

MATH 7337: Harmonic Analysis

Frank Qiang
Instructor: Christopher Heil

Georgia Institute of Technology
Fall 2025

Contents

1	Aug. 19 — The Fourier Transform	2
1.1	The Fourier Transform on $L^1(\mathbb{R})$	2
1.2	Motivation for the Fourier Transform	3
2	Aug. 21 — The Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma	4
2.1	Properties of the Fourier Transform	4
2.2	The Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma	4
2.3	Position and Momentum Operators	6
2.4	The HRT Conjecture	6
3	Aug. 3 — Convolution	7
3.1	Convolution	7
3.2	Properties of Convolution	7
3.3	Young's Inequality	9
3.4	The Dirac Delta	10
4	Aug. 28 — Convolution, Part 2	11
5	Sept. 2 — Smoothness and Decay	12
5.1	Smoothness and Decay	12
5.2	Absolute Continuity	13
5.3	Smoothness and Decay, Continued	14
5.4	Approximate Identities	14

Lecture 1

Aug. 19 — The Fourier Transform

1.1 The Fourier Transform on $L^1(\mathbb{R})$

All integrals will be taken over \mathbb{R} unless otherwise specified.

Definition 1.1. The *Fourier transform* of $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ is

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = \int f(x) e^{-2\pi i \xi x} dx, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Remark. Note that by the triangle inequality,

$$|\widehat{f}(\xi)| \leq \int |f(x) e^{-2\pi i \xi x}| dx = \int |f(x)| dx = \|f\|_1 < \infty,$$

so $\widehat{f}(\xi)$ exists for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ (in fact, \widehat{f} is continuous).

Remark. The Fourier transform is an operator $\mathcal{F} : L^1(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ as $\|\widehat{f}\|_\infty = \text{ess sup}_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}} |\widehat{f}(\xi)| \leq \|f\|_1$. This is linear in f . The *operator norm* of \mathcal{F} is

$$\|\mathcal{F}\| = \|\mathcal{F}\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty} = \sup_{\|f\|_1=1} \|\widehat{f}\|_\infty \leq \sup_{\|f\|_1=1} \|f\|_1 = 1,$$

so \mathcal{F} is a bounded linear operator. However, \mathcal{F} is not isometric (norm-preserving) in general.

Remark. Observe that

$$\widehat{f}(0) = \int f(x) e^{-2\pi i \cdot 0 \cdot x} dx = \int f(x) dx.$$

So if $f \geq 0$ and we normalize f so that $\widehat{f}(0) = 1$, then we have

$$|\widehat{f}(\xi)| \leq \int f(x) dx = \widehat{f}(0),$$

and so $\|\widehat{f}\|_\infty = \text{ess sup}_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}} |\widehat{f}(\xi)| \leq 1$. This is one particular case where \mathcal{F} does preserve the norm.

Definition 1.2. For $r \neq 0$, *dilation* of f by r is $f_r(x) = r f(rx)$. Note that $\|f_r\|_1 = \|f\|_1$.

Example 1.2.1. The *Dirichlet function* is $d(\xi) = \sin(\xi)/\pi\xi \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$.¹ Note that $d \notin L^1(\mathbb{R})$. We can also define the *sinc* function as $\text{sinc } \xi = \sin(\pi\xi)/(\pi\xi) = d\pi(x)$.

¹Recall that $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ is the space of continuous functions $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} f(x) = 0$.

However, d is the Fourier transform of a function in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Consider the

$$\chi_{-[T,T]}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |x| \leq T, \\ 0 & |x| > T. \end{cases}$$

Note that $\chi_{-[T,T]} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Then we have

$$\widehat{\chi}_{-[T,T]}(\xi) = \int_{-T}^T e^{-2\pi i \xi x} dx = \frac{e^{-2\pi i \xi x}}{-2\pi i \xi} \Big|_{-T}^T = \frac{\sin(2\pi T \xi)}{\pi \xi} = d_{2\pi T}(\xi),$$

so we see that $\widehat{\chi}_{-[T,T]} \in C_0(\mathbb{R}) \subsetneq L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$.

