

Essay for the specialised integration theory
course, VT23

The Pfeffer integral

Theo Koppenhöfer

Lund

May 26, 2023

Introduction

Given a suitable set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ the divergence theorem states that

$$\int_A \operatorname{Div} w \, d\mathcal{L}^n = \int_{\partial A} w \cdot \nu_A \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$$

holds for each $w \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$. Here $\nu_A : \partial A \rightarrow S^{n-1} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is the exterior unit normal and

$$\operatorname{Div} w = \sum_i \partial_i w_i$$

is the divergence. One of the major motivations of the Pfeffer integral is to generalise the integral on the Lebesgue-integral on the left-hand-side in such a way that the divergence theorem holds for w with fewer regularity conditions.

Definition of the integral

Definition 1 (essential interior, exterior, boundary). *We define the essential interior $\operatorname{int}_* A$ to be the set of density points of A . The essential exterior $\operatorname{ext}_* A = \operatorname{int}_* A^c$ is the essential interior of the complement of A . The essential boundary is given by*

$$\partial_* A = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus (\operatorname{int}_* A \cup \operatorname{ext}_* A).$$

Definition 2 (relative perimeter). *We define the relative perimeter of a measurable set E to be*

$$P(E, \operatorname{in} A) = \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\partial_* E \cap \operatorname{int}_* A).$$

where \mathcal{H}^{n-1} denotes the $(n-1)$ -dimensional Hausdorff-measure. We write $P(E) = P(E, \operatorname{in} \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Definition 3 (BV-sets). *A measurable set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is called a BV-set if $|A| + P(A) < \infty$. We denote by \mathcal{BV} the set of all BV-sets and by \mathcal{BV}_c the set of all bounded BV-sets.*

The reason for looking at BV-sets is the following. Given a BV-set A there exists an exterior normal $\nu_A : \partial_* A \rightarrow S^{n-1} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ which is unique up to \mathcal{H}^{n-1} -nullsets.

Definition 4 (Regularity of BV_c-sets). *For $E \in \mathcal{BV}_c$ we define the regularity*

$$r(E) = \begin{cases} \frac{|E|}{\operatorname{diam}(E)P(E)} & \text{if } |E| > 0 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Definition 5 (isoparametric). *We call $E \in \mathcal{BV}_c$ ε -isoparametric if for all $T \in \mathcal{BV}$*

$$\min\{P(E \cap T), P(E \setminus T)\} \leq \frac{P(T, \operatorname{in} E)}{\varepsilon}.$$

We now show that cubes are in fact ε -isoparametric for some small ε which only depends on the dimension n .

Proposition 6 (Cubes are ε -isoparametric). *Every cube $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is ε -isoparametric for $\varepsilon < \kappa$ for some κ which depends only on the dimension.*

Proof. Let $T \in \mathcal{BV}$ □

Definition 7 (Gauge). *We call a set thin if it is σ -finite w.r.t. \mathcal{H}^{n-1} . A mapping $\delta: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$ for which $\{\delta = 0\}$ is called a gauge.*

Definition 8 (Partitions). *Let δ be a gauge and $\varepsilon > 0$. We call a partition*

$$\{(E_1, x_1), \dots, (E_p, x_p)\}$$

with disjoint sets $E_i \in \mathcal{BV}_c$ and points $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$

- *ε -regular if $r(E_i \cup \{x_i\}) > \varepsilon$ for all i*
- *strongly ε -regular if it is ε -regular, E_i is ε -isoperimetric and $x_i \in \text{cl}_* E_i$ for all i*
- *δ -fine if $E_i \subseteq B_{\delta(x_i)}(x_i)$ for all i*

Definition 9 (Topology on \mathcal{BV}_c). *We say a sequence $A: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{BV}_c$ converges to A_* if there exists a compact $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $A_k \subseteq K$, $\sup_k P(A_k) < \infty$ and $|A_* \Delta A_k| \rightarrow 0$ where Δ denotes the symmetric difference.*

Definition 10 (Charge). *A function $F: \mathcal{BV}_c \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called*

- *finitely additive if $F(A \sqcup B) = F(A) + F(B)$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{BV}_c$ disjoint.*
- *continuous if $A_k \rightarrow A_*$ implies that $F(A_k) \rightarrow F(A_*)$.*
- *a Charge if it is finitely additive and continuous*

One sees from the definition that charges form a linear space. We now give some examples of charges.

