{range}(f) = \{ y \in B : y = f(x) \text{ for some } x \in A \}.$$

We say f is:

- 1. **onto** or **surjective** if range(f) = B.
- 2. **one-to-one** or **injective** if $x, x' \in A$ and $x \neq x'$, then $f(x) \neq f(x')$.
- 3. **bijective** if it is injective and surjective.

Example 1.1.1. First Dirichlet function:

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Q} \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin \mathbb{Q} \end{cases} = \lim_{k \to \infty} \left(\lim_{j \to \infty} [\cos(k!\pi x)]^{2j} \right).$$

Example 1.1.2. Second Dirichlet function:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{q} & \text{if } x = \frac{p}{q} \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ in lowest terms} \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin \mathbb{Q}. \end{cases}$$

Example 1.1.3. Absolute value:

$$|x| = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \ge 0 \\ -x & \text{if } x < 0. \end{cases}$$

Note that we have the following two properties:

- |xy| = |x||y|.
- $|x + y| \le |x| + |y|$. This is called the *triangle inequality*.

1.4 Induction

If we have a set $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and

- 1. $1 \in S$
- 2. if $n \in S$, then $n + 1 \in S$

then $S = \mathbb{N}$. ³

³We always use induction in conjunction with \mathbb{N} .

Aug. 24 – The Axiom of Completeness

The number system \mathbb{Q} is pretty good (it is a field), but recall that we are unable to take limits. For instance, take the sequence $x_0 = 2$ and

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2} \left(x_n + \frac{2}{x_n} \right)$$

for $n \ge 1$. All the x_i are rational, but $x_n \to \sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Q}$. This shows that there are gaps in \mathbb{Q} . The real numbers \mathbb{R} will fill these gaps (completeness).

Axiom 2.1 (Axiom of completeness). Every nonempty set of real numbers that are bounded above has a least upper bound.

Note that this least upper bound is unique.

2.1 Suprema and Infima

Definition 2.1. Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. The set S is **bounded above** if there exists $u \in R$ such that $s \leq u$ for all $s \in S$. We say that u is an **upper bound** of S.

We define bounded below and lower bound similarly.

Definition 2.2. *S* is said to be **bounded** if it is both bounded above and below. Otherwise we say that *S* is **unbounded**.

Example 2.2.1. $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, ...\}$ is bounded below but not above.

Example 2.2.2. The set

$$\left\{\frac{1}{k}: k \in \mathbb{N}\right\} = \left\{1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots\right\}$$

is bounded.

Example 2.2.3. \emptyset is bounded.

Definition 2.3. We say $u \in \mathbb{R}$ is the **least upper bound** or **supremum** of a nonempty set $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ if

- 1. *u* is an upper bound of *S*.
- 2. $u \le v$ for any upper bound v of S.

We write $u = \sup S$.

The **greatest lower bound** or **infimum** of S is defined similarly, denoted inf S.

Example 2.3.1.

$$S = \left\{ \frac{1}{k} : k \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

 $\sup S = 1$, $\inf S = 0$.

Definition 2.4. Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. We say a real number $M \in S$ is a **maximal element** or **maximum** of S if $s \leq M$ for all $s \in S$.

The minimal element or minimum is defined similarly.

Example 2.4.1. [0,1) is bounded, but has no maximum. The minimum is 0.

Example 2.4.2. The set

$${2^{-n}: n \in \mathbb{N}} = {\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{8}, \dots}$$

is bounded, but has no minimum. The maximum is $\frac{1}{2}$.

Example 2.4.3. \emptyset is bounded but has no minimum or maximum.

Exercise 2.1. Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be bounded above. Let $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and define

$$c + a := \{a + c : a \in A\}.$$

Then $\sup(A + c) = c + \sup A$.

Proof. Let $s = \sup A$. By definition, we know $a \le s$ for all $a \in A$, which implies $a + c \le s + c$. So s + c is an upper bound for c + A. Now let b be an arbitrary upper bound for c + A. For all $a \in A$, we have $a + c \le b$, which implies $a \le b - c$. So b - c is an upper bound for A. By construction, $s \le b - c$, so $s + c \le b$. Therefore $s + c = \sup(A + c)$.

Lemma 2.1. Assume $s \in \mathbb{R}$ is an upper bound for a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Then $s = \sup A$ if and only if for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $a \in A$ such that $s - \epsilon < a$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Suppose $\sup A = s$. Then given any $\epsilon > 0$, $s - \epsilon$ cannot be an upper bound for A. So there exists $a \in A$ such that $a > s - \epsilon$.

(\Leftarrow) Let *b* be an arbitrary upper bound for *A*. Suppose for contradiction that *b* < *s*. Set $\epsilon = s - b > 0$. Then by assumption we can find *a* ∈ *A* such that *a* > *s* − $\epsilon = b$. Contradiction. Therefore *b* ≥ *s*, whence $\sup A = s$.

2.2 Consequences of Completeness

2.2.1 1st Consequence: Nested Interval Properties

Theorem 2.1 (Nested interval properties). *For any* $n \in \mathbb{N}$, assume that we are given a closed interval

$$I_n = [a_n, b_n] = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : a_n \le x \le b_n\}.$$

Assume $I_n \supseteq I_{n+1}$. Then the resulting nested sequence of closed intervals

$$I_1 \supseteq I_2 \supseteq I_3 \supseteq \dots$$

has a nonempty intersection:

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n \neq \emptyset.$$

Proof. Define $A = \{a_n\}$. Note that $A \neq \emptyset$. For any n, $a_n \le b_n \le b_1$. So $x = \sup A$ exists. Furthermore, for any n, b_n is an upper bound for A. So $x \le b_n$. Since $x = \sup A$, $a_n \le x$. So $x \in [a_n, b_n]$ for any n, whence

$$x \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$$
.

Therefore, the intersection is nonempty.

2.2.2 2nd Consequence: Archimedean Properties

Theorem 2.2 (Archimedean properties).

- 1. Given any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, there is an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that n > x. ¹
- 2. Given any real number y > 0, there is an \mathbb{N} such that $\frac{1}{n} < y$.

Proof of (1). Argue by contradiction. Suppose $\mathbb N$ is bounded above. Then by the axiom of completeness, $\alpha = \sup N$ exists. By construction, $\alpha - 1$ is not an upper bound for $\mathbb N$. So we can find $n \in N$ such that $\alpha - 1 < n$, which implies $\alpha < n + 1 \in \mathbb N$. Contradiction.

Proof of (2). Follows from (1) by setting
$$x = \frac{1}{y}$$
.

¹This is saying that \mathbb{N} is not bounded above.

Aug. 29 – Completeness, Countability

3.1 Consequences of Completeness

3.1.1 3rd Consequence: Density of \mathbb{Q} in \mathbb{R}

Theorem 3.1 (Density of \mathbb{Q} in \mathbb{R}). *For all a, b* $\in \mathbb{R}$, a < b, there exists $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that a < r < b.

Proof. We want to find $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$a < \frac{m}{n} < b$$
.

By (2) of the Archimedean properties, we can find $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\frac{1}{n} < b - a.$$

Fix such an n. Then let m be the smallest integer such that $m-1 \le na < m$. By construction,

$$\frac{m}{n} - \frac{1}{n} \le a < \frac{m}{n},$$

$$\frac{m}{n} \le a + \frac{1}{n} < b.$$

Therefore, $a < \frac{m}{n} < b$.

Corollary 3.1.1. For all $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$, a < b, there exists $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ such that a < t < b.

3.1.2 4th Consequence: Existence of $\sqrt{2}$

Theorem 3.2 (Existence of $\sqrt{2}$). There exists $s \in \mathbb{R}$, s > 0 such that $s^2 = 2$.

Proof. Define

$$S = \{x > 0 : x^2 < 2\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}.$$

 $x = 1 \in S$, so $S \neq \emptyset$. 2 is an upper bound for S, so S is bounded above. Then by the axiom of completeness, $s = \sup S$ exists. We claim that $s^2 = 2$.

Suppose otherwise that $s^2 < 2$. Then we can find $\epsilon > 0$ such that $s + \epsilon \in S$. Define $\delta = 2 - s^2 > 0$. Note that

$$(s+\epsilon)^2 - 2 = s^2 + 2s\epsilon + \epsilon^2 - 2 = -\delta + 2s\epsilon + \epsilon^2$$
.

We know $s \le 2$ since 2 is an upper bound. Pick

$$\epsilon = \frac{\delta}{100000000000},$$

$$2s\epsilon + \epsilon \le 4\epsilon + \epsilon^2 < \frac{\delta}{2}.$$

Then

$$(s+\epsilon)^2-2<-\delta+\frac{\delta}{2}=-\frac{\delta}{2}<0.$$

So $s + \epsilon \in S$, which contradicts with $s = \sup S$.

 $s^2 > 2$ also leads to a contradiction (left as an exercise). Thus we must have $s^2 = 2$.

3.2 Countability

Definition 3.1. We say two sets *A* and *B* have the same **cardinality** if there is a bijection $f : A \rightarrow B$. We write $A \sim B$.

Definition 3.2. We say that a set A is **finite** if $A \sim \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ for some integer n. We say that a set A is **countable** (or countably infinite) if $A \sim \mathbb{N}$. If a set A is not countable, then we say it is **uncountable**.

Example 3.2.1. Let $E = \{2, 4, 6, 8, ...\}$. E is not finite but it is countable: $E \sim \mathbb{N}$. We can define $f : \mathbb{N} \to E$ by f(n) = 2n.

Example 3.2.2. $\mathbb{N} \sim \mathbb{Z}$. The bijection $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Z}$ is given by

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} \frac{n-1}{2} & n \text{ is odd} \\ -\frac{n}{2} & n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Example 3.2.3. $(-1,1) \sim \mathbb{R}$. The bijection $f: (-1,1) \to \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$x \mapsto \frac{x}{x^2 - 1}$$
.

Theorem 3.3.

- 1. The set \mathbb{Q} is countable.
- 2. The set \mathbb{R} is uncountable.

Proof of (1). Set $A_1 = \{0\}$ and for $n \ge 2$,

$$A_n = \left\{ \pm \frac{p}{q} : p, q \in \mathbb{N}, p, q \text{ in lowest terms, } p + q = n \right\}.$$

So the first few A_n are:

$$A_2 = \left\{ \frac{1}{1}, \frac{-1}{1} \right\},$$

$$A_3 = \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{1}, \frac{-1}{2}, \frac{-2}{1} \right\},$$

etc. Note that A_n is finite and for all $x \in \mathbb{Q}$, there is an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x \in A_n$. We can list elements in A_1, \ldots, A_n and label them with integers in \mathbb{N} . Any element of A_n will be listed eventually. Then this pairing gives a bijection since the A_n are disjoint. So $\mathbb{Q} \sim \mathbb{N}$.

Proof of (2). Argue by contradiction. Suppose f is one-to-one from $\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$. Set $x_1 = f(1)$, $x_2 = f(2)$, etc. We can write

$$\mathbb{R} = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}.$$

Let I_1 be a closed interval such that $x_1 \notin I_1$. Pick $I_2 \subseteq I_1$ such that $x_2 \notin I_2$. Continue this process such that $I_{n+1} \subseteq I_n$ is a closed interval where $x_{n+1} \notin I_{n+1}$. By construction,

$$I_1 \supseteq I_2 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq I_n \supseteq \cdots$$

We know that

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n \neq \emptyset.$$

So we can find n_0 such that

$$x_{n_0} \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$$
.

This is a contradiction with $x_{n_0} \notin I_{n_0}$. Thus such an f cannot exist and \mathbb{R} is uncountable.

Theorem 3.4.

- 1. Let $A \subseteq B$. If B is countable, then A is either finite or countable.
- 2. If A_n is a countable set, then

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$$

is also countable.

Theorem 3.5 (Cantor's diagonal argument). The open interval

$$(0,1) = \{ x \in \mathbb{R} : 0 < x < 1 \}$$

is uncountable.