Remark. We will see in general that $\mathcal{F} : L^1(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C_0(\mathbb{R})$, this is the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma. The image of \mathcal{F} is a proper dense subspace of $C_0(\mathbb{R})$, which implies that \mathcal{F}^{-1} must be unbounded as a linear operator by Banach space theory.

Proposition 1.1. *If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, then \widehat{f} is uniformly continuous on \mathbb{R} , i.e.*

$$\|\widehat{f} - T_\eta \widehat{f}\|_\infty = \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}} |\widehat{f}(\xi) - \widehat{f}(\xi - \eta)| \xrightarrow{\eta \rightarrow 0} 0,$$

where $T_\eta \widehat{f}(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi - \eta)$.

Proof. We can write the difference as

$$|\widehat{f}(\xi) - \widehat{f}(\xi - \eta)| = \left| \int f(x)(e^{-2\pi i \xi x} - e^{-2\pi i (\xi - \eta)x}) dx \right| \leq \int |f(x)| |e^{-2\pi i \xi x} - e^{-2\pi i (\xi - \eta)x}| dx.$$

Note that $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $|e^{-2\pi i \xi x} - e^{-2\pi i (\xi - \eta)x}| = |1 - e^{2\pi i \eta x}| \rightarrow 0$ as $\eta \rightarrow 0$ independent of ξ , so the statement follows from the dominated convergence theorem (the integrand is dominated by $2|f|$). \square

1.2 Motivation for the Fourier Transform

Remark. We will define the *inverse Fourier transform* of $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ as

$$\check{f}(x) = \int f(\xi) e^{2\pi i \xi x} d\xi.$$

Note that $\check{f}(\xi) = \widehat{f}(-\xi)$. With enough assumptions, this is an inverse to the Fourier transform.

Proposition 1.2 (Fourier inversion formula). *If $f, \widehat{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, then*

$$f(x) = (\widehat{f})^\vee(x) = \int \widehat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i \xi x} d\xi.$$

Remark. Note that $e_\xi(x) = e^{2\pi i \xi x} = \cos 2\pi \xi x + i \sin 2\pi \xi x$ and $e_\xi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow S^1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$. We have $e_\xi(x + y) = e_\xi(x) e_\xi(y)$, so e_ξ is a homomorphism, and it is also continuous. Thus e_ξ is a *character* on \mathbb{R} (in fact, every character on \mathbb{R} is of the form e_ξ for some ξ). One can use this idea to define Fourier transforms in much more general settings.

Remark. The Fourier transform decomposes a function f into the pure harmonics e_ξ , and the inversion formula says that we can recover f as a “sum” of these pure harmonics.

Lecture 2

Aug. 21 — The Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma

2.1 Properties of the Fourier Transform

Definition 2.1. Define the following operators:

1. *Translation:* $T_a f(x) = f(x - a)$ for $a \in \mathbb{R}$;
2. *Modulation:* $M_b f(x) = e^{2\pi i b x} f(x)$ for $b \in \mathbb{R}$;
3. *Dilation:* $f_\lambda(x) = \lambda f(\lambda x)$ for $\lambda > 0$;
4. *Involution:* $\tilde{f}(x) = \overline{f(-x)}$.

Remark. Translation and modulation are isometries on $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ for any p . Dilation as defined above is L^1 -normalized, so it is only an isometry on $L^1(\mathbb{R})$.

Exercise 2.1. If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, then

1. $(T_a f)^\wedge(\xi) = (M_{-a} \hat{f})(\xi) = e^{-2\pi i \xi a} \hat{f}(\xi)$;
2. $(M_b f)^\wedge(\xi) = (T_b \hat{f})(\xi) = \hat{f}(\xi - b)$;
3. $(f_\lambda)^\wedge(\xi) = \lambda (f_{1/\lambda})^\wedge(\xi) = \hat{f}(\xi/\lambda)$;¹
4. $(\bar{f})^\wedge(\xi) = (\hat{f})^\sim(\xi) = \overline{\hat{f}(-\xi)}$;
5. $(\tilde{f})^\wedge(\xi) = \overline{\hat{f}(\xi)}$.

