

# The Rubric for A2

## Task 1 & Task 2 (30/40)

		HD	D	C	P	F
<b>Complete Task 3</b>		This is the HURDLE of A2, failure to complete will result in ZERO of A2.				
<b>Correct structure for the output files as specified in the specs</b>		It is a must. Note that the output files should be parsable and also be in the pre-defined structure and with the same column names. Unparsable outputs and the output files that have any deviations from the specification, will receive zero marks for the output.				
<b>Output (55%)</b>	Dirty Data (30%)	90% or more of the errors are fixed accurately.	75% - 89% of the errors are fixed accurately.	60% - 74% of the errors are fixed accurately	50% - 59% of the errors are fixed accurately	0% - 49% of the errors are fixed accurately
	Outliers (10%)	90% or more of the outliers are removed.	75% - 89% of the outliers are removed.	60% - 74% of the outliers are removed.	50% - 59% of the outliers are removed.	0% - 49% of the outliers are removed.
	Missing Values (15%)	90% or more of the missing values are imputed correctly.	75% - 89% of the missing values are imputed correctly.	60% - 74% of the missing values are imputed correctly.	50% - 59% of the missing values are imputed correctly.	0% - 49% of the missing values are imputed correctly.
<b>Task 1 Methodology (10%)</b>		The report demonstrated a good solution in a proper way. No error or only minor errors are found in the methodology. The methodology consists of all required steps for the tasks, and produces output with HD scores.	The methodology consists of all required steps for the tasks, and produces output with above C scores.	The report has provided a fair solution. Three key steps are missing or lead to incorrect output (above P).	The report has provided a poor solution. Four key steps are missing or lead to incorrect output.	The report has provided a bad solution. Only one or two steps are demonstrated, which clearly cannot achieve the correct output..
<b>Task 2 Data Reshape (25%)</b>  Exploratory Task of Data Reshaping		Different methods for data reshaping are well analysed. The effects of using different data-reshaping methods are shown in detail. The student uses different attributes to evaluate the performance of linear regression models and gives details explanations. The student has made a convincing recommendation to the readers based on the observation in the analysis.	Different methods for data reshaping are reasonably analysed. The effects of using different data-reshaping methods have been demonstrated. The student uses different attributes to evaluate the performance of linear regression models and gives a detailed explanation. The student has made a reasonable	Different methods for data reshaping are fairly analysed. The effects of using different data-reshaping methods have been demonstrated. The student uses different attributes to evaluate the performance of linear regression models and gives little explanation. The student has made a recommendation to the readers	Different methods for data reshaping are poorly analysed. The effects of using different data-reshaping methods have been demonstrated. The student builds a simple linear regression model without much consideration and explanation. The student then rushes to a recommendation to the readers.	Different methods for data reshaping are badly analysed. The effects of using different data-reshaping methods have not been demonstrated. The student builds a simple linear regression model without any explanation. The student then rushes to a recommendati

		recommendation to the readers based on the observation in the analysis.	based on the observation in the analysis.		on to the readers.
<b>Documentation (10%)</b>	The report has proper sections and subsections (e.g. introduction, methodology, conclusion,...). The methodology is explained properly and the code is well commented.	The report has proper sections and subsections (e.g. introduction, methodology, conclusion,...). The methodology is explained fairly and the code is fairly commented. The report can be improved.	The report has some sectioning but it is not well organised. The explanation of the report is limited and the code is well commented.	The report has some sectioning but it is not well organised. The explanation of the report is poor and the code is well commented.	The report has poor/no sectioning and it is not well organised. The explanation of the report is poor and the code is poorly commented.

**Note:** Both non-fixed errors and newly introduced errors would be penalized (i.e. if while attempting to fix one of the anomalies - dirty data, missing value, and outliers- you introduced another error, you will lose marks accordingly)

### Task 3 (10/40 + Hurdle)

	Yes (2)	Partial(1)	No (0)
<b>Able to Answer Question 1</b>	Able to answer the question correctly	Answer (partially correct)	Fail to answer question/ Answer is not correct
<b>Able to Answer Question 2</b>	Able to answer the question correctly	Answer (partially correct)	Fail to answer question/ Answer is not correct
<b>Able to Answer Question 3</b>	Able to answer the question correctly	Answer (partially correct)	Fail to answer question/ Answer is not correct
<b>Able to Answer Question 4</b>	Able to answer the question correctly	Answer (partially correct)	Fail to answer question/ Answer is not correct
<b>Able to Answer Question 5</b>	Able to answer the question correctly	Answer (partially correct)	Fail to answer question/ Answer is not correct