Proof. Argue by contradiction. Assume $f : \mathbb{N} \to (0,1)$ is one-to-one and onto. Then for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we can write (decimal expansion)

$$f(m) = 0.a_{m1}a_{m2}a_{m3}\dots \in (0,1).$$

For every $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_{mn} \in \{0, ..., 9\}$ is the nth digit in the decimal expansion of f(m). We can write in a table

- 1 f(1) a_{11} a_{12} a_{13} ...
- $2 \quad f(2) \quad a_{21} \quad a_{22} \quad a_{23} \quad \dots$
- $3 \quad f(3) \quad a_{31} \quad a_{32} \quad a_{33} \quad \dots$

:

Take $x = 0.b_1b_2b_3...$ where

$$b_n = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } a_{nn} \neq 2\\ 3 & \text{if } a_{nn} = 2. \end{cases}$$

Then $x \neq f(m)$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ (since $b_m \neq a_{mm}$). This is a contradiction.

Aug. 31 – Cantor's Theorem, Sequences

4.1 Cantor's Theorem

Definition 4.1. The **power set** of A, denoted $\mathcal{P}(A)$, is the collection of all subsets of A.

Theorem 4.1 (Cantor's theorem). Given any set A, there does not exist a function $f: A \to \mathcal{P}(A)$ which is surjective. ¹

Proof. Argue by contradiction. Suppose $f: A \to \mathcal{P}(A)$ is onto. Then for any $a \in A$, f(a) is a subset of A. Since f is onto, for any subset B of A, we can find $a \in A$ such that f(a) = B. Define

$$B = \{a \in A : a \notin f(a)\} \subseteq A.$$

We can find $a' \in A$ such that f(a') = B. If $a' \in B$, then $a' \notin f(a') = B$, which is a contradiction. If $a' \notin B$, this is a contradiction with the definition of B. Thus such f cannot exist.

Remark. This means that the cardinality of $\mathcal{P}(A)$ is strictly larger than that of A.

4.2 Sequences

Definition 4.2. A **sequence** is a function whose domain is \mathbb{N} .

We usually write $\{a_n\}$, $\{x_n\}$ or (a_n) , (x_n) to denote sequences.

Example 4.2.1. The following

$$\left\{\frac{1+n}{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} = \left\{2, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{4}{3}, \frac{5}{4}, \dots\right\}$$

is a sequence.

Example 4.2.2. $\{a_n\}$, where $a_n = 2^n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is a sequence.

Example 4.2.3. We can also define $\{x_n\}$ recursively by $x_1 = 2$ and

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{x_n + 1}{2}.$$

Remark. Sometimes a sequence is also labeled starting from n = 0.

Note that if $\#(A) = n < \infty$, this is true as $\#(\mathcal{P}(A)) = 2^n \neq \#(A)$.

4.2.1 Limits

Definition 4.3. A sequence $\{a_n\}$ **converges** to a real number a if for every $\epsilon > 0$, we can find $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \ge N$, one has $|a_n - a| < \epsilon$. We write $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = a$.

Remark. In analysis, ϵ is always taken to be a positive number.

Example 4.3.1. The sequence $\{1/n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges with

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}=0.$$

Definition 4.4. For $\epsilon > 0$, the ϵ -neighborhood of a is defined to be

$$V_{\epsilon}(a) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : |x - a| < \epsilon\}.$$

Definition 4.5. We say that a is the **limit** of a sequence $\{a_n\}$ if for every $\epsilon > 0$, $V_{\epsilon}(a)$ contains all but finitely many elements of $\{a_n\}$.

Remark. This definition of the limit is equivalent to the definition of convergence.

Definition 4.6. A sequence $\{a_n\}$ that does not converge is said to be **divergent**.

Theorem 4.2. The limit of a sequence, when it exists, must be unique.

Proof. Homework problem.

Exercise 4.1. Show

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{n+1}{n}$$

exists and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{n+1}{n}=1.$$

Proof. We show

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{n+1}{n}=1.$$

For every $\epsilon > 0$, take $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $N > \frac{1}{\epsilon}$. We have for all $n \ge N$,

$$\left|\frac{n+1}{n}-1\right| = \left|\frac{1}{n}\right| \le \frac{1}{N} < \epsilon.$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{n+1}{n}=1$$

as desired.

²This is the *topological* definition of the limit.

4.2.2 Tips for Showing Limits

To show the limit of a sequence, take the following steps:

- 1. Identify the limit *a*. This is always given by the problem or observation.
- 2. $\forall \epsilon > 0$.
- 3. Find $N = N(\epsilon)$. Do this in sketch paper (need computations and manipulations).
- 4. Set N as what is found in (3).
- 5. Check that *N* works.

Sept. 5 – Limits and Limit Theorems

5.1 Review of Limits

Example 5.0.1. Find

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1+\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

Proof. We want to show that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1+\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{n}}=1.$$

Fix $\epsilon > 0$ and take $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $N > \frac{1}{\epsilon^2}$. Then for any n > N,

$$\left| \frac{1 + \sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{n}} - 1 \right| \le \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right| \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} < \epsilon,$$

as desired.

How can we understand this using the topological definition? For all $\epsilon > 0$, take $V_{\epsilon}(1)$. Pick $N > \frac{1}{\epsilon^2}$. Then we claim that $V_{\epsilon}(1)$ contains all but at most N elements of $\left\{\frac{\sqrt{n+1}}{\sqrt{n}}\right\}$. When $n \geq N$, we have

$$\left|\frac{\sqrt{n}+1}{\sqrt{n}}-1\right|<\epsilon,$$

i.e. $\frac{\sqrt{n}+1}{\sqrt{n}} \in V_{\epsilon}(1)$. So at most N elements might not be in $V_{\epsilon}(1)$.

5.2 Limit Theorems

5.2.1 Algebraic Facts About Limits

Definition 5.1. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ is said to be **bounded** if there exists M such that $|x_n| \le M$ for all n. Alternatively, $\sup_n |x_n| \le M$.

Theorem 5.1. Every convergent sequence is bounded.

Proof. Suppose

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = l.$$

Take $\epsilon = 1$, we can find N such that for all $n \ge N$, $|x_n - l| < 1$. By the triangle inequality, $|x_n| < |l| + 1$ for $n \ge N$. Take

$$M = \max\{|x_1|, |x_2|, \dots, |x_{N-1}|, |l| + 1\}.$$

Then $|x_n| \le M$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 5.2 (Algebraic limit theorem). If

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}a_n=a\quad and\quad \lim_{n\to\infty}b_n=b,$$

then for all $c \in \mathbb{R}$,

(1)
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} ca_n = ca$$
, (2) $\lim_{n\to\infty} (a_n + b_n) = a + b$, and (3) $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n b_n = ab$.

Furthermore, if $b \neq 0$, then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{a_n}{b_n} = \frac{a}{b}.\tag{4}$$

Proof. (1) When c=0, the result is trivial. When $c\neq 0$, for all $\epsilon>0$, we set $\epsilon'=\frac{\epsilon}{|c|}$. Since $\lim_{n\to\infty}a_n=a$, we can find $N_{\epsilon'}$ such that for all $n\geq N_{\epsilon'}$, $|a_n-a|<\epsilon'$. When $n>N_{\epsilon'}$, we have

$$|ca_n - ca| = |c||a_n - a| < |c|e' = |c|\frac{\epsilon}{|c|} = \epsilon.$$

So $\lim_{n\to\infty} ca_n = ca$.

(2) For all $\epsilon > 0$, since $a_n \to a$ and $b_n \to b$, we can find N_1 and N_2 such that when

$$n \ge N_1$$
, $|a_n - a| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$, $n \ge N_2$, $|b_n - b| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$.

Take $N = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$. Then for all $n \ge N$,

$$|a_n + b_n - (a+b)| = |a_n - a + b_n - b| \le |a_n - a| + |b_n - b| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon.$$

Therefore $\lim_{n\to\infty} (a_n + b_n) = a + b$.

5.2.2 Order Limit Theorem

Theorem 5.3 (Order limit theorem). Let $\{a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$ be sequences such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}a_n=a\quad and\quad \lim_{n\to\infty}b_n=b.$$

(5) If $a_n \ge 0$ for every n, then $a \ge 0$. (6) If $a_n \le b_n$, then $a \le b$. (7) If $a_n \ge c$, then $a \ge c$.

Proof. (5) Argue by contradiction. Suppose a < 0. Take $\epsilon = \frac{|a|}{2}$. Since $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = a$, we can find N such that when $n \ge N$, $|a_n - a| < \epsilon$. Note that this means

$$-\epsilon < a_n - a < \epsilon$$

Then we have

$$a_n < \epsilon + a = \frac{-a}{2} + a = \frac{a}{2} < 0.$$

Contradiction. \Box

5.2.3 Monotone Convergence Theorem

Definition 5.2. A sequence $\{a_n\}$ is **increasing** if $a_n \le a_{n+1}$ for every n and **decreasing** if $a_n \ge a_{n+1}$ for every n. A sequence is **monotone** if it is either increasing or decreasing.

Theorem 5.4 (Monotone convergence theorem). *If a sequence is monotone and bounded, then it converges.*

Proof. Let $\{a_n\}$ be increasing and bounded. Set $A = \{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Note that $A \neq \emptyset$ and A is bounded. Therefore, by the axiom of completeness, $s = \sup A \in \mathbb{R}$ exists. Then we claim that $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = s$. For every $\epsilon > 0$, $s - \epsilon$ is not an upper bound for A, so we can find N such that $s - \epsilon < a_N \le s$. Since $\{a_n\}$ is increasing, for all $n \ge N$, we know $s - \epsilon < a_N \le s$, i.e. $|a_n - s| < \epsilon$. Therefore $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = s$.

For $\{a_n\}$ decreasing and bounded, simply apply the previous result to $\{-a_n\}$.

Sept. 7 – Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem

6.1 Review of Limits

Theorem 6.1 (Squeeze theorem). Let $\{x_n\}$, $\{y_n\}$, $\{z_n\}$ be sequences such that $x_n \le y_n \le z_n$ for all n, and suppose that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} z_n = l.$$

Then $\lim_{n\to\infty} y_n = l$.

Proof. Consider $|y_n - l|$. If

$$y_n - l \ge 0$$
, then $y_n - l \le z_n - l$, $y_n - l < 0$, then $|y_n - l| = l - y_n \le l - x_n$.

So we have

$$|y_n-l|\leq |z_n-l|+|x_n-l|.$$

For all $\epsilon > 0$, there exist N_1, N_2 such that for all $n \ge N_1$,

$$|z_n-l|<\frac{\epsilon}{2},$$

and for all $n \ge N_2$,

$$|x_n - l| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Take $N = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$. If $n \ge N$, then

$$|y_n - l| \le |z_n - l| + |x_n - l| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon.$$

So $\lim_{n\to\infty} y_n = l$.

6.2 Subsequences and the Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem

Definition 6.1. Let $\{a_n\}$ be a sequence of real numbers. Let $n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < \dots$ be an increasing sequence of natural numbers. Then $\{a_{n_1}, a_{n_2}, \dots, \}$ is a **subsequence** of $\{a_n\}$, and it is denoted by $\{a_{n_k}\}$.

Example 6.1.1. Let

$${a_n} = {1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \dots}.$$

Then

$$\left\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{8}, \dots\right\}$$

is a subsequence of $\{a_n\}$. However, note that

$$\left\{\frac{1}{10}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{100}, \frac{1}{500}, \dots\right\}$$

is *not* a subsequence of $\{a_n\}$ since the the n_k are not strictly increasing. Similarly,

$$\left\{1, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{5} \dots\right\}$$

is also not a subsequence of $\{a_n\}$.

Theorem 6.2. Subsequences of a convergent sequence converge to the same limit as the original sequence.

Proof. Suppose $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = a$. So for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists N such that $|a_n - a| < \epsilon$ for all $n \ge N$. Consider an arbitrary subsequence $\{a_{n_k}\}$. Note that $n_k \ge k$. So when $k \ge N$,

$$|a_{n_k} - a| < \epsilon$$
.

Therefore $\lim_{k\to\infty} a_{n_k} = a$.