2.2 The Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma

Definition 2.2. Let $C_c(\mathbb{R})$ be the space of continuous functions with compact support. For a continuous function, the *support* of f , denoted $\text{supp}(f) = \overline{\{x \in \mathbb{R} : f(x) \neq 0\}}$. So for a continuous function f , $\text{supp}(f)$ is compact if and only if $f = 0$ outside some finite interval.

Theorem 2.1. $C_c(\mathbb{R})$ is dense in $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$. In other words,

1. the closure of $C_c(\mathbb{R})$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ is all of $L^p(\mathbb{R})$;
2. for any $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ and $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $g \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\|f - g\|_p < \epsilon$;
3. if $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$, then there exists $g_n \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$ such that $g_n \rightarrow f$ in L^p -norm, i.e. $\|g_n - f\|_p \rightarrow 0$.

¹Note that the result is an L^∞ -normalized dilation.

For $p = \infty$, $C_c(\mathbb{R})$ is dense in $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ with respect to the L^∞ -norm (this is the same as the uniform norm for continuous functions).

Proof. We sketch the proof. First approximate $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ by a simple function (one that takes only finitely many distinct values) $\phi = \sum_{k=1}^N c_k \chi_{E_k}$, e.g. by rounding down to the nearest integer multiple of 2^{-n} . Then use Urysohn's lemma to approximate χ_{E_k} by a continuous function. \square

Exercise 2.2. Fix $1 \leq p < \infty$. Prove that if $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$, then $\lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \|f - T_a f\|_p = 0$. We say that translation is *strongly continuous* on $L^p(\mathbb{R})$. For $p = \infty$, use $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ and the uniform norm instead.

Lemma 2.1 (Riemann-Lebesgue lemma). *If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, then $\widehat{f} \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$,*

Proof. We have already seen that \widehat{f} is continuous. So it suffices to show decay at ∞ . Write

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = - \int f(x) e^{-2\pi i \xi x} e^{-2\pi i \xi (1/2\xi)} dx = - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-2\pi i \xi (x+1/2\xi)} dx.$$

Now make the change of variables $x \mapsto x - 1/2\xi$, so we get

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f\left(x - \frac{1}{2\xi}\right) e^{-2\pi i \xi x} dx = - \int T_{1/2\xi} f(x) e^{-2\pi i \xi x} dx.$$

Taking an average with the usual expression for $\widehat{f}(\xi)$, we have

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = \frac{1}{2} \int (f(x) - T_{1/2\xi} f(x)) e^{-2\pi i \xi x} dx.$$

Taking absolute values, we obtain

$$|\widehat{f}(\xi)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \int |f(x) - T_{1/2\xi} f(x)| dx = \frac{1}{2} \|f - T_{1/2\xi} f\|_1 \xrightarrow{\xi \rightarrow \pm\infty} 0$$

by the strong continuity of translation on $L^1(\mathbb{R})$. \square

Exercise 2.3. The following is an alternative proof of the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma. Recall that we have $\widehat{\chi}_{-T,T} = d_{2\pi T} \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$. By taking translations and dilations, we see that $\widehat{\chi}_{[a,b]} \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$. Consider *really simple functions* $\phi = \sum_{k=1}^N c_k \chi_{[a_k, b_k]}$, and by linearity we can write

$$\widehat{\phi} = \sum_{k=1}^N c_k \widehat{\chi}_{[a_k, b_k]} \in C_0(\mathbb{R}).$$

Note that really simple functions are also dense in $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$. So if $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, there exist really simple $\phi_n \rightarrow f$ in L^1 -norm. On the Fourier side, we have

$$\|\widehat{f} - \widehat{\phi}_n\|_\infty \leq \|f - \phi_n\|_1 \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $\phi_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly and $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ is a Banach space, we conclude $\widehat{f} \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$. Fill in the details.

