Homework #9

December 29, 2021

Problem 1

Part a

For $x_0 \in V \cap \text{span}\{y_1, \dots, y_p\}$, we can write the inner product $\langle x_0, y_i \rangle \ \forall i = 1, \dots, p$ as follows:

$$\langle x_0, y_i \rangle = \langle \sum_{k=1}^p \alpha_k y_k, y_i \rangle$$

$$= \langle \alpha_1 y_1, y_i \rangle + \langle \alpha_2 y_2, y_i \rangle + \dots + \langle \alpha_p y_p, y_i \rangle = c_i$$

$$= \alpha_1 \langle y_1, y_i \rangle + \alpha_2 \langle y_2, y_i \rangle + \dots + \alpha_p \langle y_p, y_i \rangle = c_i$$

Thus, $\forall i = 1, \dots, p$, we have:

$$\langle x_0, y_1 \rangle = \alpha_1 \langle y_1, y_1 \rangle + \alpha_2 \langle y_2, y_1 \rangle + \dots + \alpha_p \langle y_p, y_1 \rangle = c_1$$
$$\langle x_0, y_2 \rangle = \alpha_1 \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle + \alpha_2 \langle y_2, y_2 \rangle + \dots + \alpha_p \langle y_p, y_2 \rangle = c_2$$
$$\vdots$$
$$\langle x_0, y_p \rangle = \alpha_1 \langle y_1, y_p \rangle + \alpha_2 \langle y_2, y_p \rangle + \dots + \alpha_p \langle y_p, y_p \rangle = c_p$$

From the above system of equations we can construct a Gram matrix of the form:

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} \langle y_1, y_1 \rangle & \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle & \cdots & \langle y_1, y_p \rangle \\ \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle & \langle y_2, y_2 \rangle & \cdots & \langle y_2, y_p \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle y_1, y_p \rangle & \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle & \cdots & \langle y_1, y_p \rangle \end{bmatrix} \quad \beta = \begin{bmatrix} \langle x_0, y_1 \rangle \\ \langle x_0, y_2 \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle x_0, y_p \rangle \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ c_p \end{bmatrix}$$

Since y_1, \ldots, y_p are linearly independent, then G is invertible and we obtain a unique solution for the coefficient vector α . Thus, $x_0 = \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i y_i$ is unique.

Part b

Define the set $M = (\operatorname{span}\{y_1, \dots, y_p\})^{\perp}$ and its orthogonal complement $M^{\perp} = \operatorname{span}\{y_1, \dots, y_p\}$. We need to prove the following statement:

$$x \in V \iff (x - x_0) \perp \operatorname{span}\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$$

We first prove (\Rightarrow) :

$$x \in V \implies \langle x, y_i \rangle = c_i, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, p$$

 $x_0 \in V \implies \langle x_0, y_i \rangle = c_i, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, p$

Now, we have:

$$\langle x - x_0, y_i \rangle = \langle x, y_i \rangle - \langle x_0, y_i \rangle = c_i - c_i = 0, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, p$$

$$\implies \forall i = 1, \dots, p, \quad (x - x_0) \perp y_i$$

$$\implies (x - x_0) \in M$$

Now we prove (\Leftarrow) :

$$(x - x_0) \perp \operatorname{span}\{y_1, \dots, y_p\} \implies (x - x_0) \in M$$

Thus:

$$\langle x - x_0, y_i \rangle = 0, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, p$$

 $\implies \langle x, y_i \rangle - \langle x_0, y_i \rangle = 0$
 $\implies \langle x, y_i \rangle = \langle x_0, y_i \rangle = c_i$
 $\implies x \in V$

Thus:

$$x \in V \iff (x - x_0) \perp \operatorname{span}\{y_1, \dots, y_p\}$$

Part c

We need to prove the following statement:

$$\exists ! v^* \in V, \ ||v^*|| = \inf_{v \in V} ||v||, \ v^* \perp M$$

Let $v \in V$ and $m \in M$, then from Lemma 2 we have $v = x_0 + m$. Since M is a subspace, then $v = x_0 - m \in V$. Now, from the minimum norm, we can write:

$$\inf_{v \in V} ||v|| = \inf_{m \in M} ||x_0 - m|| = d(x_0, M)$$

From the projection theorem, we can write:

$$m^* = \underset{m \in M}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} ||x_0 - m||$$

