# MATH 7337: Harmonic Analysis

Frank Qiang Instructor: Christopher Heil

Georgia Institute of Technology Fall 2025

# Contents

1	Aug. 19 — The Fourier Transform
	1.1 The Fourier Transform on $L^1(\mathbb{R})$
	1.2 Motivation for the Fourier Transform
2	Aug. 21 — The Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma
	2.1 Properties of the Fourier Transform
	2.2 The Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma
	2.3 Position and Momentum Operators
	2.4 The HRT Conjecture
3	Aug. 3 — Convolution
	3.1 Convolution
	3.2 Properties of Convolution
	3.3 Young's Inequality
	3.4 The Dirac Delta
4	Aug. 28 — Convolution, Part 2

## Aug. 19 — The Fourier Transform

### 1.1 The Fourier Transform on $L^1(\mathbb{R})$

All integrals will be taken over  $\mathbb{R}$  unless otherwise specified.

**Definition 1.1.** The Fourier transform of  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  is

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = \int f(x)e^{-2\pi i \xi x} dx, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Remark. Note that by the triangle inequality,

$$|\widehat{f}(\xi)| \le \int |f(x)e^{-2\pi i\xi x}| dx = \int |f(x)| dx = ||f||_1 < \infty,$$

so  $\widehat{f}(\xi)$  exists for all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$  (in fact,  $\widehat{f}$  is continuous).

**Remark.** The Fourier transform is an operator  $\mathcal{F}: L^1(\mathbb{R}) \to L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  as  $\|\widehat{f}\|_{\infty} = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}} |\widehat{f}(\xi)| \leq \|f\|_1$ . This is linear in f. The operator norm of  $\mathcal{F}$  is

$$\|\mathcal{F}\| = \|\mathcal{F}\|_{L^1 \to L^\infty} = \sup_{\|f\|_1 = 1} \|\widehat{f}\|_{\infty} \le \sup_{\|f\|_1 = 1} \|f\|_1 = 1,$$

so  $\mathcal{F}$  is a bounded linear operator. However,  $\mathcal{F}$  is not isometric (norm-preserving) in general.

Remark. Observe that

$$\widehat{f}(0) = \int f(x)e^{-2\pi i \cdot 0 \cdot x} dx = \int f(x) dx.$$

So if  $f \ge 0$  and we normalize f so that  $\widehat{f}(0) = 1$ , then we have

$$|\widehat{f}(\xi)| \le \int f(x) \, dx = \widehat{f}(0),$$

and so  $\|\widehat{f}\|_{\infty} = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}} |\widehat{f}(\xi)| \leq 1$ . This is one particular case where  $\mathcal{F}$  does preserve the norm.

**Definition 1.2.** For  $r \neq 0$ , dilation of f by r is  $f_r(x) = rf(rx)$ . Note that  $||f_r||_1 = ||f||_1$ .

**Example 1.2.1.** The *Dirichlet function* is  $d(\xi) = \sin(\xi)/\pi\xi \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ . Note that  $d \notin L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . We can also define the *sinc* function as  $\sin \xi = \sin(\pi \xi)/(\pi \xi) = d\pi(x)$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Recall that  $C_0(\mathbb{R})$  is the space of continuous functions  $f:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{C}$  such that  $\lim_{x\to\pm\infty}f(x)=0$ .

However, d is the Fourier transform of a function in  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . Consider the

$$\chi_{-[T,T]}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |x| \le T, \\ 0 & |x| > T. \end{cases}$$

Note that  $\chi_{-[T,T]} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . Then we have

$$\widehat{\chi}_{-[T,T]}(\xi) = \int_{-T}^{T} e^{-2\pi i \xi x} \, dx = \left. \frac{e^{-2\pi i \xi x}}{-2\pi i \xi} \right|_{-T}^{T} = \frac{\sin(2\pi T \xi)}{\pi \xi} = d_{2\pi T}(\xi),$$

so we see that  $\widehat{\chi}_{-[T,T]} \in C_0(\mathbb{R}) \subsetneq L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Remark.** We will see in general that  $\mathcal{F}: L^1(\mathbb{R}) \to C_0(\mathbb{R})$ , this is the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma. The image of  $\mathcal{F}$  is a proper dense subspace of  $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ , which implies that  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$  must be unbounded as a linear operator by Banach space theory.

