

Laki To Tambora

A study of ice cores

Thea Quistgaard

Master Thesis 2020/2021



Figure 1: J. M. W. Turner: *The Eruption of the Soufriere Mountains*

Darkness

I had a dream, which was not all a dream.
The bright sun was extinguish'd, and the stars
Did wander darkling in the eternal space,
Rayless, and pathless, and the icy earth
Swung blind and blackening in the moonless air;
Morn came and went—and came, and brought no day.

Lord Byron (1788–1824)

Acknowledgments

Abstract

Todo list

INTRO: References missing in entire section	1
ICE-ISO: Implement illustration of fractionation and deposition	6
ICE-DIFF: DESCRIBE HOW TO SOLVE FOR SIGMA and a discussion of the ice diffusion constant(ice diffusivity?).	11
Make illustration of ice vs. firn diffusion to see if ice diffusion can be neglected.	13
Implement and write about thinning function.	13
ICE-TEMP.EST: Give an example of temperature estimation?	17
DATA: Make spatial map of B-cores locations.	24
DATA: Make map of Alphabet core locations.	25
Make references to where values in table are from	26
DATA-ALPHABET-SPECS: Put rest of specifications in appendix.	26
References here.	46
COMPMETH-PEAKDET: Write about better peak detection with cubic spline interpolation (enhanced resolution)	47
SIGNAL-INTERP: REFERENCE!!	48

RES-DATAEST: Write entire section.	70
RES-DATAESTSTST: Write entire section.	70
RES-DATAESTACCUM: Write entire section.	71
RES-DATAESTCFM: Write entire section.	71
CONC: Write a better conclusion. Please..	75
Make specifications for cores.	82
SIGNAL-MEM: Write this entire section - maybe not necessary? Maybe use in reconstruction of missing data...	94
COMP-INTERP: REFERENCE!!!	96
COMP-INTERP: REFERENCES!!	97
SIGNAL-SYNTHDATA: Write about synthetic data generation.	100
ICE-DENS: Figure out where this comes from.	104

Contents

Darkness	ii
Acknowledgments	ii
Abstract	iii
List of Figures	x
List of Tables	xii
Listings	xii

1	Introduction	1
1.1	The Study of Ice Cores	1
1.2	A Rare Gem	2
1.3	Using the Rare Gem	4
2	Ice Theory	5
2.1	Water Isotopes	5
2.1.1	δ Notation	5
2.1.2	Relation to Temperature	6
2.2	Densification and Diffusion	6
2.2.1	Densification	7
2.2.1.1	HL in This Thesis	8
2.2.2	Diffusion	9
2.2.2.1	In Firn	9
2.2.2.2	In Solid Phase	10
2.2.3	Reconstruction of temperatures	11
2.3	CFM	14
2.3.1	Iso-CFM	14
2.3.1.1	Diffusion Length Profiles	15
2.4	Temperature Estimation	17
2.5	ECM and DEP	17
2.5.1	ECM	18
2.5.2	Volcanic Horizons	20
3	Data	21
3.1	Volcanic Horizons	21
3.1.1	Corrected Depth	22
3.1.2	Gaussian Distribution	23
3.2	Selection	24
3.2.1	AWI B-cores	24
3.2.2	Crete Area	25
3.2.2.1	Data Specifications	26
4	Signal Analysis & Comp. Meth.	29
4.1	Spectral Transforms	31
4.1.1	PSD	31
4.1.1.1	Spectral Transforms	32
4.2	Back Diffusion	35
4.2.1	Signal Restoration	35
4.2.1.1	Spectral Filtering	36

4.2.1.2	Final Restoration	39
4.2.2	ALT from Spectral Analysis	40
4.2.2.1	Change in l_{sec}	41
4.2.2.2	Change in l_{shift}	43
4.3	Peak Detection	46
4.4	Splines and Interpolation	47
4.4.1	Interpolation	48
4.4.2	Interpolation in this Project	49
5	Method	51
5.1	σ Estimation Method	51
5.1.1	Module 1: Initialization and Back Diffusion	52
5.1.2	Module 2	54
5.1.3	Constrained Peak Detection	56
5.1.4	Corrections on σ	57
5.1.4.1	Ice Diffusion, σ_{ice}	59
5.1.4.2	Sampling Diffusion, σ_{dis}	59
5.1.4.3	Thinning Function $S(z)$	59
5.2	Testing and Stability	59
5.2.1	Constraints or No Constraints	59
5.2.2	Effects of Interpolations	59
5.2.2.1	Interpolation 1	59
5.2.2.2	Interpolation 2	61
5.2.3	Spectral Transforms	64
5.2.3.1	Visual Inspection	64
5.2.3.2	Speed Examination	64
5.2.4	LT locations	64
5.2.4.1	Vary L and T	64
5.2.4.2	Vary T	64
5.2.4.3	Vary L	64
5.2.4.4	2 Month Variability	64
5.3	Upgrades	64
5.3.1	Peak Detection	65
5.3.2	Optimization Routine	65
6	Results	69
6.1	Annual Layer Thickness	69
6.2	Diffusion Lengths	70
6.2.1	AWI B-cores	70
6.2.2	Alphabet Cores	70

6.2.2.1	Diffusion Length V N Peaks	70
6.2.2.2	σ v. Spectral Transforms	70
6.2.2.3	σ Constant or Variable	70
6.2.2.4	σ Constraints or No constraints	70
6.2.2.5	Final σ Estimates	70
6.3	Temperature Estimates from Data	70
6.3.1	Steady State Solution	70
6.3.1.1	Accumulation Distributions	71
6.3.2	Iso-CFM Possibilities	71
7	Conclusion	75
Bibliography		77
APPENDICES		79
Appendices		81
Appendix I: Firn Diffusivity		81
Appendix II: AWI B-cores		82
Core Specifications		82
Appendix III: Alphabet Cores		86
Core Specifications		88
Appendix IV: Spectral Transforms		89
DFT & FFT		89
NUFT		91
DCT		92
NDCT		93
MEM		94
Appendix V: Splines and Interpolations		95
Existence and Uniqueness		95
Polynomial		96
Piecewise Polynomial		97
Appendix VII: Synthetic Diffusion		100
Appendix IIX: HL Empirical Model		101
Appendix IX: Iso-CFM		105
Diffusivity		105
Diffusion Length Profiles		108
Appendix X: DEP		112

List of Figures

1	J. M. W. Turner: <i>The Eruption of the Soufrière Mountains</i>	i
1.1	Summers and Winters between Laki and Tambora	2
1.2	For Introduction	3
2.1	10 m of Crête ice core with dating.	5
2.2	Densification process	7
2.3	Five theoretical density profile examples.	8
2.4	Site A density profile, data and theory.	8
2.5	Rate terms in the diffusion equation.	16
2.6	Analytical solutions of diffusion length, Alphabet cores.	16
2.7	Five different analytical diffusion length profiles.	17
2.8	Conductivity and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ measurements from Site A.	18
3.1	Summers and Winters between Laki and Tambora	21
3.2	ECM and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ data between Laki and Tambora, Site A.	22
3.3	Corrected depth positions of Laki and Tambora events.	23
3.4	Corrected Tambora event, Site A.	24
3.5	Corrected Laki event, Site A.	24
3.6	ECM and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ data between Laki and Tambora, Site B23.	25
3.7	All Alphabet core $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ profiles in full.	26
3.8	All Alphabet core $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ profiles, depths from Laki to Tambora.	27
4.1	30
4.2	FFT, DCT, NDCT, Site A	35
4.3	FFT, DCT, NDCT PSDs, Site A	36
4.4	FFT, DCT, NDCT PSDs with Fit, Site A	39
4.5	Wiener filter	39
4.6	Frequency filters example, Site A	40
4.7	λ estimation example, Site B, in frequency domain.	41
4.8	λ for Full Cores	42

4.9	λ Depth Profiles, Different l_{sec}	43
4.10	λ_{LT} vs. Section Length	44
4.11	λ Depth Profiles, Different s_{sec}	45
4.12	$\lambda_{\text{LT}0}$ vs. s_{sec}	45
4.13	10 m of Crête core with peaks and troughs.	46
4.14	Even resampling of unevenly sampled data, Site A.	47
4.15	Different resamplings of Site A.	48
5.1	Flowchart of initialization method.	53
5.2	For Method (optimization)	55
5.3	σ vs. N Peaks	56
5.4	Back diffused data, Site A, different resamplings before deconvolution.	58
5.5	Site B Constrained vs. Unconstrained	59
5.6	Diffusion length versus resampling size before deconvolution, all cores.	61
5.7	Back diffused data, Site A, different resamplings after deconvolution.	62
5.8	Diffusion length versus resampling size after deconvolution, all cores.	63
5.9	Qualitative Example of Spectral Transform's Effect on σ	64
5.10	Speed and σ Estimates, Spectral Transforms	64
5.11	Diffusion Length Variations, Varying Laki and Tambora	65
5.12	Diffusion Length Variations, Varying only Laki	66
5.13	Diffusion Length Variations, Varying only Tambora	66
5.14	Illustration of 2 Month Standard Deviation Variation of Volcanic Events Locations	67
5.15	2 Month Variation of Event Locations, Site A	68
6.2	σ vs. N Peaks	70
6.1	σ vs. N Peaks	70
6.3	Steady State Temperature Distributions	73
1	AWI B-cores density, theory and data.	84
2	AWI B-cores, theory and averaged data.	85
3	Alphabet cores, in full.	86
4	Alphabet cores, Laki to Tambora depth.	87
5	Monomials	97
6	Illustration of AR-1 process.	100
7	AR-1 process with diffusion.	100
8	AR-1 process in the spectral domain, raw and diffused.	101
9	Five different modelled density profiles.	105

10	Rate terms of the diffusion equation.	109
11	Modelled diffusion lengths of Alphabet cores.	110
12	Five different modelled diffusion length profiles.	111

List of Tables

3.1	Corrected Laki and Tambora positions.	24
3.2	Core specifications, Site A.	26
5.1	Constraint parameters	57
5.2	Tested sample resolutions for resampling before back-diffusion. . .	61
6.1	λ_A Estimate at LT	69
6.2	σ Estimates Given Spectral Transforms	71
6.3	σ Given Constant or Varying	72
6.4	σ Estimates Given Unconstrained and Constrained Method	72
6.5	Final σ Estimates	73
6.6	Steady State Temperature Estimates	73
1	Core specifications for core drilled at Site A.	82
2	Core specifications for core drilled at Site A.	88

Listings

Chapter 1

Introduction

INTRO: References missing in entire section

1.1 The Study of Ice Cores

The studies of ice cores have revealed much information and knowledge about the dynamics of the world's past climate, atmosphere and geology through measured proxies such as isotopic and chemical compositions, and conductivity among others. By disclosing information about our past, the analysis of ice cores leads us to a greater understanding of the behaviors of the Earth system, which opens up for the possibilities of modeling and predicting the future that lies ahead of us.

When analyzing ice cores it is most important that a relationship between depth and age is accurately established, as these timescales are of the essence for building empirical models and reconstructing paleoenvironments. Dating of ice cores can be attempted through a variety of methods: visual inspection of annual layers in data, known volcanic events detected in the ice or radiocarbon dating, to name a few.

A difficulty, that arises when dating ice cores, is the effects of diffusion through the firn column. Both gas and water molecules can diffuse through the firn which presents a number of hurdles for the dating. First of, the diffusion of gases in firn, through air pockets connected to the atmosphere, makes the age of the gas in the ice younger than the age of the firn at the same depth. Secondly, the diffusion of solid state molecules present in the firn, like water molecules, erases some of the signal, when measuring different properties of the ice. This erasure is commonly described through the average diffusion




Figure 1.1: Theoretical summers and winters in the time span between the Laki and Tambora volcanic depositions in Greenland.

length of a molecule at a given depth, σ .

The diffusion length is determined from a variety of parameters: the depth, the annual average accumulation, the ice flow and the temperature. By understanding which parameters affect σ , it might be possible to use this signal erasure difficulty to gain more knowledge about paleoconditions: if it is possible to empirically estimate a diffusion length at a given depth, it may be achievable to reconstruct the temperature for this interval.

1.2 A Rare Gem of Knowledge

Some measured signals in the ice cores contain annual cycles. For example, the water isotopes in the firn are sensitive to temperature, leading to a clear summer-to-winter cycle. This makes it relatively easy to date shallow ice cores as the cycles can be counted, but as diffusion takes place in the firn column, some of this signal is washed away. Luckily, another method can be utilized to date the ice: detection of known volcanic events through electrical conductivity measurements. By knowing the time of a certain volcanic event and matching this with the depth of the detected event in the ice, it is possible to set some very certain dates on the timescale of the ice.

An example of this volcanic event dating is through the volcanic eruptions of the Icelandic volcano Laki in 1783 and the Indonesian Volcano of Tambora in 1815. Both eruptions are very well historically documented and are visible and detectable in a great number of ice cores. This does not only make it possible to generally date different ice cores, but it also allows for in-depth analysis of the diffusion and densification processes in the ice.

By considering an isotopic depth series situated between two volcanic events, it is possible to back diffuse this series over the known time span in years - or even months - using the diffusion length as a tuning parameter. This is an optimal way to empirically estimate the diffusion length of a given depth interval which makes it possible to obtain a temperature reconstruction of this interval, as σ is temperature sensitive.

The goal is thus to reconstruct the lost signal by a back diffusion scheme, tuning σ of the diffusion process, until the known actual number of winters/summers can be counted as peaks and valleys in the depth signal. Then the estimated optimal diffusion length can be used to make a temperature estimate of the given interval. The back diffusion method is built on both empirical models and signal analysis of the measured data.




Figure 1.2: For Introduction

1.3 Utilizing the Rare Gem

In this thesis an introduction to diffusion of water isotopes in ice cores is firstly presented along with methods for modeling densification and diffusion profiles. Following is a brief examination of different experimental methods for detection of volcanic deposited material and which methods has been used for the data under inspection. The chosen data are then presented along with an argumentation of why they were selected. Then a thorough presentation of data and signal analysis along with important computational methods are presented. These different tools are then combined in the method description, depicting a walk-through and testing of the final algorithm developed for estimating the diffusion length given the specific number of years. The final method is tested and further developed and fine tuned, and results given the last iteration of the method are presented. On the basis of these results, finally, a temperature reconstruction of the area of the drill sites is attempted.

Chapter 2

The Theory of Ice Cores

2.1 Water Isotopes

A corner stone in ice core analysis, which helps lay the basis for paleo climate research, is the measurements of isotopic composition of the water which makes up the ice - or that of encapsulated air in bubbles throughout the ice. Water isotopes are sensitive to temperature changes and can thus be used as a proxy for paleo temperature and climate along with being used as dating parameters, since the annual cycles often are detectable in water isotope data.




Figure 2.1: Ten meters of the top of Cret  ice core, with identification and dating of 19 annual layers.

2.1.1 δ Notation

Water isotopic ratios, i.e. the ratio of the minority isotope, $H_2^{18}O$ or $H_2^{17}O(^2H_2O)$, compared to the majority isotope, $H_2^{16}O (^1H_2O)$, are used to report the quantities of isotopes in a sample relative to the ratio of a given reference water

sample. This is commonly expressed in the δ -notation as:

$$\delta^i = \frac{iR_{sample}}{iR_{reference}} - 1 \quad (2.1)$$

where ${}^{18}R = \frac{n_{18O}}{n_{16O}}$, ${}^2R = \frac{n_{2H}}{n_{1H}}$ and ${}^{17}R = \frac{n_{17O}}{n_{16O}}$. Here n is the abundance of the given isotope.

ICE-ISO: Implement
illustration of frac-
tionation and deposi-
tion

Besides the isotopic quantities $\delta^{17}O$, $\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta^2H = \delta D$, both deuterium excess and $\Delta^{17}O$, known as ${}^{17}O$ excess, can be of interest. Deuterium excess is usually used as a measure of the kinetic fractionation processes, taking place in the water vapor formation of polar precipitation, giving an indicator of the conditions during precipitation formation, and thus giving a pointer to the source of the water vapor. Like deuterium excess ${}^{17}O$ is sensitive to kinetic fractionation, but much less sensitive to equilibrium fractionation than both δD and $\delta^{18}O$. Along with being nearly insensitive to temperature(REFERENCES), these robustness factors leads to ${}^{17}O$ being usable as an independent parameter to be used to reveal the ways of the complicated mixing effects of fractionation due to evaporation, transportation, formation and deposition.


2.1.2 Water Isotopes in the Earth System

Due to $H_2^{18}O$ being slightly heavier than $H_2^{16}O$, the isotopic signal is depleted of the heavier isotopes through transportation of the water vapor before it is deposited at the ice sheets. A clear annual signal in the $\delta^{18}O$ measurements can be observed due to the seasonal temperature changes from summer to winter at deposition time. This is the main isotopic feature under consideration for this thesis. The greatest issue with this type of signal is that there is a loss of information over time as the snow becomes more compact and diffusion processes wash out some of the signal throughout the ice depth. Thus to be able to examine and analyze isotopic signals more accurate and precisely, the processes of densification and diffusion need to be well understood.

2.2 Densification and Diffusion

Deposited snow will over time be compressed and at last be compacted completely to glacial ice. Any ice state between snow and glacial ice is referred to as *firn*. Throughout the firn column the important processes of densification and diffusion take place. Both processes need to be well understood and

examined when analyzing ice core data, as diffusion and densification play a large role in thinning of annual layers due to compression of snow to ice and in washing out the measured signals through diffusion in the firn. Specifically in this thesis the diffusion processes are carefully examined, as the diffusion length, σ can be used as a proxy for paleotemperatures.



2.2.1 Densification

Densification is the process of compression of snow to ice. It affects the annual layer thickness in the data as snow will be compacted to a smaller volume under pressure from the firn column above until it reaches a solid ice state with an, almost, constant density.

Commonly three stages of densification are described in the firn column. The first stage is between the initial precipitated snow density and the 'critical density' at $0.55 \frac{\text{Mg}}{\text{m}^3}$, the second stage is between critical density and the close-off density at $0.82 - 0.84 \frac{\text{Mg}}{\text{m}^3}$, and the third stage is from close-off and all the way through the glacial ice. The close-off depth refers to the depth, where all air in the firn column becomes closed-off from the atmosphere at the surface, and separate bubbles start to form in the ice.

At the first stage the densification is mostly due to grain settling and packing and the densification rate is very rapid. At the second stage, the snow is close to isolating air bubbles. At the third stage, the dominating densification taking place is by the compression of air bubbles.

For these three stages it is of interest to develop a depth-density profile, which is dependent on snow accumulation rate and temperature. The focus is on developing an empirical model for the first and second stages of densification, as they are the most dramatic sections of the firn column considering densification and diffusion.

A number of different densification models have been developed (REFERENCES), but for this thesis work, only one model is used, namely the Herron-Langway empirical model, first presented by Michael M. Herron and Chester C. Langway Jr in [8, Herron and Langway, 1980]. The basic idea of the model will be presented in the following. For a more thorough mathematical presentation, the reader is referred to Appendix 7 or the original paper.

Figure 2.2: Illustration of the densification process of a firn column, from snow deposition to glacial ice.

2.2.1.1 Herron-Langway Model in This Thesis

The Herron-Langway model (from now on: HL model), is an attempt to describe the densification process through a firn column, given some initial conditions, usually the temperature and accumulation at the site. The model is generally derived from the suggestion that during the process of densification, the proportional change in airspace is linearly related to the change in stress due to the overburdened snow:

$$\frac{d\rho}{\rho_{ice} - \rho} = \text{const. } \rho dh. \quad (2.2)$$

Here ρ being the density of the firn, ρ_{ice} is the density of glacial ice and h is the depth.

This implies, by integration, a linear relationship between $\left[\frac{\rho}{\rho_{ice} - \rho} \right]$ and h . Through empirical analysis of depth-density measurements from a number of different ice cores, and the basic acceptance of Equation 2.2, the rate equations $\left(\frac{d\rho}{dt} \right)_{\text{zone 1}}$ and $\left(\frac{d\rho}{dt} \right)_{\text{zone 2}}$ can be derived, see Appendix 7. From these rate equations, and given temperature, T , accumulation, A , and initial snow density, ρ_0 , it is then possible to estimate the density and age of the snow at any given depth.