Thus, we obtain:

$$v^* = \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{v \in V} ||v|| \implies v^* = x_0 - m^*$$

Since $||v^*|| = d(x_0, M)$, then $v^* = x_0 - m^* \in M^{\perp}$, we have:

$$x_0 - m^* = \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i y_i$$

Now, since $x_0 \in M^{\perp}$ from Lemma 1, then:

$$x_0 = \sum_{i=1}^p \beta_i y_i$$

Finally:

$$x_0 - m^* = \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i y_i$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^p \beta_i y_i - m^* = \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i y_i$$

$$\implies m^* = \sum_{i=1}^p \beta_i y_i - \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i y_i = \sum_{i=1}^p \gamma_i y_i \in M^{\perp}$$

Thus, $m^* \in M \cap M^{\perp} \implies m^* = 0$, and we have:

$$v^* = x_0$$

Since x_0 is unique from Lemma 1, then v^* is also unique and we have:

$$\exists ! v^* \in V, \ ||v^*|| = \inf_{v \in V} ||v||, \ v^* \perp M$$

Problem 2

From Lemma 2, we have:

$$v = x_0 - m$$

Now from Lemma 3, we have proved that $m^* = \arg\min_{m \in M} ||x_0 - m|| = 0$ and thus:

$$\exists ! v^* \in V \quad \text{s.t.} \quad v^* = \underset{v \in V}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} ||v|| = x_0$$

From Lemma 1, we have that $x_0 = \sum_{i=1}^9 \beta_i y_i$ is unique. Thus:

$$v^* = \sum_{i=1}^9 \beta_i y_i$$

Also from Lemma 1, we have that $\langle x_0, y_i \rangle = \langle v^*, y_i \rangle \ \forall i = 1, \dots, p$. Similarly to problem 1 part a, we can construct the Gram matrix as follows:

$$\langle v^*, y_1 \rangle = \alpha_1 \langle y_1, y_1 \rangle + \alpha_2 \langle y_2, y_1 \rangle + \ldots + \alpha_p \langle y_p, y_1 \rangle = c_1$$
$$\langle v^*, y_2 \rangle = \alpha_1 \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle + \alpha_2 \langle y_2, y_2 \rangle + \ldots + \alpha_p \langle y_p, y_2 \rangle = c_2$$
$$\vdots$$
$$\langle v^*, y_p \rangle = \alpha_1 \langle y_1, y_p \rangle + \alpha_2 \langle y_2, y_p \rangle + \ldots + \alpha_p \langle y_p, y_p \rangle = c_p$$

From the above system of equations we can construct a Gram matrix of the form:

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} \langle y_1, y_1 \rangle & \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle & \cdots & \langle y_1, y_p \rangle \\ \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle & \langle y_2, y_2 \rangle & \cdots & \langle y_2, y_p \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle y_1, y_p \rangle & \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle & \cdots & \langle y_1, y_p \rangle \end{bmatrix} \quad \beta = \begin{bmatrix} \langle v^*, y_1 \rangle \\ \langle v^*, y_2 \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle v^*, y_p \rangle \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ c_p \end{bmatrix}$$

Since y_1, \ldots, y_p are linearly independent, then G is invertible and we obtain a unique solution for the coefficient vector α . Thus, $v^* = \sum_{i=1}^p \beta_i y_i$ is unique and we can solve for the coefficients β_i as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \langle y_1, y_1 \rangle & \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle & \cdots & \langle y_1, y_p \rangle \\ \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle & \langle y_2, y_2 \rangle & \cdots & \langle y_2, y_p \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle y_1, y_p \rangle & \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle & \cdots & \langle y_1, y_p \rangle \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_p \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ c_p \end{bmatrix}$$