Example 6.1.2. Let 0 < b < 1. Clearly

$$1 > b > b^2 > b^3 > b^4 > \dots \ge 0.$$

The sequence $\{b^n\}$ is decreasing and bounded below, so by the monotone convergence theorem, $\lim_{n\to\infty}b^n=l\in\mathbb{R}$ exists. Note that $\{b^{2n}\}$ is a subsequence of $\{b^n\}$, so by Theorem 6.2, we have $\lim_{n\to\infty}b^{2n}=l$. Note that $b^{2n}=b^nb^n$. By the algebraic limit theorem,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}b^{2n}=\Big(\lim_{n\to\infty}b^n\Big)\Big(\lim_{n\to\infty}b^n\Big).$$

Therefore, $l = l^2$, so we have l = 0 or l = 1. But the entire sequence is strictly less than 1 and decreasing, so l = 0.

Example 6.1.3. Consider the sequence

$$\{(-1)^n\} = \{-1, 1, -1, 1, \dots\}.$$

This sequence does not converge. But the subsequence

$$\{-1, -1, -1, \dots\}$$

does converge.

Remark. This shows that the converse of Theorem 6.2 is not true, i.e. a convergent subsequence does not imply that the original sequence converges.

Example 6.1.4. The sequence

$$a_n = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is prime,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

does not converge.

Exercise 6.1. Show the limit of the sequence

$$\left\{1, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, -\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{5}, -\frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{5}, -\frac{1}{5}, \dots\right\}$$

Proof. The subsequence

$$\left\{\frac{1}{5},\frac{1}{5},\dots\right\}$$

converges to $\frac{1}{5}$ while the subsequence

$$\left\{-\frac{1}{5}, -\frac{1}{5}, \dots\right\}$$

converges to $-\frac{1}{5}$. Thus the original sequence diverges.

Remark. If we can find two subsequences that converge to different limits, then the original sequence diverges. This is the contrapositive of Theorem 6.2.

Theorem 6.3 (Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem). Every bounded sequence has a convergent subsequence. ¹

Proof. Let $\{a_n\}$ be a bounded be a bounded sequence. So there exists M>0 such that $\sup_n |a_n| < M$. So a_n is contained in [-M,M]. Split [-M,M] into [-M,0] and [0,M]. Pick one that contains infinitely many elements of $\{a_n\}$ and call it I_1 . Then pick $a_{n_1} \in \{a_n\}$ such that $a_{n_1} \in I_1$. Split I_1 again into two closed intervals of the same size. Take one of these two that contains infinitely many elements of $\{a_n\}$ and call it I_2 . Then take $a_{n_2} \in \{a_n\}$ such that $a_{n_2} \in I_2$. Repeat this process to to get $I_{k+1} \subseteq I_k$ with $|I_{k+1}| = \frac{1}{2}|I_k|$ such that I_{k+1} contains infinitely many elements of $\{a_n\}$. Also pick $a_{n_{k+1}} \in \{a_n\}$ such that $a_{n_{k+1}} \in I_{k+1}$ with $n_{k+1} > n_k$.

By construction, $\{a_{n_k}\}$ is a subsequence of $\{a_n\}$ and $a_{n_k} \in I_k$. We have the I_k being closed intervals with

$$I_1 \supseteq I_2 \supseteq I_3 \supseteq \dots$$

So there exists $x \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $x \in \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k$. Note that $|I_k| = M\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k-1}$. Then we claim $\lim_{k \to \infty} a_{n_k} = x$.

Let $\epsilon > 0$. Take *N* such that

$$2^N > \frac{2M}{\epsilon}$$
.

Then for every $k \ge N$, we have

$$|a_{n_k} - x| \le M \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k-1} < \epsilon$$

since a_{n_k} , $x \in I_k$. Thus $\lim_{k\to\infty} a_{n_k} = x$, and $\{a_{n_k}\}$ is a convergent subsequence.

¹This demonstrates some kind of *compactness* of the real numbers.

²Here, by $|I_k|$ we mean the length of the interval I_k .

Sept. 12 – The Cauchy Criterion

7.1 Cauchy Sequences

Definition 7.1. A sequence $\{a_n\}$ is called a **Cauchy** sequence if for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $m, n \geq N$, one has $|a_m - a_n| < \epsilon$.

Theorem 7.1. Every convergent sequence is a Cauchy sequence.

Proof. Assume $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = a$. Then for every $\epsilon > 0$, we can find N such that for every $n \ge N$, we have $|a_n - a| < \epsilon/2$. Then for every $m, n \ge N$, we have

$$|a_m - a_n| = |a_m - a + a - a_n| \le |a_m - a| + |a - a_n| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon$$

by the triangle inequality.

Lemma 7.1. Every Cauchy sequence is bounded.

Proof. Suppose $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Pick $\epsilon = 1$. Then there exists N such that for all $m, n \ge N$, we have $|x_m - x_n| < 1$. Fixing m = N, we know that for all $n \ge N$, $|x_N - x_n| < 1$. So $|x_n| \le |x_N| + 1$ for all $n \ge N$. Set

$$M = \max\{|x_1|, |x_2|, \dots, |x_{N-1}|, |x_N| + 1\}.$$

Then $\sup |x_n| \le M$ by construction.

Theorem 7.2 (Cauchy criterion). A sequence converges if and only if it is a Cauchy sequence.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) This is Theorem 7.1.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose $\{a_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since $\{a_n\}$ is Cauchy, we know $\sup |a_n| \leq M$ for some $M \in \mathbb{R}$. Then by the Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem, we can find a convergent subsequence $\{a_{n_k}\}$ such that $\lim_{k\to\infty}a_{n_k}=a$. We show that we also have $\lim_{n\to\infty}a_n=a$.

For every $\epsilon > 0$, we can find N_1 such that for all $m, n \ge N_1$, we have $|a_m - a_n| < \epsilon/2$. Since $\lim_{k \to \infty} a_{n_k} = a$, there is some K such that for all $k \ge K$, we have $|a_{n_k} - a| < \epsilon/2$. Take

$$N \geq \max\{N_1, n_K\}.$$

¹The Cauchy condition controls the *oscillation* of the *tail* of a sequence.

We can find K_0 such that $n_{K_0} \ge N$. Then for every $n \ge N$,

$$|a_n - a| = |a_n - a_{n_{K_0}} + a_{n_{K_0}} - a| \le |a_n - a_{n_{K_0}}| + |a_{n_{K_0}} - a| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon$$

by the triangle inequality and the Cauchy condition.

Remark. The Cauchy condition allows us to show that a sequence converges without explicitly providing its limit.

7.2 Revisiting Completeness

This is the way we have discussed completeness (ordered by implication):

- Axiom of Completeness
 - Nested intervals property
 - * Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem
 - · Cauchy criterion
 - Monotone convergence theorem.

But this is not the only way to do so: We have several ways of choosing axioms to define completeness. For example, we can also prove the nested intervals property using the monotone convergence theorem.

Exercise 7.1. The monotone convergence theorem implies the nested intervals property.

Proof. Let $I_n = [a_n, b_n]$ with $I_{n+1} \subseteq I_n$. In particular, $\{a_n\}$ is increasing and bounded $(b_1$ is an upper bound). So by the monotone convergence theorem, $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = a$ exists.

Left as an exercise to show that $a \in I_n$ for all n.

Exercise 7.2. Given the Archimedean property, the nested intervals property implies the Axiom of Completeness.

Proof. Note that $\frac{1}{2^n} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. This is because for every $\epsilon > 0$, we can find N such that $\frac{1}{N} < \epsilon$ by the Archimidean property. Then

$$\frac{1}{2^N} < \frac{1}{N}$$

for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$. So $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} = 0$.

Now let *S* be a nonempty set which is bounded above. Let *U* be an upper bound for *S*. Take $s \in S$. Set $a_1 = s$, $b_1 = U$. Consider

$$\frac{s+U}{2}$$
.

If $\frac{s+U}{2}$ is an upper bound for S, then we set $a_2 = a_1 = s$, $b_2 = \frac{s+U}{2}$. If $\frac{s+U}{2}$ is not an upper bound for S, then we set $a_2 = \frac{s+U}{2}$, $b_2 = b_1 = U$. Note that $[a_2, b_2] \subseteq [a_1, b_1]$. Repeat the same process for a_n and b_n to obtain the closed intervals

$$[a_1,b_1]\supseteq [a_2,a_2]\supseteq [a_3,b_3]\supseteq \cdots$$

By the nested interval properties, the intersection $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} [a_n, b_n]$ is nonempty. Note that

$$|[a_1, b_1]| = |b_1 - a_1| = |U - s|$$

$$|[a_2, b_2]| = |b_2 - a_2| = \left|\frac{U - s}{2}\right|$$

$$\vdots$$

$$|[a_n, b_n]| = |b_n - a_n| = \frac{2}{2^n}|U - s|$$

So there is only one $x \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $x \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} [a_n, b_n]$. We claim that $\sup S = x$.

Note that $x \in [a_n, b_n]$ for all n. So a_n is not an upper bound and b_n is an upper bound. Suppose for contradiction that x is not an upper bound. Then there exists $s_0 \in S$ such that $s_0 > x$. Since $|[a_n, b_n]| \to 0$, there exists an N such that whenever $n \ge N$,

$$|[a_n, b_n]| < \frac{1}{2}|s_0 - x|.$$

Since $x \in [a_n, b_n]$, this implies that $s_0 > b_n$, which is a contradiction with b_n being an upper bound.

Use a similar idea to show that *x* is the *least* upper bound.

Remark. These are all different ways to understand the same idea of completeness.

Sept. 14 – Series

Definition 8.1. Let $\{b_n\}$ be a sequence. An infinite series is formally given by

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n = b_1 + b_2 + \dots$$

Definition 8.2. We define the **partial sum** of a series by

$$s_m = \sum_{n=1}^m b_n.$$

8.1 Convergence of Series

Definition 8.3. The series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n$ **converges** to B if $\lim_{m\to\infty} s_m = B$. Otherwise we say that the series **diverges**.

Example 8.3.1. Consider the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} = 1 + \frac{1}{2^2} + \frac{1}{3}^2 + \dots$$

We look at the partial sums for m > 1:

$$s_m = \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{1}{n^2} = 1 + \frac{1}{2^2} + \frac{1}{3^2} + \frac{1}{4^2} + \dots + \frac{1}{m^2} \le 1 + \frac{1}{2(1)} + \frac{1}{3(2)} + \frac{1}{4(3)} + \dots + \frac{1}{m(m-1)}$$
$$= 1 + 1 - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4} + \dots + \frac{1}{m-1} - \frac{1}{m} \le 2 - \frac{1}{m}.$$

Note that $\{s_m\}$ is a monotone sequence and it is bounded above by 2. Thus by the monotone convergence theorem, $\{s_m\}$ converges and there is some $B \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\lim_{m \to \infty} s_m = B$.

Remark. Using some complex analysis, we can find *B* by way of residue calculations.

Example 8.3.2. Consider the harmonic series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \dots$$

We look at the partial sums

$$s_m = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{m}.$$

Note specifically that

$$s_{4} = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} > 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + 2\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = 1 + 2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$$

$$s_{8} = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{7} + \frac{1}{8} > 1 + \frac{1}{2} + 2\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) + 4\left(\frac{1}{8}\right) = 1 + 3\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$s_{2^{k}} = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} + \dots + \frac{1}{2^{k-1} + 1} + \frac{1}{2^{k-1} + 2} + \dots + \frac{1}{2^{k}} > 1 + \frac{k}{2}$$

Thus $\{s_{2k}\}$ diverges, so $\{s_m\}$ also diverges.

Remark. This type of trick (analyzing 2^k terms) is called *dyadic analysis*, and it shows up frequently in analysis, particularly harmonic analysis.

Theorem 8.1 (Cauchy condensation test). Suppose $\{b_n\}$ is decreasing and $b_n \ge 0$ for all n. Then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n = b_1 + b_2 + b_3 + \dots$$

converges if and only if

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n b_{2^n} = b_1 + 2b_2 + 4b_4 + \dots$$

converges.