2.3 Position and Momentum Operators

Definition 2.3. The *position operator* $P : L^1(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^1(\mathbb{R})$ is given by $Pf(x) = xf(x)$. Note that P is unbounded on $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ (in fact, P is not defined on all of $L^1(\mathbb{R})$). Restrict P to the domain

$$D_P = \{f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) : xf(x) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})\},$$

which is dense in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Note that D_P cannot be bounded as it does not admit an extension to $L^1(\mathbb{R})$.

Exercise 2.4. Show that $\sup_{\|f\|_1=1, f \in D_P} \|Pf\|_1 = \infty$.

Definition 2.4. The *momentum operator* $M : L^1(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^1(\mathbb{R})$ is given by $Mf = f'/2\pi i$. Similarly, M is unbounded and defined only on a dense subset of $L^1(\mathbb{R})$.

Remark. We have the relation $(Mf)^\wedge(\xi) = \xi P\hat{f}(\xi)$, whenever the statement makes sense.

2.4 The HRT Conjecture

Conjecture 2.1 (HRT conjecture). Assume g is not zero a.e., a_k, b_k are distinct, and consider finite linear combinations of translations and modulations of $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ of the following form:

$$\sum_{k=1}^N c_k e^{2\pi i b_k x} g(x - a_k). \quad (*)$$

If $(*) = 0$, then must it be that $c_1 = \dots = c_N = 0$? In other words, are these linearly independent?

Remark. Consider the special case $b_k = 0$ for every k , so $\sum c_k T_{a_k} g = \sum c_k g(x - a_k) = 0$ a.e. Then

$$\left(\sum c_k T_{a_k} g\right)^\wedge = \sum c_k M_{-a_k} \hat{g} = \left(\sum_{k=1}^N c_k e^{-2\pi i a_k \xi}\right) \hat{g}(\xi) = 0.$$

Since \hat{g} is not zero a.e., we must have $\sum_{k=1}^N c_k e^{-2\pi i a_k \xi} = 0$, which implies $c_k = 0$ for all k . In particular, this means that translations alone are linearly independent (the same is true for modulations alone).

Remark. The general case of the HRT conjecture is still open. Note that after taking a Fourier transform, we end up with the same problem, just for \hat{g} instead of g .

Lecture 3

Aug. 3 — Convolution

3.1 Convolution

Definition 3.1. If f, g are measurable on \mathbb{R} , their *convolution* is (formally)

$$(f * g)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y)g(x-y) dy.$$

Remark. When it exists, we have

$$(f * g)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y)g(x-y) dy = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x-y)g(y) dy = (g * f)(x)$$

by the change of variables $y \mapsto x - y$. So $f * g = g * f$, if it exists. Similarly, $f * (g * h) = (f * g) * h$ if each of these convolutions exist.

Remark. If we take $g_T = \chi_{-T,T}/2T$ (note that $\|g_T\|_1 = 1$), then

$$(f * g_T)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y)g_T(x-y) dy = \frac{1}{2T} \int_{x-T}^{x+T} f(y) dy = \text{Avg}_{[-T,T]} f(x),$$

so we can see convolution as a averaging or smoothing operation (also known as *mollification*).

Remark. We would like to show $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ implies $f * g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Note that $(f * g)^\wedge = \widehat{f\widehat{g}} \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$, since $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ is closed under multiplication, even though $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ is not.

Remark. The *Lebesgue differentiation theorem* says that if $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$, then $(f * g_T)(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ a.e.

3.2 Properties of Convolution

Remark. Use the notation

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)\overline{g(x)} dx,$$

whenever this integral exists. Then *Hölder's inequality* says that if $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ with $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$, $g \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{R})$, then $fg \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and we have

$$|\langle f, g \rangle| \leq \int |f(x)||g(x)| dx \leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_{p'}.$$

Theorem 3.1. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, if $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ and $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, then $f * g \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. By Hölder's inequality, we can write

$$\int |f(y)g(x-y)| dy \leq \|f\|_p \|g(x)\|_{p'} < \infty,$$

so $(f * g)(x)$ exists for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$. □

Exercise 3.1. Show that $f * g \in C_b(\mathbb{R}) = \{h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : h \text{ is continuous and bounded}\}$.