Figure 2.3: Density profile examples given five different initial conditions representing present day conditions at the five different ice core locations. Temperature, T_0 , is in $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and accumulation, A_0 , is in meter of water equivalent per year.

In this thesis, the HL model is used to generate an estimate of the density profile for each ice core site under examination. The HL model has been incorporated in the computational works of this thesis as a class module, which computes a diffusion length profile, given initial parameters. An example of five different density profiles computed with this module, given present day conditions at five different ice core drilling sites as initial conditions can be seen in Figure 9. These profiles are then used to give a first diffusion length profile estimate for each core, which is used in the further analysis.

For the purpose of this thesis, an extra module has been added to the HL model class, which makes it possible to incorporate any measured data in the density profile estimation, so as to best approach the profile at the drilling site. This module is implemented by allowing an intake of two arrays consisting of depth and density measurements, which are then used to fit the model, using a least square optimization from `scipy.optimize.leastsq`.

An example of a density profile estimation, both using the measured data and using only the model, can be seen in Figure 2.4.



2.2.2 Diffusion

2.2.2.1 In Firn

Diffusion describes the attenuation of a given signal, e.g. a water isotopic signal, due to vapor phase diffusion in the porous firn column. This vapor phase process takes place in the air pockets of the material from time of deposition to pore close-off.

To develop accurate knowledge of paleo climate and temperatures it is of great importance to understand this process, as a reconstruction of the part of the signal lost will reveal finer details in the signal and thus a more detailed knowledge of past times. Diffusion can be described through Fick's 2nd law, which describes the change in concentration of a substance with time, due to diffusion:

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = D(t) \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial z^2} - \dot{\epsilon}_z(t) z \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \quad (2.3)$$

If we say the diffusion is focused on water isotopes, then we can approximate the water isotopic signal with the concentration, $\phi \approx \delta$, so:

$$\frac{\partial \delta}{\partial t} = D(t) \frac{\partial^2 \delta}{\partial z^2} - \dot{\epsilon}_z(t) z \frac{\partial \delta}{\partial z} \quad (2.4)$$

Through attenuation with depth and time due to diffusion there is a loss of information. But the diffusion constant and the vertical strain rate $\dot{\epsilon}_z(t)$ in Fick's 2nd law are dependent on temperature and accumulation on site, and so this information loss process can be used to infer temperature of firn and accumulation on site. The solution of Eq. 2.4 can be found by deconvolution. The attenuated, directly measured, isotopic signal, $\delta(z)$, can be described as the convolution between the initial isotopic signal, $\delta'(z)$, and a Gaussian filter, $\mathcal{G}(z)$, multiplied by the thinning function, $S(z)$, which describes the total thinning of a given layer at depth z due to the vertical strain from the above firn column.:

$$\delta(z) = S(z)[\delta'(z) * \mathcal{G}(z)] \quad (2.5)$$

where

$$S(z) = e^{\int_0^z \dot{\epsilon}_z(z') dz'} \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$\mathcal{G}(z) = \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{z^2}{2\sigma^2}} \quad (2.7)$$

In the gaussian filter, the variance σ^2 is referred to commonly as the diffusion length: the distance a water molecule is displaced along the z-axis. This

quantity is directly related to both $D(t)$ and $\dot{\epsilon}_z(t)$ (the strain rate being approximately proportional to the densification rate in the column). Thus an accurate estimate of the diffusion length is crucial for describing the diffusion process. The change of diffusion length over time is given as

$$\frac{d\sigma^2}{dt} - 2\dot{\epsilon}_z(t)\sigma^2 = 2D(t) \quad (2.8)$$

given by [9, Johnsen, 1977], which also states that in the case of firn and assuming a site with little ice flow, the vertical strain rate, can be approximated with a simple strain rate, only dependent on the density and its time evolution

$$\dot{\epsilon}_z(t) \approx -\frac{d\rho}{dt} \frac{1}{\rho}, \quad (2.9)$$

where ρ is the density and $\frac{d\rho}{dt}$ is the densification rate. With this approximation, the solution to the equation for evolution of the diffusion length in the firn column can be found, defined only through density and densification rate, as:

$$\sigma^2(\rho) = \frac{1}{\rho^2} \int_{\rho_0}^{\rho} 2\rho'^2 \left(\frac{d\rho'}{dt} \right)^{-1} D(\rho') d\rho'. \quad (2.10)$$

Certain densities and corresponding depths are of special interest as they indicate a specific stage of the firn and ice column. At top and bottom, we find the two extremum densities of settled snow, $\rho_{\text{snow}} = 330 \frac{\text{kg}}{\text{m}^3}$, and ice, $\rho_{\text{ice}} = 917 \frac{\text{kg}}{\text{m}^3}$. In between these two there are two more densities of importance: the critical density, $\rho_{\text{Cr}} = 550 \frac{\text{kg}}{\text{m}^3}$, describing the transition between the two firn stages (see Section 2.2.1), and the pore close off density, $\rho_{\text{co}} = 330 \frac{\text{kg}}{\text{m}^3}$, describing the density at which air pockets in firn will seal off from each other to form single bubbles. From the close off density, further densification will be due to compression of these closed off air bubbles until the density reaches ρ_{ice} . If we assume that the diffusion constant, $D(\rho)$, and the densification rate, $\frac{d\rho}{dt}$ are known, then it is possible to give an estimate of the diffusion length profile by integrating from top, at density ρ_0 , to pore close-off depth, ρ_{co} .

2.2.2.2 In Solid Phase

When firn reaches solid state, below close-off depth, the isotope diffusion is driven not as much by densification any more, but by isotopic gradients within the ice crystal lattice structure. This diffusion process is much slower than the diffusion in vapor phase taking place in firn, and thus does not contribute as much to the information loss and attenuation of the signal. For solid ice,

at $\rho \leq \rho_{\text{ice}}$, the diffusion constant is only dependent on temperature, and can be described through an Arrhenius type equation as(ref: [?, Ramseyer, 1967], [11, Johnsen et al., 2000]):

$$D_{\text{ice}} = 9.2 \cdot 10^{-4} e^{-\frac{7186}{T}} \left[\frac{\text{m}^2}{\text{s}} \right] \quad (2.11)$$

The diffusion length in ice given from the diffusion constant in ice and the thinning function as:

$$\sigma_{\text{ice}}^2(t) = S(t)^2 \int_0^t 2D_{\text{ice}}(t')S(t')^{-2} dt' \quad (2.12)$$

2.2.3 Reconstruction of temperatures

Reconstruction of paleotemperatures can be attempted through a number of various techniques (REFERENCES). For precise and accurate results, the single isotopologue diffusion methods have proven useful(REFERENCES).

As is known, convolution in time domain is equal to multiplication in the frequency domain. According to equation (2.5), the transfer function to the frequency domain, will be the Fourier transform of the Gaussian filter:

$$\mathcal{F}[\mathcal{G}(z)] = \hat{\mathcal{G}} = e^{-\frac{k^2 \sigma^2}{2}}, \quad k = 2\pi f = \frac{2\pi}{\Delta} \quad (2.13)$$

ICE-DIFF: DESCRIBE HOW TO SOLVE FOR SIGMA and a discussion of the ice diffusion constant(ice diffusivity?).

where Δ is the discrete sampling size. This filter keeps larger wavelength frequencies (> 50 cm) unaltered but attenuates short wavelengths (< 20 cm) heavily, which is exactly the effect of diffusion on the isotopic signal. An estimate of the diffusion length σ^2 can be made from the power spectral density(PSD) of an isotopic time series. In the frequency domain a PSD composed of an initial signal, a filter function and a noise term is given by:

$$P_s = P_0(k)e^{-k^2 \sigma^2} + |\hat{\eta}(k)|^2, \quad f \in [0, f_{Nq}] \quad (2.14)$$

where the diffused and noise-affected signal, P_s , is equal to the original signal, $P_0(k)$, times a filter, $e^{-k^2 \sigma^2}$ (our previously inspected Gaussian filter), plus a noise term, $|\hat{\eta}(k)|^2$, over a frequency space ranging from zero to the Nyquist frequency, f_{Nq} . The Nyquist frequency is dependent on the sampling resolution by $f_{Nq} = \frac{1}{2\Delta}$. The noise term, often categorized as white noise, but red noise is also seen in isotopic signals(REFERENCES), is given as

$$|\hat{\eta}(k)|^2 = \frac{\sigma_n^2 \Delta}{|1 - a_1 e^{ik\Delta}|^2} \quad (2.15)$$

Equation 2.15 describes an autoregressive process of the first order, with a_1 being an AR-1 coefficient. An AR-n process describes the evolution of a stochastic time series \mathbb{X} where the next time step, X_t is dependent on the last n points, $\{X_{t-n}, \dots, X_{t-1}\}$, and is mathematically defined as:

$$X_t^{(n)} = C + \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i X_{t-i} + \epsilon_t \quad (2.16)$$

where C is a constant, $\bar{\phi} = \{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n\}$ are the model parameters and ϵ_t is the noise added to the given time step. The AR-1 process thus describes a series where each new point is only dependent on the last point before:

$$X_t^{(1)} = C + \phi_1 X_{t-1} + \epsilon_t. \quad (2.17)$$

and the power spectral density of the AR-1 process is, corresponding to Eq. 2.15:

$$S^{(1)}(f) = \frac{\sigma_z^2}{|1 - \phi_1 e^{-2\phi_1 f}|^2} \quad (2.18)$$

The spectral estimate of the time series, \mathbb{P}_s , can be computed via a number of different numerical schemes, here Burg's method will be used, REFERENCES. To determine the diffusion length a fit to these estimated spectral data, P_s , is found through for example a least square optimization, from which the parameters P_0 , σ , a_1 , σ_η^2 can be estimated.

The diffusion length σ^2 can be calculated by least-square minimization of the misfit between \mathbb{P}_s and P_s .

This estimated diffusion length needs to be corrected: the obtained $\hat{\sigma}^2$ is affected by two further diffusion processes, taking place respectively in the ice and in the experimental sampling:

- **Sampling diffusion:** This diffusion is due to the sampling method. Sampling at a certain discrete resolution - be it discrete sections or resolution in CFA system due to step or impulse response - gives an additional diffusion length of

$$\sigma_{dis} = \frac{2\Delta^2}{\pi^2} \ln\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \quad (2.19)$$

- **Ice diffusion** When below the close-off depth, a correction for the ice diffusion must also be made.

So to obtain the actual diffusion length from the raw data, both the sampling and the ice diffusion need to be subtracted from σ^2 , and a scaling factor due to thinning from the strain must be introduced:

$$\sigma_{\text{firn}}^2 = \frac{1}{S(z)^2} \hat{\sigma}_{\text{firn}}^2 = \frac{\hat{\sigma}^2 - \sigma_{\text{dis}}^2 - \sigma_{\text{ice}}^2}{S(z)^2} \quad (2.20)$$

From Eq. 2.20 it is clear that the accuracy of the diffusion length estimate σ_{firn}^2 is dependent on the correction terms σ_{dis}^2 , σ_{ice}^2 and the thinning function $S(z)$. The correction term related to the discrete sampling method used in isotope analysis, σ_{dis}^2 is generally a well managed parameter, as the discretization of the measurements is known. Often though, the discretization varies and the signals are not sampled exactly uniformly, but since they, for the ice cores examined here, have relatively small variations, the parameter is estimated well enough as the mean of all sample sizes in the signal. See section ?? for mean and standard deviations of sample sizes in the measured and examined ice cores.

At relatively shallow depths, as the ones under examination in this thesis, the term σ_{ice}^2 is relatively small compared to the total diffusion length estimate $\hat{\sigma}^2$ and can be either neglected or easily accounted for with simple assumptions on ice flow and borehole temperature.

The final correction parameter, the thinning function $S(z)^2$, has a strong influence on the final diffusion length estimate, and errors from the ice flow modelling will be propagated to the diffusion length estimate, and finally to the temperature estimate. For this project the ice flow model used for estimating the thinning function was...

Make illustration of ice vs. firn diffusion to see if ice diffusion can be neglected.

Now, from the obtained estimate of the firn diffusion length, a temperature estimate can be made by numerically finding the root of:

$$\left(\frac{\rho_{co}}{\rho_i} \right)^2 \sigma^2(\rho = \rho_{co}, T(z), A(z)) - \sigma_{\text{firn}}^2 = 0 \quad (2.21)$$

Implement and write about thinning function.

NOTE: Annual spectral signals appearing as peaks in the PSD, can influence the estimate of diffusion lengths. This can be taken into account by introducing a weight function omitting the annual signal from the PSD:

$$w(f) = \begin{cases} 0, & f_\lambda - df_\lambda \leq f \leq f_\lambda + df_\lambda \\ 1, & f < f_\lambda - df_\lambda, f > f_\lambda + df_\lambda \end{cases} \quad (2.22)$$

2.3 The Community Firn Model

The Community Firn Model (CFM) is an open-source modular Python framework for firn-modelling. It was first developed by [18, Stevens et al, 2020] and later adapted to a different version with a focus on water isotopic diffusion by [5, Gkinis et al, 2019] under the name Iso-CFM, the latter version used in this thesis. The original CFM is modular, meaning that, firstly, it is easy to choose which physical processes should be included in the modelling, and, secondly, it allows for the user to develop new modules that can easily be integrated in the framework, which is what [5] utilized in their work on the Iso-CFM. The main focus of the CFM is on modelling the evolution of firn density and temperature using a Lagrangian (firn parcel-following) grid, where each prace (model volume) represents a layer of firn with uniform properties. This is carried out by first assuming that the accumulation rate at the site under consideration is constant and that the firn-density profile is in a steady state (Sorge's law). The densification rate is computed at each step in the model, using any of the given previously published firn-densification models, provided in the modules, and the firn density is updated in each time step. Following, the firn temperature evolution is computed through a coupled heat-diffusion model, by the use of a finite-volume method, [15, Patankar, 1980]. The model then proceeds by adding a new layer on top of the simulated firn column, described by the provided input parameters (temperature, accumulation rate, density), and the bottom volume of the grid is removed. The user starts by specifying input parameters(firn-densification physics, time-step size, surface boundary conditions and more) in a .json file. A model run is then started by a "spin-up" which determines a steady state model used as the initial condition for the main model run. Thus, for the initial model, a steady state analytic firn-densification Herron-Langway model [8, Herron and Langway, 1980] is used to calculate depth-density and depth-age profiles, using the forced steady state parameters temperature, T_0 and accumulation, \dot{b}_0 . Then stepping forward one time step, it uses the specified densification model to evolve the firn in time. An in depth description of the entire CFM can be found in [18, Stevens et al.].

2.3.1 Iso-CFM

The Iso-CFM building on the Community Firn Model, is a tool for estimating firn diffusion rates of water isotopes, $\delta^{18}\text{O}$, $\delta^{17}\text{O}$ and δD , developed by [6, Gkinis et al., 2021] for use in [6]. It requires two main inputs, temperature and accumulation rate, and no prior knowledge of the isotopic signal is required. The model provides computation of the diffusion lengths for the mentioned

water isotopes. As previously discussed, the diffusion lengths are a metric for the smoothing a signal has undergone and can, along with deconvolution techniques also discussed in this thesis, be used to reconstruct some of the signal that has been otherwise attenuated.

2.3.1.1 Diffusion Length Profiles

The iso-CFM computes a numerical solution for σ using a time-stepping scheme, as is the case for the original CFM, to estimate the most likely diffusion length profile at a given site. From each time step the CFM computes $\frac{d\rho}{dt}$ and T , and the iso-CFM uses these results to calculate the quantity $\frac{d\sigma^2}{dt}$:

$$\frac{d\sigma^2}{dt} = 2 \left(D(t) - \frac{\sigma^2}{\rho} \frac{d\rho}{dt} \right) \quad (2.23)$$

Eq. 52 shows that the diffusion length signal throughout the ice is a result of two processes, opposing each other: the always positive diffusivity term $D(t)$, and the densification process contributing negatively to the change over time, $-\frac{\sigma^2}{\rho} \frac{d\rho}{dt}$. After a certain depth, the densification term comes to dominate and thus the entire equation becomes negative and the value of the diffusion length is decreasing, see Figure 10.

To simplify the work of this thesis, the numerical module of the CFM and the iso-CFM has not been implemented in the final computations, and the diffusion length profiles referred to in the rest of the project are calculated through an analytical method, using equations derived from Eq. 52 analytically. A short walk-through of the derivations are presented in Appendix 7 as they are described in [?, Gkinis et al., 2021]. Five examples of diffusion length profiles given different conditions are presented in Figure 12, with the same conditions as used in 9.

The analytical equations derived in Appendix 7 have been used for creating a contour plot of the analytical solutions for σ_{18} at the close-off density, ρ_{co} . This can be seen in Figure 11. The plot shows six different ice cores drilled in the proximity of the Crête ice core drill site and their diffusion length analytical solutions.

These analytical equations are used to compute diffusion lengths to compare with the optimal diffusion length estimates computed from the raw data. One could advantageously spend some time and energy on using the iso-CFM to numerically compute the comparison diffusion lengths with different




Figure 2.5: Contribution of the diffusion(dashed) and densification(dot-dashed) terms from Eq. 52 to the final analytical diffusion length solution (blue).




Figure 2.6: Crete and surrounding Alphabet cores, as their analytical solutions place them according to observed temperature and accumulation rate.

temperature and accumulation forcing to recreate a diffusion length profile corresponding to the largest likelihood at a given drill site. Since the iso-CFM do consist of many different modules all with different possibilities for parameterisation, it is outside the scope of this project to develop a iso-CFM diffusion length estimates for the examined cores, and only the previously described, simple diffusion length profile estimate will be used in the further work. In-depth methodology and results from the iso-CFM can be found in [?, Gkinis et al., 2021].

2.4 Temperature Estimation

Through the theoretically based analytical (or numerical) estimates of the diffusion length, σ_{model} , and the diffusion length estimate from the isotopic signal of a depth section of an ice core, σ_{firn} , a temperature estimate can be given. This estimate made by numerically solving Eq. 2.21 with T as the unknown variable:

$$\left(\frac{\rho_{\text{co}}}{\rho_{\text{ice}}}\right)^2 \sigma_{\text{model}}^2(\rho = \rho_{\text{co}}, T(z), A(z)) = \sigma_{\text{firn}}^2. \quad (2.24)$$

For the temperature estimates made in this project a secant numerical method[?] was used, through the Python SciPy package `scipy.optimize.newton`. Only the function itself is provided and no derivative is given, so the `scipy.optimize.newton` uses the secant method to find a zero of the function passed. If a derivative was given, the packages would use a Newton-Raphson[?] scheme to find the zero of the function.

2.5 Dating of Ice Cores: ECM and DEP

Electrical conductivity measurements(ECM), dielectric profiling(DEP) and isotopic composition analysis are three distinct ways of analyzing an ice core to examine past temperatures, climate and atmospheric composition. Some of these methods are sensitive to violent volcanic eruptions, which makes it possible to use known eruptions visible in the ice cores as volcanic horizons, and thus making dating of the ice core more precise and absolute. In Figure 2.8 two aligned depth series can be seen: the water isotope profile and the conductivity profile. The conductivity profile shows clear peaks, which are a result of the increased conductivity due to volcanic material deposited along with the snow at the ice core site.




Figure 2.7: Analytically calculated diffusion length profile examples given five different initial conditions representing present day conditions at the five different ice core locations. Temperature, T_0 , is in $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and accumulation, A_0 , is in meter of water equivalent per year.

ICE-TEMP.EST:
Give an example of
temperature estima-
tion?




Figure 2.8: Water isotope measurements aligned with conductivity measurements from the ice core drilled at Site A.

2.5.1 Electrical Conductivity Measurements

The conductivity of ice arises from the current emerging due to the build-up of space charges in the ice structure. This conductivity can be analyzed by measuring the electrical current(DC) - induced by the electric potential and the acid balance - between two electrodes which are moved along the ice cores length. This current will be connected to the acid impurity concentration (pH), in the form of H_3O^+ concentration, of the ice core. Higher levels of acid impurity concentration are due to volcanic eruptions. Large amounts of volcanic gases, i.e. SO_2 , in the atmosphere oxidizes and combines with water to form acid, i.e. sulphuric acid, which is washed out of the air due to precipitation. Thus it is made possible to recognize volcanic horizons in ice cores, and - if the location of the eruption is known - from the amount of acid, the magnitude of the eruption can also be estimated.