Proof. First we show the backwards direction. Assume $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n b_{2^n}$ converges. Define

$$t_k = b_1 + \dots + 2^k b_{2^k}.$$

By assumption, $\{t_k\}$ converges. Note that $t_k \ge 0$ and $\sup_k t_k \le M$ since convergent series are bounded. Set

$$s_m = \sum_{n=1}^m b_n.$$

Fix m and take k large such that $m \le 2^{k+1} - 1$. Then $s_m \le s_{2^{k+1}-1}$ since $b_n \ge 0$. Observe that

$$s_{2^{k+1}-1} = b_1 + (b_2 + b_3) + (b_4 + b_5 + b_6 + b_7) + \dots + (b_{2^k} + \dots + b_{2^{k+1}-1})$$

$$\leq b_1 + 2b_2 + 4b_4 + \dots + 2^k b_{2^k}.$$

So $s_m \le s_{2^{k+1}-1} \le t_k \le M$. Thus $\{s_m\}$ is increasing and bounded, so by the monotone convergence theorem, $\lim_{m\to\infty} s_m = B \in \mathbb{R}$ exists.

Now we show the forwards direction. Argue by contraposition. Suppose $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n b_{2^n}$ diverges, then we show that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n$ also diverges. Just need to check that $s_{2^k} \ge \frac{1}{2} + k$ (left as an exercise).

Corollary 8.1.1. The series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^p}$$

converges if and only if p > 1.

Proof. Let $b_n = \frac{1}{n^p}$ and $b_{2^n} = \frac{1}{2^{np}}$. Then we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n b_{2^n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{(1-p)n}.$$

The RHS is a geometric series, which converges if and only if p > 1. To see this, denote $2^{1-p} = a$. Then we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{(1-p)n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n.$$

We can observe that the partial sums

$$t_k = \sum_{n=0}^{k} a^n = \frac{a^{k+1} - 1}{a - 1}$$

converges if and only if a^{k+1} converges. This happens if and only if a < 1, which happens if and only if p > 1.

8.2 Properties of Series

Theorem 8.2 (Algebraic limit theorem for series). *Let*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n = A, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n = B.$$

Then for all $c \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ca_n = cA, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n + b_n) = A + B.$$

Proof. Let $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n = A$. So $s_m = \sum_{n=1}^{m} a_n$ converges. Set $\lim_{n \to \infty} s_m = A$. Define

$$t_m = \sum_{n=1}^{m} ca_n = c \sum_{n=1}^{m} a_n = cs_m.$$

Then by the algebraic limit theorem, we have $\lim_{m\to\infty} t_m = c \lim_{m\to\infty} s_m = cA$.

Theorem 8.3 (Cauchy criterion for series). The series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ converges if and only if for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exist N such that whenever $m, n \geq N$, we have $|a_{m+1} + \cdots + a_n| < \epsilon$.

Proof. The series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$ converges if and only if $s_m = \sum_{k=1}^m a_k$ converges. We show that $\{s_m\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. For all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists N such that for all $m, n \geq N$

$$|s_n - s_m| = |a_n + \cdots + a_{m+1}| < \epsilon$$
.

The converse is the same inequality.

Corollary 8.3.1. If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ converges, then $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = 0$.

Proof. Take m = n - 1.

Theorem 8.4. Assume $\{a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$ are sequences such that $0 \le a_n \le b_n$ for all n. Then

- 1. $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n$ converges implies $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ converges,
- 2. and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ diverges implies $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n$ diverges.

Proof. For all *m*, *n*, we have

$$|a_{m+1} + \dots + a_n| \le |b_{m+1} + \dots + b_n|.$$

Then apply the Cauchy criterion.

Definition 8.4. A series is called **geometric** if it is of the form

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} ar^k = a + ar + ar^2 + \dots$$

Note that the geometric series diverges when r = 1 and $a \neq 0$. When $r \neq 1$, the partial sums

$$s_m = \sum_{k=0}^m ar^k = a \frac{1 - r^{m+1}}{1 - r}$$

converge if |r| < 1. In this case, as $m \to \infty$, we have

$$s_m \to \frac{a}{1-r}$$
.

Sept. 19 – Absolute Convergence

9.1 Absolute Convergence

Definition 9.1. Consider a series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$. If

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|$$

converges, then we say $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ converges absolutely.

Theorem 9.1. If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|$ converges, then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ converges.

Proof. For every $\epsilon > 0$, since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ converges, there is N such that for all $m, k \geq N$,

$$\sum_{n=k+1}^{m} |a_n| < \epsilon.$$

This is by the Cauchy criterion for series. Then for all $m, k \ge N$, we have

$$\left| \sum_{n=k+1}^{m} a_n \right| \le \sum_{n=k+1}^{m} |a_n| < \epsilon$$

by the triangle inequality. Apply the Cauchy criterion again to conclude that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ converges.

Theorem 9.2 (Alternating series test). If $a_1 \ge a_2 \ge a_3 \ge ...$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = 0$, then the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} a_n$$

converges.

Proof. Set $s_m = \sum_{n=1}^m (-1)^{n+1} a_n$. Check that

$$s_m - s_k = \sum_{n=k+1}^m (-1)^{n+1} a_n.$$

Suppose that m and k are odd, then

$$s_m - s_k = \underbrace{a_m - a_{m-1}}_{\leq 0} + a_{m-2} - \dots + a_{k+2} - a_{k+1}.$$

So $s_m - s_k \le 0$. We can also group the terms as as

$$s_m - s_k = a_m \underbrace{-a_{m-1} + a_{m-2}}_{\geq 0} - \dots - a_{k+3} - a_{k+2} - a_{k+1} \geq a_m - a_{k+1}.$$

So $|s_m - s_k| \le |a_m| + |a_{k+1}|$ by the triangle inequality. Since $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = 0$, for all $\epsilon > 0$, there is N such that $n \ge N$, we have $|a_n| < \epsilon$. Then for all $m, k \ge N$,

$$|s_m - s_k| \le |a_m| + |a_{k+1}| < 2\epsilon.$$

Thus $\{s_k\}$ converges. Left as exercise to check the other parities of m and k (group differently).

Example 9.1.1. We saw previously that for $a_n = \frac{1}{n}$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ diverges. But $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} a_n$ converges.

9.2 Rearrangements

Definition 9.2. Given a series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$, we say that a series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k$ is a **rearrangement** of $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$ if there is a bijection $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that $b_{f(k)} = a_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Example 9.2.1. Let

$$S = 1 - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{5} - \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{7} - \frac{1}{8} + \cdots,$$

$$\frac{1}{2}S = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{10} - \cdots,$$

$$S + \frac{1}{2}S = 1 + \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{5} - \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{7} - \cdots.$$

Notice that $S + \frac{1}{2}S$ is a rearrangment of S. Supposing that $S + \frac{1}{2}S$ converges to the same limit as S, we would have

$$S + \frac{1}{2}S = S,$$

or S = 0. This cannot be the case.

Remark. A rearrangement of a series might have different convergence properties from the original series.

Theorem 9.3. If a series converges absolutely to A, then any rearrangement of the series converges to the same limit A.

Proof. Let $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$ converge absolutely to A. Let $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k$ be a rearrangement of $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$. We set

$$s_n = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k, \quad t_m = \sum_{k=1}^m b_k.$$

We want to show that t_m converges to A. Since $\lim_{n\to\infty} s_n = A$, for every $\epsilon > 0$, there is N_1 such that

$$|s_n - A| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$ converges absolutely, there is N_2 such that for all $n, m \ge N_2$, we have

$$\sum_{k=m+1}^{n} |a_k| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Since $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k$ is a rearrangement of $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$, we can write $b_{f(k)} = a_k$ for some bijection f. Set

$$N = \max\{N_1, N_2\}, \quad M = \max\{f(k) : 1 \le k \le N\}.$$

Then for all $m \ge M$, $t_m - s_n$ will only consist of terms a_k for k > N. In particular,

$$|t_m - s_n| \le \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} |a_k| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Then we have

$$|t_m-A|=|t_m-s_n+s_n-A|\leq |t_m-s_n|+|s_n-A|<\frac{\epsilon}{2}+\frac{\epsilon}{2}=\epsilon.$$

So $\lim_{m\to\infty} t_m = A$.

Sept. 21 – Iterated Sums and Topology

10.1 Double Sums

Given a set of doubly indexed real numbers $\{a_{ij}: i, j \in \mathbb{N}\}$, consider the sums

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{ij}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{ij}.$$

Are these sums equal? The answer is no, in general.

Example 10.0.1. Define a_{ij} by

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{j-i} & \text{if } j > i, \\ -1 & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0 & \text{if } j < i. \end{cases}$$

This looks like

Then the first sum (over the columns) is

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{ij} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} -\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{j-1} = -\frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{2}} = -2.$$

Meanwhile, the second sum (over the rows) is

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{ij} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(-1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^k \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (-1+1) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 0 = 0.$$

Notice that these two sums are not the same.

Remark. We cannot always exchange the order of a double sum. ¹

¹Fubini's theorem gives conditions under which we can do this for iterated integrals (when the integrand is absolutely integrable).

10.1.1 Convergence of Double Sums

Definition 10.1. We say that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |a_{ij}|$ **converges** if for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |a_{ij}|$ converges to some real number b_i and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i$ converges.

Theorem 10.1. Consider $\{a_{ij}: i, j \in \mathbb{N}\}$. If

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |a_{ij}|$$

converges, then both

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{ij} \quad and \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{ij}$$

converge to the same limit, i.e.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{ij} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{ij} = \lim_{n \to \infty} S_{nn}$$

where $S_{nn} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}$.

Proof. Go look this up.

10.2 Basic Topology in \mathbb{R}

10.2.1 Open Sets

Definition 10.2. For all $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $\epsilon > 0$, we define

$$V_{\epsilon}(a) = \{ x \in \mathbb{R} : |x - a| < \epsilon \}$$

to be the ϵ -neighborhood of a.

Definition 10.3. A set $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is **open** if for all $a \in U$, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $V_{\epsilon}(a) \subseteq U$.

Example 10.3.1. The set \mathbb{R} is open: Simply take $\epsilon = 1$ for any choice of $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

Example 10.3.2. The open interval (c,d) is open: For any $x \in (c,d)$, take $\epsilon = \min\{x - c, d - x\}$.

Theorem 10.2.

- 1. The union of an arbitrary collection of open sets is open.
- 2. The intersection of a finite collection of open sets is open.

Proof. (1) Let $\{U_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be a collection of open sets and consider $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} U_{\lambda}$. For every $a \in \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} U_{\lambda}$, there is λ' such that $a \in U_{\lambda'}$. Since $U_{\lambda'}$ is open, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$V_{\epsilon}(a) \subseteq U_{\lambda'} \subseteq \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} U_{\lambda}.$$

So $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} U_{\lambda}$ is open.

(2) Let $U_1, ..., U_n$ be a collection of open sets and consider $\bigcap_{j=1}^n U_j$. For every $a \in \bigcap_{j=1}^n U_j$, note that $a \in U_j$ for all j = 1, ..., n. Since U_j is open, there exists $\epsilon_j > 0$ such that $V_{\epsilon_j}(a) \subseteq U_j$. Then take

$$\epsilon = \min_{i \le j \le n} \{ \epsilon_j \},\,$$

which exists since the collection is finite. Since $\epsilon_i > 0$, we have $\epsilon > 0$ as well. By construction,

$$V_{\epsilon}(a) \subseteq V_{\epsilon_j}(a) \subseteq U_j$$

for all j. So $V_{\epsilon}(a) \subseteq \bigcap_{j=1}^{n} U_{j}$, and thus $\bigcap_{j=1}^{n} U_{j}$ is open.

Example 10.3.3. Consider the family of open sets $U_n = (-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n})$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Notice that their intersection

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n = \{0\}$$

is not open.

10.2.2 Limit Points

Definition 10.4. A point x is a **limit point** of a set A if for all $\epsilon > 0$, we have

$$(V_{\epsilon}(x) \cap A) \setminus \{x\} \neq \emptyset$$
,

i.e. there is some other point in the ϵ -neighborhood of x that is also in A.

