

FIT3181/5215 Deep Learning

Vision Transformers and Model Fine-Tuning Techniques

Teaching team

Department of Data Science and Al Faculty of Information Technology, Monash University Email: trunglm@monash.edu



- What are correct about the self-attention?
- With self-attention, we can compute the token embeddings in parallel.
- B. With self-attention, we need to compute the token embeddings sequentially.
- For self-attention, the queries (Q), keys (K), and values (V) computed based on source sequences
- For self-attention, the queries (Q) are computed based on target sequences, while keys (K), and values (V) are computed based on source sequences
- Self-attention can capture intra-sequence dependencies between source sequences
- Self-attention can capture inter-sequence dependencies between source sequences and target sequences

- What are correct about the self-attention?
- With self-attention, we can compute the token embeddings in parallel. [x]
- B. With self-attention, we need to compute the token embeddings sequentially.
- For self-attention, the queries (Q), keys (K), and values (V) computed based on source sequences [x]
- For self-attention, the queries (Q) are computed based on target sequences, while keys (K), and values (V) are computed based on source sequences
- Self-attention can capture **intra-**sequence dependencies between source sequences [x]
- Self-attention can capture inter-sequence dependencies between source sequences and target sequences

- What are correct about the cross-attention?
- With self-attention, we can compute the token embeddings in parallel.
- B. With self-attention, we need to compute the token embeddings sequentially.
- For self-attention, the queries (Q), keys (K), and values (V) computed based on source sequences
- For self-attention, the queries (Q) are computed based on target sequences, while keys (K), and values (V) are computed based on source sequences
- Self-attention can capture intra-sequence dependencies between source sequences
- Self-attention can capture inter-sequence dependencies between source sequences and target sequences

- What are correct about the self-attention?
- With self-attention, we can compute the token embeddings in parallel. [x]
- B. With self-attention, we need to compute the token embeddings sequentially.
- For self-attention, the queries (Q), keys (K), and values (V) computed based on source sequences
- For self-attention, the queries (Q) are computed based on target sequences, while keys (K), and values (V) are computed based on source sequences [x]
- Self-attention can capture intra-sequence dependencies between source sequences
- Self-attention can capture inter-sequence dependencies between source sequences and target sequences [x]

- What are the drawbacks of CNNs?
- CNNs cannot capture the global information of images.
- CNN can capture the local information of images.
- CNNs cannot capture the spatial relationship of local objects inside images
- CNNs are locality sensitivity
- CNNs combine local patterns to learn broader local patterns

- What are the drawbacks of CNNs?
- CNNs cannot capture the global information of images. [x]
- CNN can capture the local information of images.
- CNNs cannot capture the spatial relationship of local objects inside images [x]
- CNNs are locality sensitivity [x]
- E. CNNs combine local patterns to learn broader local patterns

- What are correct about Vision Transformers (ViTs)?
- For ViTs, a token or visual word is a patch of an image.
- **B.** For ViTs, a token or visual word is a pixel of an image.
- We input the patch tokens directly to the transformer block
- We apply a linear projection to the flattened patches to transform them to token embeddings.
- We inject the class token to the token embeddings of the patches and keep the class token fixed during training
- We inject the class token to the token embeddings of the patches and learn the class token fixed during training
- On top of the class token at the final layer, we build up the MLP head to make predictions.

- What are correct about Vision Transformers (ViTs)?
- For ViTs, a token or visual word is a patch of an image. [x]
- B. For ViTs, a token or visual word is a pixel of an image.
- c. We input the patch tokens directly to the transformer block
- We apply a linear projection to the flattened patches to transform them to token embeddings. [x]
- We inject the class token to the token embeddings of the patches and keep the class token fixed during training
- We inject the class token to the token embeddings of the patches and learn the class token fixed during training [x]
- On top of the class token at the final layer, we build up the MLP head to make predictions. [x]

- What is the reason that Vision Transformers (ViTs) can capture the global information of images?
- This is because the point-wise FFN of the Encoder blocks.
- This is because the Add & Layer norm operations of the Encoder blocks.
- c. This is because the Multi-head Self-attention layers of the Encoder blocks.
- The global information is captured in the class token at the final layer because this summarizes the token embeddings at the input layer.
- The global information is captured in the class token at the input layer