High acidity of layers containing volcanic fall-out influence the dielectric constant of ice, so that these layers may be a possible explanation to the internal reflection horizons found in radio-echo sounding.

The measured current can then be transformed into acidity by a calibration curve relating the current, in μA , to the acidity, in $\mu\text{equivalents H}_3\text{O}^+$ per kilogram. To find the calibration parameters, the current and the acidity must be measured - the current through the above mentioned method, and

the acidity through pH measurements of melted ice core samples. The pH measurements must further be corrected for any CO_2 induced H^+ ions (REFERENCES). The relation between acidity $[\text{H}^+]$ (corrected for CO_2 induced H^+) and current I can be expressed in two ways:

- $[\text{H}^+] = (0.017 I^2 + 1.2) \mu\text{equiv. H}^+/\text{kg}$
without a 50% correction for CO_2 surplus.
- $[\text{H}^+] = (0.045 I^{1.73}) \mu\text{equiv. H}^+/\text{kg}$
with a 50% correction for CO_2 surplus.

The salt concentration in the ice can be estimated from measurements of the specific conductivity σ of the melted samples. The salt contribution hereto can be expressed as:

$$\sigma_s = \sigma - \sigma(\text{H}^+) - \sigma(X^-) - \sigma(\text{HCO}_3^-) \quad (2.25)$$

where the three later terms correspond to the contributions from H^+ (through pH measurements) and its anions¹, HCO_3^- and any other anions X^- . The anion concentration will be equal to the cation concentration, which in this case is only H^+ concentration. Disregarding low acidity samples, the concentration of HCO_3^- is negligible and thus $\text{concentration}(X^-) \approx \text{concentration}(\text{H}^+)$. The current is thus heavily influenced on/determined by the H^+ concentration, and thus it is approximated that the salt concentration has no influence on the current readings, which is fortunate, since the ECM method only responds to acidity, and not to salt and ammonia concentrations. This is one of the methods limitations, which the later dielectric profiling (see Appendix 7) method took into account.

Though DEP measurements might have been more sensitive to salt and ammonia concentrations and thus would have given a different signal, the data available for conductivity for the ice cores under consideration in this thesis are made through the ECM method. In Figure ?? the raw ECM signal can be seen, aligned with the isotopic signal. Marked in blue is the estimated depth between the two eruptions Laki and Tambora.

²Anions are molecules losing a number of electrons to become negatively charged.
Cations are molecules that gain a number of electrons to become positively charged.

2.5.2 Dating of Ice Cores Through Volcanic Horizons

Throughout the history of the earth a number of different geophysical events have left their mark on the geological and glaciological records we use to steal a glance into the past. When considering ice core records, there are few as visible - both to the eye and in measured data - than volcanic eruptions. It is widely known [REFERENCE] that eruptions above a certain scale have the possibility to change not only the atmospheric composition, due to the heavy amount of volcanic material slung into the air, but also the ability to impact the climate in the years following an enormous eruption.

Through electrical conductivity measurements it is possible to observe the very clear effects of some volcanic events in ice cores. Particles from the eruption are quickly transported from the source, since the atmospheric airflow will scatter the particles all over the atmosphere at a relatively high speed. Thus the dust(particle) and ECM signals pick up the volcanic signal faster than for example the isotopic signals. The isotopic signal reacts much slower, as it must be subjected to a change in global - and then following local - temperature, which might first show after a number of years. Thus ECM, DEP and dust measurements are good records to use for dating ice cores. Some eruptions are only great enough to show in ice cores located close to the volcanic source [REFERENCE], while others are of a magnitude impacting the entire globe, thus showing in almost all ice core records. These volcanic horizons are specifically good for synchronizing records, which is essential for developing knowledge about the geographically varying climate, temperatures and hemispherical dependency of the past.

Chapter 3

Isotopic Data: Laki to Tambora as Seen in N Ice Cores.

3.1 Determining Time of Volcanic Material Deposition




Figure 3.1: Theoretical summers and winters in the time span between the Laki and Tambora volcanic depositions in Greenland.

For this thesis, two volcanic horizons have been in focus, namely the eruption of the Icelandic volcano Laki in 1783 and the Indonesian volcano Tambora in 1815. Due to delay in atmospheric transport ([?, Wei et al., 2008], [?, Cole-Dai et al, 2009]), the volcanic material from Tambora was first deposited around the summertime of 1816. This reveals a time span between volcanic material deposition from the two eruptions of 33 summers(peaks) and 33 winters(troughs).The essence of this project is to restore as much of

the diffused signal as possible, while obeying the constraint, that the isotopic signal must correspond to this time span, that is, the signal must exhibit 33 peaks and 33 troughs.




Figure 3.2: Conductivity measurements aligned with water isotope measurements at the depth corresponding to the time between eruptions at Laki and Tambora.

In Figure 3.2, the isotopic and the conductivity signals have been aligned from the assumed positions of the Laki and Tambora depositions. The Laki deposition signal is especially well-defined, as it originates from a volcano in the vicinity of the Greenlandic ice core. The Tambora signal on the other hand is more smudged and has a wider signal with a less well-defined peak. This is due to the large hemispheric distance between Greenland and Indonesia, and the time it thus takes for the volcanic material to be distributed through the atmosphere and finally deposited at Greenland.

Specifically considering the constraint involving the 33 troughs, there might be room for some error, as it is clear that if the deposition happened just a bit earlier or later than expected, there might one or two troughs more or less.

3.1.1 Corrected Depth Estimate

[?, Clausen & Hammer, 1988] presented estimates of the Laki and Tambora positions from the ECM measurements previously presented in this thesis. These positions have in this thesis been revisited and corrected to a more fitting central value, which can be seen in Figure ?? and Table 3.1. In the

table the subscript 'CH' refers to values as found in [?, Clausen & Hammer, 1988] and subscript 'TQ' refers to new estimates as found in this thesis. m corresponds to what is estimated to be middle of the event and s corresponds to the estimated width of the event.




Figure 3.3: Corrected depth positions durations for the volcanic signal of Laki and Tambora in the ECM data. Green shades corresponds to previously estimated depths, from [?, Clausen & Hammer, 1988], and blue shades corresponds to newly estimated positions and durations.

3.1.2 Gaussian Distribution

From the corrected middle and width estimates for the volcanic events observed in ECM data, it is possible to investigate what happens to the further analysis if the event location is moved from a fixed point. This gives a possibility of estimating the locations as Gaussian distributions, with a mean equal to the middle value and a standard deviation of $1/4$ (Tambora) or $1/5$ (Laki) of the width, and thus makes it possible to draw the laki and Tambora locations from these distributions. This enables further analysis of the developed method, as the stability can be tested by varying the positions of the volcanic events within these Gaussian distributions. To be able to use the developed

Core	Tambora				Laki			
	m_{CH} [m]	m_{TQ} [m]	s_{CH} [m]	s_{TQ} [m]	m_{CH} [m]	m_{TQ} [m]	s_{CH} [m]	s_{TQ} [m]
Site A	70.90	70.90	0.65	0.40	80.85	80.87	0.30	0.34
Site B	73.00	73.01	0.55	0.57	83.70	83.82	0.45	0.30
Site D	81.50	81.55	0.55	0.70	93.80	93.95	0.55	0.30
Site E	53.40	53.43	0.40	0.48	62.95	62.90	0.35	0.45
Site G	60.50	60.48	0.75	0.82	69.40	69.38	0.35	0.35

Table 3.1: Original and corrected middle, m , and width, s , values for Laki and Tambora deposition events.




Figure 3.4: Example of Gaussian distribution of the volcanic event from Tambora, generated from observations of the ECM data, Site A. μ_T for the distribution is set to be equal to the middle point, s_T , and the standard deviation, σ_T^2 is set to be $s_T/4$.




Figure 3.5: Example of Gaussian distribution of the volcanic event from Laki, generated from observations of the ECM data, Site A. μ_L for the distribution is set to be equal to the middle point, s_L , and the standard deviation, σ_L^2 is set to be $s_L/5$.

method, it might be necessary to make the constraints of 33 peaks and 33 troughs soft but the stability of these constraints is also something that can be investigated through this method. Figures 3.5 and 3.4 show examples of Gaussian distributions generated from investigation of midpoint and width of the volcanic events.

3.2 Selection of Isotopic Data

The method developed in this project is very general and can hopefully be used for information reconstruction and diffusion length estimation in a great number of different ice cores. But to develop a general algorithm one must first test it on specific data sets. I chose to focus mainly on a number of shallow ice cores, the Alphabet cores near the Greenlandic ice core Crete, and especially on the core drilled at Site A, see Figure ?? for location of the different cores examined.

3.2.1 AWI B-cores: Core B23

Before choosing to focus mainly on the Alphabet cores, some time was spent on examining a number of cores of length between 100-175 m drilled during the North Greenland Transverse (NGT) between 1993 and 1995 in northern Greenland, from now on referred to as the AWI (Alfred-Wegener-Institut) B-cores, [21, Weissbach et al. 2016]. These were primarily chosen due to their great spatial coverage of an area of roughly 10 % of the Greenland ice sheet. This could have proven very useful for using the method developed here to estimate a spatial-temporal map of the covered area in the period between the eruptions of Laki and Tambora. Unfortunately the data from the AWI B-cores were not of high enough quality to meet the requirements of the

following data analysis. Of the twelve AWI B cores available, only seven had corresponding electrical conductivity measurements with recognizable Laki and Tambora signals. Out of these seven only three were of adequate quality and resolution to subsequently be analyzed, see Appendix ???. The $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and electrical conductivity profiles of one of the three high-quality cores from the NGT can be seen in Figure 3.6.




Figure 3.6: $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and conductivity profile of the AWI B-core B23. The dashed lines represent the suggested locations of the Laki and Tambora eruptions as matched in [21, Weissbach et al. 2016]

3.2.2 Crete and Surrounding Alphabet Cores: Site A

The cores drilled in 1984-85 around the Crête core consist of the 400 m Crête core obtained in 1974 [?] and eight shallow cores of varying length, between 25 m and 130 m, drilled in the Crête vicinity with a spatial coverage of 150×150 km, [3, Clausen, Gundestrup, Johnsen 1988]. Only two cores were not of use for this project, due to their shallow maximal depth, Site C and Site F, and the remaining seven cores, along with the Crête core, make up the eight cores in focus of this project. They are all well-documented, [?, Clausen & Hammer, 1988], [3, Clausen, Gundestrup, Johnsen 1988], and of high resolution making them ideal for the data and signal analysis used in the scope of this thesis. The Alphabet cores under consideration are presented in their full length in Figure 3, and at the Laki to Tambora depth sections in Figure 4.

DATA: Make map of Alphabet core locations.




Figure 3.7: All Alphabet cores used in thesis in their full length. Blue sections correspond to depth of Laki to Tambora

3.2.2.1 Data Specifications

Make references to where values in table are from

DATA-ALPHABET-SPECS: Put rest of specifications in appendix.

Example of one cores specification is presented in table 2. The specifications for the remaining cores can be seen in Appendix ???. d describes depth of event, A describes accumulation rate, T describes temperature, ρ describes density at given depth and s describes the width of a given event. Subscripts L and T stands for volcanic events Laki and Tambora, respectively, subscript 0 describes initial surface condition, and superscripts CH and TQ represents the original and corrected values for depth and width of events.

Site A

d_L^{CH}	[m]	80.85
d_T^{CH}	[m]	70.9
A_0^{WE}	[m]	0.307
A_0^{IE}	[m]	0.282
T_0	[°C]	-29.41
ρ_0	[kg/m³]	343.0
z_0		0.55
s_L^{CH}	[cm]	30.0
s_T^{CH}	[cm]	65.0
ρ_L	[kg/m³]	836.0




Figure 3.8: All Alphabet cores used in thesis at the depth corresponding to Laki to Tambora.

Chapter 4

Signal Analysis and Computational Methods

The presented data obtained through various experimental measurements, electrical conductivity measurements and water isotopic measurements, are easily compared with a time series, as they typically show some quantity measured all along the depth of an ice core. This depth is often, at short intervals, treated as a regular linear time series thus making it possible to use some of the known signal analysis methods. Of course, when considering the entirety of an ice core, the linearity disappears as thinning and compression makes the depth series non linear. But when considering short lengths of core it is possible to estimate a linearity, assuming conformity in this specific layer.

This section will contain a detailed presentation of the signal analysis and computational methods used to obtain the restored and enhanced signal that is sought after. In Figure 4.1 the general back diffusion method for signal restoration and enhancement is presented, with the specific modules described in this section highlighted in bold text and with an emerald colour.

The method presented in Figure 4.1 is a subpart of the final algorithm that will be presented in the subsequent chapters. Figure 4.1 describes the flow of back diffusing a measured depth series given a empirically modelled σ . Thus it describes a single process, which is implemented in the optimization algorithm later on, where the diffusion length is the unknown parameter that is being optimized.

In this chapter spectral analysis and frequency filtering are presented as the main signal analysis methods used. The description of spectral analysis also contains a walk through of how back diffusion is carried out through spectral analysis. As computational tools this chapter presents spectral transforms, interpolation and constrained peak detection, all methods used as instruments to improve accuracy and precision of the analysis.




Figure 4.1

4.1 Spectral Analysis of Time Series

4.1.1 Power Spectral Densities

A very useful tool for analyzing signals exhibiting oscillatory effects is analysis of the signals power spectrum. Instead of considering the signal in time, it is transformed to the spectral domain, where it is possible to obtain an estimate of both the signal and the underlying noise. This is crucial for enhancing the signal and filtering away noise. But to be able to examine these effects, first the data must be transformed. A range of different methods may be used to compute the frequency transform of the depth series, here I present the three I have been working with. Since the data are discrete and experimental, I will be presenting the discrete and applicable mathematical models.

When considering a signal, it may be of interest to investigate how the energy of said signal is distributed with frequency. The total power is defined as:

$$\text{Total Power} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |X(\tau)|^2 d\tau. \quad (4.1)$$

Using Parseval's theorem (REFERENCE) (assuming that the signal has a finite total energy), the power of the signal can alternatively be written as

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |X(\tau)|^2 d\tau = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\tilde{X}(f)|^2 df \quad (4.2)$$

where $\tilde{X}(f)$ is the spectral (Fourier) transform of the signal, from time to frequency domain, defined as:

$$\tilde{X}(f) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} X(\tau) e^{2\pi i f \tau} d\tau \quad (4.3)$$

and the inverse spectral (Fourier) transform, from frequency to time domain, defined as:

$$X(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{X}(f) e^{-2\pi i f t} df. \quad (4.4)$$

Both $X(t)$ and $\tilde{X}(f)$ represent the same function, just in different variable domains. Often, the angular frequency ω is used instead, with the

relation between ω and f being $\omega \equiv 2\pi f$, giving the Fourier and inverse Fourier transforms as:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{X}(\omega) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} X(t)e^{i\omega\tau} d\tau \\ X(\tau) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{X}(\omega)e^{-i\omega\tau} d\omega\end{aligned}\tag{4.5}$$

From Equation 4.2 we can interpret the integrand on the right hand side $|\tilde{X}(f)|^2$ as a density function, describing the energy per unit frequency. This is a property which is able to reveal much information about the considered signal, and it is useful to define this as the (one-sided) Power Spectral Density:

$$P_X(f) \equiv |\tilde{X}(f)|^2 + |\tilde{X}(-f)|^2 \quad 0 \leq f < \infty\tag{4.6}$$

This entity ensures that the total power is found just by integrating over $P_X(f)$ from 0 to ∞ . When the function is purely real, the PSD reduces to $P_X(f) = 2|\tilde{X}(f)|^2$.

In the above the transform used to define the PSD was presented as the Fourier transform. When working with discrete data, as is very common when analyzing real world data, there are a different ways of estimating the PSD. In the following a number of different transforms will be presented briefly, all used in this thesis. For a more in depth description and discussion of the individual transforms, see Appendix 7.

4.1.1.1 Spectral Transforms

- **DFT/FFT** In the above section the continuous Fourier Transform and its inverse were presented. When considering discrete functions, as is generally the case with measured data, the Fourier transform of the measurements will also be discrete and the integral is replaced with a sum. This introduces the possibility of performing Fourier transform computations numerically. The discrete version of the Fourier transform is referred to as the Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT). It transforms the discrete signal into a sum of separate components contributing at different frequencies.

The DFT and its inverse for a data series consisting of N discrete points are defined as:

$$\tilde{X}_n \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} X_k e^{2\pi i k \frac{n}{N}} \quad (4.7)$$

$$X_n \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \tilde{X}_n e^{-2\pi i k \frac{n}{N}} \quad (4.8)$$

The DFT is dependent on the sampling interval, Δ , which limits the bandwidth to frequencies smaller in magnitude than the so-called Nyquist critical frequency, $f_{NQ} \equiv \frac{1}{2\Delta}$. That is $\tilde{X}(f) = 0$ for $|f| \geq f_{NQ}$. Thus when considering a signal with frequencies both inside and outside this Nyquist interval, the spectral information outside of the interval will be falsely interpreted as being inside the interval - this is called aliasing, and gives rise to an increased power at the daughter frequency of the aliased frequencies.

Computation of the DFT can be very slow, since it involves complex multiplication between a number of vectors and matrices, which grow in size as the number of data points increases. Generally, this matrix multiplication leads to a process of $\mathcal{O}(N^2)$. Luckily, a number of different algorithms have been developed for fast and efficient computation of the DFT, and the one considered in this thesis is referred to as the Fast Fourier Transform (FFT). The speed up is mainly caused by the fact that it is possible to separate the Fourier transform into even and odd indexed sequences and compute these subsets simultaneously. This reduces the number of computations needed to $\mathcal{O}(N \log_2 N)$. For this thesis the FFT used is the one implemented in the `scipy.fft`. For optimal functionality and efficiency of this algorithm, the number of points computed in the frequency space must be of a power of 2.

- **DCT** The full Fourier transform is designed to process complex-valued signals, always producing a complex-valued spectrum, as the cosine and sine functions each contain individual information of the spectrum and constitute a complete set of basis functions. But a purely real-valued signal has a symmetric Fourier spectrum, meaning that it is only necessary to compute half the number of spectral coefficients, without losing any signal information. In this work, the data analyzed is purely real-valued. This can be utilized by implementing a different transform which only uses the cosines as basis functions, describing the purely real part of

the signal - which is exactly what is needed here - but otherwise have properties similar to the Fourier transform. This spectral transform is known as the Cosine transform, and in discrete form as the Discrete Cosine Transform. As with the FFT, the DCT implemented in this work is the one from the Python package `scipy.fft.dct`, which is implemented as a Fast Cosine Transform (FCT).

The DCT for a real-valued signal of N data points is computed as

$$\tilde{X}_k = 2 \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} X_n \cos \left(\frac{\pi(2n+1)k}{2N} \right), \quad 0 \leq k < M \quad (4.9)$$

with orthonormalization by multiplication of a scaling factor f :

$$f = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2N}}, & \text{if } k = 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{4N}}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (4.10)$$

and the inverse of the DCT, is defined, unnormalized, as:

$$X_k = \tilde{X}_0 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \tilde{X}_n \cos \left(\frac{\pi n(2k+1)}{2N} \right), \quad 0 \leq k < N \quad (4.11)$$

and orthonormalized:

$$X_k = \frac{\tilde{X}_0}{\sqrt{N}} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{N}} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \tilde{X}_n \cos \left(\frac{\pi n(2k+1)}{2N} \right), \quad 0 \leq k < N \quad (4.12)$$

- **NDCT** Both the FFT and the FCT work under the assumptions that data is equispaced. This is not always the case when considering real world data, and when the data is nonuniform, the DCT is described as

$$\tilde{X}_k = 2 \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} X_n \cos \left(2\pi f_k \left(p_n + \frac{1}{2N} \right) \right), \quad 0 \leq k < M-1 \quad (4.13)$$

with, in the most general case, nonuniformly spaced signal, p_0, \dots, p_{N-1} , data and frequency data, f_0, \dots, f_{M-1} . The inverse of NDCT, the IN-DCT, is computed as:

$$X_k = \frac{\tilde{X}_0}{\sqrt{N}} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{N}} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \tilde{X}_n \cos \left(\left(p_n + \frac{1}{2N} \right) 2\pi f_k \right), \quad 0 \leq k < N-1 \quad (4.14)$$

The data under consideration in this project is rarely exactly equi-spaced and previously, the spectral analysis made on isotopic depth series has assumed that the sampling size differences were of an order that could be ignored, and assumed that the samplings were uniform. When working with large data sets this is understandable, as it can slow down the analysis if the FFT or FCT could not be used. This can lead to a loss of information, as in nonuniformly sampled data, some features may be erased by assuming uniformity.