Theorem 10.3. A point x is a limit point of A if and only if $x = \lim_{n \to \infty} a_n$ for some sequence $\{a_n\}$ with $a_n \neq x$ and $a_n \in A$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Suppose x is a limit point of A. Take $\epsilon = 1/n$ and pick $a_n \in (V_{1/n}(x) \cap A)$ such that $a_n \neq x$. For such a sequence $\{a_n\}$, for all $\epsilon > 0$, if $N \geq 1/\epsilon$, then for all $n \geq N$, we have

$$|a_n - x| \le \frac{1}{N} < \epsilon.$$

So $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = x$.

(⇐) Assume such a sequence $\{a_n\}$ exists. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists N such that $|a_n - x| < \epsilon$ for all $n \ge N$. Note that $a_N \in V_{\epsilon}(x)$, and also $a_N \in A$ and $a_N \ne x$. So $a_N \in (V_{\epsilon}(x) \cap A) \setminus \{x\}$, i.e. this set is not empty. Thus x is a limit point of A.

Sept. 26 – Closed Sets

11.1 Closed Sets

Definition 11.1. Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. An element $x \in A$ is an **isolated point** of A if it is not a limit point of A, i.e. there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $V_{\epsilon}(x) \cap A = \{x\}$.

Definition 11.2. A set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is **closed** if it contains all of its limit points.

Example 11.2.1. The empty set and \mathbb{R} are closed. Moreover, any set without limit points is closed.

Theorem 11.1. A set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is closed if and only if every Cauchy sequence in A converges to a limit in A.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Suppose A is closed and $\{a_n\}$ is Cauchy with $a_n \in A$ for all n. Since Cauchy sequences are convergent, let $x = \lim_{n \to \infty} a_n$. Now consider two cases. If there exists an n such that $x = a_n$, then we're done since $x = a_n \in A$. Otherwise, we have $a_n \neq x$ for all n. By Theorem 10.3, x is a limit point of A. So $x \in A$ as A is closed.

(\Leftarrow) Let x be a limit point of A. Then there exists a sequence $\{a_n\}$ with $a_n \in A$ and $a_n \neq x$ for all n such that $\lim_{n\to\infty}a_n=x$. This means that $\{a_n\}$ is Cauchy, so by assumption, $x=\lim_{n\to\infty}a_n\in A$. Thus every limit point of A is in A, so A is closed.

Example 11.2.2. Consider the set

$$A = \left\{ \frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

First $x \neq 0$, we look at the following cases:

- 1. If x < 0, let $\epsilon = |x|$. Then $V_{\epsilon}(x) = (2x, 0)$, and $V_{\epsilon}(x) \cap A = \emptyset$ since $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+$. So x < 0 is not is not a limit point of A.
- 2. If x > 1, let $\epsilon = x 1$. Then $V_{\epsilon}(x) = (1, 2x 1)$, so $V_{\epsilon}(x) \cap A = \emptyset$ as all $y \in A$ satisfies $y \in (0, 1]$.
- 3. If $x \in (0,1]$, then there exists $n \in N$ such that n > 1/x. Let $n_0 = \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} : n > 1/x\}$, which exists by the well-ordering principle. Noting that $n_0 \ge 2$, we have

$$\frac{1}{n_0} < x \le \frac{1}{n_0 - 1}.$$

Now we look at two more cases:

(a) If
$$x = \frac{1}{n_0 - 1}$$
, let

$$\epsilon = x - \frac{1}{n_0} = \frac{1}{n_0 - 1} - \frac{1}{n_0}.$$

Then we have

$$V_{\epsilon}(x) = \left(\frac{1}{n_0}, \frac{2}{n_0 - 1} - \frac{1}{n_0}\right).$$

Note that $(V_{\epsilon} \cap A) \setminus \{x\} = \emptyset$ if $n_0 = 2$. Otherwise, $n_0 > 2$ and we have

$$\frac{2}{n_0-1}-\frac{1}{n_0}-\frac{1}{n_0-2}=\cdots=\frac{-2}{n_0(n_0-2)(n_0-1)}<0.$$

So $V_{\epsilon}(x) \subseteq \left(\frac{1}{n_0}, \frac{1}{n_0} - 2\right)$, which means that $V_{\epsilon}(x) \cap A = \{x\}$.

(b) Otherwise, $x \in \left(\frac{1}{n_0}, \frac{1}{n_0 - 1}\right)$ and let

$$\epsilon = \min\left\{x - \frac{1}{n_0}, \frac{1}{n_0 - 1} - x\right\}.$$

Then (left as exercise) $V_{\epsilon}(x) \subseteq \left(\frac{1}{n_0}, \frac{1}{n_0 - 1}\right)$, which implies $V_{\epsilon}(x) \cap A = \emptyset$.

So $x \neq 0$ is not a limit point of A. However, $x = 0 = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n}$, so 0 is a limit point of A. But $0 \notin A$, so A is not closed.

Example 11.2.3. Let A = [a, b]. For any Cauchy sequence $\{x_n\} \subseteq A$, let $x = \lim_{n \to \infty} x_n$. Since $x_n \ge a$, we have $x = \lim_{n \to \infty} x_n \ge a$. Similarly, $x_n \le b$ implies that $x \le b$. So $x \in [a, b] = A$, and thus A is closed.

Example 11.2.4. Consider \mathbb{Q} . For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, for all $n \in N$ there exists a_n such that $a_n \in \mathbb{Q}$ with

$$\frac{1}{2n} < |a_n - x| < \frac{1}{n}.$$

Thus $a_n \neq x$ and $a_n \in \mathbb{Q}$ for all n, so $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = x$ is a limit point of \mathbb{Q} . So \mathbb{Q} is not closed.

Remark. We can also define the real numbers as equivalence classes of Cauchy sequences. ¹ Note that Cauchy sequences do not require an ordering (only a metric), so we can easily extend this definition to higher dimensions.

11.2 The Closure of a Set

Definition 11.3. Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Define the **closure** of A as

$$\overline{A} = \{x : x \in A \text{ or } x \text{ is a limit point of } A\}.$$

Theorem 11.2. The closure of a set A is closed. Furthermore, if B is closed and $A \subseteq B$, then $\overline{A} \subseteq B$.

Proof. Let x be a limit point of \overline{A} . We want to show that x is also a limit point of A (so we will have $x \in \overline{A}$). If $x \in A$, then we're done. Otherwise, $x \notin A$, so for all $\epsilon > 0$, we have $(V_{\epsilon/2}(x) \cap \overline{A}) \setminus \{x\} \neq \emptyset$, so let $y \in (V_{\epsilon/2}(x) \cap \overline{A}) \setminus \{x\}$. If $y \in A$, then $(V_{\epsilon}(x) \cap A) \setminus \{x\} = \emptyset$ and we're done. Otherwise, $y \notin A$, so $y \in \overline{A}$ implies that y is a limit point of A. So there exists $z \in (V_{\epsilon/2} \cap A) \setminus \{y\}$. Then

$$|x-z| \le |x-y| + |y-z| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon.$$

¹Using *Dedekind cuts* is another such way.

²So \overline{A} is the smallest closed set containing A.

So $z \in (V_{\epsilon}(x) \cap A) \setminus \{x\}$. Since this is true for all $\epsilon > 0$, x is a limit point of A. Thus $x \in \overline{A}$, so \overline{A} is closed.

For the second part, let $x \in \overline{A}$. If $x \in A$, then $A \subseteq B$ implies that $x \in B$. If $x \notin A$, then x is a limit point of A. So there exists a sequence $\{a_n\}$ with $a_n \in A$ for all n such that $a_n \to x$. Since $a_n \in A \subseteq B$, we have $a_n \in B$ for all n. Since $a_n \to x$, we must have $x \in B$ since B is closed. Thus $A \subseteq B$.

Corollary 11.2.1. *If* $A \subseteq B$, then $\overline{A} \subseteq \overline{B}$.

Proof. Note that Cauchy sequences in *A* are also Cauchy sequences in *B*.

Sept. 28 – Compact Sets

12.1 Another Characterization of Closed Sets

Definition 12.1. Given a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, its **complement** is $A^c = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x \notin A\}$.

Theorem 12.1. A set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is closed if and only if A^c is open.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Suppose A is closed. Take any $x \in A^c$. Since A is closed and $x \notin A$, we know x is not a limit point of A. So there is $\epsilon > 0$ such that $(V_{\epsilon}(x) \cap A) \setminus \{x\} = \emptyset$. Since $x \notin A$, this means that $V_{\epsilon}(x) \cap A = \emptyset$, which means that $V_{\epsilon}(x) \subseteq A^c$. So x is an interior point of A^c , and thus A^c is open.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose A^c is open. Let x be a limit point of A. Assume that $x \notin A$, i.e. $x \in A^c$. Since A^c is open, there is $\epsilon > 0$ such that $V_{\epsilon}(x) \subseteq A^c$. But then $V_{\epsilon}(x) \cap A = \emptyset$, which is a contradiction with x being a limit point of A. So $x \in A$, and thus A is closed. □

Corollary 12.1.1. A set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is open if and only if A^c is closed.

Remark. This is used in topology, where a collection of open sets that satisfies certain conditions ¹ is called a *topology* of a space, and closed sets are defined as their complements. Furthermore, metrics are not necessary in this setting.

Theorem 12.2.

- 1. Let $A_1, A_2, ..., A_n \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be closed. Then $\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i$ is closed.
- 2. Let $A_{\lambda} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$ be a family of closed subsets of \mathbb{R} indexed by $\lambda \in \Lambda$, where Λ is an index set. Then $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_{\lambda}$ is closed.

Proof. Left as an exercise.

12.2 Compactness

Definition 12.2. A set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is **compact** if any sequence $\{a_n\}$ in A has a convergent subsequence $\{a_{n_k}\}$ such that $\lim_{k\to\infty} a_{n_k} \in A$.

¹The collection must be closed under finite intersection and arbitrary union.

²This definition is sometimes called *sequential compactness*.

Remark. Suppose we want to solve the differential equation

$$\begin{cases} x' = f(t, x) \\ x(t_0) = x_0 \end{cases} .$$

We can first transform this into the integral equation

$$x(t) = x_0 + \int_{t_0}^t f(s, x(s)) ds$$

with a test function f. Then we perform Picard iterations to continue. However, this method requires $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ (i.e. f is continuously differentiable), since that is what guarantees that the sequence of functions converges (closedness). But even without this condition (if f is only continuous), if we can show that the set of functions lies in a compact set, then we can find a subsequence of functions that do converge (though solutions may no longer be unique).

Example 12.2.1. The interval (0,1] is not compact since it is not closed: it does not contain all of its limit points.

Example 12.2.2. The set \mathbb{R} is not compact since it is not bounded: an arbitrary sequence may not even have a convergent subsequence.

Theorem 12.3. A set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is compact if and only if A is bounded and closed. ³

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Assume *A* is not bounded. Then for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists an $x_n \in A$ such that $|x_n| > M$. Then $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in *A*. Since *A* is compact, there exists a subsequence

$$\{x_{n_k}\} = \{x_{n_1}, x_{n_2}, x_{n_3}, \dots\}$$

such that $x = \lim_{k \to \infty} x_{n_k} \in A$. But this implies that $\{x_{n_k}\}$ is bounded, which contradicts the fact that $|x_{n_k}| > n_k$ and $n_k \to \infty$ and $k \to \infty$. Hence A must be bounded.

Now assume A is not closed. Then there exists a limit point x of A such that $x \notin A$. Since x is a limit point of A, there exists a sequence $\{a_n\}$ such that $a_n \in A$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = x$. Now since A is compact, there exists a convergent subsequence $\{a_{n_k}\}$ such that $\lim_{k\to\infty} a_{n_k} \in A$. But since $\{a_n\}$ converges, we have

$$x = \lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = \lim_{k \to \infty} a_{n_k} \in A.$$

This is a contradiction with $x \notin A$. Hence A is closed.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose A is bounded and closed. Let $\{a_n\}$ be a sequence in A. By the Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem, there exists a convergent subsequence $\{a_{n_k}\}$. Let $x = \lim_{k \to \infty} a_{n_k}$. But $\{a_{n_k}\}$ is a convergent sequence in A, which is closed. So $x \in A$, and hence A is compact.