**Proposition 1.1.** If  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $\widehat{f}$  is uniformly continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ , i.e.

$$\|\widehat{f} - T_{\eta}\widehat{f}\|_{\infty} = \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}} |\widehat{f}(\xi) - \widehat{f}(\xi - \eta)| \xrightarrow{\eta \to 0} 0,$$

where  $T_{\eta}\widehat{f}(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi - \eta)$ .

*Proof.* We can write the difference as

$$|\widehat{f}(\xi) - \widehat{f}(\xi - \eta)| = \left| \int f(x) (e^{-2\pi i \xi x} - e^{-2\pi i (\xi - \eta) x}) \, dx \right| \le \int |f(x)| |e^{-2\pi i \xi x} - e^{-2\pi i (\xi - \eta) x}| \, dx.$$

Note that  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  and  $|e^{-2\pi i \xi x} - e^{-2\pi i (\xi - \eta)x}| = |1 - e^{2\pi i \eta x}| \to 0$  as  $\eta \to 0$  independent of  $\xi$ , so the statement follows from the dominated convergence theorem (the integrand is dominated by 2f).

#### 1.2 Motivation for the Fourier Transform

**Remark.** We will define the *inverse Fourier transform* of  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  as

$$\check{f}(x) = \int f(x)e^{2\pi i \xi x} \, d\xi.$$

Note that  $\check{f}(\xi) = \widehat{f}(-\xi)$ . With enough assumptions, this is an inverse to the Fourier transform.

**Proposition 1.2** (Fourier inversion formula). If  $f, \hat{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , then

$$f(x) = (\widehat{f})^{\vee}(x) = \int \widehat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i \xi x} d\xi.$$

**Remark.** Note that  $e_{\xi}(x) = e^{2\pi i \xi x} = \cos 2\pi \xi x + i \sin 2\pi \xi x$  and  $e_{\xi} : \mathbb{R} \to S^1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ . We have  $e_{\xi}(x+y) = e_{\xi}(x)e_{\xi}(y)$ , so  $e_{\xi}$  is a homomorphism, and it is also continuous. Thus  $e_{\xi}$  is a *character* on  $\mathbb{R}$  (in fact, every character on  $\mathbb{R}$  is of the form  $e_{\xi}$  for some  $\xi$ ). One can use this idea to define Fourier transforms in much more general settings.

**Remark.** The Fourier transform decomposes a function f into the pure harmonics  $e_{\xi}$ , and the inversion formula says that we can recover f as a "sum" of these pure harmonics.

## Aug. 21 — The Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma

#### 2.1 Properties of the Fourier Transform

**Definition 2.1.** Define the following operators:

- 1. Translation:  $T_a f(x) = f(x-a)$  for  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ;
- 2. Modulation:  $M_b f(x) = e^{2\pi i b x} f(x)$  for  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ ;
- 3. Dilation:  $f_{\lambda}(x) = \lambda f(\lambda x)$  for  $\lambda > 0$ ;
- 4. Involution:  $\widetilde{f}(x) = \overline{f(-x)}$ .

**Remark.** Translation and modulation are isometries on  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$  for any p. Dilation as defined above is  $L^1$ -normalized, so it is only an isometry on  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Exercise 2.1.** If  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , then

- 1.  $(T_a f)^{\wedge}(\xi) = (M_{-a} \widehat{f})(\xi) = e^{-2\pi i \xi a} \widehat{f}(\xi);$
- 2.  $(M_b f)^{\hat{}}(\xi) = (T_b \widehat{f})(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi b);$
- 3.  $(f_{\lambda})^{\wedge}(\xi) = \lambda (f_{1/\lambda})^{\wedge}(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi/\lambda);^{1}$
- 4.  $(\overline{f})^{\wedge}(\xi) = (\widehat{f})^{\sim}(\xi) = \overline{\widehat{f}(-\xi)};$
- 5.  $(\widetilde{f})^{\wedge}(\xi) = \overline{\widehat{f}(\xi)}$ .

