User:

Promise.resolve().then(() => { Promise.resolve("Promise 1").then(console.log); process.nextTick(() => console.log("nextTick")); console.log("nested promise"); setTimeout(() => console.log("setTimeout inside promise"), 0); }); predict the output

ChatGPT:

Let's analyze the execution step by step.

## Step 1: Promise.resolve().then(...)

• This schedules the callback inside . then ( . . . ) to execute in the microtask queue.

## Step 2: Entering the . then callback

- Inside this callback, we have:
  - 1. Promise.resolve("Promise 1").then(console.log);
    - This schedules "Promise 1" to be logged in the microtask queue.
  - 2. process.nextTick(() => console.log("nextTick"));
    - This schedules "nextTick" in the nextTick queue, which runs before the microtask queue.
  - 3. console.log("nested promise");
    - This executes immediately because it's a synchronous statement.
  - 4. setTimeout(() => console.log("setTimeout inside promise"), 0);
    - This schedules "setTimeout inside promise" in the **macrotask queue** (event loop's next tick).

## **Step 3: Execution Order**

- 1. Synchronous code executes first:
  - "nested promise" is logged immediately.
- 2. NextTick queue executes before microtasks:
  - "nextTick" is logged next.
- 3. Microtasks execute:
  - "Promise 1" is logged.
- 4. Macrotasks execute (next event loop cycle):
  - "setTimeout inside promise" is logged.

## **Final Output**

```
arduino
nested promise
nextTick
Promise 1
setTimeout inside promise
```

------

User:

output coming: % node src/practise/eventloop4.js nested promise Promise 1 nextTick setTimeout inside promise

------

ChatGPT:

You're right! Let's analyze why the actual output is:

```
arduino
nested promise
Promise 1
nextTick
setTimeout inside promise
```

## Step-by-Step Breakdown

The key to understanding this is the priority of microtasks (Promise then(...)) versus process nextTick(...).

### **Step 1: Initial Execution**

```
js
Promise.resolve().then(() => {
    Promise.resolve("Promise 1").then(console.log);
    process.nextTick(() => console.log("nextTick"));
    console.log("nested promise");
    setTimeout(() => console.log("setTimeout inside promise"), 0);
});
```

- Promise.resolve().then(...) schedules a microtask.
- The function inside . then (...) runs after the current execution stack finishes.

## Step 2: Running the First .then(...) Callback

Once the first microtask runs, it executes:

- 1. Promise.resolve("Promise 1").then(console.log);
  - This schedules "Promise 1" as a microtask.

- 2. process.nextTick(() => console.log("nextTick"));
  - This schedules "nextTick" in the nextTick queue.
- 3. console.log("nested promise");
  - Synchronous statement → Executes immediately, so "nested promise" is printed first.
- 4. setTimeout(() => console.log("setTimeout inside promise"), 0);
  - This schedules "setTimeout inside promise" in the **macrotask queue** (setTimeout callback).

## Step 3: Processing Microtasks and nextTick

At this point:

- The synchronous stack is finished.
- Now, the event loop handles the microtask queue.
- BUT in Node.js, nextTick runs after the current operation but before the next event loop iteration.

The order of execution is:

- 1. Microtask: "Promise 1" logs first.
- NextTick Queue: "nextTick" logs next.

## Step 4: Processing Macrotasks

- The **macrotask queue** runs only after microtasks and nextTicks are cleared.
- The setTimeout callback runs, logging "setTimeout inside promise".

### **Final Output**

```
arduino
nested promise // Synchronous execution
Promise 1 // First microtask executes
nextTick // process.nextTick runs
setTimeout inside promise // setTimeout callback (macrotask)
```

## **Key Takeaways**

- 1. process.nextTick runs **before** normal microtasks (Promise.then).
- 2. Microtasks run **before** macrotasks (setTimeout).
- 3. The nextTick queue is emptied before handling other microtasks, **except** when a microtask is created inside another microtask (as seen here).

Would you like a visual event loop diagram for this? \*\*

#### User:

 $setTimeout(() => \{ \ setTimeout(() => \ console.log("nested \ setTimeout"), \ 0); \ Promise.resolve().then(() => \ console.log("Promise inside \ setTimeout")); \ console.log("setTimeout"); \ \}, \ 0); \ predict \ the \ output$ 

ChatGPT:

Let's analyze the execution step by step.