- What is the reason that Vision Transformers (ViTs) can capture the global information of images?
- This is because the point-wise FFN of the Encoder blocks.
- B. This is because the Add & Layer norm operations of the Encoder blocks.
- This is because the Multi-head Self-attention layers of the Encoder blocks. [x]
- The global information is captured in the class token at the final layer because this summarizes the token embeddings at the input layer. [x]
- The global information is captured in the class token at the input layer

- What are correct about Vision Transformers (ViTs)?
- A ViTs can naturally capture the global information of images.
- ViTs can be trained directly on small-scaled datasets.
- viTs can find the long-term dependencies among image patches.
- We need massive datasets to train ViTs.
- ViTs are more robust to patch permutation and occlusion than CNNs

- What are correct about Vision Transformers (ViTs)?
- ViTs can naturally capture the global information of images. [x]
- ViTs can be trained directly on small-scaled datasets.
- c. ViTs can find the long-term dependencies among image patches. [x]
- We need massive datasets to train ViTs. [x]
- ViTs are less robust to patch permutation and occlusion than CNNs

- What are correct about Swin Transformers?
- A Swin Transformers employ smaller patches of [3,4,4].
- Swin Transformers employ patches of [3,16,16] similar to ViTs.
- Swin Transformers apply a linear projection to flattened patches to gain [C, H/4, W/4].
- Swin Transformers apply a linear projection to flattened patches to gain [C, H/16, W/16].
- For Swin Transformers, the input shape [C, H/4, W/4] is kept fixed across the layers.
- For Swin Transformers, we apply patch merging to down-sample the input shape by two while doubling the depth.

- What are correct about Swin Transformers?
- Swin Transformers employ smaller patches of [3,4,4]. [x]
- B. Swin Transformers employ patches of [3,16,16] similar to ViTs.
- Swin Transformers apply a linear projection to flattened patches to gain [C, H/4, W/4]. [x]
- Swin Transformers apply a linear projection to flattened patches to gain [C, H/16, W/16].
- For Swin Transformers, the input shape [C, H/4, W/4] is kept fixed across the layers.
- For Swin Transformers, we apply patch merging to down-sample the input shape by two while doubling the depth. [x]

- What are correct about Patch Merging in Swin Transformers?
- We merge 2x2 neighbourhood patches, concatenate their embeddings, and then apply a linear projection.
- We apply a linear projection directly to token embeddings.
- c. If we input the patch merging [C, H/4, W/4], we gain [2C, H/4, W/4]
- If we input the patch merging [C, H/4, W/4], we gain [C, H/4, W/4]
- If we input the patch merging [C, H/4, W/4], we gain [2C, H/8, W/8]

- What are correct about Patch Merging in Swin Transformers?
- We merge 2x2 neighbourhood patches, concatenate their embeddings, and then apply a linear projection. **[x]**
- We apply a linear projection directly to token embeddings.
- c. If we input the patch merging [C, H/4, W/4], we gain [2C, H/4, W/4]
- If we input the patch merging [C, H/4, W/4], we gain [C, H/4, W/4]
- If we input the patch merging [C, H/4, W/4], we gain [2C, H/8, W/8] [x]

- What are correct about Window Self-Attention in Swin Transformers?
- We apply the Self-Attention to all token embeddings.
- We divide all token embeddings into many local windows and then apply the Self-Attention to each local windows independently.
- c. The output shape of Window Self-Attention is different from the input shape.
- The output shape of Window Self-Attention is the same as the input shape.

- What are correct about Window Self-Attention in Swin Transformers?
- We apply the Self-Attention to all token embeddings.
- We divide all token embeddings into many local windows and then apply the Self-Attention to each local windows independently. [x]
- The output shape of Window Self-Attention is different from the input shape.
- The output shape of Window Self-Attention is the same as the input shape. [x]

- What are correct about Window Self-Attention in Swin Transformers?
- A The Window Self-Attention can speed up the standard Self-Attention
- The Window Self-Attention is slower than the standard Self-Attention The output shape of Window Self-Attention is different from the input shape.
- c. The Window Self-Attention only allows a token to interact with the ones in the same local window.
- The Window Self-Attention allows a token to interact with the ones in the different local windows.
- The Window Self-Attention enables the interaction across local windows.

- What are correct about Window Self-Attention in Swin Transformers?
- The Window Self-Attention can speed up the standard Self-Attention [x]
- The Window Self-Attention is slower than the standard Self-Attention The output shape of Window Self-Attention is different from the input shape.
- The Window Self-Attention only allows a token to interact with the ones in the same local window. [x]
- The Window Self-Attention allows a token to interact with the ones in the different local windows.
- The Window Self-Attention enables the interaction across local windows.