For this project though, the data sets are not of great numbers and the differences in and effects of using FFT, FCT(mostly just referred to as DCT) and NDCT has been examined.

4.2 Back Diffusion Through Spectral Analysis

Due to diffusion in firn and ice, some of the water isotopic signal is lost. Some of this signal can be restored by investigating the diffusion process, and through filtering and deconvolution techniques(REFERENCES). For the data of this thesis a spectral method, determining the effect of mixing and diffusion as a spectral filter(REFERENCES) is used as a restoration technique.




Figure 4.2: Examples of three different spectral transforms, FFT, DCT, NDCT, performed on the depth series between Tambora and Laki eruptions from Site A.

4.2.1 Signal Restoration by Optimal Diffusion Length

When considering a water isotopic depth series as the ones under examination in this thesis, it is possible to restore some of the signal lost to diffusion. This signal restoration technique will forwardly also be mentioned as 'back-diffusion', as it simulates a process that is the reverse of the diffusion process.




Figure 4.3: Examples of power spectral densities related to the three different spectral transforms, FFT, DCT, NDCT, seen in Figure 4.2.

Along with restoring some of the signal, the spectral filtering technique used here also reveals a property of the depth series: an estimate of the diffusion length. This estimate comes from the inherent nature of the noise in the spectrum.

4.2.1.1 Spectral Filtering

When examining a real-world signal with focus on the frequency domain one will quickly run into the subject of noise. Different signals are prone to different types of noise, and must thus be treated in a fitting manner. Some spectra are prone to random low frequency noise and some to high, while others again might have a well-defined noise spectrum inherently. Understanding the nature of the noise is crucial for further signal analysis, as the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) needs to be sufficiently high to be able to accurately separate signal and noise from each other. By understanding the noise, and modelling and estimating it, it can become possible to generate a filter which might minimize the noise and enhance the signal. This next section will go into detail with how to construct a filter fitting to the data at hand. This filtering will also be able to give an estimate of the diffusion length at a given depth section, due to the inherent nature of the signal and noise.

Through spectral analysis it is possible to treat the noise of the signal consistently. The goal is to create spectral filters which enhances the signal while minimizing the effect of the noise, thus increasing the SNR.

Theoretically, without any diffusion, the change in isotopic concentration would be described through a step function, going from one constant

concentration to another. This step function can be described by the Heaviside function:

$$D(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t < 0 \\ 1, & t \geq \end{cases} \quad (4.15)$$

In reality, a number of different mixing processes change this step function, and the measured signal will be a smooth curve, $s(t)$, which corresponds to the convolution of $S(t)$ with the mixing response function $M(\tau)$

$$d(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} D(\tau) \cdot M(t - \tau) d\tau \quad (4.16)$$

As is well known, in the spectral domain, convolution is multiplication and the mixing is described as the multiplication between the Fourier transform of S and M :

$$\tilde{d} = \tilde{D} \cdot \tilde{M} \quad (4.17)$$

By differentiation with respect to time, the mixing filter M is unaffected, and differentiation of the measured system response, the Heaviside function, S' is a delta function, which Fourier transformed is unity, leading to:

$$\tilde{d}' = \tilde{D}' \cdot \tilde{M} = \tilde{M} \quad (4.18)$$

The mixing filter can thus be determined by measuring the system response to a step function, differentiating performing Fourier transform of the result d' .

After determination of the mixing filter \tilde{M} , the unmixed signal D can be estimated in theory by inverse Fourier transform of

$$\tilde{D} = \tilde{d} \cdot \tilde{M}^{-1} \quad (4.19)$$

During the mixing, cycles with short wavelengths are heavily washed out, and through the restoration in Eq. 4.19, the amplitudes corresponding to these wavelengths are heavily amplified by the filter. This method though has a drawback, which is that when the measurements contain noise,

the restored signal will be dominated by high-frequency noise, greatly amplified by the mixing filter. Thus it is a problem of retaining as much (short wavelength) signal as possible while simultaneously attempting to amplify the high-frequency noise as little as possible. This optimal trade-off can be found by creating an optimum filter for the considered measured isotopic signal:

$$\delta_M(z) = \delta_m(z) + \eta(z) \quad (4.20)$$

This optimal (Wiener) filter \tilde{F} , defined for each wave number $k = 2\pi\omega$, is presented as the ratio between pure signal and pure signal plus noise described in Power Spectral Densities as:

$$\tilde{F}(k) = \frac{|\tilde{\delta}_m(\omega)|^2}{|\tilde{\delta}_m(\omega)|^2 + |\tilde{\eta}(\omega)|^2} \quad (4.21)$$

In this work, the power spectral densities of the signal and the noise, respectively, are determined through analysis of the power spectral density of the combined signal/noise PSD.

The PSD of the noise free measured signal, $|\tilde{\delta}_m(\omega)|^2$, is assumed describe as

$$|\tilde{\delta}_m(\omega)|^2 = P_0 e^{-k^2 \sigma_{\text{tot}}^2} \quad (4.22)$$

where σ_{tot}^2 describes the total estimated diffusion length of the mixing.

The noise is assumed to be red noise, described by an autoregressive process of first order, AR1:

$$|\tilde{\eta}(\omega)|^2 = \frac{\sigma_\eta^2 \Delta z}{|1 + a_1 \exp(-2\pi i \omega \Delta z)|^2} \quad (4.23)$$

where σ_η^2 is the variance of the red noise, a_1 is the AR1 coefficient and Δz is the resolution of the time/depth data. It is then possible to estimate the parameters P_0 , σ_{tot}^2 , σ_η^2 and a_1 by curve fitting, separately, the two expressions in Eq. 4.22 and 4.23 to the data. The estimated parameters are varied to find the optimal guess to use for the filter.

An example of the constructed Wiener filter can be seen in Figure 4.5 on both a linear and a double logarithmic scale.




Figure 4.4: Noise, signal and total fit to PSD, illustrating the construction of the Wiener Filter, see Sec. ??.

4.2.1.2 Final Restoration

After finding the best fit (noise and signal) to the spectral data, it is possible to construct an optimal restoration filter, \tilde{R} , which contains two separate filters. The one is the Wiener filter, \tilde{F} , which is described in the above section and the other is a Gaussian filter constructed to amplify certain frequencies [REFERENCE]. This filter, the transfer function of the system, is constructed to specifically amplify the frequencies heavily attenuated by the diffusion process and is described as

$$\mathcal{G} = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{\frac{-z^2}{2\sigma^2}}, \quad (4.24)$$

in the time(depth) domain and, since the Fourier transform of a Gauss is still a Gauss, in the frequency domain it is described as:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{G}} = \mathcal{F}[\mathcal{G}(\ddot{t})] = e^{\frac{-(2\pi f)^2 \sigma^2}{2}}. \quad (4.25)$$

Finally, the constructed frequency restoration filter, in the frequency domain, is a product of the Wiener filter, from Eq. 4.21, and the transfer function, from Eq. 4.25:

$$\tilde{R} = \tilde{F} \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{G}}^{-1} \quad (4.26)$$

which results in a rewrite of equation 4.19 to:

$$\tilde{D} = \tilde{d} \cdot \tilde{F} \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{G}}^{-1} \quad (4.27)$$




Figure 4.5: (a) Wiener filter on linear scale. (b) Wiener filter on double logarithmic scale.

An example of the final frequency restoration filter, as it changes with diffusion length estimate inputted in the transfer function, $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$, can be seen in Figure 4.6.




Figure 4.6: Frequency filter examples ranging from diffusion length 0.04 m to 0.085 m.

4.2.2 Annual Layer Thickness Estimates

The transfer function of the diffusion process, Eq. 4.25, can be rewritten as a function of the wavelength of the isotopic signal, λ :

$$\tilde{\mathcal{G}} = e^{-\frac{2\pi^2\sigma^2}{\lambda^2}} \quad (4.28)$$

The wavelength of the signal corresponds roughly to the annual layer thickness, λ_A , in the signal. Regarding the isotopic signal as a wave, it is clear from both theoretical knowledge of the processes and visual inspection of the data, that the diffusion and densification have an effect on the magnitude and the wavelength/frequency respectively. The diffusion attenuates some of the waves magnitude, and the densification shortens the wavelength of the signal. Since both magnitude and wavelength are aspects of a wave, the

general effects of the densification and diffusion processes can be examined by spectral analyzing the entire core. This is done by dividing the signal into a number of sections of an (almost) fixed length, l_{sec} and shifting this section down through the core with a shift of length l_{shift} . The length is not exactly fixed, as the sample sizes vary through the core, which results in small variations in section and shift lengths.

From the spectral analysis, an estimate on the annual layer thickness can be given, corresponding to the most prominent frequency peak in the spectrum. Sometimes, though, the most prominent peak might be a resonance frequency at some value below that of the frequency related to the λ_A . This has been dealt with in this thesis by simply assuming that the frequency in section l_{sec}^i must be larger than the frequency in l_{sec}^{i-1} , and the analysis is then carried out sequentially going through the depth segments. Since different spectral transforms have already been introduced, it was obvious to compute the spectral analysis and most prominent frequency through more than one spectral transform. Thus DCT, NDCT, FFT and MEM has been used, and the found frequencies have then been used to estimate and average wavelength, and thereby λ_A , in the given section, see Figure 4.7 showing a spectral analysis with 4 different transforms of the first 5 meters of the core drilled at Site B.

Figure ?? shows the λ_A estimates of the entire cores drilled at site A, B, D and G. The section length is 5 m and the shift is 4 m, to avoid missing any frequencies in the transitions between sections. Along with the estimated λ_A s, an estimate of the average $\bar{\lambda}_A$ value at the depth corresponding to between Laki and Tambora events has also been shown.

Estimating λ_A is dependent on both the length of the section on which the spectral analysis is performed and on the length of the shift between spectral estimates. The final λ_{LT} used as a constraint on peak distance in the final algorithm is determined as an average of the estimated λ_A falling inside the Laki to Tambora depth interval.

4.2.2.1 Change in section length

When performing spectral analysis on a time series, the length of the section under examination is crucial for the outcome of the analysis. If the section is too short to contain the information hidden in the signal, then it will be difficult to detect patterns and frequencies of interest. If the section is too long though, too many features that are just noise might be enhanced. Fur-




Figure 4.7: Example of annual layer thickness estimation for section of 5 meters at depth [0;5] m, Site B.




Figure 4.8: Annual layer thickness estimates, λ , calculated through spectral integration of 5 m sections of the entire core lengths. The average is based on using both FFT, DCT and NDCT spectral estimations. Blank spaces, akin to the sections below 60 m depth at Site E shows problematic areas, where the spectral estimation have had trouble with determining λ . The black vertical lines show the depth sections between Laki and Tambora events.

thermore, for a nonlinear signal as the isotopic depth series are, the linearity does not hold for very long sections, as the cycles are compressed and diffused. Thus it is of interest to examine the effect of the section length on the annual layer thickness estimates. The λ_A estimates were performed for sections lengths of ?? to ?? m. Above this upper limit, there was too much noise in the spectrum to determine a λ_A .

In Figure ?? different λ_A depth profiles can be seen, given five different section lengths. All estimates are made with a shift of 1 m. Considering the shortest section length of $l_{sec} = 1.00$ m, it is clear that the estimation method picks up some noise resulting in a highly varying λ_A estimate throughout the cores. but, generally, the other four section lengths seem to pick up the same annual cycles, except for at Site E and G, where the two largest section lengths of 15.55 m and 20.39 m give some very long annual layer thicknesses at some depths.

Considering Figure ?? the λ_A estimates of the Laki to Tambora depth sections show low stability at short section lengths and increasing accuracy and precision as the section length increases, until at some point, especially clear in Site E, but also visible in Site G and Site A, the accuracy start to drop as the variance of the λ_{LT} estimate increases.




Figure 4.9: Examples of the λ depth profile given different section lengths. All profiles are computed with a shift of 1 m.

Based on the stability analysis of the section lengths, the general section length to estimate λ_A in this work was set to $\lambda_A = 7$ m, but with possibility to vary.

4.2.2.2 Change in shift length

The change in shift length is assumed to be more an issue of how detailed the λ_A depth profile needs to be versus how computationally fast it is needed to be. If the shift is small, there will be a need to perform the spectral analysis many times before the entire depth is covered, but many small varying features may become visible throughout the core. All shift lengths are examined with a section length of $l_{sec} = 7$ m.




Figure 4.10: The annual layer thickness estimates in the section between Laki and Tambora as computed with different section lengths, l_{sec} , used in the spectral analysis. The section is shifted 1 m and then computed again. The λ_{LT} is then calculated as a mean of the λ estimates falling into the Laki to Tambora depth section.

For this project though, a rough estimate of the λ_{LT} at a given depth is needed, and the accuracy and details is not as important. Looking at Figure ?? it is obvious that for most cores there is a clear exponential attenuation effect on the layer thickness. This effect is visible for all different shift lengths, which might point to that, for this work at least, a very small shift is not necessary.

Interestingly, though, is that especially for Site E and Site G, there are some depths where the λ_A estimates seem to be increasing instead of decreasing. Luckily for this work, these depth are not of interest, and the specific depth between the Laki and Tambora events seem to be stable at almost any shift length. Only site E presents some troubles where λ_A has presented itself difficult to estimate exactly at the Laki to Tambora depth. For this specific core it could therefore be necessary to decrease the shift length to be able to get more estimates in the Laki to Tambora area.




Figure 4.11: Examples of λ depth profiles given different shift lengths. All profiles are computed with a section length for spectral analysis of $l_{\text{sec}} = 5$ m.

In Figure ?? the λ_{LT} versus shift length can be seen, with shifts from 0.05 m to 5 m.




Figure 4.12: Annual layer thickness estimate at the depth section between Laki and Tambora, λ_{LT} versus different shifts of the sections used in the spectral analysis.

4.3 Peak Detection

Knowing that water isotopic data are a proxy for temperature, the most obvious way to determine annual layers in the signals is by detecting peaks and troughs. During colder periods, e.g. winter, the air masses arriving at the ice core sites have formed more precipitation before reaching the sites, and the vapor that results in this final precipitation is then more depleted of heavy isotopes, resulting in lower isotopic values, troughs in Figure 2.1. The precipitation falling during warmer conditions, e.g. summer, is correspondingly less depleted of the heavy isotopes, and results in higher isotopic values, peaks in Figure 4.13.




Figure 4.13: Ten meters of the top of Cret\^e ice core, with identification and dating of 19 annual layers, with peaks(blue) corresponding to summers and troughs(orange) corresponding to winters.

Peak detection and layer counting has previously been carried out by visual inspection of the ice core depth signals, but as computers and algorithms have become more integrated in data analysis, it is now more common to use different computational methods. Developing and implementing layer counting and peak detection algorithms can be done in a number of different ways, but for this project, at first a very simple method has been initially implemented and later the method has been improved and optimized through a number of different constraints. One could also use different pattern recognition techniques[REFERENCE] to achieve more intelligent detection, and later some of these methods will be presented.

References here.

The most na\"ive approach, and the one first implemented in this project, to peak detection is to simply find local maxima by comparing neighbouring values. When examining point d_i , the point is deemed a local maxima, if

$d_{i\pm 1} < d_i$. Local minima, troughs, can be found in exactly the same manner by finding minima as $d_{i\pm 1} > d_i$. A very simple constraint for this method is to keep a required minimal distance between peaks, so that two peaks cannot be detected within a point distance of Δd_{\min} . For example at a depth of 12 m in Figure 4.13 two troughs can be seen, but only one is chosen, as they are within the threshold distance to each other, which here is set to $\Delta d_{\min} = 7$ points. Here, the lowest of the two troughs is chosen. The threshold distance can be chosen in different ways, for this short section it has been chosen through visual inspection, but more generally it can be chosen by examining some of the intrinsic properties of the signal like the estimated λ_A , as explained in Section 4.2.2.

COMPMETH-PEAKDET: Write about better peak detection with cubic spline interpolation (enhanced resolution)

4.4 Splines and Interpolation

For the purpose of this thesis, interpolation of data needs to be fast, efficient and result in a function as smooth as possible. The last criterion is due to the knowledge of the nature of the data. The measurements are not continuous but should indeed in theory be so. Thus a good choice for interpolation of the data examined in this thesis would be the cubic spline interpolation. An instance of a such interpolation can be seen in Figure ??.




Figure 4.14: Unevenly sampled signal from Site A resampled using cubic spline interpolation to an even signal with a new sample size equal to the minimum sample size found in the raw signal.

SIGNAL-INTERP:
REFERENCE!!

Cubic spline interpolation has been used in two instances during this analysis, both times through the Python SciPy package `scipy.interpolate.CubicSpline`. Firstly, to assure equally spaced data points, so as to be able to perform a useful frequency analysis through spectral transformation, see Section, ???. Secondly cubic spline interpolation was used to improve on peak detection in the final back diffused data. The final data have a rather low resolution, leading to an initial guess of peak positioning that might be shifted due to the discretization. Through cubic spline interpolation it is possible to construct a smooth estimate of a signal of higher resolution, leading to a peak positioning estimate that might be less shifted, see Figure ??.

4.4.1 Interpolation




Figure 4.15: Four different resampled signals of Site A data, showing loss of information when resampling resolution is low.

Interpolation is a tool that can be used - and misused - to extract more information out of a given set of data. Used correctly, interpolation can reveal more information than is initially available and disclose connections not

apparent at first, but used incorrectly, it can be manipulated to infer misleading correlations and lead to inaccurate conclusions. Thus it is a tool that must be used with care. Aiming to avoid incorrect deductions and inferences one should at first gain as much knowledge about the data at hand as possible. By understanding how the data have come about and gaining knowledge about the underlying physical theories a somewhat deficient data set can robustly and securely be interpolated to accommodate the needs of the analysis. In the case of this thesis, both knowledge about data gathering and the physics at play have been gained and thus some of the common fallacies may be avoided. The limits of the data available is due to the discrete sampling, leading to a minimum sampling of about 26 samples per meter of ice.

When considering that the depth series of 33 years between Tambora and Laki is just above 10 meters, this means that each meter of ice needs to contain at least three years on average. 26 samples per three years might not sound as a bad sampling interval, but if the goal is to show seasonality and give a best estimate of annual layer thickness, interpolation could be put to good use to be able to give better estimates of the exact placement of peaks and troughs.

4.4.2 Cubic Spline Interpolation in this Project

An in depth description of interpolation and splines can be found in Appendix ???. In general, these interpolation methods are implemented and examined in two particular sections of the analysis:

1. Cubic spline interpolation of raw, uneven data to represent even data, that can be analyzed through fast spectral transforms.
2. Cubic spline interpolation of the final back-diffused signal estimate to enhance resolution for more efficient peak detection.

The effects of both interpolation methods are presented in the following chapter, in Section 5.2.2

Chapter 5

Estimating σ from Data: Methods, Algorithms and Discussion

5.1 σ Estimation Method

The general idea of the optimal σ estimation method is to back diffuse a depth series defined on an interval where the time span (i.e. the number of peaks and troughs expected in that section) is known. This allows us to use the diffusion length as a tuning parameter, to find the diffusion length estimate which generates the right number of peaks and troughs and fulfills the imposed constraints in the back diffused depth series. If more than one diffusion length meet these constraints, the largest diffusion length to still fulfill the constraints is sought after. This diffusion length is then assumed to be the optimal guess on the diffusion length in that interval, which allows for a temperature estimate, following the temperature dependence of the diffusion length, as described in Section ??.

