

# A sample presentation

*With ramblings from a madman*

Théo N. Dionne

APS March Meeting  
Denver Colorado  
12 février 2026



Université de  
Sherbrooke



# Table of contents

## 1 A section

► A subsection

► Another subsection

# Title

The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog [1].

# Title

The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog [1].

$$i\hbar\partial_t |\Psi\rangle = \hat{H} |\Psi\rangle \quad (1)$$

# What

# What

- One.

# What

- One.
- Two.

# What

- One.
- Two.
- Three.

# The state of the world

Théorème 1.1 : Birb

Birbs exist.

# Colorbox test

A very important result.

## A test of what the box environment looks like

This is the fundamental theorem of calculus :

$$\int_a^b f(t) \, dt = F(b) - F(a) \quad (2)$$

# A test of what the box environment looks like

This is the fundamental theorem of calculus :

$$\int_a^b f(t) dt = F(b) - F(a) \quad (2)$$

Notice :

- ➔ thing to say;

# A test of what the box environment looks like

This is the fundamental theorem of calculus :

$$\int_a^b f(t) dt = F(b) - F(a) \quad (2)$$

Notice :

- ➔ thing to say;
- ➔ another thing to say;

# A test of what the box environment looks like

This is the fundamental theorem of calculus :

$$\int_a^b f(t) \, dt = F(b) - F(a) \quad (2)$$

Notice :

- ➔ thing to say;
- ➔ another thing to say;
- ➔ consider  $\mathbf{F} = m\ddot{\mathbf{x}}$ .

## A simple derivation

Extremization of the action entails :

$$0 = \delta \mathcal{S} = \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \delta \mathcal{L}$$

## A simple derivation

Extremization of the action entails :

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \delta \mathcal{S} &= \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \delta \mathcal{L} \\ &= \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \left[ \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta q} \delta q + \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \dot{q}} \delta \dot{q} \right] \end{aligned}$$

# A simple derivation

Extremization of the action entails :

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \delta \mathcal{S} &= \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \delta \mathcal{L} \\ &= \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \left[ \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta q} \delta q + \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \dot{q}} \delta \dot{q} \right] \\ &= \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \left[ \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta q} - \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \dot{q}} \right] \delta q + \underbrace{\int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \dot{q}} \delta q \right)}_{\text{vanishes (B.C.s)}} \end{aligned}$$

# A simple derivation

Extremization of the action entails :

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \delta \mathcal{S} &= \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \delta \mathcal{L} \\ &= \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \left[ \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta q} \delta q + \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \dot{q}} \delta \dot{q} \right] \\ &= \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \left[ \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta q} - \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \dot{q}} \right] \delta q + \underbrace{\int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \dot{q}} \delta q \right)}_{\text{vanishes (B.C.s)}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta q} - \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \dot{q}} = 0$$

## A simple derivation

Extremization of the action entails :

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \delta \mathcal{S} &= \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \delta \mathcal{L} \\ &= \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \left[ \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta q} \delta q + \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \dot{q}} \delta \dot{q} \right] \\ &= \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \left[ \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta q} - \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \dot{q}} \right] \delta q + \underbrace{\int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \dot{q}} \delta q \right)}_{\text{vanishes (B.C.s)}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta q} - \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \dot{q}} = 0}$$

(3)

The converse is equally true, hence :

## A simple derivation

Extremization of the action entails :

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \delta \mathcal{S} &= \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \delta \mathcal{L} \\ &= \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \left[ \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta q} \delta q + \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \dot{q}} \delta \dot{q} \right] \\ &= \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \left[ \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta q} - \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \dot{q}} \right] \delta q + \underbrace{\int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \dot{q}} \delta q \right)}_{\text{vanishes (B.C.s)}} \\ \Rightarrow \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta q} - \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \dot{q}} &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

The converse is equally true, hence :

$$\delta \mathcal{S} = 0 \text{ & B.C.s} \iff \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta q} - \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \dot{q}} = 0 \tag{4}$$

# Testing columns

This is a Woodcock. Its features are :



# Testing columns

This is a Woodcock. Its features are :

- ➔ A beak



# Testing columns

This is a Woodcock. Its features are :

- ➔ A beak
- ➔ Wings



# Testing columns

This is a Woodcock. Its features are :

- ➔ A beak
- ➔ Wings
- ➔ A brain (barely)



# Testing columns

This is a Woodcock. Its features are :

- ➔ A beak
- ➔ Wings
- ➔ A brain (barely)
- ➔ Camouflage



# Questions (2 minutes)

**Thank You!**

# Bibliography I

-  J. Hubbard et Brian Hilton Flowers.  
Electron correlations in narrow energy bands.  
*Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A. Mathematical and Physical Sciences* **276**(1365), 238–257 (1963).  
doi:10.1098/rspa.1963.0204.
-  J. Hubbard et Brian Hilton Flowers.  
Electron correlations in narrow energy bands. ii. the degenerate band case.  
*Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A. Mathematical and Physical Sciences* **277**(1369), 237–259 (1964).  
doi:10.1098/rspa.1964.0019.

## Bibliography II



J. Hubbard et Brian Hilton Flowers.

Electron correlations in narrow energy bands iii. an improved solution.

*Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A. Mathematical and Physical Sciences* **281**(1386), 401–419 (1964).

doi:10.1098/rspa.1964.0190.