Problem 3

Part a

The mean and covariance of X conditioned on Y = y are given by:

$$\mu_{X|Y=y} = \mu_X + \sum_{12} \sum_{22}^{-1} (y - \mu_Y)$$

$$\mu_{X|Y=y} = \bar{x} + PC^T (CPC^T + Q)^{-1} (y - \bar{y})$$

Now for the conditioned covariance, we have:

$$\Sigma_{X|Y=y} = \Sigma_{11} - \Sigma_{12} \Sigma_{22}^{-1} \Sigma_{21}$$

$$\Sigma_{X|Y=y} = P - PC^{T} (CPC^{T} + Q)^{-1} CP$$

Looking back at Problem 6 of HW8, we notice that the MVE estimate \hat{x} and its covariance are obtained using the mean and covariance of X conditioned on Y = y:

$$\hat{x} = \bar{x} + PC^{T}(CPC^{T} + Q)^{-1}(y - \bar{y})$$

$$E\{(x - \hat{x})(x - \hat{x})^{T}\} = P - PC^{T}(CPC^{T} + Q)^{-1}CP$$
4 of 10

Part b

Given the following covariance matrix:

$$\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^T & C \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} P & PC^T \\ CP & CPC^T + Q \end{bmatrix}$$

We can compute the Schur complement of $(CPC^T + Q)$ in Σ as follows:

$$Schur(\Sigma) = A - BC^{-1}B^{T} = P - PC^{T}(CPC^{T} + Q)^{-1}CP$$

Comparing with the conditioned covariance $\Sigma_{X|Y=y}$, we notice that it is similar to the Schur complement of (CPC^T+Q) in Σ .

Problem 4

Part a

From Lemma 1 through 3, we know that the vector of the minimum norm is given by:

$$v^* = \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i y_i$$

We define the set $V = \{x \in \mathcal{X} \mid \langle x, t \rangle = 2\}$. We can find the coefficients α_i as follows:

$$G = \langle t, t \rangle = \int_0^2 t^2 dt = \frac{1}{3} [t^3]_0^2 = \frac{8}{3}$$

$$\beta = \langle v^*, t \rangle = 2$$

Thus:

$$\alpha = G^{-T}\beta = \frac{3}{8} * 2 = \frac{3}{4}$$

$$\implies v^* = \frac{3}{4}t$$

Part b

Following the same procedure as in part a, we have:

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} \langle t, t \rangle & \langle t, \sin(\pi t) \rangle \\ \langle t, \sin(\pi t) \rangle & \langle \sin(\pi t), \sin(\pi t) \rangle \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\beta = \begin{bmatrix} \langle v^*, t \rangle \\ \langle v^*, \sin(\pi t) \rangle \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ \pi \end{bmatrix}$$

Where:

$$\langle t, t \rangle = \int_0^2 t^2 dt = \frac{1}{3} [t^3]_0^2 = \frac{8}{3}$$

$$\langle t, \sin(\pi t) \rangle = \int_0^2 t \sin(\pi t) dt = \left[\frac{\sin(\pi t) - \pi t \cos(\pi t)}{\pi^2} \right]_0^2 = -\frac{2}{\pi}$$
$$\langle \sin(\pi t), \sin(\pi t) \rangle = \int_0^2 \sin^2(\pi t) dt = \left[\frac{t}{2} - \frac{\sin(2\pi t)}{4\pi} \right]_0^2 = 1$$

Thus:

$$\alpha = G^{-T}\beta = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{8}{3} & -\frac{2}{\pi} \\ -\frac{2}{\pi} & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ \pi \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{3\pi^2}{2\pi^2 - 3} \\ \frac{2\pi^3 + 3\pi}{2\pi^2 - 3} \end{bmatrix}$$

Finally:

$$v^* = \frac{3\pi^2}{2\pi^2 - 3} * t + \frac{2\pi^3 + 3\pi}{2\pi^2 - 3} * \sin(\pi t)$$

Problem 5

Part a

Considering Ax = b, we can decompose A as follows:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ \vdots \\ a_p \end{bmatrix} \implies \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ \vdots \\ a_p \end{bmatrix} x = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \\ b_p \end{bmatrix}$$

We can construct a system of equations as follows:

$$a_1x = b_1$$

$$a_2x = b_2$$

$$\vdots$$

$$a_nx = b_n$$

Using the standard inner on product on \mathbb{R} :

$$\langle x, a_i^T \rangle = b_i \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, p$$