The algorithm consists of two modules, where one module describes the numerical back diffusion, given an inputted depth series, core specification and specific σ_0 estimate (which is either manually inputted or estimated from the spectral analysis). The flowchart describing the processes carried out in this module can be seen in Figure 5.1. Many of the sections in this module are only necessary in the initialization of the algorithm as these parts do not change if the inputted diffusion length estimate is changed. In Figure 5.1

anything carried out above the *Frequency Filters* block to the left is only computed once, and the same with anything to the right of it, except the σ_0 estimate. The density and diffusion profile calculations, the spectral analysis and the Wiener filter construction is inherent to the depth series alone, and these analyses are carried out as previously described in this thesis.

The second module is responsible for the optimization. This module examines the parameter space containing the diffusion length estimates, and utilizes a constrained direct search method to find the optimal diffusion length estimate. This method is illustrated through a flowchart in Figure 5.2.

5.1.1 Module 1: Initialization and Back Diffusion

The first module of the algorithm, containing initialization and describing the general back diffusion method, is based on many of the aspects and models presented in the previous Chapters ?? and ?. Therefore the description of this module will focus on the work flow and not so much on the specific details of each process, as these have already been presented.

The module takes an input of a measured depth series in a given interval, d , and the specifications concerning the drill site and the ice core in general. From here the work flow splits in two, one route(left) analyzing the depth series, and one(right) giving an estimate of σ at that depth, based on models.

The right flow describes how the σ_0 estimate is given on the basis of the core specifications passed into the algorithm. First, a HL-density profile is modelled, based on the necessary input parameters of annual accumulation rate A_0 and drill site surface temperature T_0 , and the optional inputs of surface density ρ_0 and measured depth versus density data. Secondly, this density profile is used to compute a diffusion length profile, by the use of the Iso-CFM.

The modelled diffusion length profile is then used to find a theoretical σ_0 estimate. This then used as the standard deviation in the Gaussian transfer function filter, \mathcal{G} used for deconvolution, unless a σ_0 is inputted manually. From the modelled diffusion length profile, it is also possible to choose not just a constant σ_0 , but an option for a depth-varying diffusion length, $\sigma(z)$, used in the transfer function is also available.




Figure 5.1: Flowchart of initialization method for back diffusion of a depth series given a diffusion length estimate.

The left flow shows the analysis carried out on the measured depth

series, d . First, the depth series is transformed to the frequency domain, with the users choice of spectral transformation method. If FFT or DCT is chosen, the depth series will be interpolated to resemble equispaced data. Then the frequency series is analyzed according to Section ??, where fits to the signal and noise are made, that again are used to construct an optimal Wiener filter, \tilde{F} . During this process, it is optional to choose the standard deviation of the estimated noise free signal, σ_{tot} , as the diffusion length to use in the deconvolution.

Finally, the right and the left flow are combined to construct the final restoration filter, $\tilde{R} = \tilde{F}\tilde{\mathcal{G}}^{-1}$, which is used for optimal enhancement and restoration when deconvoluting the signal to $D = \mathcal{F}[\tilde{d} \cdot \tilde{F} \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{G}}^{-1}]$, and the module returns the back diffused series D and the diffusion length used, σ_{out} .

5.1.2 Module 2: Estimating the Optimal σ

The second module contains the optimization of σ . It is based on a constrained direct search method which examines the one dimensional σ space. A flow chart of the module can be seen in Figure 5.2. The module takes three initialization parameters as input, the starting grid size Δ , a small size ϵ and the number of grid points to examine N_σ , as well as the constraints imposed on the depth interval, described in the following section, 5.1.3.

The method is initialized by an input σ_0 estimate, the right flow in module 1, from either models, spectral analysis or manual input, which is used to create the first coarse $\bar{\sigma}$ grid. The method also carries out the left flow from module 1 as part of the initialization. These steps are not needed repeated again.

Then the module carries out the deconvolution method on the $\bar{\sigma}$ grid and uses the imposed constraints to count number of peaks in the back diffused depth series, along with controlling that the imposed constraints are complied with. The algorithm then assigns a value of either $0(N_{\text{peaks}} \leq 33)$ or $1(N_{\text{peaks}} > 33)$ to a new vector \bar{P} . These values then decide where to create the next search grid from, as it sets the new minimum diffusion length to $\sigma_{\min} = \max(\bar{\sigma}(P_i = 0))$ and the maximum to $\sigma_{\max} = \min(\bar{\sigma}(P_i = 1))$. This narrows down the search area and creates a finer grid. The test $\sigma_{\max} - \sigma_{\min}$ is then performed, and the deconvolution is performed once again, if $\sigma_{\max} - \sigma_{\min} > \epsilon$. If not, then the algorithm stops, presenting the final diffusion length as the last $\sigma_{\text{final}} = \sigma_{\min}$ and the final back diffused series as $D_{\text{opt}} = D(\sigma_{\text{final}})$.




Figure 5.2: For Method (optimization)




Figure 5.3: Number of peaks estimated given diffusion length, based on diffusion length in the interval $[0.01; 0.15]$ m.

The direct search method is very simple, and the main reason that this search method works is due to the investigation of the relation between number of counted peaks versus diffusion length. This relation was examined by brute force: the number of peaks given diffusion length space was computed manually from 0.01 m to 0.15 m. This showed clearly that - in the area of interest, i.e. resulting in $N_{peaks} = 33$ - the number of counted peaks increases as the diffusion length increases, see Figure ??.

5.1.3 Constrained Peak Detection

The main constraint when back diffusing these depth series is the number of years between the two volcanic events detected in the ice. The number of especially winters between the two events are fixed to $N_P = 33$, as this number of winter is expected in the interval, even with a two month variation from the estimated event position. The counted number of summers can on the other hand vary a bit.

For better peak detection, a number of other constraints have been implemented. Since the data is a proxy for a continuous physical process, the temperature, it is reasonable to set up constraints representing some of the logical expectations for this type of signals.

Firstly, restrictions may be demanded of the distance between peaks. The annual layer thickness, λ_A , may help with setting some limitations on the peak distances, as it is not likely for the average peak distance to be much smaller than λ_A . The peaks are expected to show the same annual cycle as the rest of the signal, representing summers.

Secondly, for the prominence of the peaks, i.e. the amplitude of the signal at a depth, it is assumed that individual peaks may not have a prominence of less than a certain percentage of the standard deviation of the signal at the given depth. This makes certain that smaller peaks or troughs, maybe representing a warm period in a winter or a cold period in summer, are not counted as annual peaks or troughs. This is one way to constrain peak prominence, but a more efficient and accurate way might be to consider the amplitude of the entire ice core signal. As it may be assumed that the amplitude and prominence at a given depth, will be somewhat smaller than the prominence of the peaks at a shallower depth, due to the general diffusion in the firn. By analyzing the amplitude attenuation of the ice core, the average attenuation at a given depth could be used as a restriction for the peak prominence. This is something that would have been implemented, if time

had allowed it.

Thirdly, a constraint on how the general pattern of the trough and peak detection must look is imposed. As the temperature variations represent the change from summer to winter, it is assumed that the general pattern must be to detect a peak P , then a trough T , then a peak P , and so on, creating a pattern of ... $PTPTPTP...$. Since the deposition time of volcanic material in the ice is assumed to be Gaussian, the pattern is not restricted to start with either a peak or a trough, as this may vary when drawing a location from the distribution. Thus, the number of peaks is set at $N_P = 33$ and the number of troughs is accepted with a variation, as long as the general pattern is intact.

Finally, the diffusion length estimate is kept at a positive value with an upper limit, that can be set manually, depending on the conditions of the site and the depth.

The optimal parameter choices for the constraints are presented in:

N_P	33
N_T	33 ± 1
Peak(trough) prominence	50 % of SD_{signal}
Peak(trough) distance	50 % of λ_A
$[\sigma_{\min}, \sigma_{\max}]$ [cm]	[0, 15]
Pattern	... $PTPTPTP...$

Table 5.1: The general constraints used in the method to optimize the diffusion length estimate.

5.2 Method Testing and Stability

Throughout this section a number of different tests of the algorithm will be presented. The tests are performed to examine the stability of the method, the accuracy of the Laki and Tambora positions and how the choice of parameters(interpolation, spectral transform type) changes the resulting diffusion length estimate.




Figure 5.4: Site A, illustration of the effect of five different cubic spline resamplings before deconvolution. Original sample sizes lie in the interval [3.80; 4.00] [cm]. Resampling at smaller sample sizes show a tendency to restore some signal frequencies that are not necessarily inherit in the original signal. The resample should thus not be chosen too small as this would introduce some false signal into the results.

5.2.1 No constraints versus constraints

Figure 5.5 shows the depth series between Laki and Tambora events of the ice core drilled at Site B, back diffused through the algorithm described in the above. The difference between the blue and the green back diffused signals is that the blue is back diffused using the just presented constraints, and the green signal is back diffused with only the constraint $N_P = 33$. It is clear that the imposed constraints, especially the ones concerning peak distance and prominence influences the final result of the optimal diffusion length. Particularly, the more constraint algorithm clearly leaves out from the count some 'shoulders' before an actual peak. These shoulders could be actual peaks but with the imposed constraints, they are omitted. This is something that could be further developed and examined. The appearance of these 'shoulders' could be peaks, but it is also likely that they are remnants of some noise effect that is not quite filtered in the frequency filter construction. If that is the case, then it is a positive thing that the algorithm sorts them out.




Figure 5.5: Example of how the imposed constraints effect the final diffusion length estimate for the Laki to Tamborad depth section of the core drilled at Site B. The black line shows the data, the green the back diffused data using a method with less constraints, and the blue shows the back diffused data when using the imposed constraint. The blue dots represents the peaks counted in the constrained method.

5.2.2 Effects of Interpolations

The choice of interpolation, before and after deconvolution, does affect the final result by introducing some effects not inherent in the originally measured signal. Therefore it is important to examine exactly how these interpolations influence the final σ estimate. Here that is examined by running the algorithm with different resampling sizes.

5.2.2.1 Interpolation of Data Before Deconvolution

The first interpolation is needed, if the fast spectral transforms FFT or FCT are used, as one of the conditions of the algorithms is that the data are evenly spaced. At first, this was implemented in the analysis, but this had the risk of excluding some information that might lie in the unevenly sampled data. Later, the method was abandoned in favor of implementing a nonuniform spectral transform (NUFT or NDCT), which is slower than the FFT and FCT, but carries all information from the unevenly sampled signal into the spectral domain. Luckily, this nonuniform transform needs only be carried out once, as the inverse transform, i.e. resampling in time domain, can be done uniformly without loss of information and any future spectral transforms can then be performed through FFT or FCT.

Even though the first interpolation method was later abandoned, some analysis was carried out with it to examine the effect of the size of the resampled, interpolated data on the final diffusion length estimate. Examples of a resampled signal can be seen in Figure 4.14 and Figure 4.15. Figure 4.15 shows how sample resolution affects information from the signal. The higher sampling resolution, the more information is retained. But higher sampling resolution also means more data to be analyzed, which might slow down any analysis algorithms developed. This might create some headache if an entire ice core length of a couple thousand meters should be examined, but for this study only a few meters are of interest, and thus it should not create delays in the computation time.

To examine the effect of the resampling resolution on the final diffusion length estimate when conducting a spline interpolation before carrying out the back-diffusion, the full diffusion length analysis has been performed with 100 new interpolation resampling sizes in the range $[\Delta_{\min}; \Delta_{\max}]$. This gives an idea of the stability of the method considering both sample size of the raw data and resampling by interpolation. The minimum and maximum interpolation samplings are presented in Table 5.2 and an illustration of the test results can be seen in Figure 5.6.

Figure 5.6 shows that if the sampling size of the interpolation is decreased, it becomes difficult for the algorithm to determine a diffusion length that fulfills the constraints. This is due to the spectral transforms and back diffusion method being sensitive to smaller variations that the spline interpolation introduces to the signal, as can be seen in the first panel in Figure 5.4.

Furthermore, Figure 5.6 shows a less stable diffusion length estimate as the resampling size increases, and a general tendency to result in higher diffusion lengths as many features become washed out in the signal and needs more enhancement by cranking up the diffusion length estimate, see final panel in Figure 5.4. The interpolation before deconvolution is only necessary for running the method with the spectral transforms that are based on uniform sampling, i.e. the FFT and the DCT. For these two methods a choice of interpolation size can be made, but the general setting in the algorithm is to resample at the smallest sampling size found in the depth interval, Δ_{\min} .




Figure 5.6: Diffusion length estimates versus resamples through cubic spline interpolation before deconvolution for Alphabet cores from sites A, B, D, E and G.

5.2.2.2 Interpolation of Data After Deconvolution

The second interpolation is carried out after deconvoluting and back-diffusing the signal, but before detecting peaks. Splines are especially effective when trying to find features like peaks in data which underlying signal is continuous, smooth and differentiable, but the sampling is discrete and thus the data are discrete and non-smooth. The isotopic signal under examination here is assumed to be truly smooth and continuous throughout the core - unless any gaps are present. Thus the cubic spline interpolation is a good tool for estimating a higher resolution version of the final back-diffused data series to use for peak detection. This makes the detection of peaks and troughs more precise, as there might not be a discrete data point exactly at the top of a peak, but the spline interpolation then estimates where the most likely

Site	Δ_{\min} [cm]
A	1
B	1
D	1
E	1
G	1

Table 5.2
maximal resolution us
interpolation
diffusion.
run with 1
sample reso
 Δ_{\min} and Δ




Figure 5.7: Site A, effect of cubic spline interpolation after signal has been deconvoluted. The interpolation is introduced to make peak detection more stable.

top of the peak must be, on the basis of the existing data. Examples of three different interpolation samplings are presented in Figure 5.7. The effect of resampling after deconvolution on the final diffusion length estimate is

illustrated in Figure ??.

As the actual isotopic signal is continuous and that the discretization is introduced by different measurement samplings, it is assumed that the spline interpolation after deconvolution results in a more likely peak detection, when decreasing the numerical resampling size. Therefore, for the method, a resample size of $\Delta_{\min}/2$ is chosen for interpolation after back diffusion and before peak detection. In Figure 5.8 The diffusion length estimate versus the resampling size after deconvolution and shows a convergence towards a fixed diffusion length as sampling size is decreased, and a much noisier diffusion length estimates as sampling size is increased. Furthermore, at certain larger sampling sizes the sought after pattern of peaks and troughs is not even reached. This resampling is carried out both for the FFT, DCT and NDCT spectral analysis methods, as the inverse NDCT can be resampled uniformly without any loss of information.




Figure 5.8: Final diffusion length estimate, given new resample by cubic spline interpolation after deconvolution for Alphabet cores from sites A, B, D, E and G.. The original sample size interval is illustrated as black vertical lines.

5.2.3 Spectral Transform's Effect on Diffusion Length

The different ways of performing spectral transformation also influences the final σ estimate, not only due to the transformation itself, but for FFT and DCT, the methods demand an interpolation, which in itself influences the results.




Figure 5.9: A visual example of the differences in final back diffused data when using different spectral transforms.

Figure ?? shows an example of the qualitative differences between using FFT, DCT or NDCT for spectral analysis. The most obvious visual difference between the transforms is in the end sections of the interval. This might be due to some specific boundary conditions imposed on the fast Fourier and Cosine transforms. Furthermore, by careful visual inspection, it can be seen that the NDCT seems to cater to some effects of the nonuniform samplings, that the FFT and DCT do not.

There are not grave differences between the three spectral transforms, but some difference there is. What might also be taken into consideration is, that this method might be run a large number of times - as is the case later on in this thesis - for example to estimate accuracy of the method, and one might therefore want to choose the method that gives the fastest results. Thus, the speed of the different transforms has been tested, as can be seen in Figure 5.10, with 200 separate runs where the Laki and Tambora positions have been drawn from a distribution with a standard deviation of 2 months from the estimated location. Not surprisingly, the NDCT is much slower than the DCT and the FFT, and it might prove efficient to choose either DCT or FFT if the algorithm has to run many times. For the case of this thesis, the

final results have been made with the NDCT, so as not to miss any of the effects that might come from unevenly sampled data.




Figure 5.10: Diffusion length estimate along with speed of algorithm given the three different spectral transforms examined in this work. The volcanic event depths have been drawn from a Gaussian distribution with a width corresponding to 1 month around the estimated mean depth of the event.

5.2.4 Laki and Tambora as Gaussian Distributions

As mentioned previously, the Laki and Tambora event depth locations are not exact. Thus to accommodate for error in this positioning, the algorithm has been tested with Laki and Tambora locations drawn from Gaussian distributions. This was examined in four ways:

- Variation in both Laki and Tambora position, corresponding to the entire events.
- Variation in only the Tambora position while keeping a fixed section length, corresponding to the mean value $\bar{d}_L - \bar{d}_T$.
- Variation in only the Laki position while keeping a fixed section length, corresponding to the mean value $\bar{d}_L - \bar{d}_T$.
- Variation corresponding to a Gaussian distribution with a standard deviation of a depth that resembles to months in time.

The first variation of both Laki and Tambora can be seen in Figure 5.11. The method has been run 500 times with new locations drawn for each run, and the same constraints imposed each time. This results in an estimate of the diffusion length for Site A between Laki and Tambora of $\sigma = 7.32 \pm 0.67$ [cm]. But considering the lengths of the volcanic events, as seen in the ECM data, one might question this result. Due to more or else time incorporated as the depth section is widened or narrowed, some of the constraints might not be very well chosen any more. It might be that a larger depth interval could gain an extra peak or trough, so that the constraint should be $N_P = 34$ instead of $N_P = 33$. This is some work that could be continued on the algorithm, ensuring that the extra interval length increase or decrease loosens the count constraint.




Figure 5.11: Diffusion length estimates when varying the depth locations of the volcanic events. The locations of both Laki and Tambora events have been drawn from Gaussian distributions as the ones presented in Section 3.1.

The next method examines what happens when only changing the Laki or Tambora position. The results for 500 runs can be seen in Figure 5.13 and 5.12. An interesting feature shows here, and is also visible in some of the later results: the diffusion length estimates seem to not be Gaussian distributed, but concentrated around two or more different diffusion lengths.

This could be an effect of the direct search algorithm, which might quantize the possible σ estimates when creating the grid. This could be examined by trying to randomize the grid creation. Furthermore, it would be interesting to examine if there is a correlation between the positioning of the depth interval and the estimated diffusion length.




Figure 5.12: Diffusion length estimates for Site A when varying only the Laki volcanic event. The locations are drawn from Gaussian distributions as the ones presented in Section 3.1. The depth section is kept at a constant length, corresponding to the mean distance value, $\bar{d}_{\text{Laki}} - \bar{d}_{\text{Tambora}}$.

The final method is an investigation of drawing the locations of both Laki and Tambora from distributions with a standard deviation of what corresponds to two months and a mean value of where the middle of the volcanic event is estimated to be. An illustration of how much this is in depth is shown in Figure 5.14. The results can be seen in Figure ???. Again, the possible effect of the quantized grid search can be seen.

5.3 Possible Algorithm Upgrades

- Better peak detection, through intelligent pattern recognition.
- Taking summer-FALL-winter-SPRING into account.
- More detailed constrained optimization. If length changes, change expected number of peaks, so on.




Figure 5.13: Diffusion length estimates for Site A when varying only the Tambora volcanic event. The locations are drawn from Gaussian distributions as the ones presented in Section 3.1. The depth section is kept at a constant length, corresponding to the mean distance value, $\bar{d}_{\text{Laki}} - \bar{d}_{\text{Tambora}}$.




Figure 5.14: Illustration of the method implemented to manage the uncertainty of the exact depth location of the volcanic events. The method establishes a Gaussian distribution with a mean of the estimated middle of the volcanic event and a standard deviation of what corresponds to two months.

- Examine the frequency filter a bit more - is it allowing too much noise? Maybe also make variable.
- More data! Or, higher sampling resolution.
- Examine what happens with the quantized results. Maybe create a better optimization routine with more randomization.




