

DI PIAZZA

Théo

HW2 - Convex Optimization

Exercise 1

* $c \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min_x & c^T x \\ \text{s.t.} & Ax = b \\ & x \geq 0 \end{array} \quad (P)$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \max_y & b^T y \\ \text{s.t.} & A^T y \leq c \end{array} \quad (D)$$

1) To start, let's write (P) with the standard form:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min_x & c^T x \\ \text{s.t.} & Ax - b = 0 \\ & -x \leq 0 \end{array} \quad (P)$$

Then we define: $L(x, \lambda, \mu) = c^T x + \mu^T (Ax - b) - \lambda^T x$ with $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^d$
 $g(\lambda, \mu) = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} L(x, \lambda, \mu)$

Then the dual of (P) defined such that:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \max_{\mu, \lambda} & \inf_x c^T x + \mu^T (Ax - b) - \lambda^T x \\ \text{s.t.} & \lambda \geq 0 \end{array} \quad (P^*)$$

Two cases are possible:

- If $(c^T + \mu^T A - \lambda^T)^T = 0$ then $g(\lambda, \mu) = -\mu^T b$
- Else $g(\lambda, \mu) = -\infty$

Then we can rewrite (P^*) such that:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \max_{\mu, \lambda} & -\mu^T b \\ \text{s.t.} & \mu^T A + c^T - \lambda^T = 0 \text{ which can} \\ & \text{be simplified:} \\ & \lambda \geq 0 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \max_{\mu} & -\mu^T b \\ \text{s.t.} & \mu^T A + c^T \geq 0 \end{array}$$

2) let's write (D) with standard form:

$$\min_y -b^T y \quad (D)$$

$$\text{s.t. } A^T y - c \leq 0$$

Then we can define: $L(y, \lambda) := -b^T y + \lambda^T (A^T y - c)$ with $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^m$

$$g(\lambda) := \inf_y -b^T y + \lambda^T (A^T y - c)$$

Then the dual of (D), noted (D*) can be defined such that:

$$\max_{\lambda} \inf_y -b^T y + \lambda^T (A^T y - c)$$
$$\text{s.t. } \lambda \geq 0$$

Two cases are possible:

- If $-b^T + \lambda^T A^T = 0$ then $g(\lambda) = -\lambda^T c$
- Else, $g(\lambda) = -\infty$

Then we can rewrite (D*):

$$\max_{\lambda} -\lambda^T c$$

$$\text{s.t. } A\lambda = b$$

$$\lambda \geq 0$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \min_{x,y} c^T x - b^T y \\
 \text{s.t. } & b - Ax = 0 \quad (\text{SD}) \\
 & x \geq 0 \\
 & A^T y - c \leq 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Let's define:

$$\begin{aligned}
 L(x, y, \mu, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) &:= c^T x - b^T y + \mu^T (b - Ax) - \lambda_1^T x + \lambda_2^T (A^T y - c) \\
 g(\mu, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) &:= \inf_{x, y} L(x, y, \mu, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)
 \end{aligned}$$

Then, the dual of (SD), noted (SD^*) is defined:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \max_{\mu, \lambda_1, \lambda_2} & \inf_{x, y} L(x, y, \mu, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) \quad (SD^*) \\
 \text{s.t. } & \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \geq 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Two cases are possible:

- If $c^T - \mu^T A - \lambda_1^T = -b^T + \lambda_2^T A^T = 0$, then $g(\mu, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \mu^T b - \lambda_2^T c$
- Else, $g(\mu, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) = -\infty$

Then, (SD^*) can be simplified:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \max_{\mu, \lambda_1, \lambda_2} & \mu^T b - \lambda_2^T c \\
 \text{s.t. } & A^T \mu \leq c \\
 & A \lambda_2 = b \\
 & \lambda_2 \geq 0
 \end{aligned}$$

With $(\lambda_2 = \alpha)$ and $(\mu = y)$, it is equivalent to:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \min_{x, y} c^T x - b^T y \\
 \text{s.t. } & Ax = b \\
 & x \geq 0 \\
 & A^T y \leq c
 \end{aligned}$$

which is exactly (SD).
 \Rightarrow Hence (SD) is self-dual.

4) The objective function of (SD) is a sum of linear functions with respect to x only.

Each constraint of the problem concerns only one variable at a time

Hence, we can rewrite (SD):

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \min_{x,y} c^T x - b^T y & = & \min_x c^T x + \min_y -b^T y \\ \text{s.t. } Ax = b & \Rightarrow \text{s.t. } A^T x = b & \text{s.t. } A^T y \leq c \\ x \geq 0 & x \geq 0 & \\ A^T y \leq c & & \end{array}$$

Then x^* can be obtained by solving (P)

and y^+ can be obtained by solving (D)

Finally, $[x^*, y^*]$ will be an optimal solution for (SD) obtained by solving (P) and (D).