#### 2.2 The Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $C_c(\mathbb{R})$  be the space of continuous functions with compact support. For a continuous function, the *support* of f, denoted  $\text{supp}(f) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : f(x) \neq 0\}$ . So for a continuous function f, supp(f) is compact if and only if f = 0 outside some finite interval.

**Theorem 2.1.**  $C_c(\mathbb{R})$  is dense in  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$  for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . In other words,

- 1. the closure of  $C_c(\mathbb{R})$  in  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$  is all of  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ ;
- 2. for any  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $g \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $||f g||_p < \epsilon$ ;
- 3. if  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ , then there exists  $g_n \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $g_n \to f$  in  $L^p$ -norm, i.e.  $||g_n f||_p \to 0$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Note that the result is an  $L^{\infty}$ -normalized dilation.

For  $p = \infty$ ,  $C_c(\mathbb{R})$  is dense in  $C_0(\mathbb{R})$  with respect to the  $L^{\infty}$ -norm (this is the same as the uniform norm for continuous functions).

*Proof.* We sketch the proof. First approximate  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$  by a simple function (one that takes only finitely many distinct values)  $\phi = \sum_{k=1}^{N} c_k \chi_{E_k}$ , e.g. by rounding down to the nearest integer multiple of  $2^{-n}$ . Then use Urysohn's lemma to approximate  $\chi_{E_k}$  by a continuous function.

**Exercise 2.2.** Fix  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Prove that if  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $\lim_{a\to 0} ||f - T_a f||_p = 0$ . We say that translation is *strongly continuous* on  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ . For  $p = \infty$ , use  $C_0(\mathbb{R})$  and the uniform norm instead.

**Lemma 2.1** (Riemann-Lebesgue lemma). If  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $\widehat{f} \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ ,

*Proof.* We have already seen that  $\hat{f}$  is continuous. So it suffices to show decay at  $\infty$ . Write

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = -\int f(x)e^{-2\pi i\xi x}e^{-2\pi i\xi(1/2\xi)} dx = -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)e^{-2\pi i\xi(x+1/2\xi)} dx.$$

Now make the change of variables  $x \mapsto x - 1/2\xi$ , so we get

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f\left(x - \frac{1}{2\xi}\right) e^{-2\pi i \xi x} dx = -\int T_{1/2\xi} f(x) e^{-2\pi i \xi x} dx.$$

Taking an average with the usual expression for  $\widehat{f}(\xi)$ , we have

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = \frac{1}{2} \int (f(x) - T_{1/2\xi} f(x)) e^{-2\pi i \xi x} dx.$$

Taking absolute values, we obtain

$$|\widehat{f}(\xi)| \le \frac{1}{2} \int |f(x) - T_{1/2\xi}f(x)| dx = \frac{1}{2} ||f - T_{1/2\xi}f||_1 \xrightarrow{\xi \to \pm \infty} 0$$

by the strong continuity of translation on  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Exercise 2.3.** The following is an alternative proof of the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma. Recall that we have  $\widehat{\chi}_{-T,T} = d_{2\pi T} \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ . By taking translations and dilations, we see that  $\widehat{\chi}_{[a,b]} \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ . Consider really simple functions  $\phi = \sum_{k=1}^{N} c_k \chi_{[a_k,b_k]}$ , and by linearity we can write

$$\widehat{\phi} = \sum_{k=1}^{N} c_k \widehat{\chi}_{[a_k, b_k]} \in C_0(\mathbb{R}).$$

Note that really simple functions are also dense in  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$  for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . So if  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , there exist really simple  $\phi_n \to f$  in  $L^1$ -norm. On the Fourier side, we have

$$\|\widehat{f} - \widehat{\phi}_n\|_{\infty} \le \|f - \phi_n\|_1 \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since  $\phi_n \to \widehat{f}$  uniformly and  $C_0(\mathbb{R})$  is a Banach space, we conclude  $\widehat{f} \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ . Fill in the details.