Remark. Denote $g^*(y) = \overline{g(-y)}$. Then we have

$$(f * g)(x) = \int f(y)g(x-y) dy = \int f(y)\overline{g^*(y-x)} dy = \langle f, T_x g^* \rangle.$$

Theorem 3.2. Let $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Then

1. $f(y)g(x-y)$ is measurable and integrable on \mathbb{R}^2 ;
2. for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $f(y)g(x-y)$ is measurable and integrable on \mathbb{R} as a function of y ;
3. $f * g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $\|f * g\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1 \|g\|_1$, i.e. convolution is submultiplicative on $L^1(\mathbb{R})$;
4. $(f * g)^\wedge(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi)\widehat{g}(\xi)$ for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. (1) Let $h(x, y) = f(y)g(x-y)$. Then we have

$$\{h > a\} = h^{-1}((a, \infty)) = \{(x, y) : f(y)g(x-y) > a\} = \{f > a\} \times \mathbb{R},$$

which is measurable in \mathbb{R}^2 since $\{f > a\}$ and \mathbb{R} are measurable in \mathbb{R} . Similarly, $g(y)$ is measurable on \mathbb{R}^2 , so $F(x, y) = f(y)g(x-y)$ is measurable on \mathbb{R}^2 . Now make a linear change of variables $T(x, y) = (y, x-y)$, so $H = F \circ T = f(y)g(x-y)$ is measurable (note that linear maps preserve measurability).

Now we can integrate by Tonelli's theorem and see that

$$\begin{aligned} \iint |f(y)g(x-y)| dx dy &= \int |f(y)| \left(\int |g(x-y)| dx \right) dy = \int |f(y)| \left(\int |g(z)| dz \right) dy \\ &= \int |f(y)| \|g\|_1 dy = \|f\|_1 \|g\|_1 < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

hence $f(y)g(x-y)$ is integrable on \mathbb{R}^2 .

(2) This follows by Fubini's theorem since $f(y)g(x-y)$ is integrable.

(3) By (2), $(f * g)(x)$ exists for a.e. x , and

$$\int |(f * g)(x)| dx = \int \left| \int f(y)g(x-y) dy \right| dx \leq \iint |f(y)g(x-y)| dy dx \leq \|f\|_1 \|g\|_1,$$

which is the desired inequality.

(4) Justify the following calculation as an exercise via Fubini/Tonelli's theorem:

$$\begin{aligned} (f * g)^\wedge(\xi) &= \int (f * g)(x) e^{-2\pi i \xi x} dx = \int \left(\int f(y) g(x - y) dy \right) e^{-2\pi i \xi x} dx \\ &= \iint f(y) e^{-2\pi i \xi y} g(x - y) e^{-2\pi i \xi (x - y)} dy dx. \end{aligned}$$

By Fubini's theorem, we can exchange orders and write

$$\begin{aligned} (f * g)^\wedge(\xi) &= \int f(y) e^{-2\pi i \xi y} \left(\int g(x - y) e^{-2\pi i \xi (x - y)} dx \right) dy \\ &= \int f(y) e^{-2\pi i \xi y} \left(\int g(z) e^{-2\pi i \xi z} dz \right) dy = \widehat{f}(\xi) \widehat{g}(\xi), \end{aligned}$$

which is the desired equality. □

Corollary 3.2.1. $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ is closed under convolution.

Definition 3.2. An *algebra* is a vector space A with a product such that

- (a) $(fg)h = f(gh)$,
- (b) $f(g + h) = fg + fh$,
- (c) $\alpha(fg) = (\alpha f)g = f(\alpha g)$.

If $fg = gf$ always, then we say that A is *commutative*. A Banach space which is also an algebra with a submultiplicative product is a *Banach algebra*.

Example 3.2.1. With convolution as a product, $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ becomes a commutative Banach algebra without identity. Similarly, $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ is also a commutative Banach algebra without identity (under pointwise products). The space $\mathcal{B}(X)$ of bounded linear operators on a Banach space X is also a Banach space under the operator norm, and we have $\|AB\| \leq \|A\|\|B\|$ with composition as a product. So $\mathcal{B}(X)$ is a noncommutative Banach algebra, with identity.