Figure 5.15: 500 runs with locations of Laki and Tambora events drawn from a Gaussian distribution with a standard deviation of two months. Using NDCT as spectral transform.

- Why do we look for the maximal σ to fulfill constraints? Maybe interesting to see how wide a range of σ that fulfills constraints! This could give a temperature range instead of just one temperature.
- Implement $\sigma(z)$ and figure out how to relate this to temperature.
- Figure out a way to make faster algorithm when deconvoluting with $\sigma(z)$.
- When calculating σ_{firn} , it might be better to use the $\sigma(z)$, if the samples are nonuniform, as the diffusion length estimate then could be made individually based on both sample size and diff len

5.3.1 Peak Detection

5.3.2 Optimization Routine

Chapter 6

Results

This chapter contains the final results of the stability tests presented in Chapter ?? along with the final diffusion length estimates, based on the results of the stability tests and general considerations and observations made throughout the thesis. Finally these σ estimates are used to give a first estimate of the temperature for the given depth interval.

6.1 Annual Layer Thickness

The final annual layer thickness estimates are determined with a section length of $l_{\text{sec}} = 7$ m and a shift of $l_{\text{shift}} = 1.5$ m. From Table ?? it can be seen that λ is smallest for Site E and Site G, which corresponds well with those sites having the lowest accumulation rates.

	Site A	Site B	Site D	Site E	Site G
λ [m]	0.311 ± 0.006	0.326 ± 0.008	0.354 ± 0.012	0.246 ± 0.005	0.264 ± 0.006

Table 6.1: Annual Layer Thickness, λ , estimation at the depth between Laki and Tambora events.

6.2 Diffusion Length Estimates

Before revealing the final σ estimates, the results of the stability tests carried out are presented. This concerns the effects on the diffusion length by the different spectral transforms, the constant or variable σ , and using constraints or not. The results are presented with both the optimal found diffusion length,

the afterwards corrected firn diffusion length and for some tests, the average run time for the algorithm.

6.2.1 Diffusion Length Estimates if Constrained or Not Constrained

The previous chapter presented the constrained method for optimization. The results of both constrained and unconstrained optimization can be seen in Table ???. If the method does not impose constraints on the algorithm, the algorithm is not quite as stable, as can be seen on the average run time and the run time variances. Furthermore, the unconstrained method systematically results in a diffusion length estimate lower than the one computed through the constrained method, and generally with a higher variance.

			No Constraints	Constraints
Site A	σ_{opt}	[cm]	6.69 ± 0.85	7.88 ± 0.66
	σ_{firn}	[cm]		
	t	[s]	19.85 ± 10.39	8.84 ± 1.47
Site B	σ_{opt}	[cm]	5.98 ± 0.24	7.31 ± 0.18
	σ_{firn}	[cm]		
	t	[s]	11.66 ± 4.46	8.68 ± 1.08
Site D	σ_{opt}	[cm]	4.35 ± 0.53	6.94 ± 0.24
	σ_{firn}	[cm]		
	t	[s]	14.83 ± 8.50	9.19 ± 0.72
Site E	σ_{opt}	[cm]	6.20 ± 0.20	8.15 ± 0.11
	σ_{firn}	[cm]		
	t	[s]	5.44 ± 1.82	6.97 ± 0.56
Site G	σ_{opt}	[cm]	8.55 ± 0.27	9.35 ± 0.26
	σ_{firn}	[cm]		
	t	[s]	29.99 ± 3.81	7.19 ± 0.48

Table 6.2: Optimal and corrected firn diffusion length estimates with either the non-constrained or the constrained method.

6.2.2 Diffusion Length Estimates vs. Counted Peaks

To get an understanding of how the diffusion length affected the number of counted years, a run was made going through all diffusion lengths from 1 cm to 15 cm for all cores. Illustrations of the results can be seen in Figures 6.1 and 6.2. In Figure 6.1 it can be seen that the number of counted peaks generally




Figure 6.1: Number of peaks estimated given diffusion length, based on diffusion length in the interval $[0.05, 0.15]$ m.




Figure 6.2: A zoom-in of the N peaks v. diffusion length plot in Figure 6.1. Specifically in focus are the maximal diffusion lengths corresponding to $N_{\text{peaks}} = 33$.

6.2.3 Diffusion Length Estimates vs. Spectral Transform Methods

In Table ?? the estimated diffusion lengths, both optimal and firm, are presented along with the average run time of the method. The error is estimated through drawing the Laki and Tambora event locations from a Gaussian distribution with standard deviation of two months. For all sites, the results of all three methods are almost within each others margins. This might point to choosing one of the faster back diffusion methods to estimate the diffusion length with, if it is used for a quantitative measure. If it is needed to reconstruct a single data series one time, it might be preferable to use the NDCT.

		FFT	DCT	NDCT
Site A	σ_{opt} [cm]	7.57 ± 0.60	7.80 ± 0.68	7.26 ± 0.53
	σ_{firn} [cm]			
	t [s]	8.00 ± 0.91	7.93 ± 0.91	17.46 ± 0.98
Site B	σ_{opt} [cm]	7.11 ± 0.40	7.30 ± 0.20	7.36 ± 0.21
	σ_{firn} [cm]			
	t [s]	8.64 ± 0.51	8.41 ± 0.82	19.06 ± 0.55
Site D	σ_{opt} [cm]	7.00 ± 0.41	6.96 ± 0.28	7.21 ± 0.27
	σ_{firn} [cm]			
	t [s]	9.24 ± 0.73	9.20 ± 0.69	19.55 ± 1.03
Site E	σ_{opt} [cm]	8.07 ± 0.01	8.15 ± 0.11	8.21 ± 0.14
	σ_{firn} [cm]			
	t [s]	7.28 ± 0.36	7.03 ± 0.56	16.61 ± 0.54
Site G	σ_{opt} [cm]	9.38 ± 0.32	9.35 ± 0.25	9.44 ± 0.24
	σ_{firn} [cm]			
	t [s]	7.53 ± 0.49	7.24 ± 0.49	16.45 ± 0.34

Table 6.3: Diffusion length estimates resulting in $N_{\text{peaks}} = 33$ based on different spectral transform methods, namely the FFT, DCT and NDCT presented in earlier chapters and described in Appendix 7. Along with the optimal diffusion length, the actual firn diffusion length is presented - corrected for sampling diffusion, ice diffusion and thinning. The computational time of the back diffusion process given the different spectral transforms is also presented.

6.2.4 Diffusion Length Estimates if Diffusion Length Constant or Variable

6.2.5 Final σ Estimates Based on Previous Conclusions

The temperature estimates are, as described previously, made based on a steady state model, with a constant accumulation rate. The estimate is then made numerically through a Newton-Raphson scheme from `scipy.optimize.newton`, finding the roots of $\sigma_{\text{model}} \cdot \frac{\rho_{\text{CO}}}{\rho_{\text{ice}}} - \sigma_{\text{data}}$. The temperature estimates can be seen in Table ?? and the underlying distributions in Figure 6.3. Again in these distributions can the quantization of the results be seen, resulting in non-Gaussian distributions.

6.3 Final Temperature Estimates from Optimal Estimated σ

RES-DATAEST:
Write entire section.

		σ_{constant}	$\sigma(z)$
Site A	σ_{opt} [cm]	±	±
	σ_{firn} [cm]		
Site B	σ_{opt} [cm]	±	±
	σ_{firn} [cm]		
Site D	σ_{opt} [cm]	±	±
	σ_{firn} [cm]		
Site E	σ_{opt} [cm]	±	±
	σ_{firn} [cm]		
Site G	σ_{opt} [cm]	±	±
	σ_{firn} [cm]		

Table 6.4: Optimal and corrected firn diffusion length estimates given either a σ estimated to be constant, σ_{constant} , or varying, $\sigma(z)$, over the Laki to Tambora depth section.

		σ_{final}
Site A	σ_{opt} [cm]	±
	σ_{firn} [cm]	
Site B	σ_{opt} [cm]	±
	σ_{firn} [cm]	
Site D	σ_{opt} [cm]	±
	σ_{firn} [cm]	
Site E	σ_{opt} [cm]	±
	σ_{firn} [cm]	
Site G	σ_{opt} [cm]	±
	σ_{firn} [cm]	

Table 6.5: Final diffusion length estimates, based on conclusions made previously in different tests.

S-
TAESTSTST:
te entire section.

6.3.1 Steady State Solution

6.3.1.1 Accumulation Distributions

6.3.2 Further Possibilities of the Iso-CFM

RES-
DATAESTACCUM:
Write entire section.

RES-
DATAESTCFM:
Write entire section.

	Site A	Site B	Site D	Site E	Site G
T_0 [°C]	-29.41	-29.77	-28.3	-30.37	-30.1
\bar{T}_{Stst} [°C]	-31.04 ± 2.02	-30.46 ± 0.83	-30.00 ± 1.05	-30.89 ± 0.48	-25.97 ± 0.70

Table 6.6: Steady state temperature estimates based on the final firn diffusion length estimates found. T_0 is the temperature used to generate the theoretical diffusion length and density profiles, and originates from [?, add. text]




Figure 6.3: Steady State Temperature Distributions

Chapter 7

Conclusion

This is great. I did a great thesis. High-five to me!

CONC: Write a
better conclusion.
Please..

Bibliography

- [1] N. Ahmed, T. Natarajan, and K. R. Rao. Discrete Cosine Transform. *IEEE Transactions on Computers*, C-23(1):90–93, 1974.
- [2] G Bruun. z-Transform DFT filters and FFTs. *IEEE Transactions on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ASSP)*, 26(1):56–63, 1978.
- [3] H.B. Clausen and C.U. Hammer. The Laki and Tambora Eruptions as Revealed in Greenland Ice Cores from 11 Locations. *Annals of Glaciology*, 10:16–22, 1988.
- [4] J. W. Cooley and J. W. Tukey. An Algorithm for the Machine Calculation of Complex Fourier Series. *AMS: Mathematics of Computation*, 19:297–301, 1965.
- [5] Vasileios Gkinis, Christian Holme, Emma C Kahle, C Max Stevens, Eric J Steig, and Bo M Vinther. Numerical experiments on firn isotope diffusion using the Community Firn Model. *Journal of Glaciology*, in review(x):1–54, 2019.
- [6] Vasileios Gkinis, Christian Holme, Emma C Kahle, Max C Stevens, Bo M Vinther, and Eric J Steig. Numerical experiments on firn isotope diffusion with the Community Firn Model. 2021.
- [7] I. J. Good. The Interaction Algorithm and Practical Fourier Analysis. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological)*, 20(2):361–372, 1958.
- [8] M. M. Herron and C. C. Jr. Langway. Firn densification: an Empirical Model. *Journal of Glaciology*, 25(93), 1980.
- [9] S. J. Johnsen. Stable isotope homogenization of polar firn and ice. *Isotopes and impurities in snow and ice*, (1):210–219, 1977.

- [10] Sigfus Johnsen, Henrik Clausen, Kurt M Cuffey, Georg Hoffmann, Jakob Schwander, and Timothy Creyts. Diffusion of stable isotopes in polar firn and ice: the isotope effect in firn diffusion. *Physics of Ice Core Records*, 121–140, pages 121–140, 2000.
- [11] Sigfús J. Johnsen, Henrik B. Clausen, Kurt M. Cuffey, Georg Hoffmann, Jakob Schwander, and Creyts Timothy. Diffusion of stable isotopes in polar firn and ice: the isotope effect in firn diffusion. *Physics of Ice Records*, pages 121–140, 2000.
- [12] June Yub Lee and Leslie Greengard. The type 3 nonuniform FFT and its applications. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 206(1):1–5, 2005.
- [13] J. Makhoul. A Fast Cosine Transform In One And Two Dimensions. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, ASSP-28(1):27–34, 1980.
- [14] Farokh Marvasti. *Nonuniform Sampling*. 1993.
- [15] S.V. Patankar. *Numerical Heat Transfer and Fluid Flow*. Boca Raton, 1st edition, 1980.
- [16] Diego Ruiz-Antolin and Alex Townsend. A nonuniform fast fourier transform based on low rank approximation. *SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing*, 40(1):A529–A547, 2018.
- [17] Gabriele Steidl, Daniel Potts, and Manfred Tasche. Fast Fourier Transform For Nonequispaced Data: A Tutorial. In *Modern Sampling Theory*, volume 2, chapter Chapter 1, pages 1–23. 2001.
- [18] C. Max Stevens, Vincent Verjans, Jessica M. D. Lundin, Emma C. Kahle, Annika N. Horlings, Brita I. Horlings, and Edwin D. Waddington. The Community Firn Model (CFM) v1.0. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 13(9):4355–4377, 2020.
- [19] B. Tian and Q. H. Liu. Nonuniform fast cosine transform and chebyshev PSTD algorithms. *Progress in Electromagnetics Research*, 28:253–273, 2000.
- [20] Pauli Virtanen, Ralf Gommers, Travis E. Oliphant, Matt Haberland, Tyler Reddy, David Cournapeau, Evgeni Burovski, Pearu Peterson, Warren Weckesser, Jonathan Bright, Stéfan J. van der Walt, Matthew Brett, Joshua Wilson, K. Jarrod Millman, Nikolay Mayorov, Andrew R.J. Nelson, Eric Jones, Robert Kern, Eric Larson, C. J. Carey, İlhan Poçat, Yu Feng, Eric W. Moore, Jake VanderPlas, Denis Laxalde, Josef

- Perktold, Robert Cimrman, Ian Henriksen, E. A. Quintero, Charles R. Harris, Anne M. Archibald, Antônio H. Ribeiro, Fabian Pedregosa, Paul van Mulbregt, Aditya Vijaykumar, Alessandro Pietro Bardelli, Alex Rothberg, Andreas Hilboll, Andreas Kloeckner, Anthony Scopatz, Antony Lee, Ariel Rokem, C. Nathan Woods, Chad Fulton, Charles Masson, Christian Häggström, Clark Fitzgerald, David A. Nicholson, David R. Hagen, Dmitrii V. Pasechnik, Emanuele Olivetti, Eric Martin, Eric Wieser, Fabrice Silva, Felix Lenders, Florian Wilhelm, G. Young, Gavin A. Price, Gert Ludwig Ingold, Gregory E. Allen, Gregory R. Lee, Hervé Audren, Irvin Probst, Jörg P. Dietrich, Jacob Silterra, James T. Webber, Janko Slavič, Joel Nothman, Johannes Buchner, Johannes Kulick, Johannes L. Schönberger, José Vinícius de Miranda Cardoso, Joscha Reimer, Joseph Harrington, Juan Luis Cano Rodríguez, Juan Nunez-Iglesias, Justin Kuczynski, Kevin Tritz, Martin Thoma, Matthew Newville, Matthias Kümmerer, Maximilian Bolingbroke, Michael Tartre, Mikhail Pak, Nathaniel J. Smith, Nikolai Nowaczyk, Nikolay Shebanov, Oleksandr Pavlyk, Per A. Brodtkorb, Perry Lee, Robert T. McGibbon, Roman Feldbauer, Sam Lewis, Sam Tygier, Scott Sievert, Sebastiano Vigna, Stefan Peterson, Surhud More, Tadeusz Pudlik, Takuya Oshima, Thomas J. Pingel, Thomas P. Robitaille, Thomas Spura, Thouis R. Jones, Tim Cera, Tim Leslie, Tiziano Zito, Tom Krauss, Utkarsh Upadhyay, Yaroslav O. Halchenko, and Yoshiki Vázquez-Baeza. SciPy 1.0: fundamental algorithms for scientific computing in Python. *Nature Methods*, 17(3):261–272, 2020.
- [21] S. Weissbach, A. Wegner, T. Opel, H. Oerter, B. M. Vinther, and S. Kipfstuhl. Spatial and temporal oxygen isotope variability in northern Greenland-implications for a new climate record over the past millennium. *Climate of the Past*, 12(2):171–188, 2016.
- [22] Xianfeng Zhao, Bingbing Xia, and Yi Deng. Strengthening QIM-based watermarking by non-uniform discrete cosine transform. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics)*, 5284 LNCS:309–324, 2008.

Appendices

APPENDIX I: Firn Diffusivity


APPENDIX II: Data - AWI B-cores

Make specifications
for cores.

AWI B-Cores: Core Specifications

Site A		
d_L^{CH}	[m]	80.85
d_T^{CH}	[m]	70.9
A_0 W.E	[m]	0.307
A_0 I.E.	[m]	0.281519
T_0	[°C]	-29.41
ρ_0	[kg m ⁻³]	343.0
z_0		0.55
s_L^{CH}	[cm]	30.0
s_T^{CH}	[cm]	65.0
ρ_L	[kg m ⁻³]	836.0
ρ_T	[kg m ⁻³]	812.0
d_L^{TQ}	[m]	80.87
d_T^{TQ}	[m]	70.90
s_L^{TQ}	[cm]	34.0
s_T^{TQ}	[cm]	40.0

Table 1: Core specifications for core drilled at Site A. d describes depth of event, A describes accumulation rate, T describes temperature, ρ describes density at given depth and s describes the width of a given event. Subscripts L and T stands for volcanic events Laki and Tambora, respectively, subscript 0 describes initial surface condition, and superscripts CH and TQ represents the original and corrected values for depth and width of events.






Figure 1:
AWI B-cores density measurements and HL-modeled densities.




Figure 2: AWI B-cores averaged density measurements and related HL-modeled densities.

APPENDIX III: Data - Alphabet Cores




Figure 3: All six Alphabet cores under examination, with Laki to Tambora depth section highlighted.




Figure 4: All six Alphabet cores, showing only the depth series concerning the Laki to Tambora section.

Alphabet Cores: Core Specifications

		Site A	Site B	Site D	Site E	Site G
d_L^{CH}	[m]	80.85	83.7	93.8	62.95	69.4
d_T^{CH}	[m]	70.9	73.0	81.5	53.4	60.5
A_0 W.E.	[m]	0.307	0.327	0.365	0.225	0.251
A_0 I.E.	[m]	0.282	0.300	0.335	0.206	0.230
T_0	[°C]	-29.41	-29.77	-28.3	-30.37	-30.1
ρ_0	[kg m ⁻³]	343.0	355.0	350.0	325.0	-
z_0		0.55	0.55	0.825	0.675	-
s_L^{CH}	[cm]	30.0	45.0	55.0	35.0	35.0
s_T^{CH}	[cm]	65.0	55.0	70.0	40.0	75.0
ρ_L	[kg m ⁻³]	836.0	841.0	857.0	786.0	807.0
ρ_T	[kg m ⁻³]	812.0	816.0	839.0	749.0	778.0
d_L^{TQ}	[m]	80.87	83.82	93.95	62.9	69.38
d_T^{TQ}	[m]	70.90	73.01	81.55	53.43	60.48
s_L^{TQ}	[cm]	34.0	30.0	30.0	45.0	35.0
s_T^{TQ}	[cm]	40.0	57.0	55.0	48.0	82.0

Table 2: Core specifications for core drilled at Site A. d describes depth of event, A describes accumulation rate, T describes temperature, ρ describes density at given depth and s describes the width of a given event. Subscripts L and T stands for volcanic events Laki and Tambora, respectively, subscript 0 describes initial surface condition, and superscripts CH and TQ represents the original and corrected values for depth and width of events.

APPENDIX IV: Spectral Transforms

Discrete and Fast Fourier Transform

The definition of the continuous Fourier transform and its inverse was presented in the above. The Fourier transform is as seen a way of representing the function under consideration as an infinite sum of periodic components. When the function is discrete, so will the Fourier transform be, and the integral is replaced with a sum. This gives us the Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT) which transforms the signal into a sum of separate components contributing at different frequencies. The DFT is dependent on the sampling interval, Δ , and we can describe our discrete signal X as a function of N discrete time steps $t_k = k \cdot \Delta$, where $k = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$:

$$X_k \equiv X(t_k) \quad (1)$$

This sample size is supposed to be representative for the entire discrete function, if the function continues beyond the N sampled points. When sampling discretely at interval Δ , there will be a special frequency, the Nyquist critical frequency, defined through the sampling size as:

$$f_{NQ} \equiv \frac{1}{2\Delta}. \quad (2)$$

This frequency is of great importance in transformation of discrete signals. If the continuous signal is sampled at an interval Δ is bandwidth limited to frequencies smaller in magnitude than f_{NQ} , $\tilde{X}(f) = 0$ for $|f| \geq f_{NQ}$ - i.e. the transformed function has only non-zero values inside the Nyquist interval, $\tilde{X}(-f_{NQ}), \dots, \tilde{X}(f), \dots, \tilde{X}(f_{NQ})$. This means that the function is completely determined since we have all information about the signal contained in our available frequency space.