Example 12.2.3. The union of intervals $[1,2] \cup [3,4]$ is compact.

Example 12.2.4. The set

$$A = \left\{ \frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \cup \{0\}$$

is compact (since we added the limit point 0).

³This applies to all Euclidean spaces (and pretty much only Euclidean spaces).

Remark. Why do we need the concept of compactness? Because Theorem 12.3 is no longer true in infinite dimensions.

Theorem 12.4. Suppose

$$k_1 \supseteq k_2 \supseteq k_3 \supseteq \dots$$

are non-empty compact sets. Then

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} k_n \neq \emptyset.$$

Proof. Since $k_n \neq \emptyset$ for all n, there exists $a_n \in k_n$. Then for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\{a_n\}_{n=m}^{\infty}$ is a sequence in k_m , which is compact. For m=1, there exists a convergent subsequence $\{a_{n_k}\}$ such that $x=\lim_{k\to\infty}a_{n_k}\in k_1$. For any $m\in\mathbb{N}$, there is $k\in\mathbb{N}$ such that for all $k\geq k_m$, $n_k\geq m$. So

$$a_{n_k} \in k_{n_k} \subseteq k_m$$
,

which means that $\{a_{n_k}\}$ is a convergent sequence in k_m , which is closed. Thus $x \in k_m$ for all m, which means that $x \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} k_n$. So $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} k_n$ is nonempty.

Example 12.2.5. For $U_n = (0, 1/n)$. Then $U_1 \supseteq U_2 \supseteq U_3 \supseteq \dots$, but their intersection is empty.

However, this is not so surprising, since the measure of the sets U_n tends to 0.

Example 12.2.6. The sequence $V_n = (n, \infty)$ also satisfies $V_1 \supseteq V_2 \supseteq V_3 \supseteq ...$, but their intersection is empty, despite each V_n having infinite measure.

12.3 Another Definition of Compactness

Theorem 12.5 (Heine-Borel theorem). *A set* $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ *is compact if and only if it satisfies the following:*

(Covering property) For any family U_{λ} , $\lambda \in \Lambda$ of open subsets of \mathbb{R} such that $A \subseteq \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} U_{\lambda}$, $A \in \Lambda$ there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n \in \Lambda$ such that $A \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^n U_{\lambda_k}$.

Proof. (\Leftarrow) Assume that the covering property holds for A. For boundedness, let

$$A\subseteq\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}(-n,n)=\mathbb{R}.$$

By the covering property, there exist $n_1 < n_2 < \cdots < n_k$ such that

$$A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} (-n_i, n_i) = (-n_k, n_k).$$

So A is bounded. Now for closedness, suppose otherwise that A is not closed. So there exists a limit point $x \notin A$. Then

$$A \subseteq \mathbb{R} \setminus \{x\} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ y : |y - x| > \frac{1}{n} \right\}.$$

⁴This is called an *open cover* of A.

⁵I.e. there exists a *finite subcover*.

By the covering property, there exist $n_1 < n_2 < \cdots < n_k$ such that

$$A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^k \left\{ y : |y-x| > \frac{1}{n_i} \right\} = \left\{ y : |y-x| > \frac{1}{n_k} \right\} \subseteq \mathbb{R} \setminus V_{1/n_k}(x).$$

But x is a limit point of A, so there exists a $z \in V_{1/n_k}(x) \cap A$. This is a contradiction. Hence A is also closed, and thus A is compact.

(⇒) Assume *A* is compact and let U_{λ} , $\lambda \in \Lambda$ be an open cover of *A*. Suppose otherwise that there does not exist a finite subcover. Since *A* is compact, *A* is bounded. So there exists an *M* such that $A \subseteq [-M, M]$. Let $A_1 = A$ and define a sequence A_n of sets inductively by $A_n = A \cap [a_n, b_n] \neq \emptyset$ with

$$|b_n - a_n| = \frac{2M}{2^{n-1}},$$

and A_n can't be covered by a finite subcollection of U_λ . Suppose such A_n is defined. Then

$$A_n = \underbrace{\left(A \cap \left[a_n, \frac{b_n + a_n}{2}\right]\right)}_{=k_1} \cup \underbrace{\left(A \cap \left[\frac{a_n + b_n}{2}, b_n\right]\right)}_{=k_2}.$$

So either k_1 or k_2 can't be covered by a finite subcollection of U_{λ} . Let this one be A_{n+1} . Since A_n is closed and bounded, A_n is compact. Then we have a sequence of compact sets

$$A_1 \supseteq A_2 \supseteq A_3 \supseteq \ldots$$
,

so $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \neq \emptyset$. Since $|a_n - b_n| \to 0$ (prove this as exercise), there exists $x \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \{x\}$. But there exists λ_0 such that $x \in U_{\lambda_0}$, which is open. So there exists n such that $V_{1/n}(x) \subseteq U_{\lambda_0}$, which means that $A_{n+1} \subseteq U_{\lambda_0}$. This is a contradiction.

Example 12.2.7. Let A = (0,1), $\Lambda = (0,1)$, and $U_{\lambda} = (0,\lambda)$. We have $A \subseteq \bigcup_{\lambda \in (0,1)} U_{\lambda}$, but there does not exist a finite subcover.

Oct. 3 – Perfect Sets

Definition 13.1. A set $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is **perfect** if it is closed and contains no isolated points.

Example 13.1.1. The closed intervals [a, b] are perfect.

13.1 The Cantor Set

Example 13.1.2. Define a sequence of sets inductively by $C_0 = [0,1]$ and removing its middle third to get $C_1 = C_0 \setminus (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3})$, i.e.

$$C_1 = \left[0, \frac{1}{3}\right] \cup \left[\frac{2}{3}, 1\right].$$

Then we have

$$C_2 = \left(\left[0, \frac{1}{9} \right] \cup \left[\frac{2}{9}, \frac{1}{3} \right] \right) \cup \left(\left[\frac{2}{3}, \frac{7}{9} \right] \cup \left[\frac{8}{9}, 1 \right] \right),$$

and so on, removing the middle third of each interval at each step. Note that C_n is a set consisting of 2^n closed intervals each of length $\frac{1}{3^n}$. Then the (middle third) *Cantor set C* is defined as

$$C = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n.$$

Remark. If we consider the sum of the lengths of the intervals that we removed, we get

$$\frac{1}{3} + 2 \cdot \frac{1}{9} + 4 \cdot \frac{1}{27} + \dots + 2^{n-1} \cdot \frac{1}{3^n} + \dots = \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{1}{1 - \frac{2}{3}} \right) = \frac{1}{3} \cdot 3 = 1.$$

So, in some sense, the "size" 1 of the Cantor set C is 0. However, C is uncountable. In particular, the cardinality of C is the same as the cardinality of \mathbb{R} . A lot of counterexamples in real analysis come from this Cantor set.

Remark. This means that the usual measure is not a good way to "catch" the Cantor set. Instead, we can consider *fractional* (or *fractal*) dimensions.

Theorem 13.1. *The Cantor set C is perfect.*

Proof. First note that *C* is a countable intersection of closed sets, so *C* is closed as well. To see that *C* has no isolated points, take an arbitrary $x \in C$. Since $x \in C_n$ for all n, we can find $x_n \in C_n$ such that $x_n \neq x$ and $|x_n - x| < \frac{1}{3^n}$. Then $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = x$ and $x_n \neq x$, so x is a limit point of *C*. Thus *C* is perfect. \square

¹The (Lebesgue) *measure*.

13.2 Perfect Sets and Countability

Theorem 13.2. A nonempty perfect set is uncountable.

Proof. Note that if *P* is perfect, then *P* is infinite (if we only have finitely many points, then they must be isolated). Now suppose that *P* is only countably infinite. Then we can write

$$P = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}.$$

Take I_1 to be a closed interval such that $x_1 \in I_1$ and x_1 is not an endpoint of I_1 . Since x_1 is not isolated in P_1 , we can find $y_2 \in P$ with $y_2 \neq x_1$ such that $y_2 \in I_1$ and y_2 is not an endpoint of I_1 . Then let $I_2 \subseteq I_1$ be a closed interval centered at y_2 such that $x_1 \notin I_2$. For example, we can do this by setting

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{2} \min\{y_2 - a, b - y_2, |x_1 - y_2|\}$$

and letting $I_2 = [y_2 - \epsilon, y_2 + \epsilon]$. Since $y_2 \in P$, y_2 is not isolated, so we can find $y_3 \in P$ such that y_3 is not an endpoint of I_2 and $y_3 \neq x_2$. Pick I_3 centered at y_3 such that $x_2 \notin I_3$ and $I_3 \subseteq I_2$. Note that $I_3 \cap P \neq \emptyset$ since $y_3 \in I_3 \cap P$. From here, we continue by constructing $I_{n+1} \subseteq I_n$ with $x_n \notin I_{n+1}$ and $I_{n+1} \cap P \neq \emptyset$. Let $k_n = I_n \cap P$. Clearly k_n is closed (it is the intersection of two closed sets), and k_n is also bounded since $k_n \subseteq I_n$. So k_n is compact. By construction, $k_{n+1} \subseteq k_n$, so by the nested interval property of compact sets, $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} k_n \neq \emptyset$. But $k_n \subseteq I_n$ and $x_n \notin I_{n+1}$ so $x_n \neq k_{n+1}$. Since $k_n \subseteq P$, we must have $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} = \emptyset$. This is a contradiction, so P must be uncountable.

Oct. 12 – Connected Sets

14.1 Review of Exam 1

Exercise 14.1. Investigate the convergence of the following series:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(\pi n/3)}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

Either state the test/reason used to show convergence (and verify the hypotheses required), or show why the sum diverges.

Solution. Observe that

$$\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{3}n\right) = \begin{cases} 0, & n = 3k\\ \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, & n = 6k+1, 6k+2\\ -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, & n = 6k+4, 6k+5. \end{cases}$$

But note that we cannot just regroup since the series is not absolutely convergent! Instead, look at the partial sums (here, the sum is finite so we can regroup) and show that

$$|s_m - s_n| \le \frac{C}{\sqrt{n}}$$

for some constant *C*. Then argue by the Cauchy criterion that the series converges.

14.2 Connected Sets

Definition 14.1. Two nonempty sets $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ are **separated** if $\overline{A} \cap B = \overline{B} \cap A = \emptyset$.

Definition 14.2. A set $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is **disconnected** if E can be written as $E = A \cup B$ such that E and E (both nonempty) are separated. A set which is not disconnected is **connected**.

Example 14.2.1. Let A = (1, 2) and B = (2, 5). The set $E = A \cup B = (1, 2) \cup (2, 5)$ is disconnected since

$$\overline{A} \cap B = [1,2] \cap (2,5) = \emptyset = (1,2) \cap [2,5] = A \cap \overline{B}.$$

Example 14.2.2. Let C = (1, 2) and D = [2, 5). Then $F = C \cup D$ is connected.

Example 14.2.3. The set of rational numbers \mathbb{Q} is disconnected. For example, we can take $A = \mathbb{Q} \cap (-\infty, \sqrt{2})$ and $B = \mathbb{Q} \cap (\sqrt{2}, \infty)$. Clearly $A \cup B = \mathbb{Q}$ but A and B are separated.

Theorem 14.1. A set $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is connected if and only if for every $A, B \neq \emptyset$ such that $E = A \cup B$, there exists $\{x_n\} \subseteq A$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x \in B$ or $\{x_n\} \subseteq B$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x \in A$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Argue by contrapositve and suppose that the latter condition fails. Then we can find $A^0, B^0 \neq \emptyset$ such that for all $\{x_n\} \subseteq A^0$, we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x \neq B^0$. So $\overline{A^0} \cap B^0 = \emptyset$. By a similar argument, $\overline{B^0} \cap A^0 = \emptyset$. So E is disconnected.

 (\Leftarrow) Use a similar argument by contrapositive.

Theorem 14.2. A set $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is connected if and only if for any $a, b \in E$, we have a < c < b implies $c \in E$.