3.3 Young's Inequality

Theorem 3.3 (Young's inequality, special case). Fix $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. If $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ and $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, then $f * g \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ and $\|f * g\|_p \leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_1$.

Proof. The case $p = \infty$ is easy by Hölder's inequality and $p = 1$ is done, so assume $1 < p < \infty$. Then

$$|(f * g)(x)| \leq \int |f(y)| |g(x - y)| dy = \int (|f(y)| |g(x - y)|^{1/p}) (|g(x - y)|^{1/p'}) dy,$$

By Hölder's inequality, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} |(f * g)(x)| &\leq \left(\int |f(y)|^p |g(x - y)| dy \right)^{1/p} \left(\int |g(x - y)| dy \right)^{1/p'} \\ &\leq \|g\|_1^{1/p'} \left(\int |f(y)|^p |g(x - y)| dy \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Now taking L^p -norms, we get

$$\|f * g\|_p^p = \int |(f * g)(x)|^p dx \leq \|g\|_1^{p/p'} \iint |f(y)|^p |g(x - y)| dy dx.$$

By Tonelli's theorem, we can exchange orders and write

$$\|f * g\|_p^p \leq \|g\|_1^{p/p'} \int |f(y)|^p \left(\int |g(x - y)| dx \right) dy \leq \|g\|_1^{1+p/p'} \|f\|_p^p = \|g\|_1^p \|f\|_p^p,$$

so we get the desired inequality $\|f * g\|_p \leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_1$ after taking p th roots. \square

Exercise 3.2 (Young's inequality, general case). Let $1 \leq p, q, r \leq \infty$ satisfy $1/r = 1/p + 1/q - 1$. If $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ and $g \in L^q(\mathbb{R})$, then

$$\|f * g\|_r \leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_q.$$

Remark. Recall *Minkowski's inequality* (the triangle inequality in $L^p(\mathbb{R})$):

$$\left\| \sum f_k \right\|_p \leq \sum \|f_k\|_p.$$

Minkowski's integral inequality then says that for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$,

$$\left\| \int f_x dx \right\|_p = \left(\int \left| \int f(x, y) dx \right|^p dy \right)^{1/p} \leq \int \left(\int |f(x, y)|^p dy \right)^{1/p} dx = \int \|f_x\|_p dx.$$

One can also use this to prove Young's inequality.

Remark. The *Babenko-Beckner constant* is the optimal constant in front of Hölder's inequality:

$$A_p = \left(\frac{p^{1/p}}{(p')^{1/p'}} \right)^{1/2}.$$

The optimal constant in Young's inequality is $A_p A_q A_{r'}$, i.e. we have

$$\|f * g\|_r \leq (A_p A_q A_{r'}) \|f\|_p \|g\|_q.$$

3.4 The Dirac Delta

Remark. Is there an identity for convolution? Suppose there was a function $\delta \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ (the *Dirac delta function*) such that $f * \delta = f$ for all $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Then we have $(f * \delta)^\wedge = \widehat{f}$, so

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) \widehat{\delta}(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi) \quad \text{for all } f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}).$$

Take $f(x) = e^{-x^2}$ with $\widehat{f}(\xi) = e^{-\xi^2}$ and note that $\widehat{f}(\xi)$ is everywhere nonzero. Then $\widehat{\delta}(\xi) = 1$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$, which contradicts the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma.

The correct way to work with the Dirac delta is to use the measure

$$\delta(E) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \in E, \\ 0, & 0 \notin E. \end{cases}$$

One can then integrate against the measure δ to achieve a similar effect.

