FIRST®LEGO® League TUT\$RIALS

teach

share

learn

CONSOLIDATED JUDGING

SESHAN BROTHERS

WHAT IS CONSOLIDATED JUDGING?

- Some regions are using a consolidated judging system
- Instead of teams having to move from room-to-room, all judging happens in one room
- Your team will have a 20-30 minute single judging slot that covers Robot Design, Project, and Core Values



WHAT HAPPENS IN CONSOLIDATED JUDGING?

- Sample* 20-min judging schedule:
 - 5 minute Project presentation
 - 2 minutes questions about the Project
 - 5 minute Robot Design judging. No game table will be in the room. You are allowed 2mins for a prepared presentation if you want to. with your Tournament Director.



Photo Credit: Razorback Open

- *There may be regional variation as to how this is implemented. Always check with your Tournament Director.
- 5-minutes for Core Values judging. You are allowed to use 2 minutes for a Core Values poster presentation if you want to.
- 3-minutes for judges to ask any questions they want to

CONSOLIDATED RUBRIC

| Res | search | h Clear definition of the problem being studied; the quality and variety of sources cited including professionals in the field; the extent to which existing solutions were analyzed | | | | | |
|------|---|--|--|---------------|-------------------|--------------|-----------|
| Acco | | | detailed; sufficient quality and variety including professionals; dy and analysis by team | Beginning | Developing | Accomplished | Exemplary |
| | Innovative Solution Clear explanation of proposed solution; degree to which the team's solution makes life better by improving exploration options, developing new applications, or a new idea; use of a systematic process to develop the solution | | | | | | |
| Acco | omplished: | | ble; original solution/application with the potential to add value; nd well-explained, including evaluation or verification | Beginning | Developing | Accomplished | Exemplary |
| 0) | Presentation Degree to which the team shared their Project before to finessage delivery and presentation creativity | | tournament w | th others who | might benefit. Ef | fectiveness | |
| Acco | omplished: | | one individual or group who may benefit; engaging AND mostly clear; mostly organized | Beginning | Developing | Accomplished | Exemplary |
| | mments | s: | | | | | |

| | Mechanical Design | | Economic use of parts and time; easy to repair and modify with evidence of structural integrity and the ability of the robot to move or act with appropriate speed, strength & accuracy for intended tasks | | | | | | |
|--------------|---|---|--|-----------|------------|--------------|-----------|--|--|
| Robot Design | Accomplished: | | pairs; appropriate use of parts and time to repair/modify; palance of speed, strength and accuracy on most tasks | Beginning | Developing | Accomplished | Exemplary | | |
| | Programn | Programming Modular, understandable programs that are appropriate for intended purpose and would achieve consistent results using mechanical or sensor feedback | | | | | | | |
| | Accomplished: | | ve purpose repeatedly; appropriate code and easy to understand; /acts as intended repeatedly w/ occasional driver intervention | Beginning | Developing | Accomplished | Exemplary | | |
| | Strategy & Ability to develop and explain improvement cycles where alternatives are considered; ability to clearly define and describe the team's game strategy which includes unique, or unexpected feature(s) that are beneficial in performing the specified tasks | | | | | | | | |
| | Accomplished: | | nd well-explained; clear strategy to accomplish the team's well s; original feature(s) with the potential to add significant value | Beginning | Developing | Accomplished | Exemplary | | |
| | Comments | s: | | | | | | | |

Accomplished

Exemplary

| Inspiratio | | Balanced approach to all three aspects while team shows enthusiasm and fun expression of team identity and demonstration of application of FIRST LEGO League values | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|------------|------------------|-------------|--|--|--|
| Accomplished: | emphasis on all three aspects; team is enthusiastic and fun; clear identity; team able to describe multiple examples | Beginning | Developing | Accomplished | Exemplary | | | |
| Teamwork Problem solving and decision making processes explained while appropriate balance bet and coach guidance | | | | between team res | ponsibility | | | |
| Accomplished: | d: clear team goals and processes; excellent time management and role definition allows team to accomplish most goals; Good balance between team responsibility and coach guidance | | Developing | Accomplished | Exemplary | | | |
| Gracious Professio | * | Consideration and appreciation for the contribution of all team members and demonstration that the team competes in the spirit of friendly competition | | | | | | |

Beginning

Developing

* Sample from 2018 INTO ORBIT. Make sure you have the most recent version if your region uses this rubric

Comments:

balanced team involvement AND appreciation for contributions of most team

members; almost always evident with all team members

TIPS IN JUDGING

- Be efficient. Timing starts as soon as you enter the room. Since project is first, be ready to go with costumes, props, etc. Minimize set up time.
- Be prepared. Have everything ready for Robot and Core Values Presentations so that it is quick and easy to switch to the next presentation. Consider having everything on one cart.
- Be ready to explain. There is no robot game table. Prepare to explain your process, not show your runs. (Take a look at the lesson on FLLTutorials for additional tips.)
- Have a plan and communicate well. Make sure that you communicate everything you want to in your presentation time. Use the rubrics as your guide.
- Leave a summary sheet. If you want to leave documentation, you can. Include some highlights about your robot, project and core values.





ADVANTAGES OF CONSOLIDATED JUDGING

- Easier for teams as they do not need to find their next room
- Gives a chance for teams to get to know their judges in 20 (or 30) mins.
- Judges can evaluate throughout the 20 (or 30) mins; this allows judges from each core area to evaluate and ask questions.
- During deliberations, the judges can advocate for the teams with a deeper understanding of each of the core areas
- Improved judging and training due to having to recruit less judges
- Teams still get all the presentation time they would get in individual/separated judging slots.

CREDITS

- This tutorial was created by Sanjay Seshan and Arvind Seshan
- More lessons at <u>www.ev3lessons.com</u> and <u>www.flltutorials.com</u>



This work is licensed under a <u>Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.</u>