Claim (Volume integrals as charges). *Let $f \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then the indefinite integral of f*

$$F: A \mapsto \int_A f \, d\mathcal{L}^n$$

is a charge.

Proof. F is finitely additive. Let $A_k \rightarrow A_*$ then we have by the dominated convergence theorem with majorant $\mathbb{1}_K f$ that

$$\int_{A_k} f \, d\mathcal{L}^n \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{A_*} f \, d\mathcal{L}^n$$

and hence F is a charge. □

In particular it follows from this example that every measure which is absolutely continuous w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure is a charge. A further example is given by

Claim (Fluxes are charges). *For $E \in \mathcal{BV}$ and $w \in C(\text{cl}(E); \mathbb{R}^n)$ we have that the flux of w*

$$F : A \mapsto \int_{\partial_*(A \cap E)} w \cdot \nu_{A \cap E} \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$$

is a charge. Here $\nu_{A \cap E} : \partial_(A \cap E) \rightarrow S^{n-1} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ denotes the outer unit normal.*

Proof. We have that F is finitely additive. □

Definition 11. *A function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called R^* -integrable with respect to a charge G if there is a charge F , s.t. for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a gauge δ such that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^p |f(x_i)G(E_i) - F(E_i)| < \varepsilon$$

for each strongly ε -regular δ -fine partition $\{(E_1, x_1), \dots, (E_p, x_p)\}$. We call F an indefinite integral of f with respect to G and write

$$F = \int^* f \, dG.$$

We now would like to prove the uniqueness of the integral. For this we require

Lemma 12 (Density of cubes). *Let F be a charge such that $F(C) = 0$ for all cubes $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. Then $F = 0$.*

Proof. See [1, Lemma 2.4]. □

Lemma 13. *Let C be a cube $F \geq 0$ be a charge, $\varepsilon > 0$ and δ be a gauge. Then there exist disjoint dyadic cubes C_i and points $x_i \in C_i$ such that $\text{diam}(C_i) < \delta(x_i)$ for all i and*

$$f\left(A \setminus \bigcup_i C_i\right) < \varepsilon$$

Proof. See [3, Lemma 2.6.4]. □

Claim. *The integral is unique.*

Proof. We follow [1, Proposition 3.4]. Let F_1 and F_2 be R_* -integrals of f w.r.t. G . We set $H = |F_1 - F_2|$. Now choose a cube $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and $0 < \varepsilon$ s.t. C is ε -isoparametric. By Lemma 13 there exist pairwise disjoint dyadic cubes $E_i \subseteq C$ such that

$$H\left(C \setminus \bigcup_i E_i\right) < \varepsilon$$

and $\text{diam}(E_i) < \delta(x_i)$ for $x_i \in E_i$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, q\}$. It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} H(C) &\leq H\left(C \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{P}\right) + H\left(\bigcup \mathcal{P}\right) \\ &\leq \varepsilon + \left| \sum_i (F_1(E_i) - F_2(E_i)) \right| \\ &\leq \varepsilon + \sum_i |F_1(E_i) - f(x_i)G(E_i)| + \sum_i |f(x_i)G(E_i) - F_2(E_i)| \\ &\leq 3\varepsilon \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0 \end{aligned}$$

and thus $H(C) = 0$. Since C was an arbitrary cube it follows from Lemma ?? on the density of cubes that $H = 0$ and hence $F_1 = F_2$. \square