Lecture 4

Aug. 28 — Convolution, Part 2

Lecture 5

Sept. 2 — Smoothness and Decay

5.1 Smoothness and Decay

Theorem 5.1 (Decay in time implies smoothness in frequency). Assume $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $x^m f(x) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, where $m > 0$. Then

$$\widehat{f} \in C_0^m(\mathbb{R}) = \{g : g, g', \dots, g^{(m)} \in C_0(\mathbb{R})\}.$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\widehat{f}^{(k)} = \frac{d^k}{d\xi^k} \widehat{f} = ((-2\pi i x)^k f(x))^\wedge.$$

Proof. The proof is by induction on m . When $m = 1$, we can formally write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\xi} \widehat{f}(\xi) &= \frac{d}{d\xi} \int f(x) e^{-2\pi i \xi x} dx \\ &\stackrel{(*)}{=} \int f(x) \frac{d}{d\xi} e^{-2\pi i \xi x} dx = \int f(x) (-2\pi i x) e^{-2\pi i \xi x} dx = (-2\pi i x f(x))^\wedge(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

It suffices to justify step (*), which we will do by appealing to the dominated convergence theorem. We can write

$$\widehat{f}'(\xi) = \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\widehat{f}(\xi + \eta) - \widehat{f}(\xi)}{\eta} = \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \int f(x) \frac{e^{-2\pi i (\xi + \eta)x} - e^{-2\pi i \xi x}}{\eta} dx.$$

Note that we have the pointwise limit

$$f(x) \frac{e^{-2\pi i (\xi + \eta)x} - e^{-2\pi i \xi x}}{\eta} \xrightarrow{\eta \rightarrow 0} f(x) \frac{d}{d\xi} e^{-2\pi i \xi x} = -2\pi i x f(x) e^{-2\pi i \xi x}.$$

Also note that we can bound

$$\left| f(x) \frac{e^{-2\pi i (\xi + \eta)x} - e^{-2\pi i \xi x}}{\eta} \right| = \left| f(x) \frac{e^{-2\pi i \eta x} - 1}{\eta} \right| \leq \left| f(x) \frac{-2\pi i \eta x}{\eta} \right| = |2\pi x f(x)|,$$

where we noted that $|e^{i\theta} - 1| \leq |\theta|$ for $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus $2\pi x f(x)$ dominates the integrand and is integrable since $x f(x) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ by assumption, we can conclude (*) by the dominated convergence theorem. Then $\widehat{f}' \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ by the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma, since $\widehat{f}' = (-2\pi i x f(x))^\wedge$ where $-2\pi i x f(x) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$.

The inductive step is part of Homework 1. □

Remark. Recall the position and momentum operators $Pf(x) = xf(x)$ and $Mf(x) = f'(x)/2\pi i$. If $f, Pf \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, then the above theorem tells us that $(Pf)^\wedge = -M\widehat{f}$.

5.2 Absolute Continuity

Definition 5.1. A function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is *absolutely continuous* if for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $\{[a_j, b_j]\}_j$ are countably many non-overlapping intervals, then

$$\sum_j (b_j - a_j) < \delta \quad \text{implies} \quad \sum_j |f(b_j) - f(a_j)| < \epsilon.$$

Define $\text{AC}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}) = \{f \in C(\mathbb{R}) : f \text{ is absolutely continuous on every interval } [a, b]\}$.

Theorem 5.2 (Fundamental theorem of calculus). *If $g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, then the following are equivalent:*

1. $g \in \text{AC}[a, b]$;
2. there exists $f \in L^1[a, b]$ such that for all $x \in [a, b]$,

$$g(x) - g(a) = \int_a^x f(t) dt;$$

3. g is differentiable at a.e. point, $g' \in L^1[a, b]$, and

$$g(x) - g(a) = \int_a^x g'(t) dt.$$

Remark. The Cantor-Lebesgue function $\varphi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous with $\varphi' = 0$ a.e., but

$$\int_0^1 \varphi'(x) dx = 0 \neq 1 = \varphi(1) - \varphi(0).$$

Lemma 5.1 (Growth lemma). *If $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is measurable and differentiable at every point in a measurable set $E \subseteq [a, b]$, then*

$$|f(E)|_e \leq \int_E |f'|,$$

where $|f(E)|_e$ denotes the exterior Lebesgue measure of $f(E)$.