### **Code Execution**

```
js
setTimeout(() => {
    setTimeout(() => console.log("nested setTimeout"), 0);
    Promise.resolve().then(() => console.log("Promise inside setTimeout"));
    console.log("setTimeout");
}, 0);
```

## **Step 1: Initial Execution**

- The setTimeout(..., 0) schedules a macrotask (callback inside setTimeout).
- Since there's no other synchronous code, the event loop proceeds to handle the **next macrotask**.

## Step 2: Executing the First setTimeout Callback

Once the macrotask queue is processed, the first setTimeout callback executes:

```
js
setTimeout(() => console.log("nested setTimeout"), 0);
```

This schedules another macrotask (nested setTimeout).

```
js
Promise.resolve().then(() => console.log("Promise inside setTimeout"));
```

• This schedules a microtask.

```
js
console.log("setTimeout");
```

This executes immediately inside the macrotask.

## **Step 3: Processing Microtasks**

After the current macrotask completes, the event loop:

1. **Processes microtasks first** → "Promise inside setTimeout" is logged.

## Step 4: Executing the Second setTimeout

- Now, the next macrotask (nested setTimeout) executes.
- "nested setTimeout" is logged.

## **Final Output**

javascript
setTimeout
Promise inside setTimeout
nested setTimeout

## **Execution Order Summary**

- 1. First macrotask (setTimeout fires)
  - Logs "setTimeout"
  - Schedules "Promise inside setTimeout" (microtask)
  - Schedules "nested setTimeout" (macrotask)
- 2. Microtasks execute before handling the next macrotask
  - Logs "Promise inside setTimeout"
- 3. Next macrotask (nested setTimeout fires)
  - Logs "nested setTimeout"

### **Key Takeaways**

- 1. Microtasks (Promise.then(...)) run before the next macrotask (setTimeout).
- 2. Each setTimeout callback is a separate macrotask.
- 3. Microtasks are always processed between macrotasks.

zation for better understand	aing ? 🎀	

**ب** 

User:

\* \*\*Each `setTimeout` callback is a separate macrotask.\*\*

Do you mean its not like recursive Promise chain or recursive nextTick?

#### ChatGPT:

Yes, exactly! Unlike a recursive **Promise chain** or **recursive process.nextTick**, where each callback schedules the next microtask before the event loop moves on, multiple setTimeout calls **do not form a continuous synchronous execution chain**. Instead, each setTimeout callback is placed in the **macrotask queue** and will only execute after all microtasks and previous macrotasks have been processed.

### Difference from Recursive Promise Chain / Recursive nextTick

- 1. Recursive Promise.then/process.nextTick
  - Since microtasks run before any new macrotasks, a recursively chained promise or nextTick keeps adding more microtasks, effectively blocking macrotasks (like setTimeout) until the recursion stops.
  - Example:

```
js
Promise.resolve().then(function recursive() {
   console.log("Microtask");
   Promise.resolve().then(recursive);
});
setTimeout(() => console.log("Macrotask"), 0);
```

### Output (never reaches setTimeout):

```
python-repl
Microtask
Microtask
Microtask
... (infinite loop)
```

### 2. Recursive setTimeout

- Each setTimeout schedules a separate macrotask that the event loop processes one at a time, allowing other macrotasks and microtasks to execute between them.
- Example:

```
js
function recursiveSetTimeout() {
   console.log("Macrotask");
   setTimeout(recursiveSetTimeout, 0);
}
recursiveSetTimeout();
```

### Output (non-blocking, interleaved with other tasks):

```
vbnet
Macrotask
(event loop does other things)
```

02/04/2025, 23:28 Promise Execution Order

Macrotask (event loop does other things)

# **Key Difference**

- Recursive Promises (.then) or process.nextTick → Blocks macrotasks (can cause an infinite loop).
- Recursive setTimeout → Allows other macrotasks and microtasks to run between executions.

Would you like a	ı deeper dive into	real-world implicatio	ns of this behavior? 🤊	<b>V</b>	