On the other hand, which is much more likely, if the continuous signal consists of frequencies both inside and outside the Nyquist interval, then all spectral information outside of this range will be falsely interpreted as being inside this range. Thus a wave inside the interval with a frequency of f_n will have a number of wave siblings outside of the interval, with frequencies of $k \cdot \frac{1}{\Delta} f_n$, k being integers, which will be aliased into the Nyquist interval and give rise to an increased power at the frequency f_n .

When analyzing an already measured discrete signal, this might give rise to some headache. What can be done is to assume that the signal has been sampled competently and then assume that the Fourier transform is zero outside of the Nyquist interval. After the analysis it will then be possible to determine if the signal was indeed competently sampled, as the Fourier series will

go to zero at f_{NQ} given a correct assumption, and go to a fixed value, if the sampling was not done competently.

Now with the basics of understanding the limits of frequency transform of a discretely sampled signal, it is possible to estimate the DFT of the signal $X_k \equiv X(t_k)$. Since the Fourier transform is a symmetric transformation it is easiest to assume that N is even.

Since the input information is of size N we should expect only to sample the frequency transform $\tilde{X}(f)$ at only discrete values of f in the range between the upper and lower critical Nyquist frequencies, $-f_{NQ}$ to f_{NQ} :

$$f_n \equiv \frac{n}{N\Delta}, \quad n = -\frac{N}{2}, \dots, \frac{N}{2} \quad (3)$$

This will indeed actually give rise to $N + 1$ values, since 0 will be in the interval as well, but the limit frequencies are actually not independent, but all frequencies between are, which reduces it to N samples.

Now the integral from Equation 4.3 needs to be estimated as a sum:

$$\tilde{X}(f_n) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} X(\tau) e^{2\pi i f_n \tau} d\tau \approx \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} X_k e^{2\pi i f_n t_k} \Delta = \Delta \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} X_k e^{2\pi i k \frac{n}{N}} \quad (4)$$

The Discrete Fourier Transform is thus defined as:

$$\tilde{X}_n \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} X_k e^{2\pi i k \frac{n}{N}} \quad (5)$$

This gives the approximate relation between the DFT estimate and the continuous Fourier transform $\tilde{X}(f)$ when sampling at size Δ as:

$$\tilde{X}(f_n) \approx \Delta \tilde{X}_n \quad (6)$$

The inverse DFT is given as:

$$X_n \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} X \tilde{X}_n e^{-2\pi i k \frac{n}{N}} \quad (7)$$

Computation of the DFT can be very slow and tiresome, since it involves complex multiplication between a number of vectors and matrices. If we write Equation 5 as $\tilde{X}_n = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} N - 1 W^{nk} X_k$, where W is a complex number $W \equiv e^{2\pi i / N}$. This shows that the vector X_k must be multiplied with a complex matrix which (n,k)th component consists of the constant W to the power

of nk . This matrix multiplication evidently leads to a process of $O(N^2)$. Fortunately, a number of different algorithms implementing a wide range of different theories from complex number arithmetic and prime-factoring to group and number theory ([4],[7], [2] and others) have been developed for fast and efficient computation of the discrete Fourier transform. One of these is called the Fast Fourier Transform (FFT), which can reduce the computations to just $\mathcal{O}(N \log_2 N)$. In this thesis the FFT used is the one implemented in the `scipy.fft` Python package [20], which is based on the works of [4]. See said article for implementation details. One important thing about this specific algorithm is that for the algorithm to function most efficiently, the number of points computed in the frequency space must be of a power of 2, following the use of base \log_2 .

Nonuniform Discrete Fourier Transform

All FFT algorithms evaluate the DFT definitions from Eqs. 5 to 7 in fast and efficient ways. But one key assumption for these methods is that the data under examination are equispaced, i.e. uniformly distributed, based on the summation in Eq. 5. The computations thus expect uniform data as input and returns uniform data as output. Unfortunately this is not always the case for data collected in physical experiments. In this case the basic assumptions for the calculations of both DFT and FFT are flawed.

The most general form of a nonuniform transform would be the one that takes non-equispaced data as input and also returns non-equispaced transforms as output. Firstly we wish to create a nonuniform discrete Fourier transform (NUDFT) that transforms a sequence of N complex numbers X_0, \dots, X_{N-1} to a different sequence of M complex numbers, $\tilde{X}_0, \dots, \tilde{X}_{M-1}$. The one-dimensional NUDFT computes the transformed vector $\tilde{\mathbf{X}} = (\tilde{X}_0, \dots, \tilde{X}_{M-1})^T$, with entries computed as the sum

$$\tilde{X}_k = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} X_n e^{-2\pi i p_n f_k}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq M - 1. \quad (8)$$

The values X_0, \dots, X_{N-1} are sample values, p_0, \dots, p_{N-1} are sample positions and f_0, \dots, f_{M-1} are frequencies. The NUDFT vector $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}$ is found by computing M sums with each N terms. This meaning that the computational cost will be of order $\mathcal{O}(M \cdot N)$, and if $M = N$ then of $\mathcal{O}(N^2)$. The NUDFT reduces to the DFT if the points are equispaced, $p_n = \frac{n}{N}$, and the frequencies are integers, $f_k = k$, and can be computed at the cost of the FFT, $\mathcal{O}(N \log_2 N)$. In the literature there are many who have presented different ways to develop

a fast NUDFT ([17], [14], [16], [12] among others), generally referred to as NUFFT or NFFT. In this work though, the main focus is on the discrete cosine transform, and the NFFT methods will not be described in depth

Discrete Cosine Transform

The Fourier transform in any of its many forms is designed to process complex-valued signals, always producing a complex-valued spectrum, even for signals that were strictly real-valued. The real-valued or complex-valued part of the Fourier spectrum is on their own not enough to represent the full information of the signal, since neither the cosine nor he sine functions (corresponding to the real and the complex parts of the spectrum respectively), constitute a complete set of basis functions. Nonetheless, a purely real-valued signal has a symmetric Fourier spectrum, meaning that it is only necessary to compute half the number of spectral coefficients, without losing any signal information. Since the signals analyzed in this thesis are strictly real, one way to use this knowledge to improve on the works of this project is to consider a different, less expensive, purely real spectral transform. The cosine transform [1] seems to do the trick: it uses only cosine functions as basis functions and operates with only real-valued signal and spectral coefficients, and have properties similar to the Fourier transform.

For the discrete version of the cosine transform, DCT, and its inverse, IDCT, a number of different definitions have been proposed, but for this work, the originally formulations by [1] are used. These are often referred to as "The DCT" and "The IDCT", and other times as DCT-II and DCT-III. The entries of the computed discrete cosine transform vector, $\tilde{X}_0, \dots, \tilde{X}_{M-1}$, of a real-valued signal of N data points, X_0, \dots, X_{N-1} , is computed as

$$\tilde{X}_k = 2 \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} X_n \cos \left(\frac{\pi(2n+1)k}{2N} \right), \quad 0 \leq k < M. \quad (9)$$

To orthonormalize the base functions, $\phi_k(n)$, the coefficients are multiplied by a scaling factor f :

$$f = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2N}}, & \text{if } k = 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{4N}}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

so that the base functions, $\phi_k[n] = 2f \cos\left(\frac{\pi(2n+1)k}{2N}\right)$, meet the condition:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \phi_k[n] \phi_l[n] = \delta_{lk}. \quad (11)$$

The inverse of the DCT, the so called DCT-III, is defined, unnormalized, as:

$$X_k = \tilde{X}_0 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \tilde{X}_n \cos\left(\frac{\pi n(2k+1)}{2N}\right), \quad 0 \leq k < N \quad (12)$$

and orthonormalized:

$$X_k = \frac{\tilde{X}_0}{\sqrt{N}} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{N}} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \tilde{X}_n \cos\left(\frac{\pi n(2k+1)}{2N}\right), \quad 0 \leq k < N \quad (13)$$

Only when the DCT-III is orthonormalized is it exactly the inverse of the orthonormalized DCT-II. If they are both unnormalized, the DCT-III is the inverse of the DCT-II up to a factor $2N$. As with the DFT, the DCT can directly be computed at a cost of $\mathcal{O}(N \cdot M)$, and can also be reduced to $\mathcal{O}(N \log N)$. The fast DCT algorithm(FCT) used here is based on [13] as it is implemented in the `scipy.fft.dct` package[20].

Nonuniform Discrete Cosine Transform

Again, as with the FFT, the FCT works under the key assumption that data is equispaced. Though when data is nonuniform, the DCT is described as:

$$\tilde{X}_k = 2 \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} X_n \cos\left(2\pi f_k \left(p_n + \frac{1}{2N}\right)\right), \quad 0 \leq k < M - 1 \quad (14)$$

with, in the most general case, nonuniformly spaced signal, p_0, \dots, p_{N-1} , data and frequency data, f_0, \dots, f_{M-1} . The inverse of NDCT, the INDCT, is computed as:

$$X_k = \frac{\tilde{X}_0}{\sqrt{N}} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{N}} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \tilde{X}_n \cos\left(\left(p_n + \frac{1}{2N}\right) 2\pi f_k\right), \quad 0 \leq k < N - 1 \quad (15)$$

It is possible to develop algorithms with the computational cost of $\mathcal{O}(N \log N)$ for NDCT and INDCT as it is for the NDFT ([19], [22]) but it has showed to be out of the scope of this project and has not been implemented. This of course slows down the final optimization algorithm, as it requires a number of spectral transformations. In Section ?? it is described how the final algorithm has been designed to minimize the use of NDCT, and thus speeding up the final computations.

SIGNAL-MEM:
Write this entire section - maybe not
necessary? Maybe
use in reconstruction
of missing data...

Maximum Entropy Method (Burg's Method)

APPENDIX V: Splines and Interpolations

Existence, Uniqueness and Conditioning

Considering any attempt to create an interpolant to fit a number of data points, the questions of uniqueness and existence is a matter of matching the data points with the number of parameters in the interpolant. If there are too few parameters, the interpolant does not exist, as it will not pass through all data points. If there are too many, the interpolant will not be unique. Formally this can be described through a system of linear equations.

For any data set consisting of (t_i, y_i) , $i = 1, \dots, m$ points, an interpolant can be chosen from a function space spanned by some suitable set of basis functions, $\phi_1(t), \dots, \phi_n(t)$. The interpolant can then be described as a linear combination of these basis functions:

$$f(t) = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \phi_j(t) \quad (16)$$

The interpolant can then be found by determining the parameters x_j by requiring that the interpolant f must pass through the M data points (t_i, y_i) :

$$f(t_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \phi_j(t_i) = y_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m \quad (17)$$

This can of course also be written compactly in matrix form as a system of linear equations:

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y} \quad (18)$$

In this equation \mathbf{A} is the $m \times n$ basis matrix, which entries consists of the value of the n basis functions evaluated at the m data points, $a_{ij} = \phi_j(t_i)$, the m vector \mathbf{y} consists of the known data values y_i , and the n vector \mathbf{x} consists of the unknown, to be determined, parameters x_j .

From linear algebra we know, that if we choose the number of basis function ot be equal to the number of data points, $n = m$, the basis matrix will be square, and thus - given the matrix is nonsingular - the system will be determined, and the data points can be fit exactly. Though in some problems it is beneficial to choose the system to be either overdetermined (less parameters than data points, the data cannot be fit exactly) or underdetermined (more parameters than data points, giving freedom to allow satisfaction of additional properties or conditions).

So the existence and uniqueness of an interpolant is given by the non-singularity of the basis matrix, be it square or not and the conditioning of the matrix

points to the parameters' sensitivity to perturbations. An ill-conditioned basis matrix will lead to high sensitivity in the parameters, but this problem can still be approximately solvable through Gaussian elimination with partial pivoting, but this solution will mean that the coefficients may be poorly determined.

Polynomial Interpolation

The most common way to determine an interpolant is through polynomials. Denoting a set of all polynomials of degree at most k , $k \geq 0$ as \mathbb{P}_k , it can be seen that this set forms a vector space of dimension $k+1$. The basis functions that span this vector space can be chosen to be composed of a number of different functions and this choice has a great influence on both the cost of computation and manipulation of the interpolant, and the sensitivity of the parameters, i.e. the conditioning of the basis matrix.

Considering n data points it is obvious to choose $k = n - 1$ so that the dimension of the vector space matches the number of data points. The maybe most natural choice of basis for \mathbb{P}_{n-1} is one that consists of the first n monomials¹,

$$\phi_j(t) = t^{j-1}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n. \quad (19)$$

Thus any given polynomial $p_{n-1} \in \mathbb{P}_{n-1}$ will be of the form

$$p_{n-1}(t) = x_1 + x_2 t + \dots + x_n t^{n-1}. \quad (20)$$

In this basis the system of $n \times n$ linear equations will be of the form


$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & t_1 & \dots & t_1^{n-1} \\ 1 & t_1 & \dots & t_1^{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & t_1 & \dots & t_1^{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{y}. \quad (21)$$

**COMP-INTERP:
REFERENCE!!!**

This type of matrix with geometric progression, i.e. the columns are successive powers of some independent variable t is called a Vandermonde matrix. When using the monomial basis and using a standard linear equation solver to determining the interpolants coefficients requires $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$ work and often results in ill-conditioned Vandermonde matrices \mathbf{A} , especially for high-degree polynomials. This ill-conditioning is due to the monomials of higher and higher degree being more and more indistinguishable from each other. This

¹Roughly speaking, a polynomial with only one term.

makes the columns of \mathbf{A} nearly linearly dependent, resulting in almost singular matrices, and thus highly sensitive coefficients. For high enough n , the Vandermonde matrix becomes efficiently singular, to computational precision at least, though, as mentioned, this can be worked around, but requires some additional computational work.



Piecewise Polynomial Interpolation and Splines

The amount of work needed to solve the system as well as the conditioning of the system can be improved by using a different basis all together. Some different bases superior to the monomial that are worth mentioning are the Lagrange basis functions, the Newton basis functions and the orthogonal polynomials. But for this thesis we take a step further into the interpolation theory, as the choice of basis functions might not be enough to work around some of the problems connected with fitting a single polynomial to a large number of data points(i.e. oscillatory behaviour in the interpolant, nonconvergence or issues around the boundaries).

These practical and theoretical issues can be avoided through the use of piecewise polynomial interpolation, with the advantage that a large number of data points can be fitted with low-degree polynomials.

When turning to piecewise polynomial interpolation of the data points (t_i, y_i) , $i = 1, \dots, n$, $t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n$, a different polynomial is chosen for each subinterval $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$. Each point t_i , where the interpolant changes is called knots or control points. The simplest piecewise interpolation is piecewise linear interpolation, where each knot is connected with a straight line. If we consider this simple example it appears that by eliminating the problems of nonconvergence and unwanted oscillatory behaviour, the smoothness of the interpolant is sacrificed. This might be true for this simplistic example but since there are a number of degrees of freedom in choosing each piecewise polynomial interpolant, the smoothness can be reintroduced by exploiting a number of these measures. One way of doing this is by demanding knowledge of both the values and the derivatives of the interpolant at each data point. This just adds more equations to the system, and thus to have a well-defined solution, the number of equations must match the number of parameters. This type of interpolation is known as Hermite interpolation. The most common choice for this interpolation, to still maintain simplicity and computational efficiency, is cubic Hermite interpolation. This introduces a piecewise cubic polynomial with n knots, and thus $n - 1$ interpolants each with 4 parameters to fit, leading to $4(n - 1)$ parameters to be determined. Since each of the $n - 1$ cubics must match the data points at each end of the subinterval, it results in $2(n - 1)$

**COMP-INTERP:
REFERENCES!!**

Figure 5: Illustration of the first eight monomials.

equations, and requiring the derivative to be continuous, i.e. match at the end points, an additional of $n - 2$ equations are taken in. This leads to a system consisting of $2(n - 1) + (n - 2) = 3n - 4$ equations to fit to the $4n - 4$ parameters. This leaves n free parameters, meaning that a cubic Hermite interpolant is not unique and the remaining free parameters can be used to accommodate further or additional constraints that might be around the problem at hand.

Cubic Spline Interpolation

A spline is a piecewise polynomial of degree k that is continuously differentiable $k - 1$ times.

One way of using the remaining free parameters is by introducing *splines*. A cubic spline is, given the spline definition, a piecewise cubic polynomial, a polynomial of degree $k = 3$, and must then be $k - 1 = 2$ times differentiable. Thinking back on the Hermite cubic, we were left with n free parameters. By demanding continuity of also the second derivative, we introduce $n - 2$ new parameters, leaving only 2 final parameters to be free. These 2 remaining parameters can be fixed through a number of different requirements, e.g. by forcing the second derivative at the endpoints to be zero, which leads to the *natural* spline.

The Hermite and spline interpolations are useful for different cases. The Hermite cubic might be more appropriate for preserving monotonicity if it is known that the data are monotonic. On the contrary, the cubic spline may enforce a higher degree of smoothness as it takes the second derivative into account as well.

For the case of this study, cubic spline interpolation is used to either evenly redistribute slightly unevenly sampled data or to enhance resolution for more precise peak detection. The general method for cubic spline interpolation used here is described in the following.

Assuming the original depth array \mathbf{d} is distributed as $d_{i-1} < d_i < d_{i+1}$ with $i = 0, \dots, n - 1$ has a minimum sampling distance as Δ_{\min} we define the new sampling distance for the new depth array $\hat{\mathbf{d}}$ as $\Delta = \Delta_{\min}$ - again assuming that $\hat{d}_{j-1} < \hat{d}_j < \hat{d}_{j+1}$ with $j = 0, \dots, \hat{n} - 1$. This makes it possible to define the first and last value of the new array as

$$\hat{d}_0 = \Delta \lceil \frac{d_0}{\Delta} \rceil, \quad (22)$$

$$\hat{d}_{\hat{n}-1} = \Delta \lfloor \frac{d_{n-1}}{\Delta} \rfloor. \quad (23)$$

From this the number of values in the new array, \hat{n} , can be determined as

$$\hat{n} = 1 + \frac{\hat{d}_{\hat{n}-1} - \hat{d}_0}{\Delta}, \quad \hat{n} \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (24)$$

Thus our new depth array will be given as

$$\hat{\mathbf{d}} = \hat{\mathbf{d}}_0 + j \cdot \Delta, \quad j = 0, \dots, \hat{n} - 1. \quad (25)$$

The original data are then used to define a cubic spline interpolation function to which the redistributed depth data points can be matched. For this part of the data analysis the `SciPy.interpolate` Python (REFERENCE) package with `SciPy.interpolate.CubicSpline` for the cubic spline interpolation.

APPENDIX VII: Diffusion Illustrated Through Synthetic Data

SIGNAL-
SYNTHDATA:
Write about synthetic data generation.




Figure 6: Illustration of modelled auto-regressive process of first order (AR-1).




Figure 7: Illustration of AR-1 process and the same process diffused as in firn.




Figure 8: AR-1 process, raw and diffused, illustrated in the spectral domain.

APPENDIX IIX: Herron Langway Empirical Model

Sorge's law(REFERENCES) assumes that the relation between snow density ρ and depth h is invariant with time, given a constant snow accumulation and temperature. Furthermore, annual layer thinning by plastic flow is ignored.

Densification of firn, which can be described as the proportional change in air space, is linearly related to change in stress due to the weight of the overlying snow(REFERENCES):

$$\frac{d\rho}{\rho_i - \rho} = \text{const. } \rho dh \quad (26)$$

By integration, this implies a linear relation between $\ln \left[\frac{\rho}{\rho_i - \rho} \right]$ and h .