Theorem 5.3 (Banach-Zaretsky theorem). *If $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, then the following are equivalent:*

1. $f \in \text{AC}[a, b]$;
2. f is continuous, f has bounded variation, and $|A| = 0$ implies $|f(A)| = 0$;
3. f is continuous and differentiable a.e., $f' \in L^1[a, b]$, and $|A| = 0$ implies $|f(A)| = 0$.

Theorem 5.4. *If $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is differentiable on $[a, b]$ and $f' \in L^1[a, b]$, then $f \in \text{AC}[a, b]$.*

Proof. By the Banach-Zaretsky theorem, it suffices to show that $|A| = 0$ implies $|f(A)| = 0$. If $|A| = 0$, then by the growth lemma,

$$|f(A)| \leq \int_A |f'| = 0,$$

which completes the proof. (Technically we should split f into its real and imaginary parts.) □

5.3 Smoothness and Decay, Continued

Theorem 5.5 (Smoothness in time implies decay in frequency). *If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ is everywhere m -times differentiable and $f, f', \dots, f^{(m)} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, then*

$$\widehat{f^{(k)}}(\xi) = (2\pi i \xi)^k \widehat{f}(\xi), \quad \text{for } k = 0, \dots, m,$$

hence $|\widehat{f}(\xi)| \leq |2\pi \xi|^{-k} |\widehat{f^{(k)}}(\xi)| \leq |2\pi \xi|^{-k} \|\widehat{f^{(k)}}\|_\infty \leq |2\pi \xi|^{-k} \|f^{(k)}\|_1$ for $k = 0, \dots, m$.

Proof. We prove only the case $m = 1$, the rest follows by induction. Assume $f, f' \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. By Theorem 5.4, we have $f \in \text{AC}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$. Hence by the fundamental theorem of calculus,

$$f(x) - f(0) = \int_0^x f'(t) dt.$$

Because f' is integrable, we get that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = f(0) + \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^x f'(t) dt = f(0) + \int_0^\infty f'(t) dt.$$

Since f is integrable and this limit exists, the limit must be 0. Hence $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$. We can compute

$$\widehat{f'}(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty f'(x) e^{-2\pi i \xi x} dx = \lim_{\substack{b \rightarrow \infty \\ a \rightarrow -\infty}} \int_a^b f'(x) e^{-2\pi i \xi x} dx.$$

Since f is absolutely continuous, we can integrate by parts to get

$$\widehat{f'}(\xi) = \lim_{\substack{b \rightarrow \infty \\ a \rightarrow -\infty}} \left[f(b) e^{-2\pi i \xi b} - f(a) e^{-2\pi i \xi a} + (2\pi i \xi) \int_a^b f(x) e^{-2\pi i \xi x} dx \right] = (2\pi i \xi) \widehat{f}(\xi),$$

which proves the desired result. □

Remark. Note that for the absolute continuity arguments, we need to first restrict to a finite interval and then take limits, since we only know that $f \in \text{AC}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$.

5.4 Approximate Identities

Remark. Recall that if we take $g_T = \chi_{[-T, T]}/2T$, then we have $(f * g_T)(x) = \text{Avg}_{[x-T, x+T]} f$. As $T \rightarrow 0$, this converges to f if f is continuous, and converges a.e. to f if f is integrable. In particular, this is almost like a identity for the convolution operation.

Definition 5.2. If $k_\lambda \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ for $\lambda > 0$ (or sometimes $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$) satisfy:

- (a) Normalization: $\int_{-\infty}^\infty k_\lambda = 1$ for every λ ,
- (b) L^1 -boundedness: $\sup_\lambda \|k_\lambda\|_1 = \sup_\lambda \int_{-\infty}^\infty |k_\lambda| < \infty$,
- (c) L^1 -concentration: $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|x| \geq \delta} |k_\lambda| = 0$ for every $\delta > 0$,

then we say that $\{k_\lambda\}$ is an *approximate identity (for convolution)*.

Exercise 5.1. If $k \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} k = 1$, then $k_\lambda(x) = \lambda k(\lambda x)$ forms an approximate identity.

Remark. If we choose k_λ to be nice, then $f * k_\lambda$ will also be nice and “close” to f .