- To start, we can rewrite (S1)

$$\min_{x,y} c^T x - b^T y = \min_x c^T x - \left(+ \max_y b^T y \right)$$

s.t. $Ax=b$ s.t. $Ax=b$
 $x \geq 0$ $x \geq 0$

s.t. $A^T y \leq c$

Then, by making a change of variable such as $y = -y$.

$$\begin{aligned} \min c^T x - b^T y &= \min c^T x - \left(+ \max - b^T y \right) \\ \text{s.t. } Ax = b \\ &\quad \geq 0 \\ Aty \leq c \\ &\quad \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

* Constraints of (P) are a linear equality and a linear inequality.

* (P) is supposed feasible

* $\text{dom}(c^T \alpha) = \mathbb{R}^d$, which is open

Then by Slater's condition, if we define:

→ p^* as the solution of (P)

→ d^* as the solution of (P*)

Then, $p^* = d^*$ (strong duality)

So finally, $\min c^T \alpha - b^T y = p^* - d^* = 0$

$$\text{s.t. } Ax = b$$

$$\alpha \geq 0$$

$$A^T y \leq c$$

HW2 - Convex Optimization

Exercice 2

$$A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}, b \in \mathbb{R}^m$$

$$\min_{x} \|Ax - b\|_2^2 + \|x\|_1 \quad (\text{RLS})$$

1) let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$. We define $f(x) := \|x\|_1$

$$\text{Then, } f^*(y) = \sup_{x \in \text{dom} f} y^T x - f(x) = \sup_{x \in \text{dom} f} \sum_{i=1}^d (y_i x_i - |x_i|)$$

Three cases are possible

* $\exists j \in \llbracket 1, d \rrbracket$ s.t. $y_j > 1$ and we select x s.t. $\begin{cases} x_j = 1 > 0 \\ x_i = 0 \quad \forall i \neq j \end{cases}$

$$\text{Then } \sum_{i=1}^d y_i x_i - |x_i| = y_j 1 - 1 = 1(y_j - 1) \xrightarrow[d \rightarrow +\infty]{\substack{\sim \\ y_j > 1}} +\infty$$

* $\exists j \in \llbracket 1, d \rrbracket$ s.t. $y_j < -1$ and we select x s.t. $\begin{cases} x_j = -1 < 0 \\ x_i = 0 \quad \forall i \neq j \end{cases}$

$$\text{Then } \sum_{i=1}^d y_i x_i - |x_i| = y_j (-1) + 1 = -1(y_j + 1) \xrightarrow[d \rightarrow -\infty]{\substack{\sim \\ y_j < -1}} +\infty$$

Then from 2 previous cases, $f^*(y) = +\infty$ if $\|y\|_\infty > 1$

* Finally, if $\|y\|_\infty \leq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \sum_{i=1}^d y_i x_i - |x_i| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^d |y_i x_i| - |x_i| \leq \sum_{i=1}^d |y_i| |x_i| - |x_i| \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^d \underbrace{|y_i|}_{> 0} (|x_i| - 1) \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

The equality holds if $x = \vec{0}$, then $f^*(y) = 0$ if $\|y\|_\infty \leq 1$.

\Rightarrow So finally: $f^*(y) = \begin{cases} +\infty & \text{if } \|y\|_\infty > 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

2) Let's rewrite the problem (RLS). Let $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

$$\min_{\alpha} \|y\|_2^2 + \|\alpha\|_1$$

$$\text{s.t. } y + b - Ax = 0$$

Then we can define $L(\mu, \alpha, y) = \|y\|_2^2 + \|\alpha\|_1 + \mu^T(y + b - Ax)$ with $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^m$

$$\begin{aligned} g(\mu) &:= \inf_{x, y} \|y\|_2^2 + \mu^T y + \|\alpha\|_1 - \mu^T Ax + \mu^T b \\ &= \inf_y \|y\|_2^2 + \mu^T y + \inf_{\alpha} \|\alpha\|_1 - \mu^T Ax + \mu^T b \end{aligned}$$

$$= \inf_y R(y) - \sup_{\alpha} (A^T \mu)^T \alpha - \|\alpha\|_1 + \mu^T b$$

* where $R(y) = \|y\|_2^2 + \mu^T y$ is convex and 1-differentiable

$$\text{Hence } \nabla_y R(y) = 2y + \mu = 0 \Rightarrow y = -\frac{\mu}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{The minimum of } R \text{ is } \frac{1}{4} \|\mu\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|\mu\|_2^2 = -\frac{1}{4} \|\mu\|_2^2$$

* With respect to 1), we can write that:

$$\sup_{\alpha} (A^T \mu)^T \alpha - \|\alpha\|_1 = f^*(A^T \mu) = \begin{cases} +\infty & \text{if } \|A^T \mu\|_{\infty} > 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow g(\mu) = \mu^T b - \frac{1}{4} \|\mu\|_2^2 - f^*(A^T \mu)$$