#### 2.3 Position and Momentum Operators

**Definition 2.3.** The position operator  $P: L^1(\mathbb{R}) \to L^1(\mathbb{R})$  is given by Pf(x) = xf(x). Note that P is unbounded on  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$  (in fact, P is not defined on all of  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ ). Restrict P to the domain

$$D_P = \{ f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) : x f(x) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \},$$

which is dense in  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . Note that  $D_P$  cannot be bounded as it does not admit an extension to  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Exercise 2.4.** Show that  $\sup_{\|f\|_1=1, f\in D_P} \|Pf\|_1 = \infty$ .

**Definition 2.4.** The momentum operator  $M: L^1(\mathbb{R}) \to L^1(\mathbb{R})$  is given by  $Mf = f'/2\pi i$ . Similarly, M is unbounded and defined only on a dense subset of  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Remark.** We have the relation  $(Mf)^{\wedge}(\xi) = \xi P\widehat{f}(\xi)$ , whenever the statement makes sense.

#### 2.4 The HRT Conjecture

**Conjecture 2.1** (HRT conjecture). Assume g is not zero a.e.,  $a_k, b_k$  are distinct, and consider finite linear combinations of translations and modulations of  $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  of the following form:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N} c_k e^{2\pi i b_k x} g(x - a_k). \tag{*}$$

If (\*) = 0, then must it be that  $c_1 = \cdots = c_N = 0$ ? In other words, are these linearly independent?

**Remark.** Consider the special case  $b_k = 0$  for every k, so  $\sum c_k T_{a_k} g = \sum c_k g(x - a_k) = 0$  a.e. Then

$$\left(\sum c_k T_{a_k} g\right)^{\wedge} = \sum c_k M_{-a_k} \widehat{g} = \left(\sum_{k=1}^N c_k e^{-2\pi i a_k \xi}\right) \widehat{g}(\xi) = 0.$$

Since  $\widehat{g}$  is not zero a.e., we must have  $\sum_{k=1}^{N} c_k e^{-2\pi i a_k \xi} = 0$ , which implies  $c_k = 0$  for all k. In particular, this means that translations alone are linearly independent (the same is true for modulations alone).

**Remark.** The general case of the HRT conjecture is still open. Note that after taking a Fourier transform, we end up with the same problem, just for  $\hat{g}$  instead of g.

## Aug. 3 — Convolution

#### 3.1 Convolution

**Definition 3.1.** If f, g are measurable on  $\mathbb{R}$ , their *convolution* is (formally)

$$(f * g)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y)g(x - y) \, dy.$$

Remark. When it exists, we have

$$(f * g)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y)g(x - y) \, dy = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x - y)g(y) \, dy = (g * f)(x)$$

by the change of variables  $y \mapsto x - y$ . So f \* g = g \* f, if it exists. Similarly, f \* (g \* h) = (f \* g) \* h if each of these convolutions exist.

**Remark.** If we take  $g_T = \chi_{-T,T}/2T$  (note that  $||g_T||_1 = 1$ ), then

$$(f * g_T)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y)g_T(x-y) dy = \frac{1}{2T} \int_{x-T}^{x+T} f(y)dy = \operatorname{Avg}_{[-T,T]} f(x),$$

so we can see convolution as a averaging or smoothing operation (also known as mollification).

**Remark.** We would like to show  $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  implies  $f * g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . Note that  $(f * g)^{\wedge} = \widehat{fg} \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ , since  $C_0(\mathbb{R})$  is closed under multiplication, even though  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$  is not.

**Remark.** The Lebesgue differentiation theorem says that if  $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $(f * g_T)(x) \to f(x)$  a.e.

#### 3.2 Properties of Convolution

Remark. Use the notation

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \overline{g(x)} \, dx,$$

whenever this integral exists. Then  $H\ddot{o}lder$ 's inequality says that if 1/p + 1/p' = 1 with  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  and  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}), g \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $fg \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  and we have

$$|\langle f, g \rangle| \le \int |f(x)||g(x)| \, dx \le ||f||_p ||g||_{p'}.$$

**Theorem 3.1.** For  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , if  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$  and  $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $f * g \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ .

*Proof.* By Hölder's inequality, we can write

$$\int |f(y)g(x-y)| \, dy \le ||f||_p ||g(x)||_{p'} < \infty,$$

so (f \* g)(x) exists for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Exercise 3.1.** Show that  $f * g \in C_b(\mathbb{R}) = \{h : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C} : h \text{ is continuous and bounded}\}.$ 

**Remark.** Denote  $g^*(y) = \overline{g(-y)}$ . Then we have

$$(f * g)(x) = \int f(y)g(x - y) dy = \int f(y)\overline{g^*(y - x)} dy = \langle f, T_x g^* \rangle.$$

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . Then

- 1. f(y)g(x-y) is measurable and integrable on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ;
- 2. for a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , f(y)g(x-y) is measurable and integrable on  $\mathbb{R}$  as a function of y;
- 3.  $f * g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  and  $||f * g||_1 \le ||f||_1 ||g||_1$ , i.e. convolution is submultiplicative on  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ ;
- 4.  $(f * g)^{\wedge}(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi)\widehat{g}(\xi)$  for every  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* (1) Let h(x,y) = f(x). Then we have

$$\{h > a\} = h^{-1}((a, \infty)) = \{(x, y) : f(x) > a\} = \{f > a\} \times \mathbb{R},$$

which is measurable in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  since  $\{f > a\}$  and  $\mathbb{R}$  are measurable in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Similarly, g(y) is measurable on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , so F(x,y) = f(x)g(y) is measurable on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Now make a linear change of variables T(x,y) = (y,x-y), so  $H = F \circ T = f(y)g(x-y)$  is measurable (note that linear maps preserve measurability).

Now we can integrate by Tonelli's theorem and see that

$$\iint |f(y)g(x-y)| \, dx dy = \int |f(y)| \left( \int |g(x-y)| \, dx \right) dy = \int |f(y)| \left( \int |g(z)| \, dz \right) dy$$
$$= \int |f(y)| ||g||_1 \, dy = ||f||_1 ||g||_1 < \infty,$$

hence f(y)g(x-y) is integrable on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

- (2) This follows by Fubini's theorem since f(y)g(x-y) is integrable.
- (3) By (2), (f \* g)(x) exists for a.e. x, and

$$\int |(f * g)(x)| \, dx = \int \left| \int f(y)g(x - y) \, dy \right| \, dx \le \iint |f(y)g(x - y)| \, dy dx \le \|f\|_1 \|g\|_1,$$

which is the desired inequality.

(4) Justify the following calculation as an exercise via Fubini/Tonelli's theorem:

$$(f * g)^{\wedge}(\xi) = \int (f * g)(x)e^{-2\pi i \xi x} dx = \int \left( \int f(y)g(x - y) dy \right) e^{-2\pi i \xi x} dx$$

$$= \iint f(y)e^{-2\pi i \xi y} g(x - y)e^{-2\pi i \xi (x - y)} dy dx.$$

By Fubini's theorem, we can exchange orders and write

$$(f * g)^{\wedge}(\xi) = \int f(y)e^{-2\pi i\xi y} \left( \int g(x-y)e^{-2\pi i\xi(x-y)} dx \right) dy$$
$$= \int f(y)e^{-2\pi i\xi y} \left( \int g(z)e^{-2\pi i\xi z} dz \right) dy = \widehat{f}(\xi)\widehat{g}(\xi),$$

which is the desired equality.

Corollary 3.2.1.  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$  is closed under convolution.

**Definition 3.2.** An algebra is a vector space A with a product such that

- (a) (fg)h = f(gh),
- (b) f(g+h) = fg + fh,
- (c)  $\alpha(fg) = (\alpha f)g = f(\alpha g)$ .

If fg = gf always, then we say that A is *commutative*. A Banach space which is also an algebra with a submultiplicative product is a *Banach algebra*.

