

# MATH 7337: Harmonic Analysis

Frank Qiang  
Instructor: Christopher Heil

Georgia Institute of Technology  
Fall 2025

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Aug. 19 — The Fourier Transform</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	The Fourier Transform on $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . . . . .	2
1.2	Motivation for the Fourier Transform . . . . .	3

# Lecture 1

## Aug. 19 — The Fourier Transform

### 1.1 The Fourier Transform on $L^1(\mathbb{R})$

All integrals will be taken over  $\mathbb{R}$  unless otherwise specified.

**Definition 1.1.** The *Fourier transform* of  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  is

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = \int f(x) e^{-2\pi i \xi x} dx, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}.$$

**Remark.** Note that by the triangle inequality,

$$|\widehat{f}(\xi)| \leq \int |f(x) e^{-2\pi i \xi x}| dx = \int |f(x)| dx = \|f\|_1 < \infty,$$

so  $\widehat{f}(\xi)$  exists for all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$  (in fact,  $\widehat{f}$  is continuous).

**Remark.** The Fourier transform is an operator  $\mathcal{F} : L^1(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  as  $\|\widehat{f}\|_\infty = \text{ess sup}_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}} |\widehat{f}(\xi)| \leq \|f\|_1$ . This is linear in  $f$ . The *operator norm* of  $\mathcal{F}$  is

$$\|\mathcal{F}\| = \|\mathcal{F}\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty} = \sup_{\|f\|_1=1} \|\widehat{f}\|_\infty \leq \sup_{\|f\|_1=1} \|f\|_1 = 1,$$

so  $\mathcal{F}$  is a bounded linear operator. However,  $\mathcal{F}$  is not isometric (norm-preserving) in general.

**Remark.** Observe that

$$\widehat{f}(0) = \int f(x) e^{-2\pi i \cdot 0 \cdot x} dx = \int f(x) dx.$$

So if  $f \geq 0$  and we normalize  $f$  so that  $\widehat{f}(0) = 1$ , then we have

$$|\widehat{f}(\xi)| \leq \int f(x) dx = \widehat{f}(0),$$

and so  $\|\widehat{f}\|_\infty = \text{ess sup}_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}} |\widehat{f}(\xi)| \leq 1$ . This is one particular case where  $\mathcal{F}$  does preserve the norm.

**Definition 1.2.** For  $r \neq 0$ , *dilation* of  $f$  by  $r$  is  $f_r(x) = r f(rx)$ . Note that  $\|f_r\|_1 = \|f\|_1$ .

**Example 1.2.1.** The *Dirichlet function* is  $d(\xi) = \sin(\xi)/\pi\xi \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ .<sup>1</sup> Note that  $d \notin L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . We can also define the *sinc* function as  $\text{sinc } \xi = \sin(\pi\xi)/(\pi\xi) = d\pi(x)$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>Recall that  $C_0(\mathbb{R})$  is the space of continuous functions  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} f(x) = 0$ .

However,  $d$  is the Fourier transform of a function in  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . Consider the

$$\chi_{-[T,T]}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |x| \leq T, \\ 0 & |x| > T. \end{cases}$$

Note that  $\chi_{-[T,T]} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . Then we have

$$\widehat{\chi}_{-[T,T]}(\xi) = \int_{-T}^T e^{-2\pi i \xi x} dx = \frac{e^{-2\pi i \xi x}}{-2\pi i \xi} \Big|_{-T}^T = \frac{\sin(2\pi T \xi)}{\pi \xi} = d_{2\pi T}(\xi),$$

so we see that  $\widehat{\chi}_{-[T,T]} \in C_0(\mathbb{R}) \subsetneq L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Remark.** We will see in general that  $\mathcal{F} : L^1(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C_0(\mathbb{R})$ , this is the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma. The image of  $\mathcal{F}$  is a proper dense subspace of  $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ , which implies that  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$  must be unbounded as a linear operator by Banach space theory.

**Proposition 1.1.** *If  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $\widehat{f}$  is uniformly continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ , i.e.*

$$\|\widehat{f} - T_\eta \widehat{f}\|_\infty = \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}} |\widehat{f}(\xi) - \widehat{f}(\xi - \eta)| \xrightarrow{\eta \rightarrow 0} 0,$$

where  $T_\eta \widehat{f}(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi - \eta)$ .

*Proof.* We can write the difference as

$$|\widehat{f}(\xi) - \widehat{f}(\xi - \eta)| = \left| \int f(x)(e^{-2\pi i \xi x} - e^{-2\pi i (\xi - \eta)x}) dx \right| \leq \int |f(x)| |e^{-2\pi i \xi x} - e^{-2\pi i (\xi - \eta)x}| dx.$$

Note that  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  and  $|e^{-2\pi i \xi x} - e^{-2\pi i (\xi - \eta)x}| = |1 - e^{2\pi i \eta x}| \rightarrow 0$  as  $\eta \rightarrow 0$  independent of  $\xi$ , so the statement follows from the dominated convergence theorem (the integrand is dominated by  $2|f|$ ).  $\square$

## 1.2 Motivation for the Fourier Transform

**Remark.** We will define the *inverse Fourier transform* of  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  as

$$\check{f}(x) = \int f(\xi) e^{2\pi i \xi x} d\xi.$$

Note that  $\check{f}(\xi) = \widehat{f}(-\xi)$ . With enough assumptions, this is an inverse to the Fourier transform.

**Proposition 1.2** (Fourier inversion formula). *If  $f, \widehat{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , then*

$$f(x) = (\widehat{f})^\vee(x) = \int \widehat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i \xi x} d\xi.$$

**Remark.** Note that  $e_\xi(x) = e^{2\pi i \xi x} = \cos 2\pi \xi x + i \sin 2\pi \xi x$  and  $e_\xi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow S^1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ . We have  $e_\xi(x + y) = e_\xi(x)e_\xi(y)$ , so  $e_\xi$  is a homomorphism, and it is also continuous. Thus  $e_\xi$  is a *character* on  $\mathbb{R}$  (in fact, every character on  $\mathbb{R}$  is of the form  $e_\xi$  for some  $\xi$ ). One can use this idea to define Fourier transforms in much more general settings.

**Remark.** The Fourier transform decomposes a function  $f$  into the pure harmonics  $e_\xi$ , and the inversion formula says that we can recover  $f$  as a “sum” of these pure harmonics.