When considering real data, analysis shows that $\ln \left[\frac{\rho}{\rho_i - \rho} \right]$ vs h . plots have two linear segments(EXAMPLE), corresponding to the first and second stages of densification, with separation of segments at $\rho = 0.55$ and $\rho = 0.8$. These segments on the plots will yield two different slopes with slope constants:

$$C = \frac{d \ln \left[\frac{\rho}{\rho_i - \rho} \right]}{dh}, \rho < 0.55 \quad (27a)$$

$$C' = \frac{d \ln \left[\frac{\rho}{\rho_i - \rho} \right]}{dh}, 0.55 < \rho < 0.8 \quad (27b)$$

To find the densification rate, $\frac{d\rho}{dt}$, substitute $\frac{dh}{dt} = \frac{A}{\rho} \rightarrow dt = \frac{\rho}{A} dh$ and use the differentiation $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\ln \left[\frac{x(t)}{k-x(t)} \right] \right] = \frac{k \frac{dx}{dt}}{(k-x(t))x(t)}$

$$\begin{aligned} C &= \frac{\rho}{A} \frac{d \ln \left[\frac{\rho}{\rho_i - \rho} \right]}{dt} \\ &= \frac{\rho}{A} \frac{\rho_i}{\rho(\rho_i - \rho)} \frac{d\rho}{dt} \\ &= \frac{1}{A} \frac{\rho_i}{\rho_i - \rho} \frac{d\rho}{dt} \end{aligned}$$

leading to

$$\frac{d\rho}{dt} = \frac{CA}{\rho_i} (\rho_i - \rho) \quad (28a)$$

$$\frac{d\rho}{dt} = \frac{C'A}{\rho_i} (\rho_i - \rho) \quad (28b)$$

To continue from here two assumptions are made. The first is that the temperature and the accumulation rate dependencies may be separated, and that they thereby have no inter-correlation. The second is that the rate equations may be written as:

$$\frac{d\rho}{dt} = k_0 A^a (\rho_i - \rho), \rho < 0.55 \quad (29a)$$

$$\frac{d\rho}{dt} = k_1 A^b (\rho_i - \rho), 0.55 < \rho < 0.8 \quad (29b)$$

where k_0 and k_1 are Arrhenius type rate constants which are only temperature dependent, and a and b are constants determining the significance of the accumulation rate and are dependent on the densification mechanisms.

a and b may be determined by comparing slopes for densification at different sites of nearly equivalent conditions as:

$$a = \frac{\ln \left(\frac{C_1}{C_2} \right)}{\ln \left(\frac{A_1}{A_2} \right)} + 1 \quad (30)$$

and equivalently for b, with C'_1 and C'_2 .

k_0 and k_1 can be estimated by observing values of k at different temperatures and plotting $\ln(k)$ versus temperature - a so-called Arrhenius plot(REFERENCES)
- to find A and E_a in equations:

$$k = Ae^{-\frac{E_a}{k_B T}} = Ae^{-\frac{E_a}{RT}} \quad (31)$$

$$\ln(k) = \ln(A) - \frac{E_a}{R} \frac{1}{T}$$

leading to values of k_0 and k_1 of:

$$k_0 = 11e^{-\frac{10160}{RT}} \quad (32a)$$

$$k_1 = 575e^{-\frac{21400}{RT}} \quad (32b)$$

Depth-density and depth-age calculations

Assuming that temperature, annual accumulation rate and initial snow density are known, the following calculations can be made:

- Density at depth h , $\rho(h)$
- Depth at pore close-off, $\rho = 0.55$
- Depth-age relationship from surface to pore close-off (stage 1 and 2).

1. stage of densification: Depth-density profile:

$$\rho(h) = \frac{\rho_i Z_0}{1 + Z_0} \quad (33)$$

where $Z_0 = e^{\rho_i k_0 h + \ln\left[\frac{\rho_0}{\rho_i - \rho_0}\right]}$. In this segment, the depth-density is independent of accumulation rate. The critical density depth can be calculated as:

$$h_{0.55} = \frac{1}{\rho_i k_0} \left[\ln \left[\frac{0.55}{\rho_i - 0.55} \right] - \ln \left[\frac{\rho_0}{\rho_i - \rho_0} \right] \right] \quad (34)$$

and the age at close-off depth as:

$$t_{0.55} = \frac{1}{k_0 A} \ln \left[\frac{\rho_i - \rho_0}{\rho_i - 0.55} \right] \quad (35)$$

ICE-DENS: Figure out where this comes from.

2. stage of densification: The depth-density profile

$$\rho(h) = \frac{\rho_i Z_1}{1 + Z_1} \quad (36)$$

where $Z_1 = e^{\rho_i k_1 (h - h_{0.55}) \frac{1}{A^{0.5}} + \ln \left[\frac{0.55}{\rho_i - 0.55} \right]}$. The age of firn at a given density ρ :

$$t_\rho = \frac{1}{k_1 A^{0.5}} \ln \left[\frac{\rho_1 - 0.55}{\rho_1 - \rho} \right] \quad (37)$$

An estimate of the mean annual accumulation rate can be made from the slope C' and the mean annual temperature:

$$A = \left(\frac{\rho_i k_1}{C'} \right)^2 \quad (38)$$




Figure 9: Density profile examples given five different initial conditions representing present day conditions at the five different ice core locations. Temperature, T_0 , is in $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and accumulation, A_0 , is in meter of water equivalent per year.

APPENDIX IX: Iso-Community Firn Model

Firn Diffusivity

The Iso-CFM framework contains a number of different new modules added to the CFM. A specific module for calculation of the firn diffusivity, $D(\rho(z))$, is provided, containing several different methods for the calculations of the individual parameters contained in the calculation of the diffusivity constant, using the formulation in [11, Johnsen et al., 2000]:

$$D_i(z) = \frac{m p D_{\text{air}}}{R T(z) \alpha_{s/v}^i \tau(z)} \left(\frac{1}{\rho(z)} - \frac{1}{\rho_{\text{ice}}} \right) \quad (39)$$

with $i \in \text{O}^{18}, \text{O}^{17}, \text{D}$ representing the three different types of water isotopic ratios generally examined. The different terms in 39 each describes the following:

m : molecular weight in [kg]

$R = 8.314478 \left[\frac{\text{m}^3 \text{Pa}}{\text{K mol}} \right]$: molar gas constant

T Temperature [K]

p : saturation vapor pressure over ice in [Pa]

The saturation vapor pressure over ice can be calculated in two different ways, as in [?, Murphy & Koop 2005]:

$$p = \exp \left(28.9074 - \frac{6143.7}{T} \right) \quad (40)$$

$$p = \exp \left(9.5504 - \frac{5723.265}{T} + 3.530 \ln(T) - 0.0073 T \right) \quad (41)$$

where Eq. 41 takes the temperature dependence of the latent heat of sublimation of ice into account when integrating the Clausius-Clapeyron equation. A third expression is presented in [10, Johnsen et al., 2000] as:

$$p = 3.454 \cdot 10^{12} \exp \left(\frac{-6133}{T} \right) \quad (42)$$

which will be the one used for analytical calculations of diffusion length in this project.

D_{air} : diffusivity of water vapor in air,

calculated from $P_0 = 1 \text{ Atm}$, $T_0 = 273.15 \text{ K}$, T temperature in [K] and P ambient pressure in [Atm], as in [?, Hall and Prupacher, 1976]:

$$D_{\text{air}} = 2.1 \cdot 10^{-5} \left(\frac{T}{T_0} \right)^{1.94} \left(\frac{P_0}{P} \right) \quad (43)$$

From [?, Merlivat, 1978] the additional diffusivity of water isotopes ratios for ^{18}O and ^2H vapor were defined as

$$D_{\text{air}^2\text{H}} = \frac{D_{\text{air}}}{1.0251} \quad (44)$$

$$D_{\text{air}^{18}\text{O}} = \frac{D_{\text{air}}}{1.0285} \quad (45)$$

$\alpha_{s/v}^i$: solid-to-vapour fractionation factor. $i = {}^{18}\text{O}, \text{D}, {}^{17}\text{O}$,

For both $\alpha_{s/v}^{18}$ and $\alpha_{s/v}^2$ there are multiple options for parameterisation of the fractionation factor. Considering $\alpha_{s/v}^{18}$, one can choose between [?, Majoube 1971] and [?, Ellehøj et al., 2013], respectively as:

$$\ln(\alpha_{s/v}^{18}) = \frac{11.839}{T} - 28.224 \cdot 10^{-3} \quad (46)$$

and

$$\ln(\alpha_{s/v}^{18}) = 0.0831 - \frac{49.192}{T} + \frac{8312.5}{T^2} \quad (47)$$

For $\alpha_{s/v}^2$ the parameterisation from [?, Merlivat and Nief, 1967], [?, Ellehøj et al., 2013] or [?, Lamb et al., 2017], respectively as:

$$\ln(\alpha_{s/v}^2) = \frac{16288}{T^2} - 9.45 \cdot 10^{-2}, \quad (48)$$

$$\ln(\alpha_{s/v}^2) = 0.2133 - \frac{203.1}{T} + \frac{48888}{T^2} \quad (49)$$

or

$$\ln(\alpha_{s/v}^2) = \frac{13525}{T^2} - 5.59 \cdot 10^{-2}. \quad (50)$$

The parameterisation of the fractionation factor related to the ${}^{17}\text{O}$ water isotopic ratios is based on [?, Barkan and Luz, 2005] as $\alpha_{s/v}^{17} = 0.529\alpha_{s/v}^{18}$. For a comparison of the different parameterisations see [?, Gkinis et al., 2021]. The default choices in the iso-CFM modules is [?, Majoube 1971] for $\alpha_{s/v}^{18}$ and [?, Merlivat and Nief, 1967] for $\alpha_{s/v}^2$

τ : firn tortuosity

In [11, Johnsen et al., 2000] a parameterisation of the firn tortuosity was presented as:

$$\frac{1}{\tau} = \begin{cases} 1 - b_\tau \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_{\text{ice}}} \right)^2, & \rho \leq \frac{\rho_{\text{ice}}}{\sqrt{b_\tau}} \\ 0, & \rho > \frac{\rho_{\text{ice}}}{\sqrt{b_\tau}} \end{cases} \quad (51)$$

where $b_\tau = 1.3$ and $\rho_{\text{ice}} = 917 \frac{\text{kg}}{\text{m}^3}$, implying for $\frac{1}{\tau} = 0$ a close-off density of $\rho_{\text{co}} = 804.3 \frac{\text{kg}}{\text{m}^3}$. This close-off density refers to the density at the depth where diffusive fluxes stop and $\frac{D_{\text{air}}}{D_{\text{eff}}} \rightarrow \infty$. Different parameterisations have

been suggested, some defined from the total porosity, but for this project, the expression used is the one given in Eq. 51.

Diffusion Length Profiles

The iso-CFM computes a numerical solution for σ using a time-stepping scheme, as is the case for the original CFM, to estimate the most likely diffusion length profile at a given site. From each time step the CFM computes $\frac{d\rho}{dt}$ and T , and the iso-CFM uses these results to calculate the quantity $\frac{d\sigma^2}{dt}$:

$$\frac{d\sigma^2}{dt} = 2 \left(D(t) - \frac{\sigma^2}{\rho} \frac{d\rho}{dt} \right) \quad (52)$$

Eq. 52 shows that the diffusion length signal throughout the ice is a result of two processes, opposing each other: the always positive diffusivity term $D(t)$, and the densification process contributing negatively to the change over time, $-\frac{\sigma^2}{\rho} \frac{d\rho}{dt}$. After a certain depth, the densification term comes to dominate and thus the entire equation becomes negative and the value of the diffusion length is decreasing, see Figure 10.

To simplify the work of this thesis, the numerical module of the CFM and the iso-CFM has not been implemented in the final computations, and the diffusion length profiles referred to in the rest of the project are calculated through an analytical method, using equations derived from Eq. 52 analytically. A short walk-through of the derivations will be presented here as they are described in [?, Gkinis et al., 2021]. By substitution of variables rearrangement Eq. 52 becomes:

$$\frac{d\sigma^2}{d\rho} + \frac{2\sigma^2}{\rho} = 2 \left(\frac{d\rho}{dt} \right)^{-1} D(\rho) \quad (53)$$

which can be converted to integral form:

$$\sigma^2(\rho) = \frac{1}{\rho^2} \int_{\rho_0}^{\rho} 2\rho'^2 \left(\frac{d\rho'}{dt} \right)^{-1} D(\rho') d\rho' \quad (54)$$

Then, by using the densification rate parameterisation given in [8, Herron and Langway, 1980], the expression becomes:

$$\frac{d\rho(z)}{dt} = k(T) A^\nu (\rho_{\text{ice}} - \rho(z)), \quad (55)$$




Figure 10: Contribution of the diffusion(dashed) and densification(dot-dashed) terms from Eq. 52 to the final analytical diffusion length solution (blue).

where $k(T)$ is an Arrhenius-type densification rate constant, dependent on temperature and densification zone described by:

$$k_0(T) = 0.011 \exp\left(-\frac{10160}{RT}\right), \quad \nu_0 = 1 \quad (56)$$

in the upper densification zone, $\rho < 550\rho_{co}$. In the lower densification zone, $\rho \geq \rho_{co}$, it is described as:

$$k_1(T) = 0.575 \exp\left(-\frac{21400}{RT}\right), \quad \nu_1 = 0.5. \quad (57)$$

Using the parameterization of the diffusivity coefficient from Eq. 39 and expressing the term $1/\tau = 1 - b_{tau} \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_{ice}}\right)^2$ in densities, the diffusivity coefficient can be described as a function of density:

$$D_i(\rho) = \frac{m p D_{air}}{RT \alpha_{s/v}^i} \left(1 - b_\tau \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_{ice}}\right)^2\right) \left(\frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{1}{\rho_{ice}}\right). \quad (58)$$




Figure 11: Crete and surrounding Alphabet cores, as their analytical solutions place them according to observed temperature and accumulation rate.

By then inserting in Eq. 54, defining $\frac{mpD_{\text{air}}}{RT\alpha_{s/v}^i} = \zeta$, and integrating the final analytical equations for the diffusion length in upper and lower densification zones can be obtained:

$$\sigma^2(\rho < \rho_{\text{co}}) = \frac{\zeta}{\rho^2 k_0 A^{\nu_0} \rho_{\text{ice}}} \left[\rho^2 - \rho_0 - \frac{b_\tau}{2\rho_{\text{ice}}^2} (\rho^4 - \rho_0^4) \right] \quad (59)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^2(\rho \geq \rho_{\text{co}}) = & \frac{\zeta}{\rho^2 k_1 A^{\nu_1} \rho_{\text{ice}}} \left[\rho^2 - \rho_{\text{Cr}} - \frac{b_\tau}{2\rho_{\text{ice}}^2} (\rho^4 - \rho_{\text{Cr}}^4) \right] \\ & + \frac{\zeta}{\rho^2 k_0 A^{\nu_0} \rho_{\text{ice}}} \left[\rho_{\text{Cr}}^2 - \rho_0 - \frac{b_\tau}{2\rho_{\text{ice}}^2} (\rho_{\text{Cr}}^4 - \rho_0^4) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

The analytical equations have been used for creating a contour plot of the analytical solutions for σ_{18} at the close-off density, ρ_{co} .

These analytical equations are used to compute diffusion lengths to compare with the optimal diffusion length estimates computed from the raw data. One could advantageously spend some time and energy on using the iso-CFM to numerically compute the comparison diffusion lengths with different




Figure 12: Analytically calculated diffusion length profile examples given five different initial conditions representing present day conditions at the five different ice core locations. Temperature, T_0 , is in °C and accumulation, A_0 , is in meter of water equivalent per year.

temperature and accumulation forcing to recreate a diffusion length profile corresponding to the largest likelihood at a given drill site. Since the iso-CFM do consist of many different modules all with different possibilities for parameterisation, it is outside the scope of this project to develop a iso-CFM diffusion length estimate. In-depth methodology and results from the iso-CFM can be found in [?, Gkinis et al., 2021].

APPENDIX X: Dielectric Profiling

A method was later developed to demonstrate how both acids and salts play a decisive role in the determination of the electrical behavior of ice. The dielectric response of an ice core can be used to determine the total ionic concentration of the core. For ECM the measurements are sensitive to the fluctuating distance between ice core and electrodes, and after each measurement a fresh piece of ice needs to be prepared to repeat a measurement.

A new dielectric profiling technique (DEP) was developed (REFERENCES) with the advantages over the ECM that no direct contact is needed between the electrodes and the ice, so that the ice can stay in a protective polythene sleeve and the experiment easily can be repeated on the same piece of ice. Together the ice core and the polythene sleeve creates a complete system, where the plastic acts as an electrical blocking layer.

The dielectric response is measured by a sweeping of the AF-LF frequency range for the entire ice-polythene system. At LF the conductivity of the composite system is within a few percentages of the intrinsic behavior of the ice itself. At HF-VHF frequencies it also approximates well enough (REFERENCES).

The measured dielectric parameters are the conductivity of ice at HF-VHF range, denoted σ_∞ where ∞ signifies a frequency much higher than the relaxation frequency, f_r , of the dominant dispersion in the system. Both of these parameters display clear chemical response signals which can be used either alone or in combination with other ice core analysis measurements like ECM and isotope analysis.

If the core under analysis is chemically analyzed for Na^+ , Mg^{2+} , Cl^- , SO_4^{2-} and NO_3^- , a number of important parameters, which can be used to evaluate the response of the dielectric parameters, can be calculated(REFERENCES):

- The salt parameter, which represents the total marine cation concentration calculated with the assumed marine ratios as:

$$[\text{salt}] = 1.05([\text{Na}^+] + [\text{Mg}^{2+}]) \quad (61)$$

- XSO_4 , the excess sulphate, which represents the amount the sulphate concentration is above the expected if the salt and sulphate ions were in normal sea salt ratios. Excess sulphate is essentially sulphuric acid, which is the main acidic component of the ice.

- The strong acid content of the ice has been calculated as (assuming no other ions present in significant quantities):

$$[\text{acid}] = [\text{Cl}^-] + [\text{SO}_4^{2-}] + [\text{NO}_3^-] - 1.05([\text{Na}^+] + [\text{Mg}^{2+}]) \quad (62)$$

From data, it can be seen that acid and salt concentration peaks clearly affect σ_∞ and f_r (EXAMPLES, REFERENCES). The relationship between salt and acid, and the two dielectric parameters have been derived through non-linear regression analysis. In PAPER(REFERENCES) the linear responses for the DEP at -22°C were:

$$\sigma_\infty = (0.39 \pm 0.01)[\text{salt}] + (1.43 \pm 0.05)[\text{acid}] + (12.7 \pm 0.3) \quad (63)$$

with 76.6 % variance

$$f_r = (440 \pm 11)[\text{salt}] + (612 \pm 65)[\text{acid}] + (8200 \pm 400) \quad (64)$$

with 68.4 % variance. σ_∞ is measured in $\mu\text{S}/\text{m}$, f_r in Hz and [acid] and [salt] in $\mu\text{Eq}/l$. The total ionic concentration of the ice core is strongly linked to the dielectric parameters, and a regression between the total anion concentration and the dielectric parameters gives:

$$[\text{anions}] = [\text{salt}] + [\text{acid}] = 0.022\sigma_\infty^{1.89} + 10^{-6}f_r^{1.61} - 0.2 \quad (65)$$

with 86.7 % variance.

The DEP complements the ECM technique by not only reacting to acids alone, as ECM does, but responds to both neutral salts and acids. The acid term is here associated with the DC conductivity, the same way it is also detected by ECM. The dielectric dependence on salts is consistent with the Bjerrum L defect² affecting every one or two salt ions in the ice, indicating that a large fraction of the neutral salt is incorporated into the ice lattice.³

The sensitivity to salt concentrations allows for identifications of periods with major storms and open seas which are also important identifiers for paleo climate research, along with the volcanic eruption detection made possible through the ECM.

³A Bjerrum defect is a crystallographic defect specific to ice, partly responsible for the electrical properties of ice. Usually a hydrogen bond will normally have one proton, but with a Bjerrum defect it will have either two protons (D defect) or no proton (L defect).(REFERENCES)