From problem 2, we can write:

$$\hat{x} = \underset{x \in Ax = b}{\operatorname{arg \, min}} ||x|| = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_i a_i^T$$

Constructing the Gram matrix, we have:

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} \langle a_1^T, a_1^T \rangle & \langle a_1^T, a_2^T \rangle & \cdots & \langle a_1^T, a_p^T \rangle \\ \langle a_1^T, a_2^T \rangle & \langle a_2^T, a_2^T \rangle & \cdots & \langle a_2^T, a_p^T \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle a_1^T, a_p^T \rangle & \langle a_1^T, a_2^T \rangle & \cdots & \langle a_1^T, a_p^T \rangle \end{bmatrix} = AA^T \quad \beta = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \\ b_p \end{bmatrix}$$

If G is invertible, which means that the rows of A are linearly independent, then:

$$\implies \alpha = G^{-T}\beta = (AA^T)^{-1}b$$

The estimate \hat{x} is given by:

$$\hat{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_i a_i^T = \begin{bmatrix} a_1^T & a_2^T & \dots & a_p^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_p \end{bmatrix} = A^T \alpha$$

Thus:

Part b

We first define \tilde{A} as follows, given that $Q \succ 0 \implies Q^{-1}$ exists:

$$\tilde{A} = AQ^{-1}$$

We now decompose A as follows:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_i \\ a_2 \\ \vdots \\ a_p \end{bmatrix}$$

Defining $v_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ as follows:

$$v_i = (a_i Q^{-1})^T = Q^{-1} a_i^T \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, p$$

Thus, we can write:

$$a_i = v_i^T Q$$

Now following the same procedure as part a:

$$Ax = b$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ \vdots \\ a_p \end{bmatrix} x = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \\ b_p \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\implies a_i x = b_i \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, p$$

$$\implies v_i^T Qx = \langle v_i, x \rangle = b_i \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, p$$

And we have:

$$\langle v_i, v_i \rangle = v_i^T Q v_i = a_i Q^{-1} Q Q^{-1} a_i^T = a_i Q^{-1} a_i^T$$

From problem 2, we can write:

$$\hat{x} = \underset{x \in Ax = b}{\operatorname{arg \, min}} ||x|| = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_i v_i$$

Constructing the Gram matrix, we have:

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} \langle v_1, v_1 \rangle & \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle & \cdots & \langle v_1, v_p \rangle \\ \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle & \langle v_2, v_2 \rangle & \cdots & \langle v_2, v_p \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle v_1, v_p \rangle & \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle & \cdots & \langle v_1, v_p \rangle \end{bmatrix} \quad \beta = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \\ b_p \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus:

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 Q^{-1} a_1^T & a_1 Q^{-1} a_2^T & \cdots & a_1 Q^{-1} a_p^T \\ a_2 Q^{-1} a_1^T & a_2 Q^{-1} a_2^T & \cdots & a_2 Q^{-1} a_p^T \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_p Q^{-1} a_1^T & a_p Q^{-1} a_2^T & \cdots & a_p Q^{-1} a_p^T \end{bmatrix} = AQ^{-1}A^T$$

If G is invertible, which means that the rows of A are linearly independent, then:

$$\implies \alpha = G^{-T}\beta = (AQ^{-1}A^T)^{-1}b$$

The estimate \hat{x} is given by:

$$\hat{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{p} v_i \alpha_i = \begin{bmatrix} Q^{-1} a_1^T & Q^{-1} a_2^T & \dots & Q^{-1} a_p^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_p \end{bmatrix} = Q^{-1} A^T \alpha$$

Thus:

$$\hat{x} = Q^{-1}A^T(AQ^{-1}A^T)^{-1}b$$

Problem 6

Decompose the following A matrix:

$$A = [A_1|A_2] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2\\ 3 & 4\\ 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

Applying the Gram Schmidt process, we get:

$$v_1 = \frac{A_1}{||A_1||} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+3^2+5^2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1\\3\\5 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{35}} \begin{bmatrix} 1\\3\\5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1690\\0.5071\\0.8452 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$v_{2} = A_{2} - \langle A_{2}, v_{1} \rangle v_{1}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0.1690 \\ 0.5071 \\ 0.8452 \end{bmatrix} * \begin{bmatrix} 0.1690 \\ 0.5071 \\ 0.8452 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix} - 7.4374 \begin{bmatrix} 0.1690 \\ 0.5071 \\ 0.8452 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0.7429 \\ 0.2286 \\ -0.2857 \end{bmatrix}$$

