

TRANSLATE THE FOLLOWING ASSERTION INTO FORMULAS OF THE FIRST ORDER LANGUAGE

OF THE ORDERED FIELD OF REAL NUMBERS $\langle \mathbb{R}, 0, 1, -, +, \times, \leq \rangle$:

a) THE SQUARE OF A POSITIVE NUMBER IS POSITIVE. SIMILARLY, THE SQUARE OF A NEGATIVE NUMBER IS POSITIVE. THEREFORE, THE FACT THAT 0 IS NOT NEGATIVE IMPLIES THAT NO NEGATIVE NUMBER IS A SQUARE

SQUARE $s(n) := n \cdot n$

POSITIVE $\alpha > 0 := \neg (\alpha \leq 0)$

NEGATIVE $\alpha < 0 := \alpha \leq 0 \wedge \neg (\alpha = 0)$

NONNEGATIVE $\alpha \geq 0 := \alpha > 0 \vee \alpha = 0$

$\forall n (n > 0 \rightarrow s(n) > 0, n \leq 0 \rightarrow s(n) > 0) \models 0 \geq 0 \rightarrow \neg \exists n (s(n) \leq 0))$

b) THE SET OF POSITIVE REAL NUMBERS WHOSE SQUARE DOES NOT EXCEED 2 IS NONEMPTY AND HAS AN UPPER BOUND. THEREFORE, SINCE THIS SET HAS A SUPREMUM, IT

FOLLOWS THAT THERE EXISTS A POSITIVE SQUARE ROOT OF 2

POSITIVE $\alpha > 0 := \neg (\alpha \leq 0)$

SQUARE $s(n) := n \cdot n$

UPPER BOUND $U(n) := \exists k (\forall n (n \leq k))$

SUPREMUM $M(n) := \exists k (U(k) \vee n = k)$

$\forall n (n > 0 \wedge s(n) \leq 2 \rightarrow \exists U(n) \models \exists M(n) \rightarrow \exists n (s(n) = 2))$

THE LANGUAGE OF BINARY RELATIONS IS THE FIRST ORDER LANGUAGE

WITH EQUALITY; L GENERATED BY A SINGLE RELATION SYMBOL R OF ARITY

TWO. TRANSLATE THE FOLLOWING ASSERTIONS INTO FORMULAS OF L:

a) Suppose R is a partial order. Then R is symmetric if and only if it is discrete (a discrete relation is a relation consisting of the pairs (x, x))

- $R: A \rightarrow A$ PARTIAL ORDER
- SYMMETRIC $\forall x, y (xRy \rightarrow yRx)$
- DISCRETE $\exists x (xRx)$

$$\forall x, y ((xRy \wedge yRx) \leftrightarrow \exists x (xRx))$$

b) Suppose R is symmetric and transitive. Then R is serial if and only if it is reflexive

- SYMMETRIC $\forall x, y (xRy \rightarrow yRx)$
- TRANSITIVE $\forall x, y, z (xRy \wedge yRz \rightarrow xRz)$
- SERIAL $\forall x \exists y (xRy)$
- REFLEXIVE $\forall x (xRx)$

$$\forall x, y (xRy \rightarrow yRx), \forall x, y, z (xRy \wedge yRz \rightarrow xRz) \models$$

$$\forall x \exists y (xRy) \leftrightarrow \forall x (xRx)$$

DETERMINE WHETHER THE FOLLOWING FORMULAS ARE TRUE, SATISFIABLE OR UNSATISFIABLE IN \mathbb{N} FOR THE STANDARD INTERPRETATION OF THE SYMBOL

1) $x \neq 0 \rightarrow \exists y (xy = 1)$

(PAG 306)

x IS FREE VARIABLE $\rightarrow \varphi(x)$ $v \models \varphi$

$v(x) = \alpha \rightarrow$ CHECK WHETHER $\varphi(\alpha)$ IS TRUE

$$\varphi(0) = 0 \neq 0 \rightarrow \exists y (0y = 1)$$

PREMISE FALSE, IMPLICATION TRUE (?) $\rightarrow \varphi$ SATISFIABLE

VALID

EXAMIN $\varphi(\alpha)$ FOR SMALL VALUE ON α

$$\varphi(1) = 1 \neq 0 \rightarrow \exists y (1y = 1)$$

PREMISE FALSE, SO $v \models \varphi \Leftrightarrow \exists y (1y = 1) \Leftrightarrow \exists b \in \mathbb{N} | v[b] = 1$

$$\rightarrow 1b = 1 \Leftrightarrow b = 1 \checkmark$$

BUT WHAT ABOUT $v(2) = 2$?

$\varphi(2) = 2 \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \exists y (2y = 1) \Leftrightarrow \exists b \in \mathbb{N} | 2b = 1$. It is NOT

POSSIBLE $\Rightarrow \varphi$ IS NOT VALID

2) $\exists y z (x = y^2 + z^2)$

x FREE VARIABLE $\rightarrow \varphi(x)$ $v \models \varphi$

$$\varphi(x) = 0 \rightarrow 0 = y^2 + z^2 \quad \checkmark \rightarrow \varphi$$
 SATISFIABLE