Claim (Linearity of the integral). *Let f_1, f_2 be R^* -integrable and $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $f + ag$ is also integrable and*

$$\int f_1 d^*G + \int f_2 d^*G = \int f_1 + \alpha f_2 d^*G.$$

Proof. We write $F_i = \int f_i d^*G$. then we have that for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist gauges δ_i such that

$$\sum_j |f_i(x_j)G(E_j) - F_i(E_j)| < \varepsilon$$

for each strongly ε -regular δ -fine partition $\{(E_1, x_1), \dots, (E_p, x_p)\}$. Since the space of charges is a linear space we have that also $F_1 + \alpha F_2$ is a charge. If we now set $\delta = \min_i \delta_i$ then we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_j |(f_1 + \alpha f_2)(x_j)G(E_j) - (F_1 + \alpha F_2)(E_j)| \\ &\leq \sum_j |f_1(x_j)G(E_j) - F_1(E_j)| + |\alpha| \sum_j |f_2(x_j)G(E_j) - F_2(E_j)| \\ &\leq (1 + |\alpha|)\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for every strongly ε -regular δ -fine partition $\{(E_1, x_1), \dots, (E_p, x_p)\}$. Thus $f_1 + \alpha f_2$ is integrable with integral $F_1 + \alpha F_2$. \square

What this integral is good for?

In [1, Proposition 3.5] it is stated that integral generalises the Lebesgue integral on \mathbb{R}^n .

Proposition 14 (Generalisation of the Lebesgue integral on \mathbb{R}^n). *Each Lebesgue-integrable function is also R_* -integrable and the integrals coincide.*

The integral generalises the Henstock-Kurzweil integral on \mathbb{R} . It is shown in [1, Proposition 3.6] that

Proposition 15 (Generalisation of the Henstock-Kurzweil integral on \mathbb{R}). *A function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Denjoy-Perron integrable on a compact $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ if it is R^* -integrable and the two integrals coincide on A .*

We now turn back to the divergence theorem. For this we need some additional conditions on our sets.

Definition 16 (Admissible sets). *We call a set admissible if $\text{int}_* A \subseteq A \subseteq \text{cl}_* A$ and ∂A is compact. The set of admissible BV-sets is denoted by \mathcal{ABV} .*

It is shown in [1, Corollary 3.18] that for admissible sets the R_* -integral and the classical Pfeffer integral which is defined in coincide. That is

Proposition 17 (Generalisation of the Pfeffer-Integral on \mathbb{R}^n). *Let $A \in \mathcal{ABV}$. Then each Pfeffer-integrable function is also R_* -integrable and the integrals coincide on A .*

Since a very general version of the divergence theorem holds for Pfeffer-integrals one can obtain that

Theorem 18 (Divergence theorem). *Let $A \in \mathcal{ABV}$, $S \subseteq A$ a thin set and $w \in C(\text{cl}(A); \mathbb{R}^n)$ a continuous vector field which is pointwise Lipschitz on $A \setminus S$. Then $\text{Div } w$ is R_* -integrable and*

$$\int_A^* \text{Div } w \, d\mathcal{L}^n = \int_{\partial_* A} w \cdot \nu_A \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$$

where $\nu_A: \partial_* A \rightarrow S^{n-1} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is the unit normal to A .

Bibliography

- [1] J. Malý and W. F. Pfeffer, “Henstock-Kurzweil integral on BV sets,” *Math. Bohem.*, vol. 141, no. 2, pp. 217–237, 2016, ISSN: 0862-7959. DOI: 10.21136/MB.2016.16. [Online]. Available: <https://doi-org.ludwig.lub.lu.se/10.21136/MB.2016.16>.
- [2] W. F. Pfeffer, “A Riemann type definition of a variational integral,” *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 114, no. 1, pp. 99–106, 1992, ISSN: 0002-9939. DOI: 10.2307/2159788. [Online]. Available: <https://doi-org.ludwig.lub.lu.se/10.2307/2159788>.
- [3] —, *Derivation and integration*, ser. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001, vol. 140, pp. xvi+266, ISBN: 0-521-79268-1. DOI: 10.1017/CB09780511574764. [Online]. Available: <https://doi-org.ludwig.lub.lu.se/10.1017/CB09780511574764>.