- What are correct about Shifted Window Self-Attention in Swin Transformers?
- The Shifted Window Self-Attention only allows a token to interact with the ones in the same local window.
- The Shifted Window Self-Attention allows a token to interact with the ones in the different local windows.
- c. The Shifted Window Self-Attention enables the interaction across local windows.
- The Shifted Window Self-Attention shifts a local window to right and bottom to become a new local window
- The output shape of Shifted Window Self-Attention is different from the input shape.
- The output shape of Shifted Window Self-Attention is the same as the input shape.

- What are correct about Shifted Window Self-Attention in Swin Transformers?
- The Shifted Window Self-Attention only allows a token to interact with the ones in the same local window.
- The Shifted Window Self-Attention allows a token to interact with the ones in the different local windows. [x]
- c. The Shifted Window Self-Attention enables the interaction across local windows. [x]
- The Shifted Window Self-Attention shifts a local window to right and bottom to become a new local window [x]
- The output shape of Shifted Window Self-Attention is different from the input shape.
- The output shape of Shifted Window Self-Attention is the same as the input shape. [x]

- What is the principle of the model fine-tuning for ViTs with additional components?
- We insert additional components to pretrained ViTs that favour the original computation of ViTs and then fine-tune the additional components
- We insert additional components to pretrained ViTs that favour the original computation of ViTs and then freeze the additional components
- We insert additional components to pretrained ViTs that favour the original computation of ViTs and then consider the additional components as variables to optimize in optimizers
- We insert additional components to pretrained ViTs that require us to significantly modify the original computation of ViTs and then fine-tune the additional components

- What is the principle of the model fine-tuning for ViTs with additional components?
- We insert additional components to pretrained ViTs that favour the original computation of ViTs and then fine-tune the additional components [x]
- We insert additional components to pretrained ViTs that favour the original computation of ViTs and then freeze the additional components
- We insert additional components to pretrained ViTs that favour the original computation of ViTs and then consider the additional components as variables to optimize in optimizers [x]
- We insert additional components to pretrained ViTs that require us to significantly modify the original computation of ViTs and then fine-tune the additional components

- What are correct about the model fine-tuning for ViTs with prompt-tuning?
- We insert learnable prompts to token embeddings of ViTs and then fine-tune these prompts
- We insert learnable prompts to pointwise networks of ViTs and then fine-tune these prompts
- We insert learnable prompts to the key, query, and value matrices of ViTs and then fine-tune these prompts

- What are correct about the model fine-tuning for ViTs with prompt-tuning?
- We insert learnable prompts to token embeddings of ViTs and then fine-tune these prompts [x]
- We insert learnable prompts to pointwise networks of ViTs and then fine-tune these prompts
- We insert learnable prompts to the key, query, and value matrices of ViTs and then fine-tune these prompts

- What are correct about the model fine-tuning for ViTs with adapters?
- We insert adapters to token embeddings of ViTs and then fine-tune these adapters
- B. We insert adapters to pointwise networks of ViTs and then fine-tune these adapters
- We insert adapters to the key, query, and value matrices of ViTs and then fine-tune these adapters

- What are correct about the model fine-tuning for ViTs with adapters?
- We insert adapters to token embeddings of ViTs and then fine-tune these adapters
- We insert adapters to pointwise networks of ViTs and then fine-tune these adapters[x]
- We insert adapters to the key, query, and value matrices of ViTs and then fine-tune these adapters

- What are correct about the model fine-tuning for ViTs with LoRA?
- We insert low-ranked matrices to token embeddings of ViTs and then fine-tune these low-ranked matrices
- We insert low-ranked matrices to pointwise networks of ViTs and then fine-tune these low-ranked matrices
- We insert low-ranked matrices to the key, query, and value matrices of ViTs and then fine-tune these low-ranked matrices

- What are correct about the model fine-tuning for ViTs with LoRA?
- We insert low-ranked matrices to token embeddings of ViTs and then fine-tune these low-ranked matrices
- We insert low-ranked matrices to pointwise networks of ViTs and then fine-tune these low-ranked matrices
- We insert low-ranked matrices to the key, query, and value matrices of ViTs and then fine-tune these low-ranked matrices [x]

Thanks for your attention!