Proof. (⇒) Let $a, b \in E$ and pick a < c < b. Set $A = (-\infty, c) \cap E$ and $B = (c, \infty) \cap E$. Note that $A, B \neq \emptyset$ since $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. Furthermore,

$$\overline{A} \cap B = A \cap \overline{B} = \emptyset.$$

If $E = A \cup B$, then E is disconnected, so $A \cup B \neq E$. But $(A \cup B)^c = \{c\}$, so we must have $c \in E$.

(\Leftarrow) Let $E = A \cup B$ such that $A, B \neq \emptyset$ and $A \cap B = \emptyset$. Take $a_0 \in A, b_0 \in B$. Without loss of generality, assume $a_0 < b_0$. Consider $I_0 = [a_0, b_0]$. Bisect I_0 let x_0 be the center of I_0 . Since $a_0 < x_0 < b_0$ and $a_0, b_0 \in E$, we must have $x_0 \in E$. But $E = A \cup B$, so $x_0 \in A$ or $x_0 \in B$. If $x_0 \in A$, set $a_1 = x_0$ and $b_1 = b_0$. Otherwise, set $a_1 = a_0$ and $b_1 = x_0$. Then take $I_1 = [a_1, b_1]$. Repeat this process to construct a sequence of nested intervals with $I_n = [a_n, b_n]$ such that $a_n \in A$ and $b_n \in B$. By the nested interval property, we have $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n \neq \emptyset$. But

$$|I_n| = |b_n - a_n| = \frac{1}{2^n} (b_0 - a_0) \to 0,$$

so $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n = \{x\}$ for some $x \in \mathbb{R}$. So $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} b_n = x$. Since $I_n \subseteq E$, we have $x \in E = A \cup B$. So $x \in A$ or $x \in B$. If $x \in A$, then $A \cup \overline{B} \neq \emptyset$, and if $x \in B$, then $\overline{A} \cap B \neq \emptyset$. Thus E is connected.

14.3 Density in a Set

Definition 14.3. A set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is called an F_{σ} set if it can be written as a countable union of closed sets. A set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is called a G_{δ} set if it can be written as a countable intersection of open sets.

Remark. Recall that the countable union of closed sets is not necessarily closed, and the countable intersection of open sets is not necessarily open.

Definition 14.4. A set $G \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is **dense** in \mathbb{R} if for every $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists $x \in G$ such that a < x < b.

Remark. Recall that \mathbb{Q} is dense in \mathbb{R} .

Theorem 14.3. If $\{G_1, G_2, ...\}$ is a countable collection of dense open sets, then $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Take $x_1 \in G_1$. Since G_1 is open, there exists e_1 such that $(x_1 - e_1, x_1 + e_1) \subseteq G_1$. Take

$$I_1 = \left[x_1 - \frac{\epsilon_1}{2}, x_1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right] \subseteq G_1.$$

Since G_2 is dense, $I_1 \cap G_2 \neq \emptyset$. Take $x_2 \in I_1 \cap G_2$ such that x_2 is not an endpoint of I_1 . Since G_2 is open, we can similarly find ε_2 such that $(x_2 - \varepsilon_2, x_2 + \varepsilon_2) \subseteq G_2$. Then take

$$I_2 = \left[x_2 - \frac{\epsilon_2}{2}, x_2 + \frac{\epsilon_2}{2}\right] \cap I_1 \subseteq G_2.$$

Repeat this to get the sequence of nested intervals $I_1 \supseteq I_2 \supseteq I_3 \supseteq \dots$ Then $\emptyset \neq \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n \subseteq \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n$.

Corollary 14.3.1. *The set of real numbers* \mathbb{R} *cannot be written as*

$$\mathbb{R} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$$

such that F_n is closed and F_n contains no open nonempty interval.

Proof. Suppose

$$\underbrace{\mathbb{R}^{c}}_{-\infty} = \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{n}\right)^{c} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{n}^{c}$$

by de Morgan's laws. Then F_n^c is open and dense in \mathbb{R} . To see that F_n^c is dense in \mathbb{R} , let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that $(a,b) \notin F_n$, so $(a,b) \cap F_n^c \neq \emptyset$. Hence there exists $x \in F_n^c$ such that a < x < b. Then this is a contradiction with Theorem 14.3.

Definition 14.5. A set E is **nowhere dense** if \overline{E} contains no nonempty open interval.

Remark. This is equivalent to saying that \overline{E}^c is dense.

Oct. 17 – Functional Limits

15.1 The Limit of a Function

Definition 15.1. Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and $f : A \to \mathbb{R}$. Further let c be a limit point of A (not necessarily in A). We say that

$$\lim_{x \to c} f(x) = L$$

provided that for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $x \in A$, if $0 < |x - c| < \delta$, then $|f(x) - L| < \epsilon$.

Remark. We do not require f to be defined at c.

Example 15.1.1. For the function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$f = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \neq 0 \\ 5 & \text{if } x = 0, \end{cases}$$

we have $\lim_{x\to 0} f(x) = 1$.

Example 15.1.2. Take $A = (-\infty, 0) \cup (0, \infty)$ and f = 1 in A. Then $\lim_{x \to 0} f(x) = 1$.

We can alternatively use the following topological definition:

Definition 15.2. We say that

$$\lim_{x \to c} f(x) = L$$

if for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $x \in A$ with $x \neq c$ and $x \in V_{\delta}(c)$, then $f(x) = V_{\epsilon}(L)$.

15.2 Examples of Showing Limits

Exercise 15.1. Let f(x) = 3x + 1. Then $\lim_{x\to 2} f(x) = 7$.

Proof. Fix $\epsilon > 0$ and let $\delta = \frac{\epsilon}{3}$. Then for all $0 < |x - 2| < \delta$, we have

$$|f(x)-7| = |3x+1-7| = |3x-6| = 3|x-2| < 3\delta = \epsilon.$$

Therefore $\lim_{x\to 2} f(x) = 7$.

Exercise 15.2. Let $f(x) = x^2$. Then $\lim_{x\to 2} f(x) = 4$.

Proof. Note that

$$|f(x) - 4| = |x^2 - 4| = |x - 2||x + 2|.$$

Since we only care about f(x) when x is near 2, we take $|x-2| \le 1$. In this region, $|x+2| \le 5$.

Now fix $\epsilon > 0$ and take $\delta_1 = \frac{\epsilon}{5}$. Then in the region $|x - 2| \le 1$, for any $0 < |x - 2| < \delta_1$, we have

$$|f(x) - 4| = |x + 2||x - 2| < 5\delta_1 = 5 \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{5} = \epsilon.$$

Taking $\delta = \min\{1, \delta_1\}$ does the job for any arbitrary $0 < |x - 2| < \delta$. So $\lim_{x \to 2} f(x) = 4$.

Exercise 15.3. Let $f(x) = x^3$. Then $\lim_{x\to 2} f(x) = 8$.

Proof. Note that

$$|x^3 - 8| = |x - 2||x^2 + 2x + 4|.$$

First restrict |x-2| < 1. Then

$$|x^2 + 2x + 4| \le 9 + 6 + 4 = 19$$

in this region. So take $\delta_1 = \frac{\epsilon}{19}$ and $\delta = \min\{1, \delta_1\}$.

Oct. 19 – Continuity

16.1 Algebraic Properties of Functional Limits

Theorem 16.1. Let $f: A \to \mathbb{R}$ and c be a limit point of A. Then the following two statements are equivalent:

- 1. $\lim_{x \to c} f(x) = L$.
- 2. For any sequence $\{x_n\} \subseteq A$ such that $x_n \neq c$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = c$, we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} f(x_n) = L$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): By assumption, for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any $x \in A$, $0 < |x - L| < \delta$ implies $|f(x) - L| < \epsilon$. Now let $\{x_n\} \subseteq A$ be an arbitrary sequence satisfying $x_n \neq c$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = c$. Since x_n converges, for the δ given above we can find N such that $|x_n - L| < \delta$ for all $n \ge N$. Then by (1), we have $|f(x_n) - L| < \epsilon$. So for all $n \ge N$, we have $|f(x_n) - L| < \epsilon$, which means that $\lim_{n \to \infty} f(x_n) = L$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1): Argue by contradiction. Suppose that (1) fails. So there exists some $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that for all $\delta > 0$ and $|x - c| < \delta$, we have $|f(x) - L| > \epsilon_0$. Fix ϵ_0 and take $\delta_n = \frac{1}{n}$. Pick $x_n \neq c$ such that $|x_n - c| < \frac{1}{n}$. By construction, $|f(x_n) - L| < \epsilon_0$. Also note that clearly $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = c$. Then by (2), we have $\lim_{n \to \infty} f(x_n) = L$. Contradiction.

Corollary 16.1.1 (Algebraic limit theorem for functions). *Given* $\lim_{x\to c} f(x) = L$ and $\lim_{x\to c} g(x) = M$,

- 1. $\lim_{x\to c} kf(x) = kL$,
- 2. $\lim_{x\to c} (f(x) + g(x)) = L + M$,
- 3. $\lim_{x\to c} f(x)g(x) = LM$,
- 4. $\lim_{x\to c} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = \frac{L}{M}$ if $M \neq 0$.

Proof. Convert all the limits to sequences and apply the algebraic limit theorem.

Corollary 16.1.2. Let $f: A \to \mathbb{R}$ and c be a limit point of A. If there exist sequences $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\} \subseteq A$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} y_n = c$$

but

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} f(x_n) \neq \lim_{n\to\infty} f(y_n),$$

then $\lim_{x\to c} f(x)$ does not exist.

Example 16.0.1. Let $f(x) = \sin(\frac{1}{x})$ and $A = (-\infty, 0) \cup (0, \infty)$. Note that c = 0 is a limit point of A. Take

$$x_n = \frac{1}{2n\pi}, \quad y_n = \frac{1}{2n\pi + \frac{\pi}{2}}.$$

Then $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} y_n = 0$, but

$$f(x_n) = \sin(2n\pi) = 0$$
, $f(y_n) = \sin(2n\pi + \frac{\pi}{2}) = 1$.

So $\lim_{x\to 0} f(x)$ does not exist because f oscillates too much at x=0.

Example 16.0.2. Let

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x < 0 \\ 2, & x \ge 0. \end{cases}$$

The limit does not exist at x = 0 since there is a jump discontinuity.

Example 16.0.3. The function $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ does not have a limit at x = 0 since it diverges to infinity.

16.2 Continuous Functions

Definition 16.1. A function $f: A \to \mathbb{R}$ is **continuous** at $c \in A$ if for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that whenever $|x < c| < \delta$, we have $|f(x) - f(c)| < \epsilon$.

Remark. Here we require f to be defined at c.

Definition 16.2. If f is continuous at every point of A, then we say f is **continuous on** A.

Theorem 16.2. Let $f: A \to \mathbb{R}$ and c be a limit point of A. Then f is continuous if one of the following hold:

- 1. For all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that whenever $|x c| < \delta$ for $x \in A$, then $|f(x) f(c)| < \epsilon$.
- 2. For all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $x \in V_{\delta}(c)$, then $f(x) \in V_{\epsilon}(f(x))$.
- 3. For any sequence $\{x_n\} \subseteq A$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = c$, we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} f(x_n) = f(c)$.

Proof. These follow from the topological and sequential definitions of limits.

Corollary 16.2.1. Let $f: A \to \mathbb{R}$ and c be a limit point of A. If there exists $\{x_n\} \subseteq A$ with $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = c$ but $f(x_n) \not\to f(c)$, then f is not continuous at c.

Theorem 16.3. Assume that $f,g:A\to\mathbb{R}$ are continuous at c. Then for every $k\in\mathbb{R}$, each of kf,f+g,fg are also continuous at c. Also $\frac{f}{g}$ is continuous at c if $g(c)\neq 0$.

Proof. Convert all of these to sequences.

Theorem 16.4. Let $f: A \to \mathbb{R}$ and $g: B \to \mathbb{R}$ and assume that $f(A) = \{f(x): x \in A\} \subseteq B$. Suppose that f(x) is continuous at c and g is continuous at f(c). Then $(g \circ f)(x) = g(f(x))$ is continuous at c.

