

Keyword Document 2

Theo McGlashan

Readings for this week: Chapter 2, p. 25-37, p. 41-25.

Key Result 1

Nonexistence of extension of length to all subsets of \mathbb{R} .

This theorem states that there does not exist a function μ with the following properties:

- (a) μ is a function from the set of subsets of \mathbb{R} to $[0, \infty]$.
- (b) $\mu(I) = \ell(I)$ for every open interval I of \mathbb{R} .
- (c) $\mu(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_k)$ for every disjoint sequence of sets A_1, A_2, \dots of subsets of \mathbb{R} .
- (d) $\mu(t + A) = \mu(A)$ for every $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and every $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

This is an important theorem because it describes a significant limitation of the outer measure. If we lose any of the properties (b), (c), or (d), then our outer measure loses a good deal of its usefulness. (b) and (d) need to stay in order for our measure to make any sense as a representation of length, and (c) is incredibly useful in proving theorems. Therefore we conclude that we must abandon (a), and find some subset of \mathbb{R} on which we can define our measure.

Key Result 2

Definition of a *measure*.

If X is a set and \mathcal{S} is a σ -algebra on X , then a *measure* on (X, \mathcal{S}) is a function $\mu : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ such that: $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ and

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_k)$$

for every disjoint sequence E_1, E_2, \dots of sets in \mathcal{S} .

This is an important definition as it allows us to extend our notion of measure outside of just \mathbb{R} . We can now define a measure on any set that has a possible σ -algebra. It is also worth noting that this definition lost key properties that we desired for our measure on \mathbb{R} , namely that $\mu(I) = \ell(I)$ for all open intervals I and that measures are translation invariant. It makes sense that these conditions are gone, as we are no longer necessarily working in spaces that have a notion of length as we commonly understand it.

Key Strategy

Several times throughout this reading it was necessary to change one of the sides of an interval in \mathbb{R} from open to closed or vice versa. This was done with the equality

$$[a, b] = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(a - \frac{1}{n}, b + \frac{1}{n}\right).$$

This was first used to show that half-open intervals are Borel sets because the half-open interval can be rewritten as a countable intersection of open intervals. It is used more throughout the reading, mostly when dealing with Borel sets in cases where intervals need be open.