**Example 3.2.1.** With convolution as a product,  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$  becomes a commutative Banach algebra without identity. Similarly,  $C_0(\mathbb{R})$  is also a commutative Banach algebra without identity (under pointwise products). The space  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  of bounded linear operators on a Banach space X is also a Banach space under the operator norm, and we have  $||AB|| \leq ||A|| ||B||$  with composition as a product. So  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  is a noncommutative Banach algebra, with identity.

#### 3.3 Young's Inequality

**Theorem 3.3** (Young's inequality, special case). Fix  $1 \le p \le \infty$ . If  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$  and  $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $f * g \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$  and  $||f * g||_p \le ||f||_p ||g||_1$ .

*Proof.* The case  $p = \infty$  is easy by Hölder's inequality and p = 1 is done, so assume 1 . Then

$$|(f * g)(x)| \le \int |f(y)||g(x-y)| \, dy = \int \left(|f(y)||g(x-y)|^{1/p}\right) \left(|g(x-y)|^{1/p'}\right) \, dy,$$

By Hölder's inequality, we can write

$$|(f * g)(x)| \le \left( \int |f(y)|^p |g(x-y)| \, dy \right)^{1/p} \left( \int |g(x-y)| \, dy \right)^{1/p'}$$
  
$$\le ||g||_1^{1/p'} \left( \int |f(y)|^p |g(x-y)| \, dy \right)^{1/p}.$$

Now taking  $L^p$ -norms, we get

$$||f * g||_p^p = \int |(f * g)(x)|^p dx \le ||g||_1^{p/p'} \iint |f(y)|^p |g(x - y)| dy dx.$$

By Tonelli's theorem, we can exchange orders and write

$$||f * g||_p^p \le ||g||_1^{p/p'} \int |f(y)|^p \left( \int |g(x-y)| \, dx \right) dy \le ||g||_1^{1+p/p'} ||f||_p^p = ||g||_1^p ||f||_p^p,$$

so we get the desired inequality  $||f * g||_p \le ||f||_p ||g||_1$  after taking pth roots.

**Exercise 3.2** (Young's inequality, general case). Let  $1 \le p, q, r \le \infty$  satisfy 1/r = 1/p + 1/q - 1. If  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$  and  $g \in L^q(\mathbb{R})$ , then

$$||f * g||_r \le ||f||_p ||g||_q$$
.

**Remark.** Recall *Minkowski's inequality* (the triangle inequality in  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ ):

$$\left\| \sum f_k \right\|_p \le \sum \|f_k\|_p.$$

Minkowski's integral inequality then says that for  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ,

$$\left\| \int f_x \, dx \right\|_p = \left( \int \left| \int f(x, y) \, dx \right|^p \, dy \right)^{1/p} \le \int \left( \int |f(x, y)|^p \, dy \right)^{1/p} dx = \int \|f_x\|_p \, dx.$$

One can also use this to prove to Young's inequality.

**Remark.** The Babenko-Beckner constant is the optimal constant in front of Hölder's inequality:

$$A_p = \left(\frac{p^{1/p}}{(p')^{1/p'}}\right)^{1/2}.$$

The optimal constant in Young's inequality is  $A_pA_qA_{r'}$ , i.e. we have

$$||f * g||_r \le (A_p A_q A_{r'}) ||f||_p ||g||_q.$$

#### 3.4 The Dirac Delta

**Remark.** Is there an identity for convolution? Suppose there was a function  $\delta \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  (the *Dirac delta function*) such that  $f * \delta = f$  for all  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . Then we have  $(f * \delta)^{\wedge} = \widehat{f}$ , so

$$\widehat{f}(\xi)\widehat{\delta}(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi)$$
 for all  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ .

Take  $f(x) = e^{-x^2}$  with  $\widehat{f}(\xi) = e^{-\xi^2}$  and note that  $\widehat{f}(\xi)$  is everywhere nonzero. Then  $\widehat{\delta}(\xi) = 1$  for all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ , which contradicts the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma.

The correct way to work with the Dirac delta is to use the measure

$$\delta(E) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \in E, \\ 0, & 0 \notin E. \end{cases}$$

One can then integrate against the measure  $\delta$  to achieve a similar effect.

Aug. 28 — Convolution, Part 2