Proof. For all $\epsilon > 0$, since g is continuous at f(c), there exists $\eta > 0$ such that if $|y - f(c)| < \eta$, one has $|g(y) - g(f(c))| < \epsilon$. Since f is continuous at c, for the η given above, we can find $\delta > 0$ such that if $|x - c| < \delta$, one has $|f(x) - f(c)| < \eta$. Therefore, for every $\epsilon > 0$, we can find δ such that if $|x - c| < \delta$, we have $|g(f(x)) - g(f(c))| < \epsilon$ since $|f(x) - f(c)| < \eta$. So $\lim_{x \to c} g(f(x)) = g(f(c))$.

16.3 Examples of Continuous Functions

Example 16.2.1. All polynomials are continuous on \mathbb{R} . To see this, first note that f(x) = x is continuous on \mathbb{R} . For all c > 0, we have f(c) = c. Now fix a $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Then for all $\epsilon > 0$, take $\delta = \epsilon$. Then for all x with $|x - c| < \delta$, we have

$$|f(x) - f(c)| = |x - c| < \delta = \epsilon$$
.

So f is continuous at c and thus on all of \mathbb{R} . Now note that any polynomial p(x) can be written as

$$p(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + \dots + a_n x^n.$$

Since constant functions are obviously continuous and we can write $x^2 = x \cdot x$, we conclude by the algebraic limit theorem that p(x) is also continuous on \mathbb{R} .

Example 16.2.2. Let

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} x \sin(\frac{1}{x}), & x \neq 0 \\ 0, & x = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then g(x) is continuous at x = 0. This is because

$$|g(x) - g(0)| = \left| x \sin\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) - 0 \right| = \left| x \sin\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \right| \le |x|.$$

So taking $\delta = \epsilon$ works for any $\epsilon > 0$.

Example 16.2.3. Let $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x \ge 0\}$ and define $f : A \to \mathbb{R}$ by $f(x) = \sqrt{x}$. Then f is continuous on A. We can split the proof into two cases, c = 0 and c > 0. First let c = 0. Then for all $\epsilon > 0$, take $\delta = \epsilon^2$. Then for any $x \in A$ such that $|x - 0| < \delta$, we have

$$|f(x) - f(0)| = |\sqrt{x} - \sqrt{0}| = |\sqrt{x}| < \sqrt{\delta} = \epsilon.$$

So f is continuous at 0. Now consider c > 0. Note that

$$|f(x)-f(c)| = |\sqrt{x}-\sqrt{c}| = \left|\frac{(\sqrt{x}-\sqrt{c})(\sqrt{x}+\sqrt{c})}{\sqrt{x}+\sqrt{c}}\right| = \frac{|x-c|}{\sqrt{x}+\sqrt{c}} \le \frac{|x-c|}{\sqrt{c}}.$$

Then for any $\epsilon > 0$, take $\delta = \epsilon \sqrt{c}$. Then for any $x \in A$ such that $|x - c| < \delta$, we have

$$|f(x) - f(c)| \le \frac{|x - c|}{\sqrt{c}} < \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{c}} = \epsilon.$$

So f is also continuous at c. Thus f is continuous on all of A.

Example 16.2.4. Let $h(x) = \lfloor x \rfloor$, where h(x) takes the largest integer $n \le x$. Then we claim that h is not continuous on \mathbb{Z} , but it is continuous on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$. For the first part, let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and note that h(m) = m. Take $x_n = m - \frac{1}{n}$. Then $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = m$ but $h(x_n) = m - 1$, so $\lim_{n \to \infty} h(x_n) \neq h(m)$. Thus h is not continuous at m. Now for the second part, let $c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$. Then there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that n < c < n + 1, so that h(c) = n. We can let $\delta = \min\{c - n, n + 1 - c\}$. Then for all $|x - c| < \delta$, we have h(x) = n = h(c). So h is continuous at c.

Oct. 24 – Continuity and Compactness

17.1 Continuous Functions on Compact Sets

Recall that the notation for the image of a set *B* under a function *f* is $f(B) = \{f(x) : x \in B\}$.

Theorem 17.1. Let $f: A \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous on A and let $K \subseteq A$ be compact. Then f(K) is also compact.

Proof. Take a sequence $\{y_n\} \subseteq f(K)$. So we can find $x_n \in K$ such that $f(x_n) = y_n$. Note that $\{x_n\} \subseteq K$. Since K is compact, we can find $\{x_{n_k}\}$ such that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}x_{n_k}=x\in K.$$

Since f is continuous at x (since $x \in K \subseteq A$), we have $\lim_{k \to \infty} f(x_{n_k}) = f(x)$. In other words,

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} y_{n_k} = f(x) \in f(K).$$

Thus f(K) is compact.

Remark. The topological proof of this theorem goes as follows: Take an open cover of f(K). Then the preimage of this open cover under f is an open cover of K (since the preimage of an open set under a continuous function is open). Since K is compact, we can find a finite subcover. Taking the image again of this subcover yields a finite subcover of f(K).

Theorem 17.2. If $f: K \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous on a compact set $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, then f attains both a maximum and minimum value on K (i.e. there exist $x_0, x_1 \in K$ such that $f(x_0) \le f(x) \le f(x_1)$ for all $x \in K$).

Proof. Note that K is compact, so f(K) is also compact. In particular, f(K) is bounded, so

$$\alpha = \sup_{x \in K} f(x)$$

exists. By construction, for every $\epsilon > 0$, we can always find $x_{\epsilon} \in K$ such that $f(x_{\epsilon}) \ge \alpha - \epsilon$. Pick $\epsilon = \frac{1}{n}$ and find x_{ϵ_n} such that $f(x_{\epsilon_n}) \ge \alpha - \frac{1}{n}$. Then $\{x_{\epsilon_n}\}$ is a sequence in K. Since K is compact, we can find

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}x_{\epsilon_{n_k}}=x\in K.$$

Note that

$$\alpha - \frac{1}{n_k} \le f(x_{\epsilon_{n_k}}) \le \alpha,$$

so letting $k \to \infty$ and by the continuity of f, we have

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \left(\alpha - \frac{1}{n_k}\right) \le \lim_{k\to\infty} f(x_{\epsilon_{n_k}}) \le \lim_{k\to\infty} \alpha.$$

This implies $\alpha \le f(x) \le \alpha$. So $f(x) = \alpha$ is the maximum. The proof for a minimum is similar.

Remark. In this proof, we find x_1 and x_0 in addition to simply showing existence.

17.2 Uniform Continuity

Definition 17.1. A function $f: A \to \mathbb{R}$ is **uniformly continuous** on A if for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $x, y \in A$ and $|x - y| < \delta$, one has $|f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$.

Remark. Here, δ does not depend on a specific choice of x, as opposed to the usual continuity.

Example 17.1.1. The function f(x) = 3x + 1 is uniformly continuous on \mathbb{R} . For any $\epsilon > 0$, take $\delta = \epsilon/3$. Then for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|x - y| < \delta$, we have

$$|f(x) - f(y)| = 3|x - y| < 3\delta = \epsilon$$
,

as required.

Example 17.1.2. Let $g(x) = x^2$ on \mathbb{R} . If we let $|x - y| < \delta$, we have

$$|g(x) - g(y)| = |x + y||x - y| \le |x + y|\delta.$$

Here, we clearly need δ to depend on x and y, so g seems like it cannot be uniformly continuous.

Theorem 17.3. A function $f: A \to \mathbb{R}$ fails to be uniformly continuous if and only if there exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ and two sequences $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\} \subseteq A$ such that $|x_n - y_n| \to 0$ but $|f(x_n) - f(y_n)| \ge \epsilon_0$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) This implies that there exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that for all $\delta > 0$, there exist $x, y \in A$ with $|x - y| < \delta$ but $|f(x) - f(y)| \ge \epsilon_0$. Take $\delta = \frac{1}{n}$ and choose $x_n, y_n \in A$ such that $|x_n - y_n| < \frac{1}{n}$ and $|f(x_n) - f(y_n)| \ge \epsilon_0$.

(\Leftarrow) Take $\epsilon = \epsilon_0$. Then for all $\delta > 0$, since $|x_n - y_n| \to 0$, we can find $|x_n - y_n| < \delta$. But $|f(x_n) - f(y_n)| \ge \epsilon_0$. So f is not uniformly continuous.

Example 17.1.3. Recall the example of $g(x) = x^2$. Take the sequences $x_n = n$ and $y_n = n + \frac{1}{n}$. Then $|x_n - y_n| = \frac{1}{n} \to 0$ but

$$|g(x_n) - g(y_n)| = 2 + \frac{1}{n^2} \ge 2,$$

so *g* is not uniformly continuous by the previous theorem. This is the formal proof.

Example 17.1.4. The function $f(x) = \sin(\frac{1}{x})$ is not uniformly continuous. Let

$$x_n = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}\pi + 2n\pi}, \quad y_n = \frac{1}{\frac{3}{2}\pi + 2n\pi}.$$

Then $f(x_n) = 1$ and $f(y_n) = -1$. So $|x_n - y_n| \to 0$ but $|f(x_n) - f(y_n)| = 2$.

Theorem 17.4. If a function f is continuous over a compact set K, then f is uniformly continuous on K.

Proof. Argue by contradiction. Suppose f is not uniformly continuous. Then for some $\epsilon_0 > 0$, we can find sequences $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\} \in K$ such that $|x_n - y_n| \to 0$ and $|f(x_n) - f(y_n)| \ge \epsilon_0$. Since K is compact, we can find a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ such that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}x_{n_k}=x\in K.$$

Then we have

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} y_{n_k} = \lim_{k \to \infty} (y_{n_k} - x_{n_k}) + \lim_{k \to \infty} x_{n_k} = x$$

since $|x_n - y_n| \to 0$. Then by the continuity of f at x, we have

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} f(x_{n_k}) = f(x) = \lim_{k\to\infty} f(y_{n_k}),$$

so $\lim_{k\to\infty} |f(x_{n_k}) - f(y_{n_k})| = 0$. But by construction, $|f(x_{n_k}) - f(y_{n_k})| \ge \epsilon_0$. Contradiction.

Theorem 17.5 (Intermediate value theorem). Let $f : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous. If there is $L \in \mathbb{R}$ such that f(a) < L < f(b) or f(b) < L < f(a), then there exists $c \in (a,b)$ such that f(c) = L.

Proof. It suffices to show that if $f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous with f(a) < 0 and f(b) > 0, then there exists $c \in (a, b)$ such that f(c) = 0. This is because in the first case, we can define

$$g(x) = f(x) - L$$
.

Then g(a) < 0 and g(b) > 0. Similarly take h(x) = L - f(x) in the second case.

Now we prove the claim. Define

$$K = \{x \in (a, b) : f(x) \le 0\}.$$

Note that f(a) < 0 and $a \in K$, so $K \neq \emptyset$. Note that K is bounded above since b is an upper bound (since f(b) > 0). Then by the axiom of completeness, $c = \sup K$ exists. We show that f(c) > 0 and f(c) < 0 both lead to contradictions.

Suppose that f(c) > 0. Take $\epsilon = \frac{f(c)}{2}$. Then since f is continuous, we can find δ such that

$$|f(x) - f(c)| < \frac{f(c)}{2}$$

whenever $|x - c| < \delta$. Then we have

$$-\frac{f(c)}{2} < f(x) - f(c) < \frac{f(c)}{2}$$

and rearranging yields

$$0 < \frac{f(c)}{2} < f(x) < \frac{3}{2}f(c).$$

Then any x such that $|x-c| < \delta$ is an upper bound for K, so c is not the smallest upper bound for K. Contradiction.

The case where f(c) < 0 leads to a similar contradiction. So we must have f(c) = 0.

Remark. Alternatively, we can define $I_0 = [a, b]$ and let $z = \frac{a+b}{2}$. Then if $f(z) \le 0$, set $a_1 = z$ and $b_1 = b$. Otherwise, set $a_1 = a$ and $b_1 = z$. Then set $I_1 = [a_1, b_1]$, noting that $I_1 \subseteq I_0$ and $|I_1| = \frac{1}{2}|I_0|$. Repeat this process and apply the nested interval property to find $c \in \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n$. Then show that f(c) = 0.