Normalizing:

$$v_2 = \frac{v_2}{||v_2||} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{0.7429^2 + 0.2286^2 + 0.2857^2}} \begin{bmatrix} 0.7429 \\ 0.2286 \\ -0.2857 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$v_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.8971 \\ 0.2760 \\ -0.3450 \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus:

$$Q = [v_1|v_2] = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1690 & 0.8971 \\ 0.5071 & 0.2760 \\ 0.8452 & -0.3450 \end{bmatrix}$$

Now for R, we have:

$$QR_1 = A_1$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0.1690 & 0.8971 \\ 0.5071 & 0.2760 \\ 0.8452 & -0.3450 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r_{11} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since this is an underdetermined system of equations, the solution is of the form:

$$R_1 = (Q^T Q)^{-1} Q^T A_1$$

From MATLAB, we obtain:

$$R_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 5.9161 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Similarly for R_2 :

$$QR_2 = A_2$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0.1690 & 0.8971 \\ 0.5071 & 0.2760 \\ 0.8452 & -0.3450 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r_{21} \\ r_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

Solving this underdetermined system of equations, we obtain using MATLAB:

$$R_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 7.4374 \\ 0.8281 \end{bmatrix}$$

Finally:

$$R = [R_1|R_2] = \begin{bmatrix} 5.9161 & 7.4374\\ 0 & 0.8281 \end{bmatrix}$$

Using MATLAB's qr command we obtain the following:

$$[Q_1, R_1] = \operatorname{qr}(A)$$

$$Q_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.1690 & 0.8971 & 0.4082 \\ -0.5071 & 0.2760 & -0.8165 \\ -0.8452 & -0.3450 & 0.4082 \end{bmatrix} R_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -5.9161 & -7.4374 \\ 0 & 0.8281 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Now using the following arguments:

$$[Q_2, R_2] = \operatorname{qr}(A, 0)$$

$$Q_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.1690 & 0.8971 \\ -0.5071 & 0.2760 \\ -0.8452 & -0.3450 \end{bmatrix} \quad R_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -5.9161 & -7.4374 \\ 0 & 0.8281 \end{bmatrix}$$

We notice that the first column of $Q_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{3\times 3}$ is similar to the first column of Q multiplied by -1 and the second column of Q_1 is exactly the same as the second column of Q, however there is a third column in Q_1 unlike Q. Now for $R_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{3\times 2}$, the first row of R_1 is the first row of R but multiplied by -1 and the second row is the same. However, R_1 has an extra row which is not present in R. Comparing the economic-size decomposition solution, we notice that $Q_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{3\times 2}$ and $R_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}$ have the same size as Q and R respectively. However, we notice that the first column of Q_2 is similar to the first column of Q multiplied by -1 and the second column is the same as Q. Now, for R_2 , we notice that the first row is similar to the first row of R multiplied by -1 and the second row is the same. The multiplication by -1 does not affect the result since Q is orthonormal and R is obtained by solving $QR_i = A_i$. Thus, the solution by hand using Gram Schmidt process and the closed form solution of an undetermined system is similar to the economy-size decomposition solution using MATLAB's or function.

The MALTAB code used to solve this part is displayed below:

```
A = [1 \ 2; \ 3 \ 4; 5 \ 6];
   %% Gram Schmidt
   A_1 = A(:,1);
   A_2 = A(:,2);
   v_1 = A_1/norm(A_1);
   v_2 = A_2 - A_2 * v_1 * v_1;
   v_2 = v_2/norm(v_2);
   R_1= inv(Q'*Q)*Q'*A_1;
10
   R_2 = inv(Q'*Q)*Q'*A_2;
   R = [R_1 R_2];
13
   % Sanity Check
   Q'*Q - eye(2)
   [Q_1, R_1] = qr(A);

[Q_2, R_2] = qr(A, 0);
15
```