So finally, the dual of (RLS) can be written:

$$\max_{\mu} \mu^T b - \frac{1}{4} \|\mu\|_2^2$$

$$\text{s.t. } \|A^T \mu\|_{\infty} \leq 1$$

DI PIAZZA

Théo

HW2 - Convex Optimization

Exercise 3

$$\min_w \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^n L(w, x_i, y_i) + \frac{\tau}{2} \|w\|_2^2 \quad (\text{Sep 1})$$

$$\text{where } L(w, x_i, y_i) = \max\{0, 1 - y_i(w^\top x_i)\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 1) \quad & \min_{w, j} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^n j_i + \frac{\tau}{2} \|w\|_2^2 \\ & \text{s.t. } j_i \geq 1 - y_i(w^\top x_i) \quad \forall i \in [1, n] \\ & \quad j \geq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{Sep 2})$$

- To start, τ is the regularization parameter hence $\tau > 0$.

We can rewrite (Sep 2) such as:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_j \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^n j_i + \frac{\tau}{2} \min_w \|w\|_2^2 \\ \text{s.t. } j_i \geq 1 - y_i(w^\top x_i) \quad \forall i \in [1, n] \\ j \geq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{Sep 2})$$

- Then, it can be shown that:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_j \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^n j_i \\ \text{s.t. } j_i \geq 1 - y_i(w^\top x_i) \quad \forall i \in [1, n] \\ j \geq 0 \end{aligned} = \min_w \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^n \max\{0, 1 - y_i(w^\top x_i)\}$$

- So finally, we can write (Sep 2) such that:

$$\min_w \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^n h(w, x_i, y_i) + \frac{\tau}{2} \|w\|_2^2 \quad \text{which is (Sep 1)}$$

2) To start, we can write (Sep 2) with standard form:

$$\min_{w, \gamma} \frac{1}{m^2} 1^T \gamma + \frac{1}{2} \|w\|_2^2 \quad (\text{Sep 2})$$

$$\text{s.t. } 1 - \gamma_i - y_i(w^T x_i) \leq 0 \quad \forall i=1..m \quad (\lambda) \\ -\gamma \leq 0 \quad (\pi)$$

* Then, we can define: $(\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R} \forall i=1..m, \pi \in \mathbb{R}^m)$

$$L(w, \gamma, \lambda, \pi) := \frac{1}{m^2} 1^T \gamma + \frac{1}{2} \|w\|_2^2 - \pi^T \gamma + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i (1 - \gamma_i - y_i(w^T x_i))$$

$$g(\lambda, \pi) := \inf_{w, \gamma} \left(\frac{1}{m^2} 1^T \pi^T - \lambda^T \gamma + \frac{1}{2} \|w\|_2^2 - \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i y_i(w^T x_i) + \lambda^T 1 \right)$$

$$\inf_{w, \gamma} \frac{1}{2} \|w\|_2^2 - \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i y_i(w^T x_i) + \lambda^T 1 \text{ if } \frac{1}{m^2} 1 - \pi - \lambda = 0$$

$$* \text{ Then } g(\lambda, \pi) = \begin{cases} \inf_{w, \gamma} \frac{1}{2} \|w\|_2^2 - \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i y_i(w^T x_i) + \lambda^T 1 & \text{if } \frac{1}{m^2} 1 - \pi - \lambda = 0 \\ -\infty \text{ otherwise.} & \end{cases}$$

* $f(w) := \frac{1}{2} \|w\|_2^2 - \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i y_i(w^T x_i) + \lambda^T 1$ is convex and 1-differentiable

$$\text{Hence } \nabla_w f(w) = w - \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i y_i x_i = 0 \Rightarrow w = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i y_i x_i$$

$$\text{Hence } \inf_w f(w) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i y_i x_i \right)^T \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i y_i x_i \right) - \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i y_i \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j y_j x_j \right)^T x_i + \lambda^T 1 \\ = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} \lambda_i \lambda_j y_i y_j x_i^T x_j + \lambda^T 1$$

$$\text{Hence } g(\lambda, \pi) = \begin{cases} \lambda^T 1 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} \lambda_i \lambda_j y_i y_j x_i^T x_j & \text{if } \frac{1}{m^2} 1 - \pi - \lambda = 0 \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Hence, the dual of (Sep2) is:

$$\max_{\lambda, \pi} \lambda^T 1 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} \lambda_i \lambda_j y_i y_j x_i^T x_j$$

$$\text{s.t. } \lambda \geq 0$$

$$\pi \geq 0$$

$$\frac{1}{m^2} 1 - \pi - \lambda = 0$$

which can be simplified:

$$\max_{\lambda} \lambda^T 1 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} \lambda_i \lambda_j y_i y_j x_i^T x_j$$

$$\text{s.t. } \lambda \geq 0$$

$$\frac{1}{m^2} 1 - \lambda \geq 0$$
